

Page 01 of 36

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5) ; (b)(6) ; (b)(7)(C)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 02 of 36

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5) ; (b)(6) ; (b)(7)(C)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 03 of 36

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5) ; (b)(6) ; (b)(7)(C)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

**Fwd: Your Call**

**From** (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
**To**  
**Date** 2017/05/15 14:05  
**Subject:** Fwd: Your Call  
**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

(b)(6);  
(b)(7)(C)

I know you are planning to email the Judge. He just sent the below. Let me know how you want to proceed.

Thank you,

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

CLC New York  
Metropolitan Correctional Center  
150 Park Row  
New York, New York 10007

p: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
f: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@nysd.uscourts.gov> 5/15/2017 2:01 PM >>>

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) I got the message that you and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) called to discuss the attorney-client email situation. Needless to say, I'm disappointed, especially in light of how long we've been at this and the assurances I'd received about how things have been moving forward. I gather (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) no longer wants to come to tomorrow's meeting, which probably makes sense given the tough questions (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) could reasonably expect from the understandably frustrated representatives of defense counsel organizations. I suppose my next step is to call folks in Washington, at the BOP and DOJ, since the regional approach hasn't worked.

Let me know if I'm missing something.

RJS

## UPDATE

**From**

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**To**

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Kathleen M. Kenney (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);  
(b)(7)(C)

**Date**

2017/05/15 10:33

**Subject:** UPDATE

**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

Good morning -

I am back in the office today and was looking for an update on the Attorney-inmate email matter that I am suppose to discuss at tomorrow's SDNY Court/Defense Attorney gathering. Judge Sullivan called me this morning and I told him I would update him later this afternoon. He indicated that if I did not have any final status I may not need to go to SDNY tomorrow. He also indicated he is willing to chat with OGC staff if that would be helpful.

I am scheduled in the office all day and look forward to hearing from someone.

Thanks,

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**Re: CEO Memo - regarding TRULINCS Filter for Specific Emails**

**From** Kathleen M. Kenney  
**To** Michael Carvajal  
**Date** 2017/04/27 08:06  
**Subject:** Re: CEO Memo - regarding TRULINCS Filter for Specific Emails  
**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

Thanks Michael.

>>> Michael Carvajal 4/27/2017 8:02 AM >>>

Good morning Kathy,

(b)(5)

Thank you.

Michael

>>> Kathleen M. Kenney 4/26/2017 11:14 AM >>>

Good morning folks - I've attached a draft memo for your review regarding a new TRULINCS feature. The new feature allows the filtering out of specifically identified emails when conducting a search of a specific inmate's email activity. This feature was developed as a result of concern raised by some judges regarding the fact we do not offer confidential attorney-client email communication. In lieu of having to have an entirely separate email system for inmates to write their attorneys, we decided to offer this feature instead. This feature would ordinarily come into play when a criminal AUSA or law enforcement has asked us for all of the pre-trial inmate's emails. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks -  
Kathy



**Re: CEO Memo - regarding TRULINCS Filter for Specific Emails**

**From** Kathleen M. Kenney  
**To** John Caraway  
**Date** 2017/04/26 11:44  
**Subject:** Re: CEO Memo - regarding TRULINCS Filter for Specific Emails  
**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

Thanks John.

>>> John Caraway <(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@bop.gov> 4/26/2017 11:41 AM >>>

(b)(5)

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

**From:** Kathleen M. Kenney

**Sent:** Wednesday, April 26, 2017 11:14 AM

**To:** L. Cristina Griffith; Deborah Schult; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Bradley T Gross; Sonya Thompson; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Angela Dunbar; John Caraway; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Michael Carvajal; Darrin Harmon; Jeffery Keller; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**Subject:** CEO Memo - regarding TRULINCS Filter for Specific Emails

Good morning folks - I've attached a draft memo for your review regarding a new TRULINCS feature. The new feature allows the filtering out of specifically identified emails when conducting a search of a specific inmate's email activity. This feature was developed as a result of concern raised by some judges regarding the fact we do not offer confidential attorney-client email communication. In lieu of having to have an entirely separate email system for inmates to write their attorneys, we decided to offer this feature instead. This feature would ordinarily come into play when a criminal AUSA or law enforcement has asked us for all of the pre-trial inmate's emails. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks -  
Kathy

**Re: CEO Memo - regarding TRULINCS Filter for Specific Emails**

**From** Deborah Schult  
**To** Kathleen M. Kenney  
**Date** 2017/04/26 11:44  
**Subject:** Re: CEO Memo - regarding TRULINCS Filter for Specific Emails  
**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

Copy. Thanks Kathy.

Deborah G. Schult, Ph.D.  
Assistant Director  
Health Services Division

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

>>> Kathleen M. Kenney 4/26/2017 11:41 AM >>>

(b)(5)

Thanks -  
Kathy

>>> Deborah Schult 4/26/2017 11:31 AM >>>

(b)(5)

Deborah G. Schult, Ph.D.  
Assistant Director  
Health Services Division

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

>>> Kathleen M. Kenney 4/26/2017 11:14 AM >>>

Good morning folks - I've attached a draft memo for your review regarding a new TRULINCS feature. The new feature allows the filtering out of specifically identified emails when conducting a search of a specific inmate's email activity. This feature was developed as a



result of concern raised by some judges regarding the fact we do not offer confidential attorney-client email communication. In lieu of having to have an entirely separate email system for inmates to write their attorneys, we decided to offer this feature instead. This feature would ordinarily come into play when a criminal AUSA or law enforcement has asked us for all of the pre-trial inmate's emails. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks -  
Kathy

**Re: CEO Memo - regarding TRULINCS Filter for Specific Emails**

**From** Kathleen M. Kenney

**To** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Date** 2017/04/26 11:29

**Subject:** Re: CEO Memo - regarding TRULINCS Filter for Specific Emails

**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

Thanks for catching that!

>>> (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) 4/26/2017 11:26 AM >>>

Hi Kathy,

(b)(6)

(b)(6),  
(b)(7)(C)

PS - I think there is a typo in the second sentence.

>>> Kathleen M. Kenney 4/26/2017 11:14 AM >>>

Good morning folks - I've attached a draft memo for your review regarding a new TRULINCS feature. The new feature allows the filtering out of specifically identified emails when conducting a search of a specific inmate's email activity. This feature was developed as a result of concern raised by some judges regarding the fact we do not offer confidential attorney-client email communication. In lieu of having to have an entirely separate email system for inmates to write their attorneys, we decided to offer this feature instead. This feature would ordinarily come into play when a criminal AUSA or law enforcement has asked us for all of the pre-trial inmate's emails. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks -  
Kathy

**Re: Attorney Email and MDC Laptop Issue**

**From**

**To** (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**Date** 2017/04/18 14:20

**Subject:** Re: Attorney Email and MDC Laptop Issue

**Attachments:** pic03902.jpg, Mime.822

You bet. The dial-in number is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and the access code is

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

I look forward to chatting with both of you on Thursday, April 20th at 8:00 am.

Thanks again.

RJS

(Embedded image Richard J. Sullivan  
moved to file: United States District Judge  
pic03902.jpg) United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007  
Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@nysd.uscourts.gov  
Chambers: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

v> >

04/18/2017 01:27 cc

PM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**Subject**

**Re: Attorney Email and MDC Laptop  
Issue**

The Conference call number sounds like a better idea - please send us the dial-in number.

(b)(6);  
(b)(7)(C)

>>> 4/18/2017 12:23 PM >>>

Great. That will work. Alternatively, I have a conference call number that everyone can dial in to. Let me know which you prefer; if the latter, I can send you the dial-in number.

RJS

(Embedded image Richard J. Sullivan  
moved to file: United States District Judge  
pic08723.jpg) United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007  
Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@nysd.uscourts.gov  
Chambers: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

v> >

04/18/2017 12:15 cc

PM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Subject

Re: Attorney Email and MDC Laptop  
Issue



I have conferred with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and we are both available at that time. Unless I hear otherwise - I will get (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on the phone and call you at the chambers number listed below.

(b)(6);  
(b)(7)(C)

>>> 4/18/2017 10:47 AM >>>

Thanks very much. How about a conference call with the three of us on Thursday, April 20th at 8:00 am?

RJS

(Embedded image Richard J. Sullivan moved to file: United States District Judge pic32391.jpg) United States District Court Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007  
Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@nysd.uscourts.gov  
Chambers: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

v> >

04/18/2017 08:23 cc

AM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Subject

Re: Attorney Email and MDC Laptop Issue

Good morning Your Honor -



Per my voice message just now, I am in the office most of the week and available to discuss the issue with you at a convenient time. I can be reached at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Northeast Region - Federal Bureau of Prisons

Phone (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Fax #

#### SENSITIVE/PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

The information contained in this electronic message and any and all accompanying documents constitutes sensitive information. This information is the property of the U.S. Department of Justice. If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately at the above number to make arrangements for its return to us.

>>> 4/17/2017 8:43 PM >>>

I was hoping to get this project back on the front burner, since it seems to me to be readily achievable. Is there a time this week or next when we can chat, either in person or via telephone? Let me know. Thanks.

RJS

(Embedded image Richard J. Sullivan moved to file: United States District Judge pic03548.jpg) United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007  
Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@nysd.uscourts.gov  
Chambers: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

,  
03/21/2017 07:53 AM >  
cc

Subject  
Re: Attorney Email and MDC Laptop  
Issue

Good morning Your Honor -

At this time, I do not have any current plans to be in New York in the near future. I will review my schedule, as well as communicate with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and propose a few dates that may be available. Is there a particular day of the week that is generally better or worse for Your Honor?

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Northeast Region - Federal Bureau of Prisons

Phone (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
Fax #

#### SENSITIVE/PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

The information contained in this electronic message and any and all accompanying documents constitutes sensitive information. This information is the property of the U.S. Department of Justice. If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately at the above number to make arrangements for its return to us.

>>> 3/20/2017 2:36 PM >>>

Thanks, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) I'd like to have a meeting on this if possible. This is a proposal that we've been talking about for quite some time, and it could have a significant impact on the use of scarce government resources, since

email correspondence would likely reduce the number of attorney visits and the time spent getting lawyers in and out of pre-trial detention facilities.

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is there a day in the next few weeks when you'll be up in New York and available for a visit?

Thanks.

RJS

(Embedded image Richard J. Sullivan moved to file: United States District Judge pic03548.jpg) United States District Court Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007  
Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@nysd.uscourts.gov  
Chambers: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
ov> To  
03/20/2017 02:13 >  
PM cc  
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Subject  
Re: Attorney Email and MDC Laptop  
Issue

Hi Judge Sullivan,

I am told that the filter is still not yet in place. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)



Regional Counsel, is following up and has stated you can reach out to [REDACTED] with further inquiries. I have cc'ed him above for your convenience.

Thank you,

[REDACTED]

CLC New York  
Metropolitan Correctional Center  
150 Park Row  
New York, New York 10007

p: [REDACTED]

f:

>>> 3/20/2017 12:50 PM >>>

[REDACTED] I wanted to follow up with you on the attorney email situation. Has anything happened since our last correspondence?

RJS

(Embedded image Richard J. Sullivan  
moved to file: United States District Judge  
pic07548.jpg) United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007  
Email: [REDACTED]@nysd.uscourts.gov  
Chambers: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

ov> To  
11/04/2016 07:32 >  
AM cc

Subject  
Re: Attorney Email and MDC Laptop  
Issue

Thanks Judge. We will all be here since DOJ did not give us Tuesday as a holiday. I look forward to speaking with you Tuesday. I will continue to press for answers on the email front.

Thanks,

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

>>> 11/3/2016 8:58 PM >>>

That works for me. But just a reminder that that's Election Day and therefore a federal holiday. Assuming everyone knows that and is still okay with it, let's plan on a conference call at 11:30. Everyone can dial in to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and put in the access code (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

And please keep pressing for answers on the attorney-client email solution, because I really wanted to announce that at the meeting on the 15th.

Thanks,

(b)(6);  
(b)(7)(C)

R

Richard J. Sullivan  
United States District Judge  
Southern District of New York  
United States Courthouse  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007-1312  
Tel: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@nysd.uscourts.gov

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

ov> To  
11/03/2016 03:48 >  
PM cc

Subject  
Re: Attorney Email and MDC Laptop  
Issue



Hi Judge Sullivan,

Tuesday, November 8, at 11:30 am works best for the Wardens, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and me, if it still works with your schedule.

Thank you,

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

CLC New York  
Metropolitan Correctional Center  
150 Park Row  
New York, New York 10007

p: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

f: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) 11/2/2016 11:49 AM >>>

Good morning Your Honor,

I am reaching out to find out which date/time works for everyone to chat with you next week, and will let you know as soon as possible. I am also working with the MCC New York Captain to find a more permanent solution to the visiting issue at MCC. Unfortunately, I still have no definitive answer to the filter issue. There seems to have been some snag in DC and I am following up. I can address the other digital discovery issues and our actively working towards mutually beneficial solutions, as well as our videoconferencing capabilities.

Thank you,

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

CLC New York  
Metropolitan Correctional Center  
150 Park Row

New York, New York 10007

p: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

f:

>>> 11/2/2016 10:24 AM >>>

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) I was hoping we could arrange a date and time for a conference call with the Wardens for later this week or next week to discuss the topics likely to come up at the Criminal Justice Advisory Board meeting on the 15th. Can you coordinate with the Wardens and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and get back to me with some dates? Looking at my schedule, I could manage Friday, November 4th at 10:00; Monday, November 7th at 11:30 or 3:30; Tuesday, November 8th at 11:30; or Thursday, November 10th at 8:30 or 4:00. Let me know if any of those work for you folks.

Also, is there any reason why the MDC laptop policy excludes paralegals? Sometimes, that's the most efficient and cost effective way to review discovery with detained defendants, particularly those who are Spanish-speakers. I've received several emails to that effect, and I think this is likely to be an issue that comes up at the CJAB meeting.

Let me know if there are any other topics you'd like to see discussed at the meeting. Do you have any more information on the filter for the attorney-client email issue?

RJS

Richard J. Sullivan  
United States District Judge  
Southern District of New York  
United States Courthouse  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007-1312  
Tel: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@nysd.uscourts.gov

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

ov> To  
10/20/2016 12:15 >  
PM cc

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Subject  
Re: Attorney Email and MDC Laptop

Issue

Hi Judge,

I am following up on the status of the filter. While the Legal side of the house has issued guidance, we have not yet heard back as to implementation. I hope to have more to share with you prior to the meeting.

As for the pilot program at MDC, it is my understanding that the program permitting defense counsel to bring in laptops and/or hard drives is currently operational. Attached is a copy of the agreement counsel must sign in order to bring those items in (with advance notice). I am also advised that currently only attorneys are permitted to bring these electronic devices, not legal support staff (e.g paralegals/investigators).

MCC New York, on the other hand, currently asks defense counsel for 24 hours notice so that our Education Department can bring the hard drives provided by the USAO for the inmates to the attorney conference area to review on the computers there.

In other news, MCC has also recently successfully tested our videoconferencing capability with the EDNY on a high profile case. I am looking to test our connection with the SDNY court soon.

Thank you,

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

CLC New York  
Metropolitan Correctional Center  
150 Park Row  
New York, New York 10007

p: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

f:

>>> 10/20/2016 11:48 AM >>>



Hi, [REDACTED] I just wanted to follow up with you to get an update on the attorney-client email project. Is the email "filter" up and running? I'm anxious to hear how it's going, and I'd love to be able to discuss it as a success at our next Criminal Justice Advisory Board Meeting in November.

I also wanted to alert you (and [REDACTED] and Warden Quay) to the complaint I received below about the MDC's new policy that prohibits defense counsel from bringing laptops or hard drives to review crucial discovery with their clients. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The government produced the discovery on hard drives -- not discs -- which make the new MDC policy particularly problematic.

Give me a call or shoot me an email to discuss the first issue, and you and/or [REDACTED] can contact me regarding the second one.

Thanks.

RJS

Richard J. Sullivan  
United States District Judge  
Southern District of New York  
United States Courthouse  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007-1312  
Tel: [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]@nysd.uscourts.gov

----- Forwarded by Richard Sullivan/NYSD/02/USCOURTS on 10/20/2016 11:39 AM -----

[REDACTED]  
com> To  
"Hon. Richard J. Sullivan"  
10/20/2016 11:33 AM >  
cc

Subject

As always, I send out an invitation to the CJA Panel for comments in anticipation of the Criminal Advisory Meeting. I immediately received an email laying out an issue.

Apparently, there is some pilot program at the MDC which prohibits lawyers from bringing in laptops or hard drives to review discovery with their clients. This becomes particularly onerous in cases with high volumes of discovery because it would have to be placed on multiple CDs, which is why it is produced to the defense on a hard drive to begin with. The normal procedure requires counsel to apply to bring in the hard drive, but the MDC is not permitting that now. Here is their response to a recent request:

Thank you for your email.

I'm sorry, we are still in the beginning stages of this pilot program, and as such, are not able to give you access to review the materials with your client on hard drives or laptops. I apologize for any inconvenience this may cause, but you can enter the facility with material on CDs to review on the computers in the visiting rooms.

In this case, counsel is assigned to a large OC case with a huge volume of information and the solution proposed by the MDC, that counsel burn the files to CDs is simply not practical. Perhaps you can get an update on the program. As usual, it makes no sense.

--

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)



This message and any attached documents contain information from the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that may be confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not read, copy, distribute, or use this information. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and then



delete  
this message.

(See attached file: LapTop Agreement.pdf)



## Decision paper comments

**From**

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**To**

**Date** 2016/11/04 14:34

**Subject:** Decision paper comments

(b)(6);  
(b)(7)(C)

LCI has reviewed the decision papers. No new comments on Papers 1, 2, 4, and 5.

Paper 3 - Inmate Communication Monitoring:

(b)(5)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**Re: Fw: November 2016 Executive Staff Book**

**From**

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**To**

**Date**

2016/11/02 10:47

**Subject:**

Re: Fw: November 2016 Executive Staff  
Book

**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

With regard to the TFB Decision Paper on "Inmate Communication Monitoring," I have the following comments:

(b)(5)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) OGC, HOLC (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
Federal Bureau of Prisons

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**SENSITIVE/PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION**

The information contained in this electronic message and any and all accompanying documents constitutes sensitive information. This information is the property of the U.S. Department of Justice.

If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately at the above number to make arrangements for its return to us.

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @bop.gov> 11/1/2016 4:50 PM >>>

Please review and let me know if you have any comments by Friday morning.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

---

**From:** (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @bop.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 1, 2016 15:07  
**To:** (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** November 2016 Executive Staff Book

---

Hello, attached are papers for the upcoming Exec Staff Meeting. We have seen all the papers but the 5th attachment. However, paper contents may have changed based on input from other divisions. Therefore, please take a look at the papers and let me know if you have additional comments (no need to submit ones you did the first time).

Please have your comments to me no later than noon on **Friday, November 4.**

**Reminder for GO Round topics by COB tomorrow.**

Thanks, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)



**Re: Incoming Publication program statement**

**From:** (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
**To:** (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
**Date:** 2016/09/23 12:09  
**Subject:** Re: Incoming Publication program statement  
**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

As far as I know, the Incoming Publication PS is NOT up for review, but the proposed rule on Correspondence, which would include the incoming publication regulations, IS being reviewed by DOJ right now.

The proposed rule is stuck at DOJ because of the attorney-client email issue. However, as far as I am aware, the regulation changes being proposed in the proposed rule draft have NOT been made in either the Correspondence or Incoming Pubs Program Statements.

Feel free to call if that's unclear. :) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

LCI - OGC, HOLC (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
Federal Bureau of Prisons

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**SENSITIVE/PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION**

The information contained in this electronic message and any and all accompanying documents constitutes sensitive information. This information is the property of the U.S. Department of Justice.

If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately at the above number to make arrangements for its return to us.

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) 9/23/2016 12:04 PM >>>

(b)(6);  
(b)(7)(C)

Kathy has asked for a copy of the incoming publication (which I can take care of) but she wanted to know if there were any issues with it (such as it's up for review). Would you let me know? Thanks.

(b)(6);  
(b)(7)(C)

**one more thing**

**From**

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**To**

**Date**

2016/09/13 08:53

**Subject:**

one more thing

**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

(b)(6);  
(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Thanks.

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Federal Bureau of Prisons

Office of General Counsel

Litigation Branch (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

*I telework on Mondays and Thursdays. On those days I can be reached by email or by phone at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)*

Page 31 of 36

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 32 of 36

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 33 of 36

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act



**Re: Go Rounds for Executive Staff Meeting**

**From**

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**To**

**Date**

2016/07/28 15:09

**Subject:**

Re: Go Rounds for Executive Staff Meeting

**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

(b)(5)

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @bop.gov> 7/28/2016 3:08 PM >>>

(b)(5)

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

**From:** (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**Sent:** Thursday, July 28, 2016 15:04

**To:** (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**Subject:** Re: Go Rounds for Executive Staff Meeting

(b)(5)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

LCI - OGC, HOLC (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
Federal Bureau of Prisons

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Fax: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**SENSITIVE/PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION**

The information contained in this electronic message and any and all accompanying documents constitutes sensitive information. This information is the property of the U.S. Department of Justice.

If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately at the above number to make arrangements for its return to us.

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) 7/28/2016 9:07 AM >>>

The Executive Staff meeting is fast approaching. We need to provide Kathy with suggested "go round" topics. If your topic is selected, you will have to submit talking points and associated materials to the front office. This is an opportunity to submit hot topics to the Executive Staff for their informal feedback and input.

Due to the short turnaround, please provide me with your suggested topic(s) no later than the morning of August 1st. Thanks.

**Re: PWP accomplishments (2016)**

**From**

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**To**

**Date**

2016/07/13 15:40

**Subject:**

Re: PWP accomplishments (2016)

**Attachments:** TEXT.htm

Thanks, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) I'll work on this in the coming days.

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) 7/13/2016 2:10 PM >>>

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

See the attached in which I hopefully completed the appropriate spots.

In addition - please feel free to consider the following wherever it most appropriately fits:

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) 6/23/2016 1:23 PM >>>

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

In addition to the information in the attached email from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) please be aware that I need your PWP accomplishments by **COB Wed, July 13th** or sooner if possible. Because you

have already provided input for Kathy's PWP for the period of July 1, 2015, to March 31, 2016, you only need to highlight for me your accomplishments between **April 1 to June 30, 2016**. Please use the attached blank PWP. Just as a reminder, I am your rater and Kathy is your reviewer. I plan to send (and discuss) your evals with you by July 22nd. If you will be on leave during this time, please let me know so we can plan ahead to talk at another time.

Regarding your CLC leaders' evals in which you are the rater and I am the reviewer, please also submit those to me by **COB Wed, July 20th**. If possible, please also have them sign and acknowledge receipt of their 2016-2017 performance standards.

Hope you are well. Thanks for all of your hard work.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Federal Bureau of Prisons  
320 First Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20534

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(w)

(c)

ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

SENSITIVE/PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

The information contained in this electronic message and any and all accompanying documents constitutes sensitive information. This information is the property of the U.S. Department of Justice.

If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately at the above number to make arrangements for its return to us.