1	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	
10	NACDL Task Force on Restoration of Rights and
11	Status After Conviction
12	
13	Day 1 of 2
14	
15	
16	Thursday, April 26, 2012 11:31 a.m 4:58 p.m.
17	McDonald Hopkins 600 Superior Avenue
18	Cleveland, Ohio 44114
19	
20	ATKINSON-BAKER, INC. COURT REPORTERS
21	(800) 288-3376 www.depo.com
22	
23	File No. A603DF5
24	REPORTED BY DEBORAH C. FUREY, REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL
25	REPORTER, NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF OHIO

```
1
    NACDL TASK FORCE MEMBERS:
 2
    Rick Jones, Co-Chair
    Vicky Young, Co-Chair
 3
    Margaret Love
    Geneva Vanderhorst
    Lawrence Goldman
 4
    Christopher Wellborn
 5
    Penelope Strong
    Elissa Heinrichs
 6
    Jenny Roberts, Reporter
7
8
    PANEL I (Page 4)
9
    Steve Vukmer, Regional Administrator, Cleveland Parole
    and Probation
10
    Diane Smilanick, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney,
11
    Cuyahoga County Ohio
12
    Ellen Shores, Director, Community Reentry Institute
13
14
    PANEL II (Page 85)
15
    Stephen JohnsonGrove, Deputy Director, Ohio Justice
    Policy Center
16
    Terry Tribe-Johnson, Reentry Coordinator, Summit
17
    County, Ohio
18
    Charles See, Executive Director, Community Reentry
    Program, Cleveland
19
20
    PANEL III (Page 138)
21
    Marty Gelfand, Senior Counsel, Office of Congressman
    Dennis J. Kucinich
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    PANEL IV (Page 164)
 2
    Jose Torres, Judicial and Medical Terminology Spanish
    Language Interpreter
 3
    Tamara Jackson, Coordinator, Wayne-Holmes Reentry
    Coalition, Your Human Resource Center
 4
 5
    Mansfield Frazier, Executive Director, Neighborhood
    Solutions, Inc.
 6
    Charles Gunnell, Volunteer, What it Takes
 7
8
9
10
    (Attachment: 6 pages of letters.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(Thereupon, the following proceedings were held:)

2 MR. JONES: All right. Good

morning and welcome, this is the third convening

4 of the National Association of Criminal Defense

5 Lawyers Task Force on Restoration of Rights and

6 Status After Conviction.

We are pleased and excited to be here in Cleveland, in Cuyahoga County, and in the great State of Ohio, where we understand and have learned -- began to learn yesterday there seem to be a lot of good things going on for folks who are trying to restore themselves to status after conviction and/or prison and are trying to reintegrate and reenter society, so we're excited to hear from you and the other panels we have today.

Before we actually dive into the substance of your testimony and the conversation we hope to have with you, at the outset I would like to do a couple of things: One is to thank a number of folks for our being here.

First and foremost, obviously, is the McDonald Hopkins law firm and both Dean DePiero, who is a partner here, and Shawn Riley, the managing partner, for their efforts and their

1 generosity, as well as Elizabeth Kelley, who many of you may know, she's a Cleveland attorney and 2 3 also an NACDL board member and was very instrumental in helping us put all this together. 4 And then finally, none of it would be 5 6 happening without NACDL staff, and that's largely attributed to Angelyn Frazer, Obaid Khan and Doug 7 Reale and, obviously, Norman Reimer, who is the 8 9 executive director of NACDL. 10 Before we begin our conversation, I 11 think it's only fair that you know a little bit 12 about who we are, so I'll introduce myself and 13 then have each of my colleagues introduce 14 themselves in turn. 15

My name is Rick Jones. I practice law in New York city. I run an organization called the Neighborhood Defendant Service of Harlem, and I'm on the faculty of Columbia Law School, and I am one of the co-chairs of this task force.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

As I said before, we're pleased to be here and interested to have this discussion.

MS. LOVE: My name is

Margaret Love. I am a private practitioner in

Washington, D.C.

1 I spent 20 years at the Justice 2 Department, eight of which were as United States 3 Pardon Attorney. Most of my practice involves clemency 4 5 work, federal, for the most part, and so I have a 6 particular interest in the collateral 7 consequences of conviction and, in fact, I'm co-authoring a treatise, an NACDL treatise, on 8 9 that subject. 10 MR. WELLBORN: My name is Chris 11 Wellborn. I'm from Rock Hill, South Carolina and 12 I look at this from really three perspectives. 13 I'm a former prosecutor, I 14 currently -- my practice is 100 percent criminal 15 defense, both state and federal. 16 Also I represent clients who this 17 directly affects and their families, but I'm also 18 involved at a community sort of level in my 19 hometown of Rock Hill, with the parents of 20 children that I coach who are going through these 21 issues and find myself periodically hearing it in 22 the area of that respect, as well. 23 MS. VANDERHORST: My name is 24 Geneva Vanderhorst. I have multiple reasons, 25 too.

1 I practice criminal defense work in 2 Washington, D.C. and I've done so for about 15 3 years. I've seen clients go through these 4 5 issues, sometimes being able to resolve them and 6 sometimes not being able to do so. 7 I'm also a minister in Washington, D.C. and so I've seen family members and kids who 8 9 have been affected by some of these issues as 10 well. 11 MS. STRONG: My name is Penelope Strong. I'm a sole practitioner, and I 12 13 practice in Billings, Montana. I also practiced in Wisconsin for a number of years. 14 15 I had three stints in the offices of 16 public defender, both in Montana and in 17 Wisconsin. 18 I am deeply interested in this issue 19 as it impacts the clients I represent, in terms 20 of criminal defense work, in other words, what is 21 going to occur with convictions they may obtain. 22 I also practice a significant portion 23 of the employment law and civil rights law and a 24 lot of my practice is devoted to criminal records

and background checks, and those people as well

25

- 1 as family members and their futures.
- MS. HEINRICHS: My name is
- 3 | Elissa Heinrichs. I practice in Bucks County,
- 4 Pennsylvania, but I was born and raised in Ohio,
- 5 | I lived here the first 16 years of my life. I'm
- 6 on the Board of Directors of NACDL.
- 7 I started criminal practice as a
- 8 prosecutor, two years in Bucks County and since
- 9 then I've focused my practice on criminal
- 10 defense.
- 11 MR. JONES: I should
- 12 probably just say at the outset also, in the
- 13 | interest of full disclosure, I'm a graduate of
- 14 The University of Michigan. Go blue.
- 15 We have actually been in Ohio and in
- 16 Cleveland, or at least some of us, for a day and
- 17 | a half now and have had an opportunity to get out
- 18 into the community yesterday.
- 19 A couple of us went to the North Star
- 20 Neighborhood Reentry Resource Center, which I
- 21 | thought was really a wonderful place, doing good
- 22 work. And then we also went to the Oriana House.
- 23 This morning a separate group went to the Judge
- Nancy McDonnell Community Based Correctional
- 25 | Center, and had an opportunity to tour there and

1 | see the operations there.

So we're beginning to get a feel of what you folks are doing here, in Ohio, and the opportunities that exist for people who are coming back into society.

The way that we operate these panels is that we like to hear from each of you individually, five, ten minutes or so by way of introduction, who you are and what you bring to the discussion, the benefit of your thoughts, and then we have lots of questions.

Questioning is generally led by one of our group primarily and then, to the extent there is time, the rest of us will participate in the conversation, as well.

For the purposes of this panel, Elissa Heinrichs will lead the questioning.

So I'm going to now turn the floor over to you. You guys can draw straws to decide who wants to start, but we're exited to hear from you and the floor is yours.

MS. SMILANICK: I am Diane

Smilanick, and I'm an assistant prosecuting

attorney for Cuyahoga County. I work for Bill

Mason, the head county prosecutor.

I've been an attorney since '85 and went to John Marshall School of Law, Cleveland State, and went to Cleveland State undergrad with a double major in political science and social work. I have worked for the county prosecutors almost 21 years. Most of my time has been spent in the appellate unit and my functions there are many and diverse, but as relates to this committee:

2.1

and diverse, but as relates to this committee: I do all of the expungement reviews and go to all of the courtrooms for expungement hearings; and I also review many of the post-release control letters from the State of Ohio, and if -- so we send a letter objecting; I also review all pardon commutation, clemency applications that we will eventually get in front of the governor of the State of Ohio. So that's basically what I do.

 $\label{eq:now_do_you_want_me} \mbox{Now do you want me to tell you more}$ about the expungement and --

MR. JONES: Yes, please.

MS. SMILANICK: Now, to start this off, Ohio is one of the few states that has an expungement sealing set of revised code sections, and I've copied and given out a bunch of these, our Ohio Revised Code. It starts at

1 2953.31 and it goes on down to about .55.

In Ohio, if you are a first offender and have no other convictions except minor misdemeanors and traffic convictions, and you have no crime of violence or any sex offense convictions, then within three years after you're off probation, if it's a felony, you can ask to get that expunged, if it's a misdemeanor it takes one year.

The procedure is: The person, the applicant files for an expungement, pays a \$50 filing fee. Most of the time they do it pro se, or they go to the public defenders for some help.

Then the application gets filed, it goes to the court, wherever that conviction originated, and then the judge cuts an order referring the person to probation for an expungement investigation report.

Once that report is done, I, the State of Ohio, gets it, the state reviews it, meaning me. I decide whether the person qualifies or not for the expungement.

If they qualify, I send something called a no objection letter to the court. If they don't, I send my brief in opposition as to

1 why they don't.

Now, our office reviews about a hundred of these applications a week and about more than 50 percent we immediately do not object to.

Part of the statute, as you read, you can also have a sealing of a not guilty, no bill, dismissal, nolle, termination of case, and there's no waiting time on that.

A dismissal usually comes out of one of the court programs, pretrial diversion, intervention in lieu of conviction, early intervention program, et cetera.

Some of those programs, it would be an automatic completion of the program, a dismissal and then a sealing. Some of that never even gets before me.

Again, there's no waiting time on that as long as you have completed the program or if it's a no bill and then it's -- there is really no waiting time.

Some of the other little things that the state would object to is that the people have capiases, old fees, fines, court costs, and a lot of times these are very old cases and the person

doesn't remember or realize they even owe things.

So a lot of times, even though the state objects when they get that brief in opposition, they would see they owe something or have a capias. Usually those capiases are just for traffic tickets and they can quickly get them resolved.

I've been doing these things a long, long time. A way to quickly resolve that is if the people had public defenders or assigned counsel that could quickly take care of it before they even have to come to the court hearing, because if the people would contact our office and say, well, I got the capiases recalled or I paid the fines or court costs, they just have to send that to me, fax it, bring it down to my office, and I withdraw my brief and you wouldn't even have to go to court.

Now, the statute says there shall be a hearing, and in reality if there was a hearing on every single one of these cases, nothing would get done, so that's why the state doesn't object, and no one really would object to getting a no objection letter, so they wouldn't need a hearing.

Hearings are usually reserved for people who have attorneys or really want to talk to the judge, but this is a very strict statute, it's a black and white law, this is not like trying to get a plea deal after someone has been indicted. There are specific things that cannot be expunged, so there's very little negotiation.

Now, some people on an older case will possibly file a motion to withdraw a guilty plea or find some other way to change their conviction in order the get it expunged.

That basically is, in a nutshell, the expungement sealing statute.

Then I also -- what happens when people are denied their expungement, but they've led lives of an otherwise crime-free existence, they're working, they have families, they've been sober, free from drugs for 20 years but they still have this conviction on their record, they'll try for a governor's pardon.

In Ohio, Governor Strickland gave
about 30 to 40 percent of those people pardons
and/or pardon clemency meaning after they've
served their time and out, or some he gave
commutations, cutting their prison time and

releasing them. And Governor Kasich has been doing some of that.

I think the philosophy in our office and with Governor Kasich -- I can't speak for him, I have never met the man -- let's get everybody working, let's get everybody that can a clean record so that they can get hired, they can get a job, they're off the government safety net, they're contributing, their taxes to help fund all of the other programs.

I would think that's probably why they passed the latest house bill changes to give an employer a certificate of employability.

People who have been in prison, who can't otherwise get an expungement or a pardon right away have a certificate of employability.

So that in a nutshell is what I do.

I try off the record to help a lot of people
because I have a lot of pro se people calling me.

Now, I walk a very thin line here. I cannot play defense attorney, that would be a violation of my ethics, but I tell them what they need to do to get the sealing, especially after they get my letter saying why did I deny their expungement, and I can't ever deny it, it's the

court that denies it, I just object. 1 2 I tell them what has to be done. 3 tell them exactly the case numbers of the warrant, a traffic ticket. I tell them exactly 4 what floor it's on. I try to do those things 5 because these people are desperate. 6 7 Some of these crimes are expugnable but they have two or three other convictions 8 9 along the way, a lot of them are just misdemeanor 10 theft, underage drinking, and DUIs and all of 11 those will stop someone from sealing another case 12 that they really want sealed because then they're 13 not a first offender and you must be a first offender in Ohio, under the statute. 14 15 That basically is it. 16 MR. JONES: Thank you. 17 MS. SHORES: I'm Ellen 18 Shores, and I'm with the Community Reentry 19 Institute. 20 I also have a for-profit real estate 21 company and I hire former offenders, usually 22 fairly recently out of incarceration, living in 23 halfway houses and such. 24 In both my real estate company and 25 the Community Reentry Institute we focus on the

most marginalized offenders, those that are the hardest to place, the hardest to find housing for and the hardest to employ.

Those are people that recent legislation isn't covering, that are more or less being excluded from a lot of the reentry programs here in Ohio.

These are people that have so called violent criminal pasts, maybe they had a gun spec.

Specifically recently we've been focusing on sex offenders and the affects of the reentry impossibilities for those folks.

I am going to start by talking about my work at the Community Reentry Institute first. Hopefully I will get a few minutes to talk about being an employer and what that's like, but if I don't get to that, please ask me questions about it. It's a very interesting position to be in.

The Community Reentry Institute, we recognize, a group of us have recognized for years, that the registry is, bar none, the hardest hurdle for offenders coming out of any kind of incarceration or even community control to deal with. It is so pervasive in Ohio.

Ohio is the first to pass the Adam

Walsh Act, which has profoundly expanded the registry and flipped on its head, the number of people that were at one time considered low-level offenders, to fill the ranks of the registry with lifetime registrants.

These are people that have no way, there's no means for them to get off the registry. They're put on the registry by their crime of conviction now, under the Adam Walsh Act, not by their risk of recidivism, no assessment.

In any case our research, where we've done MediStudies and looked at the research that's already out there, across-the-board, the registry is wholly ineffective in deterring crime and yet our estimates -- and we're going to be doing more research on this -- our estimates in Ohio are that the taxpayers are spending conservatively \$32 million a year to maintain something that we know is ineffective. Public registry is just ineffective period.

In fact some studies are showing it increases crime as registrants become unstable.

It prevents folks from getting jobs, from finding

houses, it creates sex-offender ghettos. It

profoundly affects the family members of the

offenders, their children, their wives. Children

are bullied on a regular basis.

We have reports that two-thirds of all sex-offender registrants say that their children are bullied on a regular basis; that their wives are having issues assimilating into the neighbors, keeping friendships, keeping ties to their family members.

But what we're looking at doing is putting a real number behind that, so we are embarking on surveying sheriff's offices, prosecutor's offices, other government entities, to find out what the true cost to our community is to maintain this.

We're also going to be asking about measurable outcomes. We conjecture -- we are almost sure that there are no benchmarks, there are no outcomes that the registry -- the folks that maintain it don't have to report. It's one of the few criminal justice programs out there where there's no accountability.

The public isn't being informed about whether this is working or not. Research says it

doesn't but the entities that are governing it and the legislature don't have them accountable for that.

So we're looking into that and we are looking at putting a number, like I said, behind the registry, so that we can really get an idea of what this is costing us.

We're also looking at the collateral consequences of the registry, not only the sanctions that government implements.

We know what our registry does on paper. And for those who aren't from Ohio, I'll just briefly go through some of the things that a registered sex offender -- the barriers that they're going to incur as a result of being on the registry.

First of all you're going to be on the internet and you're going to have to report not only your address, where you live, but you're going to have to report your employer's address.

So from an employer's standpoint, that's not a real good thing, to have any address listed on the profile of a sex offender. That's going to be listed, not the name of the employer but their address, and people can use a mapping

```
1
     technology to find that employer's address on the
2
     website.
 3
                 I have to give all of the vehicles
     that I have access to. If I have access to my
 4
     teenage daughter's car, I have to register her
5
6
     license plate; I have to register if I borrow my
7
     mother's car once in a while, I have to register
8
     her license plate; I have to register any place
9
     that I volunteer.
10
                 This is all easily accessible on the
11
     internet with a touch of a keyboard. Not only
12
     that, but every time I move.
13
                 MR. JONES:
                                       You have to do
14
     that registry as an employer, as well?
15
                 MS. SHORES:
                                       The registrant
16
     has to register my address, my employer's
17
     address.
18
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Okay.
19
                 MS. SHORES:
                                      If I'm working
20
     out of my home, my home will be registered.
21
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       When you're
22
     speaking "you," are you speaking of you as a sex
23
     offender?
24
                 MS. SHORES:
                                       Yeah, I'm sorry.
25
                 Let's say that Ellen Shores is a sex
```

1 offender, I will have to register my employer's 2 address, my daughter's car, my car, not just the 3 cars that are registered in my name, but it's very exhaustive and it's all up there for 4 anybody, all of my neighbors, anyone, vigilantes 5 6 to see, Ellen Shores, the registered sex 7 offender. 8 If I move, e-mail alerts will go out. 9 It doesn't matter what level registrant I am, 10 e-mail alerts will come to the inbox of my 11 neighbors who have signed up to receive these 12 alerts, so the bell will be rung in advance. 13 Before I even get there, all of my neighbors will know; my daughter's play dates are 14 15 over; there will be no interaction in my 16 neighborhood because I'm a registered sex

over; there will be no interaction in my
neighborhood because I'm a registered sex
offender.

This is all we know about these
things: We know that Ohio has a one thousand

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

foot restriction around all schools for all sex offenders, regardless, again, of any kind of risk assessment.

We know that statistically this is one area that, hands down, has been proven over and over and over, restricting sex offenders to

1 the residency, where they live, has no impact on 2 repeat crimes. 3 It's about relationships, not about where they live, and that 96 percent of all new 4 sex crimes will be perpetrated not by somebody on 5 6 the registry but by somebody who has never been 7 convicted of a sex crime. These are all very hard facts, 8 9 they're out there, easy to find. 10 But mind, if I'm a registered sex 11 offender, my neighbors don't know that. All they know is that a registered sex offender has now 12 13 moved into their neighborhood and that perhaps 14 they think that I live too close to a school. 15

In 2007, with the passing of the Adam Walsh Act, that was extended to signed daycares as well. So I can't, as a registered sex offender, live within a thousand feet of any kind of school or any kind of daycare.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It puts very -- especially in urban areas -- very small areas that I can live in.

Some urban areas and municipalities also have enacted their own ordinances.

For example, in Cincinnati, where I live, they have a thousand foot rule around

1 pools.

There has been no correlation to sex crimes in pools; there haven't been any incidents of sex crimes around daycares or people living near daycares; there haven't been bodies of sex offenders standing outside of daycares, ready to snatch children, it just hasn't happened, but they passed these laws and this is what a sex offender has to live within.

So we've created in Cincinnati
sex-offender ghettos. Some of these areas are
like two or three blocks wide, and so all of the
sex offenders live there.

Some municipalities, such as Lebanon, have passed loitering bills. Those laws say that sex offenders, for example, in Lebanon, can't be within 300 feet of anywhere children might congregate, a bus stop, a park, anywhere near a school; they've banned sex offenders from the libraries in Lebanon; they've banned them from parks. It's very restrictive.

Basically the folks in Lebanon who are sex offenders are prisoners in their own homes, they really can't move freely at all, a fear of being arrested.

People can do geographic searches around their homes to find out where sex offenders are, that technology is all there.

There's also government institutionalized repercussions such as Section 8 Housing has a provision that any registered sex offender that's registered for life is banned from Section 8 Housing.

And with the implementation of the Adam Walsh Act, those folks that are lifetime has grown immensely, after the provision for the Section 8 Housing went into effect. At that time there weren't as many people on lifetime registration, now there is.

What I think people don't understand is all of the policy that is made around the sex offender registry, it has become the go-to place.

Want to protect your members of your organization or come across to the citizens in your community as if you care about children and you care about women and safety, go to the registry, implement a policy; if you're a registered sex offender, you can't be a member of our church; if you're a registered sex offender you're child can't go to

our private school, this is a pretty common one;

people are being excluded, their children are

being excluded from private schools.

Insurance companies are saying they will not insure homeowners if they have a registered sex offender living in their home.

Again this is a registered sex offender. They don't care if it is a sex offender who is not on the registry, it's a registered sex offender.

Summer Bible camps for kids, they exclude kids if the parents are registered sex offenders.

Volunteer organizations, even if the volunteer organization has nothing to do with any safety factor that you could even remotely relate to a sexual crime, will ban sex offenders from volunteering at all. If their children are volunteering, they will ban the parents from picking up the children.

If you are a Girl Scout in the western region of Ohio, there's a good chance if your parent is -- well, almost a 100 percent chance -- if your parent is a registered sex offender, your mom or dad's face is going to be

on a flyer that is going to be posted at your
troop meeting site. They have a policy to

post -- to do a one-mile search around all of the
troop sites and post flyers about those
registered sex offenders, and in many cases it's
the family members of the girls themselves.

This has made the news here and I think it was Tennessee. They've even had sex offenders arrested in front of the girls. This further isolates these families and these children.

The registry has had an off-label use now. I call it off-label because security companies, the ones that are going to sell you your home security, they go door to door in Ohio and they tell you that there's a registered sex offender living at 123 Main Street, right around the corner from you, so you need to buy our security services.

There are private registry companies.

They mined the attorney general's registry and they put it on their private site. Getting off of that is virtually impossible. In fact, some of these private registries are charging sex offenders for removal. Even with a court order

1 | they're not taking them off.

So somebody that has maybe been off the registry for 20 years cannot get their face and their information and their really old address off of these private registries.

I also want to mention that it's become really big business to promote the registry by companies such -- I'm not going to name any names -- there is one in particular that has most of the contracts, has the contract here in Ohio to manage the Ohio registry, and it's a private company based out of Louisiana. They go by several different names.

And they're making millions of dollars in maintaining these databases and selling to state the services like the e-mail alert system, which, by the way, in the 400 pages of the law, there is not one mention of the e-mail alert system, it was something that was sold to our attorney general by a private company as a good idea.

I guess I'm just going to stop at that. There are probably a lot of questions that you might have for me.

MR. JONES: Did you want to

1 take a couple minutes and talk about what it 2 means to be a private employer? Yes. I'll tell 3 MS. SHORES: you that it's tough. There are not too many 4 people that are willing to hire a sex offender. 5 6 If you're on the registry, you're looking at a 7 lot of unemployment. I've taken a chance and decided in my 8 9 real estate company -- and it took a lot of 10 working with the halfway houses. They were not 11 interested in working with me, they really 12 weren't. It wasn't me, they were really just 13 incredulous that someone would actually say I 14 want to hire you're most hard-to-place offenders. 15 They couldn't fathom that. 16 I know that I'm risking having my 17 employer address listed on their profile. 18 biggest hurdle I have, however, isn't the 19 registry in my -- I have accepted that my address 20 is going to be up there and I deal with that and 21 I've dealt -- my family members know, my 22 neighbors know, people who work with me know. 23 The biggest problem I'm having is 24 with the service providers themselves, the 25 halfway houses, not really supporting these guys

1 and trusting that they're doing a good job.

I have a lot of issues with probation and parole officers putting a lot of pressure on me as an employer, calling me up and giving me a host of restrictions that these guys have to have, going over and over, telling me what their crimes were again.

I'm very open and it doesn't matter to me. I know that these are really, really, really good workers. These guys are so grateful to get a job and it's a bonanza for me.

Right now I have an electrical engineer, I have a machinist and I have a former contractor working for me for \$8 an hour and they work really, really hard. They're very grateful, they show up on time, they follow the rules, they do a great job, they're skilled workers and it works very, very well.

But I have their parole officers

calling me up and saying, you know, they can't

work -- they have to be in abandoned buildings;

they have to never, never be around any children;

they can't be around any neighbors; they can't go

inside to use the bathroom; they have to be

supervised at all times; you need to be with them

every single minute; if they go to the store, you need to go to the store with them and stand outside of the bathroom and wait for them to come out.

If I did not know what I know, I would run fast, it's that hard.

You can have all of the employment certificates you want. If somebody is listed on the registry, they're not going to get a job, no one is going to hire them.

Housing, huge, huge issue. Landlords are misinformed about who they can actually have in their apartment complexes and where they can live, and so just across the board most landlords simply will not rent to sex offenders, even if they can legally live in that spot, even if they're outside the thousand foot rule or they committed their crime well before the law went into place, it doesn't matter that the crime was 30 years ago. So we're looking at a lot of homelessness.

The reason that a lot of these guys are in the halfway houses or subsidized housing is simply because of that. They're not risky former offenders, they're homeless offenders,

they're jobless offenders, so we're paying a lot 1 2 of money to support them in halfway houses for 90 days, six months and more, when they have the 3 skills, they could be out working. 4 If it were not for the registry and 5 6 some of the pressures that are put upon them by 7 the system, they could move home with their families or they could live in areas where they 8 9 could get more support. 10 So it becomes a vicious circle, 11 they're unemployed, they're not housed, so this 12 social safety net has to come in and pay for them 13 somehow to not be homeless. 14 Any specific questions about it? 15 MR. JONES: No. We'll come 16 back to questions at the end. Thank you very 17 much. I guess I will 18 MR. VUKMER: hit on some sex offender issues here in a little 19 20 bit. 21 My name is Steve Vukmer. I'm the 22 regional administrator for the Ohio Adult Parole 23 Authorities, Cleveland region. 24 The Cleveland region is just one of 25 six regions in the State of Ohio. The Cleveland

region consists of Lorain, Cuyahoga, Medina and
Erie counties. I guess we'll jump back to that.

Just a little bit about myself. I have a bachelor of science degree in criminal justice studies from Kent State University.

about 27 years now; I worked about two and a half years in the juvenile system; I was an adult probation officer for a year; I was a state probation officer here, in Cleveland, for six years; for about nine years I was a supervisor of our fugitive unit, that apprehended the offenders that violated or committed new crimes; for eight years I was a supervisor of a parole and PRC unit in neighboring Lorain County; and I've been the regional administrator here now for about the last year and a half.

The Cleveland parole region, as I mentioned, covers four different counties and has ten different parole units in it.

We employ about 120 employees. In addition to parole officers and supervisors, we also have support staff and a lot of specialists -- not a lot but we have a number of specialists.

We have a sex offender specialist that runs programming for sex offenders; a chemical dependency specialist that works with those that are chemically dependent; and a reentry coordinator that creates new initiatives and tries to work with offenders for their reentry back into society.

As of last count, March 31st, 2012, the region supervised 5,138 offenders on supervision in those four areas and approximately 3,500 of those are here, in Cuyahoga County.

Just for those of you not from Ohio,
I loosely refer to parole. Parole is what we had
prior to 1996, indefinite sentences, five to 25
years, 10 to 25, they serve their five, their ten
years, the parole board would review it and when
they determined they were going to be released,
they would release them on parole.

If it was a five to 25, they got reset for five, they still had 20 years remaining on their sentence.

In 1996 the law changed and we now have, instead of parole, post-release control and that's actually now what the majority of our offenders are, post-release control offenders.

Those offenders are sentenced to definite sentences, two, three, four, five, ten years, and they're released onto post-release control after serving their amount of time, and that could be anywhere from a year, three years or five years, depending on the billing level of the offense.

The only offenders that are still given the old style parole are those that are convicted on murder offenses. They get life in prison and they're still on old style parole.

The idea of addressing collateral sanctions, I guess, my understanding, it has been a statewide issue but apparently it's a nationwide issue that is kind of going around.

I know our director, Gary Mohr, is going to be here tomorrow to talk about a lot of the statewide initiatives.

I'll just discuss some of the things that affect the local parole office.

I wasn't really going to hit on the sex offenders, there is not a whole lot of sympathy for the sex offenders, but since it was bought up, I guess we can talk about that and have some questions after it.

I know Ellen mentioned a little bit about the parole officers being pretty tough on the sex offenders, and actually, they are.

We have in this region, I think, 960 sex offenders on supervision, and she's probably right, the majority of them don't reoffend, they're generally easy to supervise, they follow the rules and don't cause a lot of problems, but when they do, they're front page of the paper and every news organization is all over them.

So, yeah, we do have tighter reigns on those offenders that are on parole, controlled-release parole, for sex offenses. We do monitor them much more thoroughly. We have a lot more restrictions on them. We also have, as she did mention, a lot of the same problems and issues with them, when they come out, they have absolutely no place to live.

Before an offender gets released,
they put in a parole plan, where they're going to
be paroled to. The parole officer that's
assigned that case will go out and investigate
and determine whether it's an appropriate place
for the offender to live.

Many times we have offenders that are

on for child sex offenses, that want to get

paroled back to their mother or a family member

and there's children living in the home, we won't

allow it.

Many times the residence is within a thousand feet of a school, we won't allow it.

And I think, as she mentioned, some cities have created their own ordinance.

I know the City of Lorain changed their law to 1,500 feet of a school, that included bus stops and playgrounds and everything else that's on there.

So most of the places that the offenders put down, many of them are just rejected for legal reasons, they are too close to a school or for other reasons; we won't allow them to live there if their sex offense involved a child and they're children living in the home, we won't allow that.

I know you made some rounds and did some tours of some places here, but we have, if you get a chance -- 2100 Lakeside, here in Cleveland, is a shelter where I think right now we have about 54 homeless sex offenders living there.

1 MR. JONES: We were told about that yesterday actually. 2 3 MR. VUKMER: If they are from Cuyahoga County and they have nowhere else to go, 4 we can place them at 2100 Lakeside. They can 5 6 stay there. It's a shelter where sex offenders 7 basically reside. It's an industrial area. There are no schools around. It really hasn't 8 9 been an issue with the city. 10 I know in the City of Lorain, Ohio we 11 had a program set up where we were putting some 12 sex offenders into a halfway-house-type setting, 13 they were monitored and it was outside the one thousand feet of a school, I think it was 1,200 14 15 feet from the school. 16 We had no problems there, everything 17 actually went pretty well there, but the 18 neighborhood didn't like the fact there were 20 19 sex offenders living in one house, so they passed 20 an ordinance and made it 1,500 feet and closed 21 the place down. 22 It's unfortunate because, like I say, 23 in the couple years that that was running, we had 24 no problems, no incidents, no reoffenses, it was 25 actually one of the better programs we had

running, but the neighborhood -- obviously nobody
wants it next to their house, so they closed it
down.

parole placement, a sex offender, if they're a parole case, prior to the 1990 indefinite sentence, if they have no approved residence to live, we will not parole, they'll stay in the institution until they can come up and find something.

A lot of those guys are long overdue. They will be a year, two years passed their parole eligibility date because the parole officer is attempting to find a suitable residence for them.

It's not so much a problem in Cuyahoga County because we get them in 2100 Lakeside, but it is a problem in a lot of other areas.

Those offenders sentenced after 1996, the controlled-release parole cases, they put a plan down, where they want to live, also, and if none of their plans are approved, they are released on their out date regardless.

So no matter what, when their

five-year sentence is up, if it's up June 12th,

2 2012, they're walking out the door on that day.

They're released basically homeless to our office and we try to find them housing afterwards.

Again, Cuyahoga County is fortunate to have the 2100 Lakeside. But the other three counties, Lorain, Medina and Erie counties, aren't so fortunate.

We have some funding, I can put them up -- if they're released homeless like that, I can put them up in a motel for five days, so that gives them five days to try and find something on their own, at least they're out of prison.

Aside from the sex offenders, a lot of the issues we have -- I was just going to talk about those offenders on parole for felony convictions -- we have a lot of child support issues.

Offenders, while they're in prison, their child support continues to accrue and offenders may serve a number of years in prison.

When they are released onto parole or post-release control, they have this massive amount of accrual that has built up and they're still required to pay their \$400 a month child

support, even though they're only making \$18 a month as an inmate in the penal system.

Also, because they are so far behind in their payments because they've been incarcerated, their driver's license has been suspended. So they have difficulty finding employment because they don't have a driver's license, and they don't have a driver's license because they haven't been paying the child support because they have been making \$18 a month in the prison system for the last three or four years.

I know the department is currently looking for ways to halt or reduce the accrual while the offender is incarcerated.

I talked about driver's license suspension. Offenders in Ohio face many convictions resulting in the loss of driving privileges, even when there is no direct nexus to a driving offense, so a lot of offenders on parole or post-release control, that are not paying child support, convicted of sometimes an offense as small as trespassing or shoplifting, have their driver's license suspended and they are unable to obtain employment outside of a

walking or bus route and, again, I know the department is looking into trying to find ways to eliminate that unless there's a nexus to a driving offense.

Fair-hiring practices, obviously, guys on parole or post-release control have to check the box at the top of every application that they have a felony conviction.

I'm not sure about statewide, but I know locally the city is looking at a ban the box thing, where they're going to eliminate the box, where they initially ask in the first question if you have a felony conviction.

Certification and licensing

limitations. A lot of offenders go to prison,

learn some type of a skill, it was always

barbering. You know, you go to school, learn how

to be a barber, everybody comes out on parole,

they've been the prison barber, they're going to

get a job in a barber shop and the barber shop

says, yeah, we'll hire you as soon as you get

your license and the licensing board won't give

it to them because they have a felony conviction.

I've heard recently where other prisons were working on asbestos removal,

1 teaching offenders how to properly go in and 2 remove asbestos. They learn that skill and they learn how to do it effectively, get out and are 3 denied the licenses or certification to be able 4 to do that, so they can't get employed in that 5 6 manner anyhow. 7 I know it was mentioned the DRC is in the process of issuing a Certificate of 8 9 Achievement and Employability. 10 I haven't seen it yet. I know it was 11

in House Bill 86, it's going to be coming around. I haven't seen it in action.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know the DRC is going to be required to issue the certificate to eligible offenders who have completed vocational training, community service or engaged in rehabilitative or educational activities.

Offenders that are issued the certificates are potentially eligible now for professional licenses, not guaranteed but potentially eligible for it at least.

The department is working on some legislation to provide departmental immunity in connection with the claims for damages arising from the issuance, denial, revocation or failure 1 to revoke a Certificate of Achievement and 2 Employability, and also working on a bill to 3 provide civil immunity for employers that hire or retain employees on the basis of that 4 certificate. 5 6 The department is also required to 7 revoke the certificates issued to offenders who 8 are subsequently convicted of another offense, 9 other than traffic offenses or minor

misdemeanors. I know they're still in the works

of looking at what technical parole violations

12 are going to cause that certificate to be revoked

13 as well.

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just received an e-mail this
morning that House Bill 524 on collateral
sanctions was issued on Monday and was scheduled
for response or testimony before the House
Criminal Justice Committee, I believe, yesterday.

So a lot of issues that the department is working on, to try to get passed, is in this legislation yesterday.

I guess that's it. I'm not an expert on collateral consequences, I've been to a couple symposiums on it but if you have any questions, I'll try to answer them.

```
1
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Thank you all
 2
     very much.
 3
                 Elissa?
                 MS. HEINRICHS: I would like to
 4
     start by asking just a few questions about the
 5
 6
     expungement process as it relates to Ohio.
 7
                 How are juvenile convictions handled
     in Ohio?
8
9
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       I don't handle
10
     those but they're handled through juvenile court
11
     and they can get those expunged as long as
12
     they're not violent, sex crimes, but that's a
13
     dichotomy because juvenile records are silent
14
     anyway, except for a few specific instances.
15
                 Now a lot of people, once they become
16
     an adult, will also ask that they be completely
17
     sealed, and there's a whole other process for
18
     that, it's in the revised code.
19
                 MS. HEINRICHS: You've mentioned
20
     that there's an investigation that takes place
21
     prior to the application reaching your desk.
22
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                      Yes, right.
23
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                      That's handled
24
     by which department?
25
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                     Cuyahoga County
```

```
1
     Probation Department.
 2
                 MS. HEINRICHS: Are you familiar
 3
     with what their process is?
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                 Yeah, they
 4
     interview the person, ask where they're living,
 5
 6
     what they've been doing, if they're married, and
     then they run their leads through BCI and get it
 7
 8
     all over the country and locally, and that's how
9
     they pick up if there's any other convictions or
10
     capiases, and they put that all down on the
11
     report.
12
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                      Do they also
13
     speak to any co-defendant or alleged victim
14
     you're aware of?
                 MS. SMILANICK:
15
                                      Pardon me?
                                                 Any
16
     co-defendant?
17
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                     Co-defendant, do
18
     they seek input from victims in the case?
19
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                      No.
20
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                      Is there any
21
     input from your office prior to concluding the
22
     report.
23
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                      No.
24
                 MS. HEINRICHS: How long does
     the report take, approximately?
25
```

1 MS. SMILANICK: Well, the whole 2 process could take about six months or longer, 3 and I think it's very lengthy because of the amount of people trying to get their records 4 5 expunged. 6 I saw after 9/11 a huge uptick in 7 every employer checking for records, so they were 8 not -- most employers, I assume, were not 9 checking to see if a person had a DUI or a theft 10 conviction, they were checking for terrorism, but 11 it cast a big net and they got a lot of people 12 that had criminal records that no one knew about. 13 I had an airline pilot, they were the first the government was checking, called me 14 15 crying, saying they showed up a drug case from 20 16 years ago. He had to have that sealed 17 immediately or else he would lose his job. 18 Well, immediately doesn't mean the 19 next day in the criminal justice system, so it 20 took a few months, and it got off his record, but 21 that I believe prompted this to explode in the 22 amount of people seeking a clean record. 23 MS. HEINRICHS: In Ohio is there 24 a central registry for maintaining criminal

Is that maintained through the state

25

records?

```
1
     police?
 2
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       Well, the Bureau
     of Criminal Identification and Information keeps
 3
 4
     records on everyone.
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
 5
                                      That's a state
 6
     agency?
 7
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       Yes.
 8
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                      Now, I think at
9
     one point you said the law is very black and
10
     white. Those might not have been your exact
11
     words.
12
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       No, that was.
13
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                       Okay. In the
14
     statute there's a provision about first time
15
     offenders.
16
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                      Correct.
17
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                       And it
18
     explains -- rather it defines "first time
19
     offender"?
20
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                  Correct.
21
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                       It includes, I
22
     guess, an explanation of when the act was
23
     committed, it lays it out, but within that
24
     provision it provides for judicial discretion in
25
     determining if multiple offenses can in fact
```

1 count as one offense? 2 MS. SMILANICK: Correct. 3 MS. HEINRICHS: Can you provide us with more detail about that and how your 4 office approaches requests that include fact 5 patterns that bring that into question? 6 7 MS. SMILANICK: Correct, that is under Revised Code Section 2953.32(C)(1)(a). I'll 8 9 read this quickly. 10 "If the applicant has two or three 11 convictions that result from the same indictment, 12 information or complaint or from the same plea of 13 quilty or the same official proceeding and result from related criminal acts that were committed in 14 15 a three-month period." 16 What that means is sometimes these 17 cases come at different times to the police but 18 they were like a string of incidents, a string of 19 thefts, breaking-ins, then they would package 20 them as a deal, I would think, for a guilty plea 21 and sentencing and indictments, but they could 22 have occurred on different days, but they're in 23 the same proceeding. A lot of times the state would 24 25 consider that one conviction, but it has got to

1 be in a three-month period. 2 Or the convictions were not pled or tried and sentenced on the same dates but they 3 were a continuous conduct. 4 5 Say it started in a municipal, where 6 the person was drunk, got a DUI and then smashed 7 into a police cruiser, so perhaps that would be an assault on a police officer, maybe that would 8 be considered the same, continuous conduct or 9 10 somebody possibly wrote a bunch of checks that 11 had -- that did not have the sufficient funds in 12 their checking account to a different bank on a 13 different day throughout a three-month period, that could possibly be considered one conviction, 14 15 but it's only in a very small time framework. 16 MS. HEINRICHS: As a policy does 17 your office generally write or rather brief those 18 petitions rather than writing the no objection 19 letters? 20 MS. SMILANICK: Well, it 21 depends. You know, it just depends. I have to 22 read the files and all of the facts. 23 MS. HEINRICHS: So your office 24 hasn't sent the no objection letters in cases

where there may be multiple offenses?

25

```
1
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                     Correct, if it
2
     fits that small framework.
 3
                 MS. HEINRICHS: In reading some
     of the cases that have gone to your higher
 4
5
     courts, it does appear that those tend to be
     cases that are litigated.
6
7
                 I could be wrong in my interpretation
     of that but the court has to find it's in the
8
9
     public interest.
10
                 Is that the standard that is used?
11
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                      No, I wouldn't
12
     say that at all. They first find if the person
13
     is a first offender or not and then, if that
     crime fits into the allowable category, that's
14
15
     the first thing.
16
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                     But within the
17
     first offender provision, in determining the
18
     multiple acts, within the judicial discretion the
19
     judge has to determine that it's in the public
20
     interest to deem it one act?
21
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                      That's correct.
22
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                      I quess I'm just
23
     trying to get a sense of whether or not your
24
     office, in looking at applications that contain
25
     some of the stickier details like that, if you
```

1 feel that those applications should, in fact, go 2 before judges and have the judge determine it, rather than send a no objection letter. 3 I wanted to know if your office takes 4 5 a more cautious approach to these applications. MS. SMILANICK: I review every 6 7 file if there is a question and go over it with my supervisor. 8 9 You know, those cases are pretty far 10 and few between, that somebody even has a 11 continuous course of conduct. 12 What I find mostly, they have a 13 felony and a string of misdemeanors that have 14 nothing to do -- a lot of it is a felony theft, a 15 felony drug, and a couple of DUIs or underage 16 drinking, and that just stops any chance to get 17 that felony expunged. 18 I see a lot of it with 18 to 25 year 19 olds, that, you know, drinking, drugging, keep 20 doing it, keep doing it, and then they hit a 21 felony and then they clean up their act, many, 22 many times, but they can't get that expunged. 23 MS. HEINRICHS: What is your 24 office's role in the clemency process? 25 MS. SMILANICK: In what, please?

```
1
                  MS. HEINRICHS:
                                       The clemency
 2
     process.
 3
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       A lot of people
     file.
           I must get ten of these applications a
 4
 5
     week.
 6
                 We get something from state
7
     probation, parole, a form, community
8
     investigation form I think it's called, and we
9
     review if a person should get a pardon or not and
10
     we put down the state, if we recommend it, take
11
     no position, or oppose it. And then that form
12
     eventually goes to the Ohio Parole Board and then
13
     they review the case.
14
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                       Okay. I'm going
15
     to move on and I'd like to talk about -- I think
16
     I would like to talk about your perspective as an
17
     employer with the sex offenders.
18
                  I was interested but yet not entirely
19
     surprised with your impression of the difficulty
20
     in working with adult probation and parole
     officers.
21
22
                 Have you had employees where you've
23
     been required to release them from employment
24
     based on the restrictions that have been placed?
25
     Have they ever questioned the level of
```

supervision that has been offered through your employment? Could you talk a little bit about that?

MS. SHORES: Parole and probation has not come down and said they can't work with me, I've let them go before that woul

work with me, I've let them go before that would happen. I have a pretty good idea what they will and will not let the offenders do.

I'm on the Hamilton County Reentry
Coalition, so I talk to probation and parole
officers on a regular basis and have a good
relationship. I try to keep that relationship
going well.

So if they say that someone can't work in an occupied building or even outside of the occupied building, I don't want to ruin my relationship with parole and probation or the halfway house -- I may not agree with it -- so what happens is I let them go.

If my next job is going to be working on landscaping -- and I've even said, you work at this house -- I generally have the guys show up at 9:30 or ten and I have them leave at about 3:30, 3:00, so we're working mid day, and there's no one home, there's no kids home from school,

1 there's no nothing, but if it's an occupied home, 2 sometimes parole or probation won't let the guys work there. 3 So I have to tell the guys, I'm 4 5 sorry, next week we're working in the yard of one 6 of my houses that is occupied and, I'm sorry, I 7 won't have work for you. It happens quite frequently. I usually get ahead of that. So 8 9 they lose their position with me. 10 MS. HEINRICHS: I just want to 11 ask one more question and I guess I'm going to 12 open it up, so if you have questions. 13 And, Mr. Vukmer, perhaps you can --14 this question came from your statement about 15 there was a halfway house that was closed, I'm

not going to remember the specifics because the community objected.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm interested in hearing what, if any, efforts have been made -- successful efforts hopefully.

Have there been efforts to work with the community when objections first arise, to try to -- they had an ordinance passed and I am wondering were there any efforts to stop that? Have there been any efforts since then to stop

similar ordinances by working with the community preemptively?

MR. VUKMER: I guess I can say the only experience I had with it was the one in Lorain, and at the time there was talk that the city council was going to get together and they wanted to shut this down.

And we did meet with them and explain to them why the offenders are there, how long they are supervised. We got some statements, I think, from the Lorain Police Department, acknowledging they had never been called there. There had not been any issues with that particular program. There had not been any increase in sex offenses in the neighborhood.

Yeah, we did attempt to but it really was falling on deaf ears. The neighbors in the neighborhood were going to city council and wanted that thing shut down. They wanted those sex offenders out of there.

The big problem I think that most of them had with it or the problem that city council had with it was not all of the sex offenders living in that house were from their community.

So you have the City of Lorain and of

the 20 offenders there may be ten or 12 of them were from Lorain and eight of them were homeless guys that could find nothing in Cincinnati or Lima, so they brought them up.

That's what they had the biggest problem with, not all of these offenders were from here, you're importing sex offenders into the community. I think that was the deal breaker on the thing.

You know, we discussed that with them and talked about maybe keeping those out and only allowing the offenders who were from Lorain and by then it had already gained legs and they were shutting it down regardless.

MS. SHORES: There have been attempts when ordinances are being brought before city council organizations like the Ohio Justice in Policy Center, other advocacy organizations have testified to successfully shut down the extension of Lebanon's residency restrictions.

But then they turned around, in kind of an eleventh-hour move, and passed the loitering ban quickly. They weren't able to get the residency restrictions extended, not getting a lot of support from politicians and

professionals to educate the public about things
like residency restrictions, that they're
ineffective, that there's no correlation.

And even if they did, you know, if you're a mom and you're scared and it's on -it's the registry, it must be there for a reason, right, I mean, they wouldn't be on the registry unless they were dangerous -- he could testify all day, a mom is still going to say I don't want them there.

The thing that seems to be most effective is to rally the family members of sex offenders or community members and employers to come testify on behalf and talk about what it's like to have my dad on the registry and not be able to live here. Those types of things seem to be much more effective.

I also find, in the defense of probation and parole officers, they've got a big job to do and they don't necessarily fully understand the law.

Many sex offenders can legally live within a thousand feet of a school or a daycare or a pool, if they committed their crime or owned their home or lived in that home before the law

was passed, but I am finding that parole and probation officers and halfway houses -- I have at lot of trouble with the halfway houses.

estate that will do me a favor and will take a registered sex offender and maybe that place is within one thousand feet of a school, but the offender legally qualifies to live there, the halfway house puts pressure on them not to live there or won't tell them about the opening that I have or their probation officer steps in and says no, no way.

I understand it's low risk. The recidivism rate at the national level is about 5.3 percent and even in our own state DRC says it's 8 percent. That's a high number. 8 percent is a low number for offenders and recidivism.

I think a lot of people know that but like Steve attested to, it's low risk, really high stakes.

If that one offender goes out and even if he gets caught smoking pot, if he's a registered sex offender, that could come home in the media to roost in the lap of parole or probation, so they have to do what they do to

```
1
     protect their jobs, their department.
 2
                 Many of them also I'm finding are
     putting restrictions on folks that sometimes
 3
     don't make sense, it's a registered sex offender,
 4
     the crime was against an adult.
 5
 6
                  One example, a man was not being
7
     allowed to see his own son. His crime had
8
     nothing do with children at all and he had to go
9
     before a judge and get the judge to order the --
10
     in that case it was probation -- the probation
11
     officer to let him see his son.
                  There is a lot of confusion out
12
13
     there.
14
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Thank you.
15
                  Penny, any questions?
16
                 MS. STRONG:
                                       I have two
     questions for -- is it Ms. Smilanick?
17
18
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       Yes.
19
                 MS. STRONG:
                                       The two
20
     questions I have: We have some information on
21
     the collateral sanctions bill that apparently is
22
     moving through your legislature now, but to
23
     clarify, in terms of the interplay for
24
     expungements with people that have either
25
     misdemeanor or felony convictions, if someone has
```

1 qualifying offenses, both in misdemeanor and 2 felony, can those both be expunded now or is the new law set to change that? 3 MS. SMILANICK: I don't think 4 5 there's any changes yet in expungement and the 6 collateral sanctions doesn't have anything to do with the expungement, those are two different 7 bills. 8 9 MS. STRONG: All right. 10 let me clarify my question. If someone has both 11 a misdemeanor conviction and a felony conviction, 12 can they apply expungement on both of those or 13 are they limited to just one qualifying crime? 14 MS. SMILANICK: Well, the 15 problem with that is they wouldn't be a first 16 offender unless it was in the same spot, and a 17 real issue is I can say to the court this person, 18 the state has no objection to getting an 19 expungement and the judge agrees with me and 20 grants it and it's off all of the government 21 websites, yet the internet and whoever runs that 22 internet, I don't know, keeps publishing it, and 23 I have said many times -- I tell the folks in 24 court it still may some up on an internet 25 website.

1 If I was a defense attorney, I'd get 2 a class action suit against the internet because 3 they're usurping a government function, a judicial function, that the court ordered 4 everything sealed, that they have government 5 6 control over, but we don't seem to have any 7 control over the internet, and that's pervasive, 8 throughout the country, on every issue. 9 So that person can get that 10 expungement and it still sometimes shows up on 11 the internet and then an employer sees it. 12 MS. STRONG: Thank you. 13 Exactly. 14 I have a question for Ms. Shores, 15 that is -- I'm just curious, I don't mean to be 16 intrusive -- how did you become interested as a 17 private employer with this issue? Did you have 18 an experience with a friend who had a sex 19 offender conviction? Can you enlighten us a little bit? 20 21 MS. SHORES: Yes. I was 22 living a very idyllic, stay-at-home life after an 23 extensive career, staying home with my little 24 children, in a lovely, high-income community when 25 a sex offender moved in and I had no idea what

1 | that would be like.

It was very divisive in my community.

We took sides. There was a lot of in-fighting,

fighting outside of school buildings about this.

The school got involved, the children of the sex offender were bullied and ostracized.

I got a firsthand view of what this was like and I started educating myself and talking to folks.

It's not something I would recommend someone get involved in, to become a researcher or advocate on behalf of the most marginalized pariahs of our society, it has not been easy, it has not been fun, but it has been very fulfilling to know that -- I feel like I'm doing the next right thing.

I was like anybody else when I moved from Minnesota to Ohio, the first thing I did -my husband and I lived in an apartment for the first six months we were here, while we were looking at homes, I used the registry to find our home.

Of course, the well-haled neighborhood I moved into, there weren't sex offenders.

So I was fine there were sex offenders on the other side of the track as long as they didn't live in my community, so I was that mom. I was the mom that would have gone to those community meetings and insisted that they live 2,000 feet away from the daycare. I was that person. I got thrown into it and I connected with other community organizations.

Partners With Justice is a group of parents that have adult children that have been or are incarcerated and they have been profoundly effected by their child's incarceration.

Generally these are young -- talk about that age group, 18 to 25 -- young, black men. So these are the families of young, black men profoundly that are effected by these.

Many of them have been sentenced to ungodly amounts of prison time because there was a gun involved somewhere in the crime, maybe not that child, son, adult -- they're adults -- but this person is in prison now for 12 years or has come out and has post-traumatic-stress disorder and the whole family is involved, and it costs all of their money for the legal defense for this child.

My nextdoor neighbor -- let me tell you about my nextdoor neighbor. When I moved again in my community and I went to talk to my neighbors about what I do, so that they wouldn't find out what I do through someone else and have a misconception, and it turned out my neighbor was very, very open to it because her brother is on the registry.

She said I didn't get to go to college because my parents spent all of their money on defense and post-incarceration legal fees and fighting the registry and trying to support him so he has housing his entire life, that's why we're on food stamps today.

So that's how far this impacts. This is the sister 20 years later still being impacted by it.

Their daughter goes to school with my daughter, she's not doing well in school. The family is under stress and it is because they have a relative that's actually in another city, but the impact financially and emotionally has effected that family and those are the things that I see on a regular basis.

MS. STRONG: Thank you.

1 MR. JONES: Geneva? 2 MS. VANDERHORST: I want to thank 3 all of you for coming. I have two questions, somewhat based on information I have learned from 4 other jurisdictions. 5 6 For instance, in other jurisdictions 7 we've learned there are some employers who don't look at ex-offenders, whether they've been on the 8 9 sex registry or not, because they have insurance 10 issues or because they have to disclose to their 11 customers or their clients. 12 I wanted to know if you found that to 13 be the same here, in Ohio? 14 Also we've heard about 2100 Lakeside. 15 The public information that we've seen about it 16 suggests that it's open to women and children as 17 well, so we haven't been there but I wanted to 18 know if you could tell us any more information 19 about how that is set up, either one of you. 20 MR. VUKMER: Yeah, the place 21 where the sex offenders are, there are no women 22 and children staying at that facility, that I'm 23 aware of. They wouldn't be allowed to stay there if that's the case. I don't know if they have a 24 25 separate facility for it, but, yeah, I'm not

```
1
     aware of that.
2
                 MR. JONES:
                                      Do you know of
     another facility besides 2100 Lakeside? There
 3
     was a second place we were told about yesterday,
 4
5
     the name escapes me.
 6
                 MR. VUKMER:
                                     For sex
7
     offenders?
8
                 MR. JONES:
                                      Yes.
9
                 MR. VUKMER:
                                      There are some
10
     halfway houses, I think. The VOA. The VOA in
11
     Mansfield and Cincinnati take sex offenders.
12
                 I think in Medina there's a place
13
     called The Freese House, where a guy named John
14
     Freese, I believe is his name, his son was in
15
     prison on a sex offense, got to know some of
16
     these guys and knew they were having some problem
17
     with housing, so he bought a house and just let
18
     all of the sex offenders that wanted to live
19
     there go live there. It is not really
20
     sanctioned, it's not a halfway house, but he did
21
     that on his own.
22
                 MR. JONES:
                                      No. It will
23
     come to me later. I'll have to look it up.
24
                 MS. VANDERHORST: What about the
25
     employer, do you have to disclose to the owners
```

```
of the homes who are having work done?
1
 2
                 MS. SHORES:
                                      I own the homes,
 3
     they're my homes, so.
                 MS. VANDERHORST: What about
 4
     insurance issues?
 5
 6
                 MS. SHORES:
                                       I haven't had
     any insurance issues. I haven't called my
7
     insurance company and said, hey, I'm hiring sex
8
9
     offenders.
10
                 MS. VANDERHORST:
                                      There's like no
11
     mandatory disclosure, where you have to say I
12
     have sex offenders working with me?
13
                 MS. SHORES:
                                      Not at this
     point, but let's not give the legislature any
14
15
     ideas. Every year there is a plethora of sex
16
     offender bills in front of us.
17
                 Where I bump into this, somebody gave
18
     me a call and told me -- this has nothing to do
19
     with employment -- there's an organization called
20
     The Lindner Center of HOPE, and it's a
21
     well-renowned mental health facility in Mason,
22
     Ohio, and they across-the-board ban any sex
23
     offenders from having any kind of treatment or
24
     even coming onto their property.
25
                 If you call up and get the front desk
```

people, they will tell you it's because we serve children, that's what their rote answer will be, because that's what they believe.

It really comes from when the Lindner

- Center of HOPE was trying to get their permit to build their beautiful, beautiful campus, the City of Mason demanded that.
- There are restrictions I don't know

 about. My insurance company may have that

 restriction. I don't believe that there is any

 Ohio mandate that I disclose that to my insurance

 company.
 - MS. VANDERHORST: I think what we've heard before is that it's like individual insurance companies that would maybe not provide a policy or require a higher premium if you're hiring ex-offenders in general.
 - MS. SHORES: Right. Also, if I have tenants, I do not have the sex offenders or any supposed high-risk offenders come into the homes.
 - Again, I hire them mid day, when there's absolutely no one home. Some of the houses are occupied, though.
- I do not tell my tenants I have sex

```
1
     offenders working on your yard. I know what
 2
     would happen.
 3
                 But, you know, it's my home, and I
     know I'm responsible for these folks and it's my
 4
     business. If I had recovering alcoholics working
 5
 6
     there, I wouldn't tell them that, either.
 7
                 Just an analogy about the residency
     restrictions, there are no residency restrictions
8
9
     for somebody that has multiple drug or alcohol
10
     offenses to not live within a thousand feet of a
11
     bar.
12
                 MS. VANDERHORST: Don't want to
13
     give them that idea.
14
                 MS. SHORES:
                                     I don't want to
15
     give them that idea either.
16
                 MR. JONES:
                                     Thank you.
17
                 Chris?
                 MR. WELLBORN: I have questions
18
19
     for everybody. Starting with Ms. --
20
                 MS. SMILANICK: Smilanick.
                 MR. WELLBORN:
21
                                     -- Smilanick,
22
     not a South Carolina name.
23
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                    A very Cleveland
24
     name.
25
                 MR. WELLBORN:
                                     Relating to
```

```
1
     expungements in Ohio, is there a mandatory
 2
     expungement by right for charges that are
 3
     dismissed, mal pros or a finding of not guilty?
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       Is it mandatory,
 4
 5
     no, but in pretrial diversion our office arranged
 6
     a program where a person goes into pretrial
     diversion, if they're approved. And then it gets
7
     dismissed, if the person has followed all of the
8
9
     rules of it. It doesn't even come before me, an
10
     investigation anymore, the judge just gives it,
11
     because the judge has gotten an order saying the
12
     person has fulfilled all of their requirements in
13
     pretrial diversion, that's how they got this, you
14
     can dismiss it and you can seal it then.
15
                 MR. WELLBORN:
                                       Outside of
16
     pretrial diversion?
17
                                       No, there isn't.
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                 MR. WELLBORN:
18
                                       For instance, if
19
     the prosecution makes a decision that the case
20
     has no merit and dismisses, there is no right to
21
     expungement?
22
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       No, they still
23
     have to go through the interview, get a report.
24
                  MR. WELLBORN:
                                       And if somebody
25
     for that instance goes to trial and it's either
```

```
1
     judicially dismissed by way of directed
 2
     verdict --
 3
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                      Or not quilty?
                 MR. WELLBORN:
                                       -- or not
 4
     quilty, then if that person has a prior, they
5
 6
     would not have a right to expungement?
7
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       Oh, no, no.
     Maybe I misspoke. Anything as far as not
8
9
     quilties, nolles, no bills, dismissals,
10
     termination, they can have as many of those
11
     expunged regardless -- or sealed for that part --
12
     if they have a multitude of other convictions but
13
     it is not automatic, they still have to go
     through the interview and get a report done.
14
15
                 MR. WELLBORN:
                                       So arguably even
     on a dismissal or finding of not quilty, a brief
16
17
     in opposition could be filed?
18
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       That is
19
     extremely rare. I would have to see or the state
20
     would have to see that they had a capias on some
21
     other matter, and we would want that capias
22
     cleared up, but 99 percent of those are
23
     automatic, I say no objection after I review it.
24
                 MR. WELLBORN:
                                       Thank you. My
25
     next question is for Mr. Vukmer.
```

```
1
                 You mentioned the licensing issues.
     The sex offender issue, of course, is horrendous
 2
 3
     for everybody involved, but just the issues
     related to disqualification of driver's licenses,
 4
     is there any movement, that you're aware of, or
 5
 6
     political will -- and I certainly don't mean to
     disparage anybody -- on behalf of probation
7
     officers statewide to try and do something to
8
9
     sort of ameliorate or reform those laws?
10
                 But I can't imagine it's such a
11
     picnic for you guys to have to supervise all of
12
     these folks and deal with this mess, if it's a
13
     mess that shouldn't be there to begin with.
14
                 MR. VUKMER:
                                       You're referring
15
     to driver's licenses?
16
                 MR. WELLBORN:
                                       Right.
17
                 MR. VUKMER:
                                       I believe that
18
     under House Bill 524 that's going through this
19
     week it's cleaning up a lot of that and the
20
     department's addressing that. I think that was a
21
     245-page bill. I tried to scan through it this
22
     morning more or less, but, yeah, I believe that
23
     issue is being addressed now, yes.
24
                 MR. WELLBORN:
                                       I'm assuming in
25
     Ohio, as in my state of South Carolina -- your
```

```
1
     office is perhaps a little bit better staffed,
2
     but mostly understaffed and overworked in terms
 3
     of the amount of things that you have to
     supervise.
 4
 5
                 MR. VUKMER:
                                       Yeah, caseloads
6
     are relatively high.
7
                  We had a big layoff last July due to
     some budget cuts. I lost about a third of my
8
9
     staff.
10
                  In the last month, I've had -- well,
11
     on Monday I'll have my ninth parole officer
12
     called back from layoff.
13
                  Caseloads should be much more
     reasonable come next Monday, when everybody is
14
15
     back onboard.
16
                 MR. WELLBORN:
                                       Have you found
17
     at all that other than this one bill that you
18
     refer to, that the legislators are at all
19
     receptive to input from probation officers
20
     regarding some of these issues that we've
     discussed so far?
21
22
                 MR. VUKMER:
                                       I don't know
23
     that they really get input from the probation or
24
     parole officers. I think the input generally
25
     comes from a little higher than that.
```

1 MR. WELLBORN: Do you guys have 2 your own organization or lobbyists that could actually gain the attention of the state 3 legislature on some of these issues? 4 MR. VUKMER: 5 The parole 6 officers have a union, so I imagine they could, 7 yes, but I can't say that they actually do. MS. SMILANICK: Can I make a 8 9 comment further on the licensing? 10 There are a lot of licenses that are 11 not available once you have a conviction, and 12 even if you get it expunged, several licensing 13 places will still ask if you had it expunged. 14 The nursing board is extremely 15 powerful in Ohio and tough as opposed to the 16 attorney bar. I've seen people with felony 17 convictions who go to jail with gun specs and 18 eventually get their lawyer's certificate, 19 license back in Ohio. 20 I have nothing to do with any of 2.1 those cases but each licensing board has their 22 own criteria it seems and it doesn't matter what 23 the court does after someone is expunged. They 24 still decide if the person should get their license back or not. 25

1 MR. WELLBORN: Thank you. 2 Have you, Ellen, had any luck at all 3 in terms of your situation as an employer, forming coalitions with local law enforcement, 4 probation departments, local district attorney's 5 6 office or local politicians, in addressing some 7 of these issues which you're facing as an employer? 8 9 MS. SHORES: Well, being part 10 of the Hamilton County Reentry Coalition has 11 certainly helped get my voice out there, and I 12 have good relations with the probation, parole 13 officers, both sides of the court, defense and 14 prosecutor. 15 I do try to keep good relations with 16 They could make my life miserable as an them. 17 employer, and it is hard enough as it is dealing 18 with all of the restrictions if I don't keep good 19 relations. 20 Yes, there are groups of us that have 21 formed together, mostly informal networks. 22 For example, if somebody needs 23 housing, I know who to call, and I do get those. 24 I get calls sometimes from the halfway houses, 25 sometimes it's just a mom. I've gotten some

1 calls from probation officers and such saying can you find housing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Of course I say yes, but if it's 950 feet from the school, the answer is no. Even if the person would qualify, they just don't want to take that risk.

I would like to see more coalitions, more networking, more advocacy. I would like to see some more professionals coming out and talking to the media.

When a young reporter decides to do -- like they did April 19th in the Dayton Daily News -- a multi-page article prompted by a Supreme Court ruling that says that juveniles cannot be put on the public registry for life -seems like a fair ruling to me, for the children -- prompted a young reporter of the Dayton Daily News to do a four- or five-page report not about that law, that just sort of started the conversation, but really about how many horrible sex offenders are living within a thousand feet of a school or daycare because of this horrible loophole.

Then he goes on to interview a particular director of a probation department in

```
1
     the county, who encourages everybody to go out
2
     there and look on the registry to protect their
     children.
 3
                 I would like to see more
 4
5
     professionals educate themselves and either not
6
     comment or say, hey, it doesn't matter where
     offenders live, what you really need to worry
7
     about are the relationships your children are
8
9
     forming and understanding who your children are
10
     with and start changing the conversation to real
11
     ways to keep our children safe.
                 MR. WELLBORN:
12
                                       Thank you.
13
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       This is
14
     fascinating. I have to say I really appreciate
15
     all of the candor. I have a couple of questions
16
     for Ms. Smilanick.
17
                 I'm frankly curious, is the way that
18
     your office handles expungements and assigning a
19
     lawyer particularly to it, is that common in the
20
     State of Ohio, in DA's offices?
                                      We don't assign
21
                 MS. SMILANICK:
22
     lawyers, it would be up to the public defenders.
23
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       I'm talking
24
     about what you do. You're assigned?
25
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       Yes.
```

```
1
                  MS. LOVE:
                                       You're assigned
 2
     to handle the caseload?
 3
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       Yeah.
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       That's what I
 4
     meant. You have a DA's office who's interested
 5
 6
     enough apparently to actually assign one of the
7
     senior lawyers to handle that caseload and I just
     wondered.
8
9
                  It appears that you have a fairly
10
     positive attitude toward sealing -- I'm a little
11
     reluctant to call it expundement, that's a funny
12
     term, I want to ask you about that in a minute,
13
     too -- I just wonder whether other DA's offices,
14
     whether there was a statewide approach to sealing
15
     an expundement by prosecutors?
16
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       That I don't
17
     know, but we probably are the most active. We
18
     review every case.
19
                  I have my own secretary that pretty
20
     much just does all of the expungements, to try to
21
     keep up with it.
22
                  I mean, I'm in court -- I have a
23
     booklet with all of the different courts, all of
24
     the different times every day, in four or five
25
     floors, I'm running up and down, because there
```

```
1
     are so many people that want a clean record.
 2
                MS. LOVE:
                                     When you say
     that you get about a hundred a week and you sort
 3
     of automatically approve about half of those --
 4
                MS. SMILANICK:
 5
                                   Correct.
 6
                MS. LOVE:
                                   -- those are
     ones that you take a look at and they appear to
 7
8
     be eliqible?
9
                MS. SMILANICK: Correct.
10
                MS. LOVE:
                                    So it's a
11
     threshold eligibility determination, the other
     50 --
12
13
                MS. SMILANICK: Are ineligible.
14
                MS. LOVE:
                                    I see, of that
15
     50, some of them could be nos?
16
                MS. SMILANICK:
                                    No, say there's
17
     a hundred a week --
                MS. LOVE:
18
                                     Yeah.
19
                MS. SMILANICK: -- that's on a
20
     busy week, half of them immediately qualify and
21
     the other half probably don't.
22
                MS. LOVE:
                                   I see, so you're
23
     basically making an eligibility --
24
                MS. SMILANICK: Correct.
25
                MS. LOVE:
                                     -- first
```

```
1
     offender decision?
 2
                 MS. SMILANICK: Correct.
                 MS. LOVE:
 3
                                     I wanted to ask
     about the effect of a sealing order.
 4
 5
                 I have a personal concern about how
 6
     far you can deny something that happened in this
 7
     internet age.
                 When someone has their record
 8
9
     sealed --
10
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                     Correct.
                 MS. LOVE:
11
                                      -- are they
12
     allowed to say that they have never been
13
     convicted?
14
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                     Yes, they are,
15
     and we advise them. After the judge rules that,
16
     yes, I agree with the state and I'm granting
17
     this, I say you can tell people you've never been
18
     arrested and you've never been convicted.
19
                 MS. LOVE:
                                      That's a lie.
20
                 MS. SMILANICK: No, it is not.
21
     Expungement, it has never been.
22
                 MS. LOVE:
                                      I know that but
23
     literally it is a lie, and it's interesting,
24
     because if that record is available, whether it's
25
     on the internet, whether you can Google
```

```
1
     somebody's name or however you find it, somebody
 2
     who sees someone who says I have never been
 3
     convicted and then you find the record, it looks
     like that, that's all I'm saying.
 4
 5
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                      Well, that is an
6
     issue, but it's nothing -- I can't control that,
7
     the court can and somebody out there has got to
     deal with that.
8
9
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       Right.
10
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       Maybe a class
11
     action suit. I don't know the rules on how to
12
     get that stopped, but I also advise people to
13
     keep a certified copy of that court order with
14
     them at all times, to prove to a potential
15
     employer.
16
                 Now, what has happened on a lot of
17
     these employment applications, it says two
18
     things: Have you ever been convicted and if you
19
     get the expungement, you can say, no, I haven't.
20
                 Like the nurses and some other, the
21
     bar, the lawyer's bar, will ask have you ever had
22
     a sealing or expungement, then you're going to
23
     have to say yes.
24
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       Well, can
     anybody ask that? I mean, I would think that
25
```

```
1
     everybody would ask that now.
 2
                 MS. SMILANICK:
                                       Well, they
     don't.
 3
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       But they could?
 4
                 MS. SMILANICK:
 5
                                       I quess they
6
     could but I only see it with certain groups.
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       I want to ask a
7
     question about the sex offender registration.
8
9
                 I was just looking at the law and
10
     trying to see who has to register for how long,
11
     because I would have to go to look at all of the
12
     offenses through the hyperlinks to code sections.
13
                 Are there different gradations of sex
14
     offenses in Ohio?
15
                 MS. SHORES:
                                       Yes, there are.
16
     Actually we have two systems now. We have what's
17
     commonly called Megan's Law, and I'm not a
18
     lawyer, but this is what I know: There are three
19
     levels under Megan's Law, there's sexually
20
     oriented offenders who register for ten years;
21
     there's habituals who register 15, 20 years; and
22
     then there's sexual predators who register for
23
     life under Megan's law.
24
                 That was supposed to be based on
25
     assessment of risk or the number of crimes that
```

```
1
     you have committed. You don't have to be
 2
     habitual if you committed, you know, a couple,
     but you had to have a predator hearing to become
 3
     a predator. There was a lot of problems with
 4
 5
     that, but it was somewhat a risk-based system.
 6
                 Along came the Adam Walsh Act, like I
7
     said, it flipped everything on its head.
8
                 The Adam Walsh Act puts people into
9
     tiers based on crime of conviction. So what we
10
     consider a low level, pandering child --
11
     soliciting child for sex, child pornography,
12
     things like that are in the first and second
13
     tier.
14
                 First tier, I believe, is ten to 15
15
     years, second is 25 years, and Tier 3 is life,
16
     and those are what our society would consider
17
     more heinous crimes, rapes, things like that.
18
                 MR. JONES:
                                       We are sadly out
19
     of time. I'm about to lose our tape.
20
                  I see that lunch is in the back, as
21
     well, so folks, you're welcome to go get that.
22
                 This has been fantastic. We could
23
     really go on for another 30 minutes or more. We
24
     haven't even gotten to all of the questions I
25
     would like to discuss with our folks.
```

1 I want to thank you for being here 2 and sharing with us and spending this time. 3 has been very useful and productive to us. 4 you very. 5 6 (Whereupon there was a recess in 7 the proceedings from 1:03 p.m. to 2:03 p.m.) 8 9 10 MR. JONES: All right. 11 Let's get started. We're going to reconvene. Wе 12 now have, of course, our second panel of the 13 afternoon. Welcome to all of you. We're pleased to have you here, looking forward to an 14 15 interesting and lively discussion. 16 The way that we operate, for those of 17 you who weren't here from the first panel, is 18 that we give each of you five or ten minutes or 19 so to introduce yourself and give us the benefit 20 of your thoughts and then we have lot of 21 questions for you and are going to try to get as 22 in-depth as we can around these issues with you 23 and get the benefit of your expertise. 24 The way that we do the questioning is 25 that one of our number will lead the questioning

1 and ask the majority of the questions of you, and 2 then, to the extent there is time, the rest of us 3 will be able to get in on the action. For the purposes of this panel, Margy 4 5 Love is going to be our lead questioner, so I 6 will turn the floor over to you. You can decide 7 amongst yourselves who is going to go first, but the floor is yours. 8 9 MR. SEE: I yield to the 10 lady at the table. 11 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: I yield to 12 Stephen. Stephen knows everything. 13 MR. JOHNSONGROVE: Thank you. 14 name is Stephen JohnsonGrove, Deputy Director of 15 Policy at the Ohio Justice and Policy Center. 16 The Ohio Justice and Policy Center is 17 a non-profit, non-partisan law firm that 18 represents people who have been marginalized by 19 the criminal justice system, and it works and 20 advocates for local, state and national smaller 21 crime reform. 22 Our office started as a prisoner 23 rights firm, used to be called Prisoner Rights 24 Advocacy Project, but we've branched out into

reentry, this issue of the legal issues faced by

25

1 people coming out of the criminal justice system. 2 Actually, our very first foray into that was some federal legislation against sex 3 offender cases. We were one of the first in the 4 country to get one of those invalidated. 5 6 Later we also added on more on a 7 front-end side a prevention, reentry, a youth focus and an indigent defense improvement 8 9 project. 10 Although we are a very small shop, 11 we're really the only thing like it in this 12 state, that works across the whole criminal 13 justice system, on both individual

representation, class action and policy advocacy, and research as well.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I started on the reentry side. still am on that aspect of our office. I work on these legal barriers faced by people with criminal records.

I began by running our outreach legal clinics around Cincinnati, which we still do. now supervise those, another attorney runs them.

We serve 600 or so, 700, people a year who come in to talk to us about any legal barrier they face.

We do them not at our office but at soup kitchens, community centers, that sort of thing around Cincinnati.

Out of that experience our office and me personally were able to branch into more the policy aspect and getting involved in policy reform. So both the research and straight legislative advocacy or just negotiations, I've been able to do a lot of different things in that realm.

I'll just talk a little bit about the clinics. I want to just clean up a couple little facts related to expungement that came up in the last panel.

Number one, Margy and I were talking at lunch about this, definitely different practices around the state in prosecutor's offices. You have certainly one of the more progressive counties here and you should know that, that you're a very progressive county relative to the rest of Ohio, and that it extends into the way the criminal justice system operates and the way -- for example, the abundance of resources you saw invested from general fund dollars on reentry yesterday as you drove around,

1 | that's a unique thing in this state.

Now, there are definitely other great examples and Terry from Summit County and others from Lucas County can say that.

Hamilton County is kind of inching up and when it comes to the prosecution practices, very different, as well, very much opposed to expungement generally and we'll object frequently to that sort of thing, so that's something I know from the hundreds and hundreds of expungements we work on in our office.

I won't get lost in the weeds on that. If you have any specific questions about that, please ask me.

So in terms of the policy issue -one other thing I just want to clean up also,
this is just a little tiny issue of state law:
The statute that says you can pretend as though
it never happened after you got the expungement,
that by statute only applies to the
non-conviction expungements.

The statute, 2953.60, only says that that is relative to non-convictions, not to the convictions. You can't say relative to convictions even if they are expunged, let's make

1 that clear. I want to clear the air there. 2 So my office's advocacy work began 3 with a local campaign to get a fair-hiring policy adopted by the City of Cincinnati. 4 Mindful of my colleague and friend, 5 6 Margy Love here, I am a stickler for language and 7 don't like "ban the box," I think it's a misnomer, because that's just not what happens, 8 9 the box doesn't get banned, it just gets delayed, 10 that is the question of criminal record. 11 It's a municipal civil service hiring 12 reform and we delay when the background check 13 happens. 14 I think it's a good delay and it's 15 appropriate, the policy is great, a great idea. 16 Let's call a spade a spade. 17 Our fair-hiring policy in Cincinnati 18 does three major things: Number one, all of the 19 job postings will say clearly what convictions 20 are absolute barriers, not because the city is 21 being mean but because state or federal law 22 already says so. 23 For example, we were doing a big 24 construction project on our sewer system in

Cincinnati and it was funded by Homeland

25

Security, actually, because they were concerned about bioterrorism, so there was a federal restriction.

So any job posting that the city puts out related to that sewer project will say specifically what are the offenses, and that's important for self-selection, right, so people don't have to guess whether or not this conviction is going to create a bar.

The second thing is the part called "ban the box." There is no question anymore, either verbally or on the written application about criminal records up front. This is, again, municipal.

And then that question is delayed until the very end, after you take the civil service exam and are passed and after you are interviewed and they extend a conditional offer of employment, then they say we're going to do a background check.

And then the third and a very important part -- and this very much synchronizes with the EEOC policy we're all familiar with -- there are factors that the city must consider when it does do the criminal background check.

We find a record, it causes us some concern, we're going to vet it using these commonsense factors: How old is the offense; is it related to the nature of the job; what is the record of rehabilitation that the applicant can bring forward, things of that nature.

I don't have it all in front of me but that's the basic structure of the fair-hiring policy, that whole basket of kind of changes.

The City of Cincinnati adopted that

August of 2010. Since then I've consulted with

this county and you're going to hear from Natoya

Walker Minor tomorrow, who I gave all of my

material to, and I think they were the next place

in Ohio to adopt one, that was Cleveland, here.

Since then Hamilton County and Cuyahoga County is my understanding, both have adopted it as county hiring and I am consulting with other cities and counties around the state to adopt similar hiring policies.

So that was my first foray into policy change. It was a local-level-type policy change and I'm trying to push that as far as I can around all the state.

The other aspect of this, the other

venue for which I've been able to do a lot of policy change, has been at the state level. That really began as a result of DRC itself. You're going to hear from Director Mohr but it was before him.

We have a long history of very progressive DRC directors, beginning with Reggie Wilkinson and then Terry Collins after him, and for a brief moment we had Ernie Moore and now we have Gary Mohr, one after another. Although there may be issues definitely.

Our office sues the prison system all the time, so believe me, I'm not diluted into thinking they are wonderful all to time.

But in terms of being progressive about reentry, they have been stellar, and that has created various opportunities, including in 2008 something called House Bill 130 that passed and that created something called the Ohio Ex-Offender Reentry Coalition.

That sounds sort of grass rootsy and it definitely is not. It's 17 state agencies, a state statutory-created body, that are mandated to meet on a regular basis to talk about and review and analyze all of the barriers to

successful reintegration into the community after imprisonment.

2.1

This includes agencies as diverse as medical licensing, drug and alcohol services, department of development, Board of Regents, mental health, veteran's affairs, so all sorts of 17 state agencies on this board with DRC as the chair and they meet regularly and are quite active.

And DRC, while the directors have changed, there's a man who is really behind all this and his name is Ed Rhine -- I don't know if you are going to hear from him -- but he's like behind the scenes. He is the man in Ohio who has had the vision of how this is going to happen.

I really think it was his vision to create House Bill 130. It was his vision to see this coalition created and then to realize the value would be to push that down to local coalition.

So DRC has been very active in fostering local coalitions such as Terry's in Summit County or in Hamilton, Franklin County.

DRC has been the one pushing those and that has sort of pushed this issue out and sort of the

professional attention to this issue throughout
the criminal justice system and throughout, you
know, just general community.

I really hand it to DRC as sort of seeing how this long-term, slow persistence has yielded a lot of fruit.

Well, as a result of that, one of the charges, as I mentioned, to this reentry coalition in 2008 was to review, analyze, collect, something like that, all of the collateral consequences under Ohio law.

I jumped on that because I was invited early on to be part of that and I immediately sniffed an opportunity.

I had already seen a couple examples of catalogs of collateral sanctions.

In fact, in 2005 the University of
Toledo in our state did one. A couple intrepid
law students went through Ohio Revised Code and
combed out 404 state statutes, but it was a pdf,
it was a law review article, right, a chart,
hundreds and hundreds of pages, you couldn't
really search it, it wasn't really useful to an
defender in the heat of the moment, you know, the
prosecutor's office gives me this plea versus

that plea, which one is better for my client, I
don't know.

But it was a valiant first step and the best probably that could have been done at the time, but I wanted more than that, and I had seen sort of a working model of what I thought in Minnesota was a collateral sanctions database, it wasn't quite that, but it gave me the idea for what became our civic database, which you have a handout in front of you, one page, that describes our civic database.

In 2010 I was successful in getting a grant from the Ohio State Bar Foundation and DRC itself, our corrections system invested some and a few others since then have invested in this project.

To my knowledge it is the first of its kind in the country to go public and live, to have the complete state's collateral consequences available online.

It's interesting in the sense it
allows you to search specifically, put in a
specific criminal offense and get a list of all
of the collateral consequences triggered by that
offense or search for a right or privilege, let's

say a nursing license or I want to be a veterinarian, and then find the law that governs and then find a list of all of the offenses that create barriers for that right or privilege, so it's really useful for practitioners.

It has also yielded all kinds of policy opportunities, because it really shines a bright and precise light on this whole morass of collateral consequences. We've gotten all kinds of opportunities to change state law.

The other handout you have in front of you is the culmination of that work, which is House Bill 524. That just got introduced two days ago and you have hot off the presses a summary that I whipped up, really whipped up, so it's not the best, it's what you got. I thought a one-pager would be handy to have for today's conversation.

The flagship proposal in that is something I took reference from Margy's work and honestly her colleague in that work, Alexander Schimmer, who is the Solicitor General of Ohio.

They were part of the drafting team for the UCCA, as we all know.

We took out from that Section 10,

```
1
     which is the Orders of Limited Relief, and that
 2
     has been, with some modifications, put in this
     bill.
 3
                 I guess the folks on this panel know
 4
 5
     something about that.
 6
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Steve, one of
 7
     the most disagreeable parts of my responsibility
     as chair is to watch the clock.
8
9
                 MR. JOHNSONGROVE: Good.
10
                 MR. JONES:
                                      So we're going
11
     to run out of time ultimately, so I'm going to
     have you have you wind it down and we'll come
12
13
     back to you with questions.
14
                 MR. JOHNSONGROVE: That's fine.
15
     That is where I was going to end. There's the
16
     bill, you have the handout in front of you, if
17
     you have questions about that or anything else
     I've said.
18
19
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Thank you. Very
20
     much.
21
                 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: My name is Terry
22
     Tribe-Johnson, T-r-i-b-e, like the Cleveland
23
     Indians -- may they reign -- except I'm Canadian,
24
     my husband's American.
25
                 I have the privilege of serving as
```

1 | the Summit County Reentry Coordinator.

2.1

In Summit County there was a reentry effort that was begun in 2004, 2005. By 2007 a strategic plan was drafted.

Everything was put on the desk of a person who worked at United Way and consequently the whole effort wilted because that person was already too busy, let alone to take on a community-wide initiative like reentry.

In 2009 the effort was actually reinvigorated by Summit County Board of Health as part of our Summit 2010 and now it's a Summit 2020 plan, under executive Russ Pry, who really does push looking at the whole picture of wellness of the community, which includes reentry, the wellness of people returning home from incarceration and their families and the general public. It's been very interesting.

The Summit County Office of Reentry opened September the 10th, 2010, when I walked into an office and there was a desk with no chair, no filing cabinet, nothing, no computer, and we really did start from grassroots.

And one of the first things I did was call Louis Vasquez from Cuyahoga Office of

1 Reentry and said let me introduce myself, I hear 2 things are far more advanced in Cuyahoga County, what do I need to do first? 3 I also called the Ohio Department of 4 Rehabilitation and Corrections. 5 6 Prior to assuming this position, I 7 had done a lot of research on reentry myself, having worked with Oriana House, a 8 9 community-based correction and treatment facility 10 that's in Summit County and Cuyahoga County, and 11 reentry makes sense, and they interviewed several 12 people and I really was glad to get the job. 13 Pulling it together the last 18 14 months has been rewarding and very challenging. 15 Some of the things that came out are 16 the needs of the people and I would like to speak 17 a little bit today about what we've been able to 18 do in Summit County. 19 I see them coming under the areas of 20 advocacy, marketing on behalf of reentry and 21 information purposes. 22 Out of the needs of the people -- and 23 I'll say that we are just in-process of getting a 24 database written for us by a research department,

so that we can keep track of who's calling about

25

reentry, how are they getting the information,

what are some of the statistics about people,

because the United Way wants that, they're one of

our funders.

We saw over 770 people in the last year, received contact from, either they phoned in, they e-mailed in, they came to one of our groups.

The one group that came out of the Friday night group is a Friday Night Reentry Resource Seminar -- or excuse me -- yeah, a Friday, once a month, a reentry resource seminar. That's actually a job search seminar that happens on the fourth Friday of every month. Again, very grassroots.

It replicates to an extent the Star

Forum that's held here, in Cuyahoga County.

However, the Star Forum in Cuyahoga County is for
people coming out of ODRC facilities, and in

Summit County we are much smaller, our numbers

are smaller.

We wanted to be available to people, have our reentry service providers available to people who are returning home on supervision or not on supervision, but more than that we have

hundreds of people in Summit County who have convictions in their history that still are not settled, be they misdemeanors or felonies, and so their families aren't settled, and it's chaotic for these people to try to put the pieces of the puzzle together.

Our Friday reentry resource seminar is for anyone that has a misdemeanor or felony in their history, who's struggling to get employment.

We draw together, very willingly, a number of service providers every month with a theme. We attempt to have some theme which is addressing the barriers to employment.

So I brought the page with those themes on it, if anyone wants to look at that for a reference. That came out of the needs of the people.

The other one that came out of the needs of the people is the Friday night group that I was mentioning, and that's a Friday night, weekly, reentry support group. That is more so for reentry for anyone who has come home more recently and is needing some assistance, tips, help, information, any kind of support to

reintegrate into the community. The intent with that group is have it peer to peer.

We have a number of people that have convictions in their history, that participate with our office and we're honored to have them and their expertise, and they want to reach back and help people who are more vulnerable coming out, partly so they get on the right track and also partly so they are not taken advantage of by people who are taking advantage of some people coming home, who are in vulnerable situations. That's a whole other subject.

Interesting challenges with those, because we're at a point now, 18 months in, where the Summit County Office of Reentry is organized -- I as the coordinator -- am organizing this umbrella organization called the Summit County Reentry Network.

That's part of the correlation that Stephen mentioned, ODRC, especially under the guidance of Ed Rhine, R-h-i-n-e. Ed Rhine has been a champion for reentry. He pulled together this local association of reentry coalitions.

They would like to have a reentry coalition in every county, all 88 counties in

Ohio. The challenge, of course, is funding, and we've had some shut down recently, as of January, no longer able to afford a coordinator position.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Without a coordinating position, we lose that hub, that inner spot on the spider's web -- and I think spiders are very creative and do a lot of good -- but we lose that inner hub that's going out in many directions, be it advocacy or marketing or information, and whether that's being given to, for example, our service providers, faith-based organizations, churches, nonprofit organizations, very tiny, one and two, mom and pop shops, or big organizations with multi-million-dollar budgets or government agencies, who, yes they know some reentry is going on but they don't really understand it, and the courts, and that's nothing to say of the people who are actually in need, the consumers that are in need of reentry services and their families. I get a lot of calls from family members.

The challenge in coordinating this is -- I want to put this out there because we want to do as much as we can for people who are coming home from incarceration -- but I believe

if there is not some semblance of organization in every county or community, per se, people get lost, they don't know who to call.

The estimate from ODRC is about half the people coming home, for Summit County, for example, are not on supervision. They don't have to tell ODRC where they're going, they don't have to register, but the research department at ODRC, I've talked to them and they guesstimate that if they came from Summit County, they may be coming home.

We're told that anywhere from 1,200 to 2,400 people are coming home to Summit County every year, a lot less than what I've been told Cuyahoga County is, which is, I think, around 6,000, over 6,000.

However, people get lost when they don't know who to call or where to call.

The challenge is, as a coordinator,

I'm not a case manager, and in coordinating these
efforts, we have to get people on board that want
to participate in the Summit County Reentry

Network.

Now, you all probably have heard of the silo effect. Nobody is nodding. This is

1 interactive, okay? Right. 2 Everyone has their own chunk of money 3 and they're doing their own thing. I actually hadn't heard of that term until I came to this 4 position. It's a hard thing to overcome. 5 6 Our executive, Russ Pry, is very much 7 open, transparent communication. Let's do 8 collaboration, not competition, that's my motto. 9 I emulate that by the nature of who I am in my 10 background, but it doesn't come without growing 11 pains and it doesn't come without some backlash 12 and some vicious competition and some gossiping 13 and undermining of other organizations, because, 14 as we all know, money has been cut, right, so 15 with less money available, some of the 16 organizations to whom I would refer on the front 17 lines maybe aren't as nice as they seem to at the 18 first, right? 19 Like what do you say, it's coming out 20 in the wash, right? 2.1 As people are penny pinching, do they 22 have the best interest of their returning citizen 23 or do they have money as their interest? 24 We knew that at the beginning, that

there would be a concern, and we knew that they

25

all wouldn't play nice in the sandbox, and 1 2 certainly 18 months into it, some of that is 3 happening. Part of what I do, besides the 4 5 advocacy and the marketing and the information is 6 some conflict management between agencies, trying 7 to keep clients, consumers out of that, and work hard to get people to collaborate. 8 9 I say that because if the groups 10 aren't supporting, the service providers aren't 11 referring clients and consumers to these events, 12 then the consumers aren't benefiting by what they 13 could, because these are wonderful, cutting-edge, 14 dynamic events. 15 We have a mishmash of people 16 supporting them, which is very interesting. 17 There are some people who believe 18 that if they cannot involve themselves in the 19 Summit County Reentry Network, then it will go 20 away and there will be more money for them to go 21 play with later on. 22 MR. JONES: Ms. 23 Tribe-Johnson. 24 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: You're going to

25

cut me off.

```
1
                 MR. JONES:
                                       I hate to be
 2
     interactive.
 3
                 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: Please be
     interactive.
 4
                 MR. JONES:
 5
                                       I just want to
 6
     get Mr. See in on this.
 7
                 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON:
                                      Absolutely.
8
                 MR. SEE:
                                       I'm going to
9
     have to ask you to stop right there, and try to
10
     bring Mr. See into it.
11
                 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON:
                                       That's fine.
12
                 MR. SEE:
                                       Thank you so
13
     much, Mr. Chairman. It was probably about this
     time in the afternoon when the phrase was coined
14
15
     "Brevity is the soul of wit," so I will be brief
16
     in my remarks.
17
                 First, before I get started, I want
18
     to thank the convenors of this most important
19
     discussion. This is certainly an idea whose time
20
     has come.
21
                 I worked very closely with Ms. Frazer
22
     and Mr. Obaid Khan, who really worked with me and
23
     returned all of my phone calls and encouraged me
24
     to get here. Thank you. I want to thank all
25
     those folks. I greatly appreciate it.
```

I had the opportunity of reading the brief bios of the panel, a distinguished group of folks. I'm delighted that you're taking time to come to Cleveland and give us an opportunity to appear before you and share some of our thinking, and I appreciate my distinguished panelists that are here with me.

As I indicated in my introduction,

I'm Charles See, the last name is spelled

S as in Sam e-e. I'm the executive director of

an organization called the Community Reentry

Program, here in Cleveland, Ohio, and I've been

in that position for 40 years.

So it was back when -- I don't think anyone on the panel is old enough to remember the Attica riots, but we got started as a result of the Attica prison riots. Our own local workhouse was rioting here.

Our program is a faith-based program and we asked ourselves what is the position of the church as it relates to criminal justice.

And I'm certainly no Biblical scholar but the only person in the scripture ever to pass into paradise was an offender who hung on the cross with Christ, so to the church, it was

1 kind of clear what we ought to be doing.
2 We don't promise paradise over on
3 West 25th Street but what we do do is we say

West 25th Street but what we do do is we say that we're willing to work with people, give those folks a second chance, help them discover their gifts and their talents, and they can put those gifts and talents back in play in the community.

So, over probably the last 40 years that's what we've been attempting to do over there.

With some 620,000 people returning to communities all across America, the criminal justice system has once again made its presence known to the forefront of the American consciousness.

We've discovered we've got people in prison and what are we doing with them? They're coming back to our communities.

Some folks see that kind of like as a crisis and folks like myself and others in the room see it also as an opportunity, so a community reentry.

Our program is undergirded by the philosophy that people more readily act their way into a new way of thinking than think their way

1 into a new way of acting, so what we've done at 2 Community Reentry is we've given folks in the 3 criminal justice system an opportunity to act in some new ways. 4 5 Having been around for such a long 6 period of time, I've seen some very meaningful 7 evolutions. The word ex-con, it used to be when 8 9 we were talking about people in prison, we were 10 talking about ex-cons, then it went to 11 ex-offenders. We in Cleveland, we claim we coined that phrase, "ex-offender." 12 13 Then we got a little more 14 progressive, we went to formerly incarcerated 15 person, putting the emphasis on the person not 16 the condition. 17 Now, here in Cuyahoga County, once

Now, here in Cuyahoga County, once again we coined the phrase, "returning citizens."

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is how you think about people and you begin to treat them in the way you think about them.

So these are returning citizens with gifts and talents and we want to capture those gifts and talents and help those people get in the community and make the appropriate

1 contributions that they can make.

Community Reentry, we provided a bevy of assistance to individuals. We do the traditional kinds of services: We provide housing; treatment; anger management; we do some mentoring; mental health counseling; we refer out; advocacy in courts.

So we're doing all of things that we think good service providers ought to be doing, but what we're looking at though, and particularly probably in the last ten years, we're looking for an advocacy.

As service providers we think we can get people ready. We can help them with their behavior; we can help them develop their skills, but then they have to go to work; there are places that they should be able to live; they should be eligible for educational opportunities, et cetera, et cetera.

But then they continue to face -
Stephen talked about it -- then they continue to

face monumental collateral sanctions, things that
keep them out.

So they send them over to Community

Reentry. We say you got to behave yourself, you

have got to have some skills, you got to pass

your GED, and they're able to do that. They say,

okay, Chuck, I'm ready to go to work.

The national survey said 60 percent of the employers that were interviewed said right off the rip, they would not hire a person convicted of a felony offense. They don't care what it was, who they were. If they've got a felony offense, they're not going to be hired.

Stephen talked about ban the box.

Here we had the same problem about ban the box,

we called it move the box. We moved it from the

front of the application to the rear of the

application, which we think is very appropriate,

Stephen has already articulated.

So we're looking at service providers get it; the folks in law enforcement, they get it; the folks who are working in the community, within the population, they get it. It's time that our legislators got it.

Give you an example. I mean, I'm over at the county -- this is several years ago -- I'm over at the county, I'm getting a grant. I'm getting a \$300,000 grant to provide services for folks returning to the community

after a period of incarceration. They want me to find housing. They want me to find jobs. They want me to find other services, so I'm waiting, I just got the grant.

Testifying after me is a housing development project that's seeking funding from the county and part of its stipulation is no one can live in that housing development that was convicted of a felony offense.

So when they said does anybody object to this, I mean, we're talking millions of dollars here and I said, I object, I object, you just gave me -- there's something contradictory about what's happening here, you just gave me a grant to go out and find housing, work with people, this individual is asking for money to build housing that excludes the very people that you just funded me to help. Yeah, we got a problem.

So the mayor called me and said

Charlie, you held up my legislation over there

what's going on? That's another story, though.

But we finally got the provision into the housing, they could only be excluded for three years, et cetera, et cetera, depending on

1 offenses.

But what I'm saying here is,
though -- I mean, we got all of this legislation
going on that systematically and categorically
bars people.

I understand and I get that some people should not hold certain jobs. I know and I'm not advocating that pedophiles ought to be running daycare centers and there's a pretty good chance that KeyBank is not going to be hiring a person convicted of embezzlement to keep their books, so we get that, we understand that.

But there's no reason why somebody who cashed a bad check 15 years ago can't be an auto dealer, can't work in a salvage yard where old and auto parts are being retrieved or work in the lottery system, so we're trying to bring some sense to it.

That's why I am so delighted with what you are doing. You're traveling the country and you're hearing stories and people are saying we got to bring some sense to this criminal justice system of ours.

Do we finally get to a point where we forgive people? Is there such a thing as a

second chance? And if so, how do we implement that?

I work with some state legislators around -- and you'll be hearing from Senator Shirley Smith a little bit later -- what I'm calling and others like me, a sunset law. It is kind of fashioned after the Biblical jubilee, the concept of jubilee: Every so many years, 40 years or something, all debts are forgiven, property returns to its original owners, everybody gets a fresh start, we get to start over.

Now I know some offenses we wouldn't want to just like say, well, that never happened, we would always need to monitor. I think we need to monitor some folks, keep up with them and make sure they're not posing any danger to us.

Come on, 25 years later and we're still excluding folks from educational opportunities, from housing opportunities, from jobs, for something they did when they were 19 and now they're 40 years old. We're punishing the man of the boy that was. That makes no sense whatsoever. I think we've got to really begin to bring some commonsense into it.

So on a service end of things, we're getting folks ready, we're saying you got to do this, you got to pass your GED, you got to shave, you got to put on a tie and you got to go over and you got to behave yourself, but then there is no place to go over to because folks are saying, no, we're not going to hire those.

2.1

So I think it's time that this community stepped up and if we say that we believe in rehabilitation -- speaking of rehabilitation, one of the things you heard Stephen talk about, we have been fortunate in that we've had some very progressive directors of corrections.

One of the things I'm pleased about with the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction is that we are one of the few departments of correction in the country that still retain the word rehabilitation in it, so it suggests that we have some other role other than simply punishment and detention, and with the directors of correction that we have, they have been speaking to that, so we've been trying to capitalize on that window of opportunity, you know, what's the rehabilitation part of that,

1 getting the community ready.

I think we've got to say particularly to the legislature, because they pass these laws in our name.

And when I go out, when I do focus groups, when I talk to church groups, when I go out and I talk to people and we talk about what would you really like your criminal justice system to be, the kinds of things that we see now are not what the people say they want.

But continuously legislators will step forth and say the people want this. Well, the people aren't saying they want that, as a matter of fact they're saying they want the opposite.

Make no mistake about it, folks.

Don't think Charles See is here to talk about coddling criminals, I'm not.

I get up in the morning and I read a headline and some heinous things have gone down, I am just as appalled by that as you are. I want to be safe, I want to be protected, I don't want my property taken.

But once that happens then I want to treat people fairly, I want to forgive folks, I

```
1
     want to give them a second chance, I want to give
2
     them the opportunity I think they deserve. I
     think that's where the balance begins at.
 3
                 I could go on and talk to you
 4
5
     indefinitely.
                 MR. JONES:
 6
                                     But I'm not
7
     going to give you that opportunity,
8
     unfortunately.
9
                 You all are keeping me busy as chair.
10
     Thank you, all three of you, for those very good
11
     and important and thorough introductions.
12
                 I want to turn it over to Margy and
13
     let her begin to ask some of the multitude of
     questions we have got for you.
14
15
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       Thank you very
16
     much. I'm going to start with Mr. See because
17
     you said the magic word.
                 MR. JONES:
18
                                       Sure did.
19
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       It's the first
20
     time we've heard that word today and I got a poke
21
     from my colleague, my leader over here, when you
22
     said it, that word was forgiveness.
23
                 So I'd like to ask you, you've been
24
     in this business a long time and you've been in
25
     it back when it was a business in the '60s and
```

1 '70s, as well as -- we seem to have forgotten in there for about 25 years.

There was a debate at that time about whether forgiveness or forgetfulness was the best approach to dealing with somebody's criminal record and this task force is supposed to be studying legal mechanisms for restoration of rights and status.

So I guess what I would ask you, Ohio has a little bit of both here, they have expungement laws, they have a pardon, sort of a pardon program, they now have new legislation that has got yet another approach.

Do you have any views about what is the best way to help people with a criminal record get passed these legal barriers, whether it's a forgiveness or a forgetfulness kind of?

MR. SEE: Yes, ma'am. I certainly think it's a forgiveness. I think we have to be —— I certainly think we have to be mindful of who we're dealing with and what some potentials might be, and I think that we can maintain a mindfulness within a context of forgiveness.

When people come to me and they're

1 looking for jobs, I say tell me what they said 2 you did, because I want to know what you might be 3 doing or might be capable of doing, but we're going to hire you if you meet all of the other 4 prerequisites, but there is a mindfulness of what 5 6 has gone in the past, but also within a concept 7 of forgiveness, so we have forgiven you. 8 We're going to give you a second 9 opportunity, but I've got supervisors, and I say 10 what we want to do what's the wisest, so we want 11 to be as aware as we can about who it is we're 12 dealing with, so I won't put you -- if you've got a crime against a senior, that may not be the 13 first job I assign you to, may get to that 14 15 eventually but that may not be the first one. 16 MS. LOVE: How do you sell 17 that message to the public? I think most of the 18 corrections, the criminal justice establishment, 19 as you all have said, we sort of get it now. 20 MR. SEE: Uh-huh. 21 MS. LOVE: How do you sell 22 that message to the legislature and to the 23 general public and to employers? MR. SEE: 24 I think the 25 general public is probably going to be, I don't

want to sound naive, but probably going to be the easiest sale, because I think it's what they want, I think they want forgiveness. They don't want to be harmed but I think if there is an appropriate reason to forgive folks, I think they will and give folks a second chance.

I find the legislature, I think that's the toughest sale over the last 40 years that I've had, because some of the things we're saying to the legislature now we said 40 years ago.

I think the other part of that sell is we have to have things we can point to to say this is what happens, we've forgiven these folks, given them some opportunities and this is what they've done.

For instance, we've got a program called Our Community Reentry Care Team Program.

Carefully selected, responsibly trained, well-supervised individuals, formerly incarcerated individuals, work in the Housing Authority providing escort services, banking, shopping, to frail, elderly, senior citizens.

I've been doing that program for 25 years without an incident. Nobody got ripped

1 off, nobody got assaulted, nobody's money got 2 taken. 3 I'm saying these were folks that ran afoul of the law, we have forgiven them, given 4 them a second chance and put them to work, we had 5 no problem. 6 7 Evidence-based that's the word. If we can bring forth evidence-based programming, 8 that shows that this is what you can get when you 9 10 pursue this particular course. MS. LOVE: 11 One more 12 question for you and then I'm going to move. 13 Who are our best allies in selling 14 the message to the legislature, do you know? 15 MR. SEE: My organization 16 is a faith-based organization. We went and 17 pulled all of the mainline denominations' 18 statements on criminal justice. They all say 19 exactly what you would want them to say. 20 So when I'm having some difficulty 21 with a person, I ask who their bishop is and I go 22 get the bishop and say, you know, consistent with 23 what the statement is for this faith, the UCC

says this, we've got legislator X at UCC, can you

go with me and talk with me about where the faith

24

25

123

1 statement lines up around what we ought to be 2 doing, so I found that to be a good allie for us and found that to have been effective. Put the 3 bishop on them. 4 MS. LOVE: 5 That's a good 6 idea. We haven't seen the churches for the most 7 part as active. We've just had one -- I guess I would be remiss if I didn't mention Chuck Colson 8 9 who died a couple of years ago, who was a 10 tremendous advocate in this area, but other than 11 justice fellowship or prison fellowship, I guess, 12 I'm not sure that the churches have been 13 institutionally as active. 14 MR. SEE: We pulled the statements. I mean, they say exactly what you 15 16 want them to say, so we ask them where are we 17 going with this, how is this working for us 18 today? 19 MS. LOVE: Yeah. Okav. 20 want to ask Ms. Tribe-Johnson, I had a question, 21 I was so interested when you said that as well as 22 with people coming out of prison you were dealing 23 with a lot of people whose cases weren't settled, 24 and I wrote that phrase down, I thought that was 25 sort of an interesting phrase.

Could you explain what you mean when say that they aren't settled and how would they be settled?

MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: Settled in that -- you know that Ohio has had a pretty rough economic downturn in the last few years and I've had a number of calls from people.

One gentleman, for example, he's in his forties, he was working for a company that went bankrupt, that job ended, he went to apply for another job, and in the ensuing 13 years that he had worked for that company we have the internet that is up and around, and background-check companies that are making a lot of money doing background checks.

He actually went back for training and got his welding certificate and he was calling me because he was so affronted that he applied for a position with a local company, in Akron, and the supervisor wanted to hire him -- this is but one example, I have a number of these -- the supervisor wanted to hire him. He did his pre-employment physical. He did all of the paperwork. He did all the drug testing. He was told on Friday come in on Monday morning,

1 we'll get you started. He was very excited. 2 That evening the general manager came 3 in and looked at the application for the new starts and said we don't want anyone with a 4 felony working here, his felony had been 20 years 5 6 earlier. He had other misdemeanors as well, not 7 up for expungement. 8 He said, I have two daughters. 9 married. I'm paying a mortgage on a house. My 10 one daughter is college, my other daughter is 11 finishing high school. That was 20 years ago, how could this be an issue now? 12 13 So now he's at risk of losing his 14 house because he's not working. 15 MS. LOVE: My question for 16 you is: What's the mechanism? For better or 17 worse we're all lawyers here. 18 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: Employment. 19 MS. LOVE: Yes. But how 20 would you settle the conviction? How do you 21 overcome this? 22 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: Well, A big part 23 of that would be to educate the public -- I think much earlier -- but also consumers. Reentry does 24 25 really need to start at the time of sentencing, I

1 | think. That's research based.

2.1

We don't have a court system even in Akron that advocates for people to consider reentry even when they go into incarceration.

I had a mom call me and said for two years my son has been incarcerated and we've been talking about his reentry since he went into the institution, because we want him to come out and have hope and possibilities and know what direction he needs to go.

So I think part of how it could be settled is to educate people way earlier: What does it mean to get expunged; and, yes, change that legislation absolutely, make it more possible for people to get expungement; what does it mean to seek clemency.

I brought a flyer, we're very fortunate at the University of Akron to have both an expungement clinic and clemency clinic.

I don't know if any of you have met

Joann Sahl, who directs the clemency clinic at

the University of Akron, in the School of Law.

She is a dynamo advocate. She's just written an

article for the law review, actually. And she

has talked to Ed Rhine and would like to see

1 clemency clinics across the State of Ohio because 2 she recognizes that many people have more than one, low-level, non-violent, first-time offense 3 that's not a DUI, that would make them eligible 4 for expundement. 5 6 So getting things settled would be to have an opportunity or even be informed earlier 7 on, what are my options down the road, so that 8 9 now I could be living my life in the right way, 10 bleeding the letters, getting the background I 11 need. 12 MS. LOVE: Let me follow 13 that one up. 14 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: Sure. 15 MS. LOVE: That was the 16 other question I wanted to ask you and then I'll 17 get to Stephen. 18 When you talk about being informed, 19 whose job is it to inform people about what they 20 can do to get their convictions settled? 21 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: There is a lot 22 of misinformation out there. That's a very good 23 question. 24 And I do believe that that's part of 25 what a good reentry coalition does, is inform the

1 service providers, this is the information you 2 can put into the hands of the consumers. 3 I would hope that the information would be coming from ODRC ideally, from ODRC or 4 from the government to the counties, to the 5 service providers, to the churches. We don't 6 7 have a network of good communication like that 8 yet. 9 MS. LOVE: I'm thinking 10 also that probably two-thirds at least of the 11 people who are convicted don't go to prison. 12 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: Right. 13 MS. LOVE: So there isn't any institutional way of telling people. 14 15 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: I would like to 16 say it needs to come from their attorneys and 17 that doesn't always happen. I find that 18 attorneys aren't even informing their clients 19 when they take their final plea and take their 20 conviction, they don't even know about the 21 collateral consequences that are part of their 22 felony. 23 MS. LOVE: Oh, dear, yes, 24 you're right. 25 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: That happens all

1 the time. That's why the civics information, 2 every Friday. And every Friday night we're giving out a document about the civics database 3 and every Friday night we're giving out documents 4 5 about the expungement clinic and the clemency 6 clinic, because information is power, and we want to put that information into the hands of the 7 consumers, into neighborhood leaders, into the 8 9 hands of churches, faith-based organizations, 10 nonprofits, to try to disseminate that 11 information as widely as possible. 12 MS. LOVE: Let me ask a 13 quick question of Stephen, because I want to give 14 my colleagues a chance. 15 Stephen, I would like to ask you if 16 you would just continue, I kind of would like to 17 ask you the same question about how you settle 18 the conviction. It is kind of a nice concept, 19 ending the effect of the conviction. 20 Could you tell us a little bit about 21 what you've included or what has been included in 22 this new legislation? 23 MR. JOHNSONGROVE: Sure. Yes. 24 House Bill 86, I want to be clear, last year, 25 2011, we got sort of a micro version of these

Orders of Limited Relief passed in this state and that was in the earlier panel, the phrase certificate of employability or its actually called Certificate of Achievement and Employability, rather grander sounding than Order of Limited Relief but actually identical and more

narrow actually.

That one was only available to people who were incarcerated in state correctional institutions -- a very small slice of convicted folks -- and had completed -- and had to have been locked up long enough to have completed both vocational programming and some sort of behavioral programming, cognitive behavior therapy or Thinking for a Change, one of these victim-awareness-type groups.

You also have to have done community service which actually inmates can do, various volunteer events they can do inside, a whole spread.

So if they've done all three of those things and they're about to be released, they can get this certificate, which like the order of limited relief does two major things.

Number one, it allows a specific

collateral sanction to be lifted -- and I'm going
to try to be precise here using Margy and her
colleagues' terms -- collateral sanction means
the mandatory ones, the discretionary
qualifications are of the non-mandatory ones, all
told they're collateral consequences. I'll just
try to stay true to these terms.

The Order of Limited Relief applies to collateral sanctions, and if you name a specific one and you meet all of the eligibility criteria, you can have that one lifted, such that -- but not completely lifted.

Let's say it's a licensing agency
that previously had a no felony rule. Nobody
with any felony record can, in this state, own
their own construction business of any kind
period, forever, there is a law that says that,
but now you can get this Order of Limited Relief
or this Certificate of Achievement and
Employability, and the licensing agency can give
you that license but they don't have to, they
have the discretion to do so. That was in both
bills.

And really what happens in 524 is that now anybody out in the community can get it,

```
1
     too, you don't have to just be in a state
 2
     institution and have completed institutional
 3
     programs.
                 MS. LOVE:
                                    That's at the
 4
     time of sentencing?
 5
 6
                 MR. JOHNSONGROVE: Any time
 7
     thereafter. It can be from sentencing and
     onward.
 8
9
                 MS. LOVE:
                                       How do you find
10
     if courts like this new responsibility?
11
                 MR. JOHNSONGROVE: Yes, there's a
12
     real hitch in this that I really don't like, in
13
     the bill that was literally introduced two days
14
     ago, to deal with the flood.
15
                 I want to say a piece of research
16
     that our office did is based on a whole bunch of
17
     national studies and some local studies
     determined that 1.9 million Ohioans have a
18
19
     misdemeanor or felony conviction, that's one in
20
     six.
21
                 That's useful for me on the one hand
22
     with my advocacy, because I can say this is a
23
     huge problem, we're talking about a huge portion
24
     of our workforce.
25
                 But when it comes to these new
```

1 orders, I've now painted myself into a corner, 2 because the judges look at me cross-eyed and say 3 1.9 million people are going to show up at my doorstep applying for these things, no. 4 So, yes, the Ohio Judicial Conference 5 6 was quite opposed to that initial proposal. So the compromise in this bill is 7 that all applications will be screened by the 8 9 parole board or a designee thereof. 10 I am not excited about that. There 11 is no appeal right. There is not even a 12 requirement that the parole board issue any kind 13 of written decision as to why they may have 14 denied. 15 MS. LOVE: Are there 16 criteria? 17 MR. JOHNSONGROVE: They are the 18 same criteria from the UCCA about need and public 19 safety. I don't have them all in front of me but 20 it's the same criteria from the UCCA. 21 So they have those criteria but they 22 don't have to articulate how they weighed those 23 criteria or granted and denied -- not granted so 24 much as we're going forward -- they're really a 25 screening mechanism. They decide whether or not

```
1
     the application will be forwarded onto the
2
     sentencing court, and then it goes on to one of
     the 88 counties.
 3
                 MR. JONES:
                                      We're out of
 4
5
     time.
 6
                 MS. LOVE:
                                      We're out of
7
     time?
                 MR. JONES:
8
                                  You can have one
9
     more, go ahead.
10
                 MS. LOVE:
                                      Let me ask you,
11
     Stephen, what do you think would be the single
12
     most effective thing that could be done in this
13
     state, to help people get their rights and status
14
     restored?
15
                 MR. JOHNSONGROVE: I'm firmly in
16
     the camp of forgiveness as opposed to
17
     forgetfulness. I'm a technologically aware
18
     person, forgetfulness just can't work, there are
19
     too many holes in the dam, we can't plug them
20
     all, it just won't work.
                 The order of limited relief is a
21
22
     beginning but the certificate that's in the UCCA
     which is more robust, I believe we need that.
23
24
                 Perhaps there will be creative new
25
     solutions that are more robust, but there has to
```

1 be various rigorous ways of restoration beyond 2 anything we have now and maybe beyond things we imagine, but that's where we have to put our 3 creative energies. 4 5 I just want to say one last thing 6 about allies, you brought up allies. In my sex 7 offender litigation and all of my other work I've had a remarkable success in getting allies both 8 9 in the victim's community and in law enforcement. 10 Actually, we had an amicus brief that 11 actually won our case for us from sexual assault 12 victims, when we were challenging residency 13 restrictions, and that's just one of the more 14 controversial of any of the collateral consequences. I say that as an example of allies 15 16 out there. 17 MR. SEE: One last 18 comment? 19 Sure. MR. JONES: Very 20 quickly. MR. SEE: 21 Yeah. Go back, 22 the sunset law, that after X number of years, ten 23 years, seven years, whatever, that certain 24 offenses were automatically sealed, we'd be done 25 with it, so somebody 20 years later wouldn't be

1 dealing with a check forging that happened when 2 they were 19 years old. Ohio could institute a sunset law 3 that would be automatic expungement after X 4 period of time, for these offenses that got 5 6 identified. 7 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: That's got to mean I get one little moment. 8 9 MR. JONES: Well, go ahead. 10 MS. TRIBE-JOHNSON: That's to say 11 that the one piece of hope I have is that reentry does seem to be a bipartisan effort, and I do 12 13 believe that by informing -- information is 14 power -- by informing the public, especially 15 getting the advocacy people who have had some 16 involvement in the criminal justice system --17 they've have loved ones, neighbors, whoever --18 have that groundswell of support and people 19 contacting those legislators. 20 There is a huge convergence about 21 reentry in Ohio, at times like no other, and what 22 I heard from director call me Gary Mohr is let me 23 know what people are thinking. 24 It is a time like no other, so I 25 think the groundswell of people can make the

```
1
     difference.
 2
                 MR. JONES:
                                       There is never
 3
     enough time when we do these panels and we always
     find ourselves running up against the clock, but
 4
     I do want to thank you both for your service and
 5
 6
     for the work that you're doing and for taking the
 7
     time to share your collective wisdom with us. It
     has been very beneficial, so thank you.
8
9
                 MR. SEE:
                                       You will be
10
     publishing your report?
                 MR. JONES:
11
                                       Absolutely.
12
                 MR. SEE:
                                       Good.
13
                                      We will be in
                 MR. JONES:
14
     touch down the road.
15
16
                 (Whereupon there was a recess in
17
           the proceedings from 3:01 p.m. to
18
           3:08 p.m.)
19
20
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Let's get
21
     started. We are pleased to have you here and
22
     look forward to an interesting discussion.
23
                  The way that we operate our panels,
24
     and maybe you were here for a couple of them
25
     earlier, just so you know -- actually before we
```

even start, we are up against a clock on this

one -- not that I want you to minimize what you

have to say, because we're certainly interested

in that but we will be mindful of that in our

questioning.

So we're going to ask you to take ten minutes or so to give us a sense of who you are and also to give us the benefit of your thoughts about the wide range of topics we've been discussing, and then we've got questions for you and then Chris Wellborn is going to lead the questioning.

I'm going stop talking so we have at much as time as possible and turn the floor over to you.

MR. GELFAND: Thank you,
Mr. Jones and Members of the Panel. I really am
honored and feel privileged to be here.

Congressman Kucinich, for whom I work, was invited to this, but as you can imagine, he gets invited to a lot of things and he is not able to make a lot of them, and this one in particular he couldn't make because he's in Washington, it's a session day, and sometimes people who are the invitors will take a

representative, sometimes they want the
congressman themselves, so I feel very privileged
to have been accepted to fill in for the
Congressman.

Unlike some of the other people who have already spoken, neither the Congressman nor I are specific experts in reentry, although we deal with the issue a lot in our day-to-day work.

Just to give you an idea of our day-to-day work -- and I want to make the distinction between the legislative function and the representative function -- you can watch C-SPAN and see the Congressman and other members talking about the various legislation. That's pretty much what they do in Washington, is they deal with legislation.

For instance, on point, you know, we've got legislation having to do with the war on drugs. One thing that's really on point is the Second Chance Act funding that is in the queue right now in Washington.

And something that's very personal to the congressman is the department of peace.

Although in the nearly 16 years that Mr. Kucinich has been in Congress he hasn't been able to get

that passed. It's an idea whose time may have not come yet, but it will come and I'll talk a little bit more about that.

But here on the ground, talking about the representative function, we all have a First Amendment right to petition our government for a redress of grievance, and that's something that we do in our district offices every day. People come in, they call, they e-mail, they say I have a problem with the government and sometimes it's not even about the government, it could be anything.

Oftentimes when I take these calls I say what is the federal function here, but oftentimes it has nothing to do with federal government or very little, but I try to find a way to link it to the federal government and work with people.

So, you know, where we talk about the war on drugs and legislation, we're dealing with people who may have used drugs and got caught and are facing prison sentences or are coming out of prison and crowding the prisons, frankly, for having, you know, smoked pot at the wrong place at the wrong time.

We have treatment programs on the realm. We have a drug court here in Cleveland.

We also have, and this is new and I happen to be on the advisory council for it, the Veterans

Treatment Docket in the Cleveland Municipal Court.

A lot of veterans are coming out of the wars and service and they're committing misdemeanors and they could be doing time but if, you know, a decision is made that maybe they would benefit better from treatment rather than prison, they might go through that docket, and that's something that we deal with here. It's not necessarily federal legislation, but it's the way -- you know, it's one way that, on the ground, we're dealing with the war on drugs.

It's not about sending troops to Columbia, it's about helping a veteran who is finding him or herself with a problem.

Second Chance Act, I have a letter that I'm going to let you have for the record, but this is dated March 15th, 2012 and it's in support of the Second Chance Act Programs for the FY 2013 budget.

And, you know, the Second Chance Act

was passed but it's not always funded and it's
always a guestion.

Currently, you know, with the 112th Congress, we're dealing with a Congress that no longer is able to provide earmarks.

Now, you know, some people hate earmarks, some people love earmarks. Members of Congress from congressional districts know what the needs of their districts are, so earmarks are not a bad thing, but this Congress doesn't want to deal with earmarks.

What they do deal with, the

Appropriations Committee and ultimately on the

floor, is Dear Colleague letters and this is a

Dear Colleague letter, March 15th, signed by 25

members of Congress, including Mr. Kucinich,

asking the Appropriations Committee, the chairman

and the ranking members, to fund programs to deal

with, you know, reentry, with the problems that

people have upon reentry, after they've been

convicted and serve time in prison.

Now, the counterpart on the ground, this is a letter -- and I'll also provide this for the record -- this is a letter that

Mr. Kucinich sent to the Department of Justice,

1 Office of Justice Programs, in support of a 2 reentry grant. I think Mr. See talked a little bit 3 about the reentry grant he received, this would 4 be a continuation of it. 5 6 But here on the ground we see the 7 value of helping people when they're coming out 8 of prison to reorient themselves and to be able 9 to get a job, be able to get housing. 10 We get a lot of calls from people and 11 they don't know what to do. 12 I call Mr. See. You know, frankly 13 that's, you know, what I've -- in my 30 years 14 working for the Congressman, I call him and our 15 constituents get the service they need, the 16 direction they need. 17 There are a lot of programs that I 18 know he depends on, you know, after I call him. 19 So we work together on finding the resources, 20 finding, you know, what we need to help the 21 veteran. 22 You know, they may be talking on the 23 floor of the house and dealing in an 24 Appropriations Committee with a Second Chance

Act. What we're dealing with is that man or

25

woman who is coming out of prison, who can't get a job and can't get a place to live, so we work very closely with Mr. See, the county. The county gets that money.

We make sure that we let the appropriators know that here on the ground, in Cleveland and Cuyahoga County, we need that money because people need that second chance.

I also want to talk about the department of peace. Again, that's something you could watch a discussion about on C-SPAN. So far it hasn't really gotten to the point where it is going to be enacted, but Congressman Kucinich has a vision, we need to be thinking less about war and more about peace, and it's not just the international. The whole idea, it's not just international peace, it's international and domestic, and probably there are more points in the department of peace legislation about domestic peace than international.

And some of those issues are:

Develop policies and address domestic violence,

including spousal abuse, child abuse and

mistreatment of the elderly; creating new

policies and programs and expand existing

policies and programs that effectively reduce drug and alcohol abuse; development new policies and programs and expand existing policies and programs that effectively address crime, punishment and rehabilitation, including working to reduce prison recidivism rates, supporting the implementation of non-violent conflict resolution education and training for victims, perpetrators, and those who work with them.

This isn't about reentry, this is about helping communities stem the flow of entry into the prisons, because I think, you know, a big part of the problem is we're getting so many people in the prisons and there's so much money to be made from housing people in prison, communities are fighting.

We have an example of two communities in Texas that are fighting over a prison, they want that prison because of all of the jobs and money that come from the resource economy of a prison. We see that all the time.

Did I use the term prison industrial complex? It's a term that you hear a lot but it comes from industrial military, military industrial complexes, that goes back to the

1 | Eisenhower administration.

We see it every ten years when the BRAT Commission meets and when communities are up in arms that their military base is going to be closed.

You see the same thing, they want prisons because there are so many people going into prisons, it's a big part of their economy.

There is something we can do.

Drug dependency, people that are using drugs, that doesn't necessarily have to be something that people go to prison for.

When we're talking about the war on drugs, we need to talk about treatment rather than prison, talk about getting people in programs where they can be helped rather than treat them as criminals for, you know, in many cases, self-medicating for problems they have, maybe mental health problems.

So with the department of peace and the domestic programs what you see in a lot of neighborhoods is conflict, you have neighbors versus neighbors: Someone plays their music too loud, another neighbor doesn't like it; someone keeps their garbage cans out too long, somebody

doesn't like it; someone let's their weeds grow too long, people don't like it, and sometimes that escalates.

Want can we do in neighborhoods to stop people from fighting with each other? You have younger people, you have bullying, you have racism, sexism, other kinds of isms, where people are not being nice to one another, to put it mildly.

Are there ways that we can work in communities to reduce those tensions, to reduce the threat of violence, and are there programs where there's early intervention?

Here in Cleveland now there's a new book out by a local graphic novelist about he went to school with Jeffrey Dahmer, and there were things that they all noticed about Jeffrey Dahmer when he was a high school kid but people didn't notice it. Are there ways that we can intervene early to stop people from getting into a situation where they're going to be imprisoned?

So those are things that we're looking at.

I want to give one more example: As someone who works in the district office of a

congressman's office, we get a lot of people coming in and asking for help.

One of the -- I'll call her

Mrs. E. -- she called me a few weeks ago and her

son was convicted 23 years ago of some kind of

sexual crime, it had to do with his two

stepdaughters, and it's not clear exactly what he

did. He never admitted to doing it, whatever it

was he was excused of.

Now that translates in the Texas system, if you're in denial, you're one of the worst offenders. Now some people are in denial because they're in denial, some people are in denial because they didn't do it. They deny it because they didn't do it.

I don't know and I don't always ask for a detailed set of facts when I'm trying to help someone and we don't get involved in the prison system much because that's part of the court system and is a legislative office. We get involved in the larger policy issues. We get involved in the person-to-person level and trying to help people get what, if any, help they're eligible for, but we can't really get involved in intervening in a court case.

But what I've learned in looking into this case, her son is considered one of the worst offenders in Texas, sexual offenders in Texas, because he denies that he did it.

They have a program there, you probably heard of it, it's in a number of states, called civil commitment.

Let's say some states have better civil commitment than other states. Some states, it's really about getting people to rehabilitate themselves so they can function in society upon reentry, and in some states and the information I'm gathering about the Texas program, is that it's about keeping people in prison for the rest of their lives, and that seems to be what I'm learning in helping Mrs. E learn more about what her son is going through.

They have all of these contracts and you violate one term of the contract and it sets you back a whole number of years. And you're supposed to be getting so much therapy, but they will only fund a couple hours of therapy a week. So if you are someone who really needs the therapeutic aspect of the program, you're not getting it. You're really being put upfront as

1 someone who has the same problems, they have the 2 problem as well, but they're supposed to be 3 treated for it and they're not getting help. So it might be a 25-year term or 4 5 30-year term, whatever the term is, it 6 effectively turns into a life sentence. 7 That's some of the things, I guess an example, of the kind of stories that we hear in 8 9 our office from constituents in our district. 10 I don't know if that was ten minutes. 11 MR. JONES: Well, thank you 12 for that. I hope somebody answers that phone 13 because between you and your office of peace and Mr. See and the forgiveness, and the faith-based 14 15 organizations, I think we may have tapped into a 16 higher power. I hope somebody picks up that 17 phone. 18 I am going to turn the questioning over to Chris. 19 20 MR. WELLBORN: Thank you very 21 much. I really do appreciate you coming. I know 22 you have got a lot going on. 23 We've heard a lot today, also sort of 24 in anticipation of coming today, about things 25 happening on a state level as far as state laws

in Ohio specifically, but I would like sort of for you to focus on some federal steps because that's more your milieu.

There seem to be a ton of collateral consequences that flow from federal convictions that face federal ex-offenders coming out and reentering society, everything from people who are charged with internet porn cases down to somebody who's charged with -- well, could be charged with a state criminal domestic violence charge and wind up with the 922(g) consequences, felony in possession of a firearm conclusions, which, of course, if they're a military officer or police officer or they need a security clearance, there goes their career, there goes their family's livelihood, and these might very well be patriotic military Americans.

I'm sure your office has probably dealt with some of these folks or at least had those concerns expressed.

My question for you is because we've heard a very, very important term that was raised in the last panel at some length, that is the term of forgiveness and the concept of forgiveness, and I think it was most eloquently

1 put by Mr. See and sunset laws.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Is there any movement afoot on a federal level to take a serious look, not just at the over-criminalization of federal law and not just at the fact that the Congress seems to take a lot of what we used to consider garden variety state street crime and federalize it, but also the concept of what is happening long-term to otherwise tax paying, law abiding, contributing Americans, not only in your constituent base but nationwide, to try and address that to such as perhaps have some sort of sunset provisions written into the federal detention laws or perhaps to even address the collateral consequences aspects, because some of them quite frankly, from the standpoint of an in-the-trenches, practicing criminal defense lawyer are absolutely insane and many prosecutors and most judges think they're insane.

That's my question for you, beyond the colleague letters, is there any sort of discussion going on in Congress between perhaps Congressman Kucinich and other representatives and Senators about this particular problem?

MR. GELFAND: Well, again,

most of what I'm informed by is what is happening in the streets in the 10th Congressional District and the surrounding areas than the discussions that the Congressman might be having in the cloakroom or on the floor of the House.

What I can tell you, what I've observed, is that since 9/11 most of the criminal legislation that I've been aware of has been strengthening the charges, making it easier to convict people, making more federal crimes where it previously had been limited to state crimes and not the other way around.

Now, one of the things that -actually here in Ohio -- and I know it's
different in the different states -- but in Ohio
one of the things that you get when you reenter
society from prisons is the right to vote, and
not everybody knows that and not every state does
that.

But as someone who has been political in my spare time and have gone out and done voter registration and canvassing door to door, I can't tell you how many people I've run into who say oh, I would like to vote for your candidate, but I can't vote.

1 Oh, really, why not? 2 Because I'm a felon. 3 Oh, are you in prison? Guess what, you can vote, here's a registration. 4 People don't know that. I think 5 6 people need to know that, because, you know, part 7 of the whole -- I think what the members talk about on the floor and in the cloakrooms are, you 8 9 know, reflective of what they're hearing from 10 their constituents and people who take the time 11 and have the motivation to vote. If there's a whole community of 12 13 people and a segment of the population that isn't 14 voting, either because they can't vote or don't 15 know they're allowed to, that's information that 16 members aren't necessarily getting. So, you 17 know, for the pendulum to swing the other way 18 more people need to vote. 19 MR. WELLBORN: I think we get 20 the fact that since 9/11 there has been a lot of 21 federal sort of interest in quote "criminal 22 justice." 23 Obviously vice is involved with 24 internet porn case, Homeland Security is involved 25 with counterfeit T-shirt cases in my particular

```
1
     jurisdiction. It is sort of absurd.
                  But beyond that still the question
 2
     remains, can something be done on a federal level
 3
     to deal with these ridiculous collateral
 4
     consequences that affect people on a federal
 5
 6
     level, which is, of course, what Congressman
     Kucinich is involved in, so that we have some
 7
     perhaps forgiveness on the federal side as well
 8
 9
     as forgiveness on the state side and how can we
10
     do that?
11
                  MR. GELFAND:
                                       I appreciate
12
     your question and I can't give you a direct
13
     answer to it because I don't know specifically.
14
     That wasn't something that I looked up before I
15
     came here, but I think that, you know -- I think
16
     that that's an excellent question, something that
17
     I would be happy to look at and, you know, get
18
     back to the panel if you would like.
19
                  MR. WELLBORN:
                                       Thank you.
20
                  MR. GELFAND:
                                       There is
21
     something out there that deals with that.
22
                  MR. JONES:
                                      Anybody have any
23
     questions?
24
                  Geneva?
25
                 MS. VANDERHORST: You talked about
```

```
1
     that you often contact or coordinate with
 2
     Mr. Charles See, who was on our last panel.
 3
     you have a chance to hear his thoughts about a
     sunset provision?
 4
 5
                 MR. GELFAND:
                                       Yeah, I was
 6
     sitting right there.
                 MS. VANDERHORST:
 7
                                       Is there
     anything that you're aware of, that would block
8
9
     such a provision as to automatically having
10
     certain offenses go into a sealing or
11
     expungement, after a certain number of years?
12
                 MR. GELFAND:
                                       Well, I think
13
     when you're dealing with legislation you have
     legislators, whether it's state or federal,
14
     listening to everybody that has an interest, who
15
16
     wants to express that interest, you're going to
17
     get people who are giving you reasons why there
18
     should be a sunset provision and you're going to
19
     have people who are telling you why there
20
     shouldn't.
21
                  I think Mr. See expressed it very
22
     well when he talked about the reasonableness of
23
     what is being done.
24
                  Obviously you don't want someone who
     is convicted of child molestation to be working
25
```

in a daycare center, but there are lots of jobs that people can do, depending on what they're crime is, and that's what we have to be able --if we're dealing with sunset or forgiveness or whatever you want to call it, there has to be some kind of rational basis for either the forgiveness or non-forgiveness of a certain offense. Legislators are going to hear both sides or all sides, because there are probably

sides or all sides, because there are probably more than two, as to why this crime should not be forgiven or forgotten or that crime should have a sunset, a lot of circumstances, and they do get into a lot of details in considering the latest law.

MS. VANDERHORST: Some of the things that you refer to, that your office is looking at, like certain minor drug offenses, that seems to be appropriate for a certain kind of sunset provision.

Is there anything that is barring having something like that set up for just misdemeanors, for instance?

MR. GELFAND: You know, one of the things that -- you have to be careful here

1 because I wear a couple of hats.

I'm a city councilman in one of the Cleveland suburbs, in South Euclid, and they tell me at the House of Representatives that I should come either representing the House or representing myself as a councilman, but let me share something with you that in my experience as a councilman I've dealt with.

We just solidified our nuisance law and what that means is that, you know, if you get the police called on you a bunch of times, you're going to have to pay much stiffer penalties.

I think part of the problems, one of things that the Congressman deals with in his department of peace, why are the people calling the police on other people. Sometimes it's for good reason and sometimes it's harassment and people not liking each other.

So what I think we're doing in municipalities is we're trying to find -- because people are upset with other people -- we're trying to find ways to stem the tide of crime and to hold back the floodgates of crime and, you know, people's neighborhoods aren't the same as they were, you know, 20 years ago and they want

1 to deal with the issues of crime.

And this isn't just the federal level, this is at the local level, tapping all over, more people are becoming eligible to be convicted of crimes that may well be merely a nuisance, but nuisances escalate and become more than nuisances, and I think you're going to get a lot of resistance to wanting to coddle criminals, as it were. I mean, that's where you are going to get resistance.

When I talked about legislators
hearing both or all sides of an issue, you're
going to get people saying what about all of the
collateral consequences of someone convicted and
then you're going to get people saying, well,
what about these wrongdoers that are causing my
neighborhood to deteriorate.

And I think there is a balancing act we see all the time between how do we keep peace in the neighborhood and how do we bring people back into the neighborhood when they've served their time.

I mean, I have to tell you this is a very timely issue and I'm glad that you're doing this fact-finding because it really is -- there

really is a conflict in our society, these issues are coming up.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JONES: We really are out of time. I just want to get one last very short comment from you.

This is our third stop on our journey, we've been in Cook County, Illinois and we've been in southern Florida and we've heard from elected officials in all of those places.

We've also heard from other folks, colleagues of the Congressmen in the House and we've heard from representatives from the executive, the governor's office in Illinois, and in talking about this issue of what as a society we ought be doing, the direction we ought be taking as a society with respect to thinking about how to treat folks who are coming back into society after having potentially made a mistake earlier in life, whether we should be implementing policies that support forgetting and acting as though -- expunging -- and acting as though it didn't happen or being a more generous sort of society and forgiving, understanding that you made this mistake but as a society forgiving and embracing.

What we've heard from elected officials in many cases is this notion that -and it sort of may be in line with the idea of tough on crime and not coddling criminals, and this sort of feeling that elected officials have to sort of show they're tough on crime, although now I hear people saying smart on crime, is this notion of you can't mandate society to forgive but you can mandate forgetting. So I'm just wondering, as an elected official yourself we find out, but also as a

So I'm just wondering, as an elected official yourself we find out, but also as a representative of the Congressman, where you come down on that notion of forgetting versus forgiving as a policy going forward?

MR. GELFAND: The forgetting is really not -- you know, my boss is a legislator and local I'm a legislator, and legislators don't have the power to forget.

Legislators don't have expungement power, they don't have pardon power, governors and presidents do.

And, you know, at one point I tried to help someone get a pardon, you know, just kind of guided him in what he needed to do to get it through the governor's office, and what I found

out was that the governor in Ohio -- I don't have all of the details -- the governor in Ohio doesn't have a lot of -- there are certain criteria that he or she, as the case may be, had to get from a pardoning commission. He can't just say, okay, you're pardoned without himself looking at all of the facts and having the pardoning commission look at all of the facts. Presidents I think have more latitude but, you know, people have tried to get

but, you know, people have tried to get

presidential pardons and I don't know how -- I

mean, sometimes they can be political, presidents

usually it is near the end of their term and they

pardon a whole lot of people before they leave

office, so the forgetting part is really an

executive function and is a privilege of the

office.

Forgiving I think is something more that the legislature can do, and find ways to mitigate the collateral consequences of imprisonment and conviction.

MR. JONES: Thank you. We are out of time and we appreciate you being here and please give our regards to the Congressman the next time you see him. Thank you very much.

1 We're going to take a ten-minute 2 break and reconvene. 3 (Whereupon there was a recess in 4 5 the proceedings from 3:40 p.m. to 6 3:51 p.m.) 7 8 MR. JONES: This is our last 9 panel of the day and before we start, just a 10 couple of housekeeping things and 11 acknowledgments. We are joined here for this final 12 13 panel by the full compliment of our task force. 14 We've got Vicky Young, who is my co-chair from 15 San Francisco, sitting over there eating her 16 lunch. 17 They've been out at site visits, in 18 the field, working hard, and so she is taking 19 this opportunity to eat. 20 And Larry Goldman, at the end of the 21 table, from New York, as well as Jenny Roberts, 22 our reporter, from Washington, D.C. 23 I also should just acknowledge 24 Elizabeth Kelley, who is in the back, who we 25 mentioned this morning, but now she's here.

Let's recognize her as a board member from NACDL and she was very instrumental in helping us put this whole wonderful two days together.

And then finally, Jerry Cox, who's in the back, who is our first vice president, and at the end of the summer will become president elect and has been with us all day. We appreciate him being with us as well. Thanks to all of those folks in the room.

Before we begin, we've got four of you, I've been asked to let you know that you're both being videotaped as well as there's a stenographic transcript of these hearings being made, and so both for the videotape as well as for the court reporter, I'm going to ask that when you're speaking, you speak into the microphones that you've got in front of you and also that you try to speak as slowly as you can, because she's getting down everything that you're saying.

Having said all of that, welcome.

It's a pleasure to have you here and we look

forward to engaging in a discussion with you.

As you guys have probably seen now, because this is our last panel of the day, the

1 way we operate is we designate one of our number 2 to be the primary person responsible for doing the questioning of each panel and for this panel 3 that will be Geneva Vanderhorst. 4 5 I'm going to now stop talking and 6 turn the floor over to you and leave it to you 7 all to decide who goes first. We're going to ask each of you to 8 9 give us five or ten minutes by way of opening 10 statement, let us know who you are and what 11 brings you to this table, and give us the benefit of your thoughts and then we've got lots and lots 12 13 of questions for you. 14 I'll stop talking and turn it over to 15 whoever wants to go first. 16 MS. JACKSON: My name is 17 Tamara Jackson. I'm currently the reentry 18 coordinator for Wayne-Holmes counties, Ohio. 19 I've been there since 2010, in that position. 20 I've been in the social services/criminal justice 21 field for about 17 years. 22 MR. JONES: I can almost bet 23 you that the court reporter is going to ask you 24 to slow down just a little bit. 25 MS. JACKSON: I understand.

I've been in the social services/criminal justice field for approximately 17 years. I started in this career field after graduating with a degree in criminal justice, very green, and went to work for one of only two at the time sex offender facilities that treated sex offenders, in Mansfield, Ohio, the Volunteers of America.

I soon became very aware of many of the issues surrounding reentry, as I started my employment there in 1997, with the inception of Megan's Law and the registry itself.

We went literally from having employers, about 14 that we were able to work with, quickly down to only three employers who were willing to still employ these individuals because of the fact that those offenders, you know, have to list their employer's addresses.

In working there -- I want to say something that is -- there has been so many wonderful things said today, so I'm kind of scattered in thinking about all of these things over and over in my head.

I perform SONAR assessments, which is the Sex Offenders Needs and Risk Assessment,

1 | while working there.

Many of the offenders that were coming out of prison had not yet been classified and we were still under a risk-assessed-based system at that time, and I could never understand and still don't to this day why we, as paid professionals, were determining risk levels, and yet when these individuals went back to their sentencing county to be classified, no recommendations from us were even virtually in the courtroom, and that seemed to be a continual issue.

As the Adam Walsh Act then came about and we became an offense-based state, we still have the same issues.

And I would say, my opinion on the sex offender registry is that it's not cost effective. And although it has been a great way for many of our state legislators to, I think, give the public a false sense of security, it has done virtually nothing to really prevent sexual abuse. Legislation does not prevent sexual abuse, not that type of legislation.

Currently we have -- I work in the most rural part of Ohio actually -- not the most

but one of the more rural parts of Ohio, Amish
population, too -- which makes us a little
unique.

We don't have a high number of offenders but we're under a planning grant currently and it's all part of the reentry coalition initiative that the state and DRC has been doing and that's what I work under.

I'm under a planning grant, so I have a lot more administrative duties than hands-on duties with making referrals, but trying to just change the social service agencies' viewpoints into having them understand how important tracking is has been very difficult.

This is a very under -- although we know this is not an under-serviced population, they're a very unaccounted for population, because we don't have jobs and family services, metro housing, all of these, you know, mental health and recovery boards across Ohio.

We don't have those people
necessarily taking the numbers down to understand
how truly, you know, how many people are actually
demanding services and/or in need of services and
draining our system of these services when many

1 of them could be able to work.

Out of 60 phone calls I received just this year, in the last four months, 58 are offenders and members who are trying to obtain employment. Employment is just a huge issue and continues to be.

It doesn't matter whether these former offenders were convicted three months ago or 30 years ago, it seems to be an ongoing situation, it's the same thing.

I would like to say, you know, I think it was an onslaught of, you know, the '90s, we hired typically, especially one of our manufacturing companies and temporary agencies.

I think with the combination of that being said and also the sex offender registry, it gave more sigma to offenders in general, because most of the temp agencies went to saying, hey, we need to stay competitive, we're definitely not going to hire somebody with an arrest record, because that only answers that we, you know, are going to be a better, you know, a more feasible -- sending you more feasible people to be employed.

And I feel that that's -- the sex

offender registry, it's beyond the sex offender, it's about offenders now because every time we hear that word over and over, it's the stigma association along with all of that.

I left the criminal justice field for a while and I actually was here in Cleveland for about five and a half years and I worked for a for-profit company and I worked for the Department of Job and Family Services, here in Cuyahoga County.

When I went back to -- I went back to then the educational field for a short while. I was trying to assist individuals who were receiving public assistance and trying to help them obtain employment. And I worked for the Adult Basic Literacy and Education Program or ABLE, for those seeking their GED to be able to do that.

I was flabbergasted by the fact that we could no longer ignore juvenile records. All of a sudden we had gals in their early twenties who wanted to become an STNA, not really a great paying job, but it gets their foot in the door in the medical world, and they could not do that if they had certain offenses on their juvenile

record. It could be anything. Maybe they wanted to go into daycare.

Many of the educational institutions, there's kind of a two-fold system here, some of them will not accept certain types of offenders to be in their programs, even though there may be an adult institution, that seems to be an issue, and then there are some higher learning institutions who, because they need the numbers to fill classrooms, they are bringing them in and then these people are getting financial aid, owing loans possibly, and then they can't pursue that career field. That's a huge problem from an educational standpoint, that I saw working in that field, when it came to ex-offenders.

I just don't understand why there are not any checks and balance systems in place, especially when it comes to the background investigations.

It's understood now that -- I think our technology has superceded not only the registry but all of these BCI records being out there, and now with all of the online institutions that are out there, they are causing a lot of people to have misinterpreted records.

1 If an employer, human resources 2 staff, is not educated on how to read those or 3 just taking those verbatim as they get them through, this is causing a lot of harm to a lot 4 of people who have arrests sometimes and not even 5 6 a real conviction. I've even heard stories where the sex 7 offender has to register every 90 days as a Tier 8 9 Level 3. This is showing up as an arrest record 10 each and every time. That's a huge issue. 11 MR. JONES: Ms. Jackson, I'm 12 going to have to stop you there, but we'll get 13 back to you, I promise. 14 That's fine. MS. JACKSON: 15 MR. GUNNELL: Good afternoon 16 everybody, I'm here to testify to the barriers 17 and the collateral consequences that a person who 18 has a felony on their record has to go through. 19 And how I got here today is really a 20 story and a journey in and of itself but be that 21 as it may, here I am. 22 I committed a felony 29 years ago. I 23 was convicted November, 1983, receiving stolen 24 property, forgery and theft, that was my crime, 25 and that has haunted me since the day that it

1 happened.

I realize -- I didn't know what I was doing at the time -- I realize now that it was the single biggest mistake I have made in my life and I now try to mentor younger people and even people who may not have this awareness as to what kind of problems they'll face, how they have to become more aware, how they have to educate themselves, how they have to work hard in order to survive in this society which does not forgive people for criminal records.

I've always kind of been involved in social issue, anyone who read my bio, you will see some of that and I won't repeat that information, but that doesn't tell the whole story.

You go through hundreds sometimes rejections. I used to keep them all at one point in time but there were so many, it was taking over my little space in the house, hundreds of them.

People look at you in a different
manner. There is a stigma that goes along with
this and it does lead to, you know, a person
maybe having feelings of inferiority or not being

equal and certainly it puts them in a position a lot of times where they cannot live to the full potential of their lives, especially -- and I want to focus on this -- well, just to go back a little bit.

I managed to keep a job after a year or so out of incarceration, but I got the job by telling a lie on the application. After going through tens, twenties of applications and always getting rejected, I didn't have a choice, it was either that or go back to prison.

I was fortunate that I had strong family behind me, which is a reason why I never got re-incarcerated, but believe me the thoughts were there. Society is really unforgiving on that.

I built myself, I built a business, a real estate business, even got a broker's license from the State of Ohio with, of course, the additional inquiry that goes along with a person who has a felony on their record, but I did get it.

So I worked on real estate. I did rehab and sales. I tried to hire people and did what I could. I was pretty successful at it.

I was so successful that the City of Columbus got word of what I was doing and hired me as a housing development program manager. It was a good job because it was what I wanted to do, help rebuilt communities, help nonprofits in what they did as far as housing people, and I got to deal with a lot of the other issues that people who have low income and low skills or maybe have other issues, as far as criminal records and things of that nature, have a better life. I got laid off from that job in 2009,

I got laid off from that job in 2009, cuts hit, as they did in 2008. It was a political atmosphere. I won't say it was a political decision to get rid of me but I think it was.

Since that time I've been looking for a job. It took me actually two years just about, actually, I will say almost a year and a half, to find employment, and when I did find employment, it was in a halfway house, which is where I currently work.

That's good because that helps with my passions as far as, you know, talking with people and trying to help them with their

passions in life and what they want to do after their release.

But I would like to focus on is the collateral consequences, and I heard some conversation on the last panel concerning the federal consequences of having a record, well it's now state also.

I was involved with FEMA for a time at my job at the City of Columbus, decided that this was a job or an organization that I wanted to try to work for, so I started looking into FEMA and seeing what it is I could do, made several applications, and they were quite interested in my abilities. I actually got an offer from FEMA in 2008 for a position in their disaster relief.

I went through all of the paperwork and the regulations and things that they require and they actually extended an offer to me.

So I passed an FBI check and all of the background checks, and I thought I would, my record was 20-some years old, I just somehow thought it might have been lost in the closet, which was wrong.

But things turned out where I could

not accept that position because of family situations, pressures and things that came out after the offer was made, but I stayed interested in it and decided that maybe I could do this disaster inspection or the other kinds of housing things from a different angle, which led me to an organization called Dewberry, which is FEMA's largest contractor for disaster inspection.

I had a real good, I guess, talk with them. They actually flew me to Washington, got involved, wanted to put me on their payroll and this was probably in 2010.

After they went through the FBI check and did all of things, they told me that I was not suitable for employment as a contractor for FEMA and I couldn't understand why, after going through all of this.

Well, it turns out that now, since 9/11, the Department of Homeland Security has become involved in any arrest that goes on in the nation, it does not matter what it is, any arrests. Some are sent to -- to my understanding, I don't know the depth or the scope of how it all works -- but I do know that my record went to the FBI, and I had no idea that

1 | my record was at the FBI.

So I now have a federal record, which excludes me from a lot of employment opportunities or even contracting opportunities.

That was a big blow. You know, I had to suck it up and deal with it, but the collateral consequences that happen with the person because they have a record, it never leaves them, it never leaves them, and I am glad to see the lawyers and attorneys are now getting involved because that means something is going to get done.

What work you folks do is appreciated, believe me, by a person such as myself, you would not know how tremendously it is.

There are a number of people that I deal with daily who have criminal records and they're felons, and we talk daily about certain things, about what they can do, how did I get through it, what are you doing and how can I do this, and if I can tell them that there are people that actually hear what they are going through, it will mean a lot and it will probably give them a lot of hope in order to move forward.

I don't want to take up all of the 1 2 time. I know the other panelists have something to say. I'll quit right there. 3 MR. JONES: Thank you very 4 much. 5 6 Mr. Torres? 7 MR. TORRES: Good afternoon. 8 My name is Jose Torres. I am a medical and 9 judicial terminology Spanish language 10 interpreter. 11 I have a summary of my story here so 12 I don't forget important things. It's titled, 13 "Changing Lives and Changing Community." 14 Four years ago if someone had said to 15 me your life can change and you can help change 16 your community, I would have laughed and denied 17 it, that is because I had fallen to the lowest 18 point of my life. I experienced a lot of dark 19 moments. 20 I came to the United States to escape 21 the political violence that destroyed my family 22 and everything we had in Columbia. 23 I began my college studies at a very 24 prestigious university, El Extenado, in Bogota, 25 but the violence suddenly took my father, our

1 | home and land and left me in a coma for a year.

Once I relearned to walk, talk and

3 function normally, I had to make the tough

4 decision to leave all that I knew behind, my

5 family, friends and the community that I loved.

6 Here I began working three jobs to
7 support my family, leaving little time for money

8 to finish school.

After receiving a payroll check from a job I was working, I attempted to cash it and found out from that financial institution that it was a forged document. Through my own ignorance of the American justice system I found myself in jail on a felony and penniless. The legal representation was not very good.

Once I was able to get out, things seemed so bleak that I no longer considered life valuable.

I was estranged from my family, not able to see my son, suffered a divorce and sanctioned with a child support obligation that started while I was incarcerated and increased greatly over time.

I thought that all hope of finishing college and having a career was completely lost

when my marriage fell apart and my home and family were once lost again.

Such desperation led to months of reckless alcohol abuse and depression. I seriously thought of suicide.

After living with incarceration and fighting to stay alive, I joined AA, Alcoholics Anonymous, which led to my recovery and help with developing a sober lifestyle.

I was blessed to have friends who have supported me and helped me meet the right people and resources to resolve my legal and financial issues.

I was finally referred to the

Columbus Urban League. Since becoming a member

of the Columbus Urban League community, I have

established a relationship with my son and

learned how to be a father; I have been able to

secure employment; I have learned how important

community support is when one has lost his own

family.

Without my friends, adopted family and the Columbus Urban League, my son would have been without a father.

For this reason my deepest desire is

to finish the education I started and become an attorney, that will allow me to provide a better future for my son and allow me to help others like me, on the brink of losing their lives the way I was.

Currently I'm participating in other supportive activities, such as the Columbus Urban Leagues Restored Citizen Reentry Summit and the National Task Force on Restoration of Rights and Status after Conviction.

These types of initiatives are designed to educate, restore citizens, and restore resources and rights.

These are a few of the barriers I have faced as a result of being a convicted felon and these includes:

Elimination from employment

consideration, despite the details of my charge

and the amount of many time that has passed;

excessive obligation of child support and court

costs; impact of both custody and visitation

rights; inability to secure professional license

and driver's license; difficulty complying with

terms of probation, employment, stable housing,

et cetera; limited access to quality healthcare

```
1
     and behavioral health intervention services.
 2
                  My hope is that by coming here today,
 3
     I'll help shed light on many challenges that I
     have faced and that many, many others have faced
 4
     as well.
 5
 6
                 My goal is to be the best father I
7
     can and to contribute to the community in a
8
     positive way.
9
                  Removing the collateral sanctions
10
     that restore a citizen's face is very important.
11
     I support their removal and thank you for taking
12
     the time to hear my story.
13
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Thank you,
14
     Mr. Torres. We appreciate it.
15
                 Mr. Frazier?
16
                 MR. FRAZIER:
                                      Good afternoon.
17
     I would like to thank the National Criminal
18
     Defense Bar for taking up this pressing issue, an
19
     issue of great importance to all Americans.
20
                 Like the other two gentleman on the
21
     panel I, too, am formerly incarcerated, whereas
22
     they made one mistake in their lives and it kind
23
     of defined them, I, on the other hand, was a
24
     career criminal.
25
                  I was a counterfeiter, I made credit
```

1 cards. I did it for close to 30 years. I went 2 to prison five times. They said I took \$11 million. I don't know where it went. 3 My last number, in 1992, when I went 4 5 to prison -- I always loved to read, so I taught 6 myself to write, I managed to get published and 7 now I publish a magazine called "Reentry Advocate." 8 9 You can pass that down. I generally 10 don't pass them out because some people start 11 reading it and stop listening to me. 12 The reason I decided to do that is 13 that -- the purpose -- and I don't want to get 14 too far off point -- your purpose is the Task 15 Force on Restoration of Rights and Status After Conviction, that's so you want to make people's 16 17 lives better, so I get that. 18 How do you go about that? My way is 19 to try to make society more humane towards this 20 population. 21 The purpose of the magazine is to put 22 a human face on the population, to try to get 23 employers, to try to get family members to 24 realize these are human beings.

My magazine goes into prisons in 20

25

states currently. Every prison in Ohio, select prisons and juvenile facilities, and it goes into libraries in certain communities where a large percentage of the people come from, and the goal in prisons is to cognitively restructure prisoners.

Part of the problem -- and I know as you go around the country, you're going to talk to a lot of people who say we want society to change this, we want legislatures to change that, we want people to step up and make these changes -- I don't think enough emphasis is placed on my little nephew, Ray Ray, who's sitting in prison, who went to prison with a tenth grade education and came out with an eleventh grade education.

I get in trouble when I say this, I sometimes think why should we let you out when you have no skills to support yourself?

I've suggested to the director and

Terry Collins, the former director, what I would

love to see you guys advocate for, let's take a

prison in each state and turn it into where every

prisoner there is going to school and fund it.

We know that any prisoner that gains

more education -- as education increases,
recidivism decreases, known, proveable fact.

So what did we do in America, Kay
Bailey Hutchison killed PEL grants in the '80s,
and there are still no PEL grants, so we know
education works but we take the money from it.

it, let's make it faith-based and then turn society's obligations to try to solve the problem over to the faith -- and I have no problem with the faith-based community, they do a very good job in a lot of cases, but it's still -- so it is just a -- my fear, though, is it's going to go toward privatization, so how much are these people really interested in solving the problem once you start going to privatization, that's another whole story.

In terms of people coming back from incarceration being qualified and fit to work, I don't know if you realize when President Obama got elected, on his way to Washington, to his inauguration, he stopped in Cleveland.

He stopped actually about 20 miles outside of Cleveland, a little city called Bedford Heights, to a place called Cardinal

1 | Fastener. I don't know if you remember that.

He stopped there for a reason,

Cardinal Fastener employs about 120 people, about

110 of them are formerly incarcerated but the

owner doesn't tell a lot of people because he's

afraid if it got too well known, they might not

buy his products, just like Ms. Jackson said, the

secondary boycott because you use this

population.

Those of us that work in this field know that people who are negatively credentialed by felony conviction have a lot to prove. They will be good employees if you give them a chance.

But something I think the gentleman at the end, you were talking about legislators, they get elected by posturing as tough on crime, so they will make laws that stop people from getting back into the employment market.

So one of the things that we do at our non-profit, we try to figure out ways to model off of what they're doing in San Francisco with the Delancey Foundation, which means that we try to create work for this population.

There was a study done by a woman named Devah Pager, she's a Princeton professor.

1 She took four groups of people, same age, similar backgrounds, and had them go out and apply for 3 jobs.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One group was white and said they had never been to prison; one group was white and said they had been to prison; another group was black, said they had never been to prison; the fourth group was black and said they had been to prison.

Well, this being American, we know the group, the white guys who had never been to prison, got called back the most.

Who got called back the second most? White guys that had been to prison got called back more than black guys that had never been to prison.

So the whole thing of a felony conviction is a bit of a chimera, it's not necessarily the thing, the reason you don't get the job, sometimes it's an excuse.

Now, that study has been replicated in New York City. She did it the first time in Milwaukee. And I would like the see it replicated in other cities so we confront this issue of what's really behind this whole notion

1 of felon convictions.

Part of it -- as the gentleman in the middle was saying -- part of it is very political. The more people with felony convictions, the less people voting on certain sides of the aisles.

If people in Florida could have voted, we wouldn't have had eight years of -- well, eight years we probably could have done without.

So one of the things that -- you know, as lawyers when you say what can we do, I think you have to move back a step, what can we change.

Actually by the time the person has been tagged with that felony conviction and, let's face it, in Cuyahoga County 6,000 people come back from prison every year with a felony conviction, but 12,000 get a felony conviction and never go to prison, so they're just as negatively credentialed, so they have the exact same problem.

So in Cuyahoga County, and I think it's in a lot of other counties, stacking up high numbers is what prosecutors do.

We know that in Cuyahoga County there was this thing about crack pipes and all around the suburban areas a crack pipe was a misdemeanor, in Cleveland it was a felony, here's why: The police got overtime for going to court to testify, so they didn't want to change it from a felony because these police officers were making money off of it.

So how do you get prosecutors to start charging fairly, start not overcharging people, the only way I can see it.

You know, in Cuyahoga County, as in most places, 90 percent of the cases are plea bargained, 10 percent go to trial.

Well, if you raise that to 20 percent going to trial, the jury system could not handle 20 percent going to trial, not in this county, so that would tend to make the prosecutor make better deals and drop some charges because, you know, too often -- I do have a bone the pick with lawyers -- that sometimes you guys kind of want to keep the process moving along, so you kind of go along with stuff that you know ain't quite right, but, boy, we've got to get them through this chute, from here to there, or the system is

1 going to clog up. 2 I suggest let's clog up the system a 3 little sometimes, then maybe we'll start making the changes to reduce the population that is in 4 5 prison. 6 MR. JONES: Thank you, sir. Geneva? 7 MS. VANDERHORST: Thank you to 8 9 each of you. 10 You may know that this is actually 11 the third jurisdiction that we've visited. We 12 have a good sense of what's going on, the general 13 issue, but there are certain things that we have 14 not been able to gather a lot of information on, 15 and as you can imagine, a big topic, a big part 16 of that is sex offenders. 17 So I would like to start in the time 18 that we have left with Ms. Jackson, particularly 19 talking about the treatment experiences of sex 20 offenders in Ohio, with a particular view towards 21 women sex offenders, which I don't think we've 22 got any information on in the jurisdictions we've

What's happening to them in terms of treatment, and then when they get released, where

23

24

25

already dealt with.

are they staying? Where can they actually live and not violate?

MS. JACKSON: Currently there are very few places, halfway houses, even the community-based facilities don't have the treatment piece to ensure that these individuals are receiving the treatment that they in fact need prior to release into the community.

And for the most part -- I just recently dealt with this situation, which we had a female offender returning to our county and we had no placement for her. Although we have a homeless shelter they, of course, will not take sex offenders. Her father is on metro housing, that prevented her living at that apartment. She could not live at her biological son because she had a two year old grandson in the home, she was left to be homeless.

We did have another county step up to the plate and agree to take her to a halfway house about two and a half hours south of our city, and at that point -- so there was a problem with parole departments and who was going to supervise her, and who was going to make payments to this halfway house, which county, because

1 technically she was ours. 2 And she ended up coming back to our 3 community, again homeless, and ended up at a behavioral health center because when she knew 4 she was going to be homeless, she was going to 5 6 commit suicide. This is a problem, too, now we're 7 spending \$400 a day for this individual to be in 8 9 a behavioral health center because they won't 10 release her as homeless, but nobody wants to foot 11 the bill or we don't have money for halfway 12 houses. This is a huge problem for these 13 individuals. Women are especially faced with it, 14 because there are just not a lot of sex offender 15 treatment facilities for them to go to in the 16 State of Ohio. I think that is probably true 17 nationally. 18 MS. VANDERHORST: So we've heard 19 about 2100 Lakeshore? 20 MR. JONES: Lakeside. 21 MS. VANDERHORST: Lakeside, in 22 Cleveland, where a lot of male sex offenders can 23 stay. Apparently it's an industrial area, away

24

25

We've also heard some counties will

from schools, churches, daycares and the like.

```
1
     hold sex offenders in jail until they can find
 2
     housing.
 3
                 Where does your county fall among
     those extremes, in terms of are they holding
 4
     people or are they releasing them and then
 5
 6
     placing them in specific areas?
7
                 MS. JACKSON:
                                      For the most
8
     part, many of them -- unless they have a support
9
     system or i-e a friend or a relative that is
10
     approved, a large part of them are homeless, a
11
     large majority of them. We've seen our homeless
12
     population rates sky rise since the inception of
13
     the registry. And I can say that first within
14
     the two counties I work in, and then the
15
     Volunteers of America, in Richland County, Ohio,
16
     because people won't rent to them.
17
                 We actually also have sex offenders
18
     in our jurisdiction who are working and living in
19
     their car because they can't find anybody to rent
20
     an apartment to them.
21
                 MS. VANDERHORST: So what's
22
     happening in terms of whether or not they're
23
     violating --
24
                 MS. JACKSON:
                                       The parole
     office is well aware of this.
25
```

1 MS. VANDERHORST: Another question 2 I had, we've had some discussions about 3 employment issues impacting family members of sex offenders, male and female, because addresses 4 have to be published on registries. 5 6 Can you talk to us a bit about how people are dealing with that in Ohio, how family 7 members are being impacted and what barriers 8 there are for them, in terms of being employed, 9 10 just for supporting other family members? 11 MS. JACKSON: Wow, I can come 12 up with so many examples on this. One example I 13 want to give, it's clear in my mind, is that we 14 had a gentleman who was released quite some time 15 ago, in 2002, and he had done 19 years 16 incarceration. 17 He found very gainful employment, and 18 at that time I think the economy was even a 19 little bit better, manufacturing was a little bit 20 better, and he worked for a company actually 21 here, in Cleveland. 22 And he had asked us -- because the 23 question, you know, the felony question was on 24 there, have you been convicted of a felony within 25 the past seven years, he asked myself and he

asked his parole officer, and we said, well, no, you've been convicted many years ago, it's okay for you to say no.

Indeed, once that company did find out he that he was on the sex offender registry, though, they fired him after three and a half years of employment. Sadly he was denied unemployment as well.

And although he contacted attorneys, he contacted the DRC again, because they based this decision on the fact that he had given the State of Ohio, London Correctional Institution, as part of his experience on his application, so that's why they decided to terminate his employment, but, obviously, it was probably because he was a sex offender.

But he had a wife and two children to support, and his wife at that time didn't have to work because he was making fairly good money and they had two small children.

So the impact to the families is unbelievable, and I think that that's what's probably made me a little more sensitive to that issue surrounding sex offenders, because of the mothers, the amount of family members that call,

1 | their sons, their daughters.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 They have maybe obtained -- you know, 3 they're degreed people. This is a crime that they're not usually, normally career criminals. 4 They come from socioeconomic families and 5 6 backgrounds that aren't necessarily used to the criminal justice system or have been involved in 7 it, and all of a sudden this is just very --8 9 they're a little more willing, maybe they have 10 some money, but they're going through their 11 retirement plans, their 401, they're cashing in 12 these plans and still only to find out that their 13 son cannot pursue a career maybe in the 14 profession they were going to because it involves 15 working with people directly and they can't work 16 in the social services field.

There are very few fields actually, besides manufacturing, that I can think of, that they may be eligible to work in, maybe landscaping, but again, if they're going to people's homes, that's not allowed.

I think it affects them, it affects all offenders, there is no finality. That's the bottom line, there is no finality. What are we doing as a society to just forever condemn

1 people? 2 MS. VANDERHORST: I think on the 3 website for Wayne-Holmes Reentry Coalition, I saw that you all started out as a children's cluster 4 and national service, juveniles and adults. 5 6 MS. JACKSON: Yes. MS. VANDERHORST: What kind of 7 services do you offer for juveniles? 8 9 MS. JACKSON: Currently we're 10 in our planning grant, we just received that this 11 year and we will be utilizing new programming 12 that also utilizes mentoring. We've had very 13 good work with mentoring adults. 14 The agency that I'm employed for does 15 some mentoring through our local court systems, 16 our county court system, so this will enable us 17 to bring in more of these juveniles out of the 18 placement institutions and provide services for them, as well. 19 20 MS. VANDERHORST: One last 21 question, you talked about particular employers 22 who were hiring sex offenders. 23 Are you aware of how they have been 24 able to get through barriers, where some other 25 employers have been saying they can't because of

1 insurance issues, because they have to disclose 2 to their clients? 3 MS. JACKSON: The few employers that are willing to employ the sex 4 offenders, from what we've seen in our community 5 6 specifically, are usually what we would consider 7 sweat shops, for lack of better terminology. 8 They are places where the majority of 9 the people do not want to work. There is no 10 place for them to work up the ladder and become 11 self-sustaining. They're \$8 an hour jobs or it 12 may be a temporary factory that they're not going 13 to ever become -- be able to find sustained 14 employment. 15 MS. VANDERHORST: Thank you. 16 Mr. Gunnell, I'm interested in -- you talked 17 about getting a broker's license. I'm interested 18 in what I saw as the seven years that you were 19 self-employed. 20 MR. GUNNELL: Right. 21 MS. VANDERHORST: How were you 22 able to get through some of the barriers that 23 have kept other people from getting licenses? 24 I understand, is it accurate, that 25 you're still in the process of trying to get your

1 record expunged? 2 MR. GUNNELL: Pardoned. have a first degree felony, which by Ohio Revised 3 Code cannot be expunged. 4 How I got through the barriers is you 5 6 have to disclose it, any information. Any 7 licensing that you apply for, of course, it's on there. 8 9 At the time I got my broker's 10 license, I had been out, it was close to eight 11 years and if I am not mistaken -- I'm thinking it 12 was around 1997, so I had probably been out 13 probably a little longer than that, it was 14 probably ten or eleven years when I got my 15 brokerage license. 16 After going through the 17 administrative process and actually having a 18 hearing and dealing with the administrators, they 19 approved my license, so I quess I was lucky, 20 because now it's a whole lot more scrutiny that's involved. 21 22 Also, State of Ohio, you cannot 23 have -- I think it has been stated, you cannot 24 own a construction company; you cannot have a 25 state plumber's license; you cannot have a state

```
1
     electrician's license, if you have a felony
 2
     without some kind of administrative review.
     don't know if it's flat out, but it's stated
 3
     there, in state documents.
 4
 5
                 MS. VANDERHORST: Can you give us
     an idea of what the process was? When did you
 6
7
     apply? How long did it take them to make a
     decision?
8
9
                 MR. GUNNELL:
                                       It took probably
10
     somewhere in the neighborhood of 90 days and it
11
     was actually the broker who I was currently
     working for who actually, I think, put the
12
13
     deciding factor in there.
14
                 He wrote letters and made phone calls
     and testified, you know, to me, my character, the
15
16
     fact that he thought that I would not participate
17
     in any more criminal activity.
18
                 So it was on the recommendation of
19
     him, it was on the recommendation of my
20
     references that I believe got me through.
21
                 MS. VANDERHORST:
                                       There's a group
22
     you work with called What It Takes?
23
                 MR. GUNNELL:
                                      What it Takes.
24
                 MS. VANDERHORST: Can you talk to
25
     us a little about that?
```

1 MR. GUNNELL: Actually What It
2 Takes is three individuals, we're committed,
3 three individuals who have a passion for dealing
4 with social injustice issues. It's actually
5 three-part. We all three have different areas of
6 focus. We come together under one umbrella
7 because we endeavor to create social enterprises

and holistic communities.

One of my counterparts, named Ellen
Raygard (phonetic) is very, very active in the
court systems, which, you know, I get involved in
that part, but I'm more on creating employment
and this is what I seek to do.

She's involved in the court systems and she actually speaks, when individuals are recommended to her, to judges and prosecutors, as far as mitigating sentencing, trying to ensure that there is justice for the individual that she may be going in support of, because a lot of times the public defenders don't have time to actually dig into what's actually going on.

She has had some success in dealing with individuals here and there, as far as getting their circumstances mitigated, getting them committed to their family, making sure that

1 they are engaged in programs which try to 2 prohibit the activity that got them involved in the first place. 3 Myself, I try to deal with 4 5 organizations, people, who can specifically 6 provide jobs for people who have criminal 7 records. There are a number of them in 8 9 Franklin County that have that lettering on their 10 door, if you will, but they do absolutely 11 nothing. 12 There are a lot of dollars out there 13 to provide programs for writing of resumes. 14 There's not enough education. 15 The money for people who have 16 criminal records is actually kind of dried up. 17 But they do job skills. They provide 18 job skill testing and other kinds of what I call 19 cursory services but they do very little to 20 provide actual employment, actually having a 21 position in their company or knowing someone who 22 has a position in their company that will hire a 23 person who is an ex-offender, will help train 24 that person in that kind of employment. 25 I have worked extensively with an

organization out of Indianapolis called Workforce Development, Inc. and what they -- everyone who works there has a record, probably somewhere in the neighborhood of 50, 55, 60 people.

Now they do electronic recycling and they go out with their mission and with their purpose and have received tons of accolades and things from the State of Indiana, and actually have had audiences with the governor, and just recently received federal grants to continue their efforts.

I have been working with them in trying to start the same kind of initiative in Columbus, because they have had success.

They have been in business for about six years, and they can actually spin off from their employment, because there are people that have been working there for the whole six years, people who have minimal skills, people who may not have GEDs or that sort, or might need, you know, other kinds of support. They provide and they're trying to expand it to all of them, that's just one part of it.

Organizations, I think it can best be helped if, you know, nonprofits or people who

service -- including ex-offenders because they're offenders, too -- this population or this segment of the population, try to understand or that getting into the market, they can earn a profit, too, by what they do in their efforts.

by, and they usually go to people who are too politically connected or people who are in the upper echelon, if you will, for lack of a better term, and a lot of them, what they end up doing is paying themselves and the people they employ higher salaries, where very little dollar goes to a person who actually might need a job or need benefits or something.

Nonprofits or even other organizations in the corporate world could do a spinoff, whereas a person or people who have that passion can create employment, they actually can create employment, and there's tons of ways you can create employment.

MS. VANDERHORST: I was about to ask you that. We understand on state applications there's a whole issue in some counties on banning the box.

MR. GUNNELL: Franklin County

```
1
     is one of them.
 2
                 MS. VANDERHORST:
                                       With private
 3
     employers, how are you attracting them to hire
     people with prior records?
 4
                 MR. GUNNELL:
                                       With a lot of
 5
 6
     pain because of the stigma that goes along with a
     person who has a record, quote, unquote, and in
7
     papers, the media, is good for this, calling them
8
9
     "felons." That just puts us into a whole new
10
     category.
11
                 You have to get audiences with them;
     you have to actually tell them that the federal
12
13
     government does provide a program for tax
14
     credits; you could actually get bonding for a
15
     person who has a criminal record to alleviate the
16
     insurance issues of it.
17
                 A lot of them just flat out tell you
18
     we're not interested.
19
                 MS. VANDERHORST: Are there
20
     bonding sources available in Ohio, state bonding?
21
                 MR. GUNNELL:
                                       Yes, yes.
                                                  It's
22
     actually through the State of Ohio. It is
23
     administered by the federal government but
24
     through the State of Ohio.
25
                 Hopefully the funding for that is
```

going to continue. The last I was involved in it, it was still here, but is it not nearly enough.

It is not nearly enough.

You know, it's in the several hundred thousands, but when you do five thousand per person, believe me it gets ate up real quickly, and a lot of times it's even more than that.

Hopefully programs like that
employers will take advantage of and understand,
especially with the tax credits, but because of
the stigma that is put on people who have felony
records, corporations shy away from it. They
don't generally want to entertain it, but we can
make an inroad by education, by attorneys getting
involved, truly that way you can get involved, by
just bringing it out to society that, you know,
we're just people.

In my instance the person that committed that crime in 1983 is no longer around, but it does not matter he is no longer around.

When I have to disclose a felony, I am viewed in that circle, that part of society, as a felon.

So it has to change. It has to change.

MS. VANDERHORST: Mr. Torres, you dealt with a lot of different levels of

1 | government entities.

Who do you think should have been responsible for telling you about your whether your record could be expunged or sealed, so that you could get through some of the barriers that you dealt with a little quicker?

MR. TORRES: One of the biggest problems I faced was actually lack of information. Nobody informed me of what I was facing at that point.

When I went through the court system and I was charged with this, I didn't know the consequences.

I thought that after walking out of there, I would be able to clarify my situation through my job ethic and my references and my past experience working and that would be enough to clean my name if I could say. But I never thought I would face something like that, that would block me like it did, to find a house, I couldn't drive, I couldn't see my son, I couldn't get out of the county, so at some point where I just found myself completely blocked and without any resource.

The only way that I did it, that I

1 walked out of it, was just me just reaching out, 2 knocking on doors and ask to every other person I 3 knew what could I do about it, who could help me. MS. VANDERHORST: So between your 4 5 lawyer, the prosecutor, the judge, any probation 6 or parole officers that you dealt with, who do 7 you think should have been responsible for giving you some of that information? 8 9 MR. TORRES: I believe that 10 probably to start with my probation officer, 11 being in charge of me being successful on the way 12 out of the problem, I was hoping -- I was waiting from her to have some information, some guidance, 13 14 what's the right way to go. You know, taking 15 advantage of my skills and of my background, what 16 was the right way to go to go back into society, 17 but actually what I found from her were more 18 barriers and, obviously, being careless about me, 19 about my situation of any kind. 20 So I was seriously -- I tried to hurt 21 myself many times, just by the grace of God it 22 didn't happen because I just didn't find any 23 other way. 24 Actually what is really funny, that I 25 told my friends, I wasn't even able to go back to my country where I could get a job. I wasn't able to either stay here or go back, so it was -- it was a lose-to-lose situation.

And again, by the grace of God I just found the right people, just some key person in society, Columbus in particular, that care for me, that knew who I was. I saw you and children in courts, where you took care of somebody new in this hospital, so they knew I was actually a good person and I deserved an opportunity, and I started working with the community.

And now that I got the help, I want to give back to the community, that's the way it works.

MS. VANDERHORST: I want to ask you about your court interpreter services because in some jurisdictions we have covered already we found some barriers have been because forms are only in English or they don't have interpreters available to explain certain things that people can do.

When you're working as a court interpreter, are you finding language or any kind of cultural barriers that are preventing people from being able to clear their records or learn

1 the process to clear the records? 2 MR. TORRES: Completely. All 3 kind of barriers, just from the point where some of this -- people don't have any knowledge of the 4 process and they don't know how to follow 5 6 through, nobody just give them some basic 7 quidance. They're only forms, but to tell them 8 9 that if you get this form, it is faster than this 10 form. Simple instructions they don't have. 11 And even situations where people from 12 Central American, from very strong indigenous 13 culture origin, that didn't speak good Spanish or 14 non-Spanish and they look Hispanic, so they 15 called in a Spanish interpreter. A Spanish interpreter will come in 16 17 because they want to make some hours, make some 18 money, they don't tell that this guy doesn't 19 speak any Spanish. 20 This interpreter for this guy, he 21 don't know what's happening, from English to 22 Spanish. He is lost and being incarcerated for 23 years, and I came in and I found out that this 24 defendant is actually not a Spanish speaker, he

speaks Mam from Guatemala, that has nothing to do

25

1 | with Spanish.

man was asked why were you driving without a valid license. He would give the explanation, then when being asked would you plead guilty or not guilty, no, I didn't mean to, he would say, I didn't know that I couldn't drive. So he said no guilty, go back to jail. He had a heart condition, sugar problems, he was about the die there, until I realized -- I was called for that case and I realized what's happening.

I asked the judge to give me a minute -- that is out of the rules but I knew the judge -- and I talked to the defendant and I said they are not asking you if you mean to do that, or you knew about it, they're asking if the facts are true.

Yeah, yeah, sure.

Then you're guilty, and that's all you have to say is you are guilty.

He was back to home, he was back to Mexico the week after. He almost died in there because nobody explained to him.

So the barriers are from the very basic and then to interpreters. The Supreme

1 Court of Ohio is working on training interpreters to work in court, but for years they were not 2 3 trained, so we got people that worked in a fast food restaurant for many years and they were 4 5 court interpreters. 6 They didn't know the terminology, 7 they were making up words. The judge didn't know He looks Spanish, maybe he's speaking 8 9 Spanish. 10 MS. VANDERHORST: Do you do these 11 dual interpreters? That is where you have one 12 interpreter for the defendant and maybe a second 13 person to kind of back them up and be sure they're being accurate in court. 14 15 MR. TORRES: No, actually 16 there is just one interpreter that is in charge. 17 I know about it because I worked with 18 a business partner giving classes to some 19 attorneys and judges in court, in that program, 20 for about a year a couple years ago. 21 One of our students, one of our 22 public defenders was in this trial taking notes 23 of what was said, and this interpreter said, when 24 asked to interpret do you agree with the 25 arraignment, he said in Spanish (Speaking in

1 Spanish) alamentio (phonetic) and arraignment 2 sound alike in Spanish. That's not a word. He 3 didn't know how to say that in Spanish. So our student, a really, really good 4 5 student, asked the question, is this alamentio, 6 not even a word. 7 So this guy was found -- was making up everything and people were in jail because 8 9 they agreed to something, they didn't know it was 10 that. They were just afraid in court to say no, 11 said yeah, he was agreeing on the charges filed 12 on him, but he didn't know that alamentio meant 13 that. 14 MS. VANDERHORST: We're about to 15 hit time. 16 I do want to ask Mr. Frazier if you 17 could make available a publication I think that's 18 on your website called, "Best Practices," that I 19 read about. If we could find a way to get a copy 20 of it. 21 MR. FRAZIER: Yeah. 22 MS. VANDERHORST: That's a bit of 23 what we're doing, is trying to find the best 24 practices and find the areas in other 25 jurisdictions' practices.

1 MR. JONES: We are almost 2 out of time, but I'd like to get Larry Goldman in 3 on the conversation since he just joined us, if he has any questions. 4 5 MR. GOLDMAN: Thank you. This 6 is excellent. 7 You brought up what is a problem that I heard this afternoon from certain people 8 working with the reentry court, that no matter 9 10 how much their record is expunged, vacated, 11 whatever, it comes out in the internet, you 12 Google someone's name and they come up. 13 Considering that -- and there is a 14 First Amendment, which we use and defend -- what 15 could we recommend in terms of laws that would 16 eliminate that, that wouldn't offend what a lot 17 of people think are First Amendment protections? 18 I know this is a tough question, but 19 you have probably given more thought to this than 20 I have. 21 MS. JACKSON: I think there 22 needs to be some type of -- you know, a lot of 23 the answers are all the time to come up with a governing board, if you will. I mean, that's 24 25 what we do in the government. But there probably needs to be some guidelines to companies who are private and provide this type of information to the public.

You know, one of the things that I always -- I constantly hear from former offenders, and it's kind of funny but it's true, we don't always think about this in this broad sense, why does somebody in Bonn, Germany need to know where I live and where I work.

And you don't always -- those are things we don't always have to give. You know, as basic as that sounds, we don't always give notion to that.

I was hoping that -- there's an attorney in this Cleveland area by the name of Alicia Guardia and she is phenomenal when it comes to background investigation work and so forth, and her suggestion has been, as well, why don't we have any checks and balancing system or governing board that supersedes these agencies that are providing this information.

MR. GOLDMAN: Let me ask you this: If there were a law -- and I don't venture to guess whether there were constitutional problems or not, which would say that a private

```
1
     company may not disclose the records of those who
2
     have had their records, their criminal records,
     vacated or expunged -- would there still not be a
 3
     problem, as we heard, doing it, because,
 4
     obviously, it isn't expunded until say a year
5
     after the arrest? Would that be allowed?
6
7
                  I mean, my initial reaction frankly
     when I heard this is, hey, not many of us may
8
9
     Google with our cases, but do you think that
10
     would be a sufficient solution?
11
                 MS. JACKSON:
                                       Yes, I do.
12
                 MR. JONES:
                                       One last
13
     question.
14
                 Elissa?
15
                 MS. HEINRICHS:
                                       No.
16
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Penny?
17
                 MS. STRONG:
                                       No.
18
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Chris?
19
                 MR. WELLBORN:
                                       No.
20
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Love?
                 MS. LOVE:
21
                                       No.
22
                 MR. JONES:
                                       Thank you.
23
     appreciate your testimony. Thank you very much.
24
                  That concludes our hearing for today,
25
     we will reconvene tomorrow at 9:00.
```

```
1
       (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:58 p.m.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```