Case: 23-1708 Document: 19-1 Filed: 09/18/2023 Pages: 7 (1 of 42)

Nos. 23-1708 and 23-1721

United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit

PRENTISS JACKSON,

Appellant,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellee.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois Nos. 2:10-cr-20043-JES-JEH-1, 2:22-cr-20044-CSB-EIL-1

UNOPPOSED MOTION OF AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION, ACLU OF ILLINOIS, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS, AND ILLINOIS ASSOCIATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS FOR LEAVE TO FILE A BRIEF AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT-APPELLANT PRENTISS JACKSON AND REVERSAL OF THE DISTRICT COURT'S ORDER

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 29(a)(2), the American Civil Liberties Union, the ACLU of Illinois, the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers and the Illinois Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers move for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* in support of Defendant-Appellant Prentiss Jackson and reversal of the district court's order denying Mr. Jackson's motion to suppress.

Counsel for Mr. Jackson consented to the filing of the proposed *amici* brief. Counsel for the Government does not oppose this motion.

The American Civil Liberties Union ("ACLU") is a nationwide, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization with more than four million members dedicated to defending the principles of liberty and equality embodied in the U.S. Constitution and our nation's civil rights laws. Founded more than one hundred years ago, the ACLU has participated in numerous cases involving the scope and application of constitutional rights, both as direct counsel and as *amicus curiae*. The ACLU's Criminal Law Reform Project engages in litigation and advocacy throughout the country to protect the constitutional and civil rights of criminal defendants and end harsh crime policies that result in mass incarceration and criminalization.

The ACLU of Illinois ("ACLU-IL") is a state affiliate of the American Civil Liberties Union, with approximately 50,000 members across Illinois. The ACLU-IL is dedicated to defending the principles embodied in the U.S. Constitution, Illinois Constitution, and civil rights statutes. Through litigation, advocacy, and public education, the ACLU-IL has long fought to advance protection of civil rights and civil liberties, including the right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures and the right of drivers to be free from unlawful racial profiling, see, e.g., Chavez v. Ill. State Police, 251 F.3d 612 (7th Cir. 2001); Wilkins v. City of Chicago, 23-cv-04072 (N.D. Ill.).

The National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers ("NACDL") is a nonprofit voluntary professional bar association that works on behalf of criminal defense attorneys to ensure justice and due process for those accused of crime or misconduct. NACDL was founded in 1958. It has a nationwide membership of approximately 10,000 lawyers, and up to 40,000 with affiliates. NACDL's members include private criminal defense lawyers, public defenders, military defense counsel, law professors, and judges. NACDL is the only nationwide professional bar association for public defenders and private criminal defense lawyers. NACDL is dedicated to advancing the

proper, efficient, and just administration of justice. NACDL files numerous amicus briefs each year in the U.S. Supreme Court and other federal and state courts, seeking to provide amicus assistance in cases that present issues of broad importance to criminal defendants, criminal defense lawyers, and the criminal legal system as a whole.

The Illinois Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers ("IACDL") is a nonprofit organization dedicated to defending the rights of all individuals as guaranteed by the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of Illinois. The organization's membership includes private criminal defense attorneys, public defenders, and law professors throughout the State of Illinois. The IACDL's mission is to serve as a leader, alongside diverse coalitions, in identifying and reforming flaws and inequities in the criminal legal system, redressing systemic racism, and ensuring its members and others in the criminal defense bar are fully equipped to serve all accused persons at the highest level. IACDL is committed to enhancing the criminal defense bar's capacity to safeguard fundamental constitutional rights. It is an affiliate organization of the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers.

"Whether to permit a nonparty to submit a brief, as amicus curiae, is, with immaterial exceptions, a matter of judicial grace." *Nat'l Org. for Women, Inc. v. Scheidler*, 223 F.3d 615, 616 (7th Cir. 2000). A nonparty brief should be granted when a party is not adequately represented, when the nonparty has a direct interest in another case that may be materially affected by a decision in the present case, and/or when the nonparty has "a unique perspective, or information, that can assist the court" beyond the capabilities of the parties. *Id.* at 617.

Amici's strong background in civil rights laws and specific expertise with issues regarding racial and ethnic discrimination in pretextual traffic stops can assist this Court beyond the capabilities of the parties. Amici bring "unique information [and] perspective" to this Court's

consideration of the instant appeal. *See Ryan v. Commodity Futures Trading Comm'n*, 125 F.3d 1062, 1063 (7th Cir. 1997). *Amici's* brief, which accompanies this motion as an exhibit, will "assist the judges [of this Court] by presenting ideas, arguments, theories, insights, facts, or data that are not . . . found in the parties' briefs." *See Voices for Choices v. Ill. Bell Tel. Co.*, 339 F.3d 542, 545 (7th Cir. 2003).

Amici urge the Court to hold that, in a jurisdiction that has legalized possession and use of personal amounts of cannabis, the odor of raw cannabis alone is not a sufficient basis for probable cause to search a vehicle pursuant to the automobile exception to the warrant requirement. A ruling to the contrary would further incentivize police to engage in harmful pretextual traffic stops, which do not meaningfully improve traffic safety or fight crime, and would allow for the harmful racial disparities endemic to traffic and cannabis enforcement to continue.

More specifically, *amici*'s proposed brief offers three categories of relevant ideas, insights, facts, and data that are not presented in Mr. Jackson's opening brief (Dkt. 17), and that *amici* do not expect to be presented in the United States' forthcoming opposing brief.

First, the proposed amici brief provides unique facts and data about the racially and ethnically disparate enforcement of cannabis laws, both nationwide and in states that have decriminalized or legalized possession of personal amounts of cannabis, such as Illinois. This data shows that, if this Court allows law enforcement officers to rely solely on the odor of cannabis (the possession and use of which is often legal under state law) as a basis to search a person's vehicle, officers are likely to utilize that discretion in ways that disproportionately harm and unjustifiably criminalize Black and Latino drivers.

Second, the proposed brief provides statistics and historical context showing that law enforcement officers—nationally, in Illinois, and in the City of Urbana where Mr. Jackson was

pulled over—disproportionately target Black and Latino drivers for pretextual traffic stops. Data shows that these pretextual stops do not increase roadway safety. Rather, officers stop drivers for alleged low-level traffic violations (such as expired registration tags) that do not implicate safety concerns, with the ulterior motive of searching for contraband such as cannabis. At least twenty years of data in Illinois and elsewhere show that Black and Latino drivers, who may be less likely to be able to afford vehicle repairs and registration fees, are consistently pulled over disproportionately to their share of the driving population, even though officers are more likely to find contraband when they stop white drivers. Overall, most pretextual stops do not yield contraband, establishing that this law enforcement technique does not promote public safety.

Third, the proposed brief explains that this racial and ethnic profiling by law enforcement is effectively immune from judicial review because of the procedural course of most traffic cases and other hurdles that preclude drivers from holding officers civilly liable for discriminatory actions. Accordingly, if this Court allows the odor of cannabis alone to provide probable cause for searching a person's vehicle, drivers are unlikely to be able to seek redress against officers who exaggerate or simply invent an odor as a pretext for an unconstitutional search.

Amici's proposed brief would "add value to [this Court's] evaluation of the issues presented on appeal" by "[h]ighlighting factual . . . nuance glossed over by the parties," "[p]roviding practical perspectives on the consequences" of affirming the District Court's denial of Mr. Jackson's suppression motion, "[r]elaying views on legal questions by employing the tools of social science," and "[s]upplying empirical data informing one or another question implicated by an appeal." Prairie Rivers Network v. Dynegy Midwest Generation, LLC, 976 F.3d 761, 763 (7th Cir. 2020).

For these reasons, *amici* respectfully request that the Court grant this motion for leave to file the accompanying *amici curiae* brief, attached as Exhibit A.

Case: 23-1708 Document: 19-1 Filed: 09/18/2023 Pages: 7 (6 of 42)

Dated: September 18, 2023

Respectfully submitted, /s/ Alexandra K. Block

Jonathan M. Brayman (ARDC # 6302461) Breen & Pugh ILLINOIS ASSOCIATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS 53 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 1550 Chicago, IL 60604 (312) 362-9907

Clifford Berlow 353 N. Clark St. Chicago, IL 60654

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS

jbrayman@breenpughlaw.com

(312) 840-7366 cberlow@jenner.com

Alexandra K. Block (ARDC # 6285766) Counsel of Record ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. 150 N. Michigan Ave., Suite 600 Chicago, IL 60601 (312) 201-9740 ext. 340 ablock@aclu-il.org

Julian Clark AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION **FOUNDATION** CRIMINAL LAW REFORM PROJECT 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 (929) 969-4365 iclark@aclu.org

Counsel for Amici Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 18, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing *Unopposed*

Motion of American Civil Liberties Union, ACLU of Illinois, National Association of Criminal

Defense Lawyers, and Illinois Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers for Leave to file a Brief

as Amici Curiae in Support of Defendant-Appellant Prentiss Jackson and Reversal of the District

Court's Order with the Clerk of Court for the Seventh Circuit using the Court's CM/ECF system,

which will accomplish electronic notice and service for all participants who are registered

CM/ECF users.

Dated: September 18, 2023

/s/ Alexandra K. Block

Alexandra K. Block

Counsel for Amici Curiae

7

Table of Contents

Circui	t Rule 26.1 Disclosure Statementsii-
Table	of Contents
Table	of Authoritiesvii->
Intere	st of Amici Curiae
Staten	nent Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 29(a)(4)(E)
Sumn	nary of the Argument
Argur	nent
A.	Introduction
В.	Disproportionate Enforcement of Cannabis Laws Harms Black and Latino People
C.	Police Stop and Search Drivers of Color at Disproportionately High Rates Nationwide and in Illinois
D.	Pretextual Traffic Stops Increase the Likelihood of Racial Profiling
E.	The Harms of Pretextual Traffic Stops and Vehicle Searches, Including Those Based on the Alleged Odor of Cannabis Alone, Greatly Outweigh Any Perceived Benefits 1
F.	Pretextual Stops and Searches Based on the Alleged Odor of Cannabis Alone are Insulated from Judicial Scrutiny, Preventing Review of Baseless Police Actions
Concl	usion
	icate of Compliance with Word Limit, Typeface Requirements, and Type-Style rements
Certif	icate of Service2

Table of Authorities

CASES	
Draper v. United States, 358 U.S. 307 (1959)	20
Illinois v. Caballes, 543 U.S. 405 (2005)	14
People v. Hill, 162 N.E.3d 260 (III. 2020)	. 6, 7
People v. Molina, No. 20-TR-5612 (Ill. Cir. Ct. 2021)	. 6, 7
King v. City of Chicago, 22-cv-04604 (N.D. Ill. Jul. 11, 2023)	12
State v. Pedro Soto, 734 A.2d 350 (N.J. Sup. Ct. 1996)	8
Whren v. United States, 517 U.S. 806 (1996)	13
Wilkins v. Maryland State Police, Civ. No. MJG-93-468 (D. Md. 1993)	8
STATUTES	
625 ILCS 5/11-212	8
III. P.A. 101-24	10
Ill. P.A. 94-997	9
Ill. P.A. 95-290	9
Ill. P.A. 96-658	9
Ill. P.A. 97-469	9
Ill. P.A. 98-686	9
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
A New Vehicle for "Stop and Frisk": The Scope, Impact, and Inequities of Traffic Stops in Chicago, BPI & FREE 2 MOVE 26 (Mar. 2023), https://www.impactforequity.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/BPI_Traffic-Stop-Report_F.pdf	18
A Tale of Two Countries: Racially Targeted Arrests in the Era of Marijuana Reform, ACLU (2020), https://www.aclu.org/report/tale-two-countries-racially-targeted-arrests-era-marijuareform	
ACLU-IL, Racial Disparity in Consent Searches and Dog Sniff Searches, (Aug. 13, 2014), https://perma.cc/P6CC-832K	9

(16 of 42)

Contacts Between Police and the Public, 2020, DEPT. OF JUSTICE BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS (Nov. 2022), https://bjs.ojp.gov/sites/g/files/xyckuh236/files/media/document/cbpp20.pdf
David A. Harris, "Driving While Black" and All Other Traffic Offenses: The Supreme Court and Pretextual Stops, 87 J. CRIM. L. & CRIMINOLOGY 544 (1997)
David A. Harris, <i>Driving While Black: Racial Profiling on Our Nation's Highways</i> , ACLU (1999), https://www.aclu.org/report/driving-while-black-racial-profiling-our-nations-highways [https://perma.cc/HMK5-JUSK]
David A. Harris, Profiles in Injustice (2003)
David D. Kirkpatrick, et al., <i>Why Many Police Traffic Stops Turn Deadly</i> , N.Y. TIMES (Nov. 30, 2021), https://www.nytimes.com/2021/10/31/us/police-traffic-stops-killings.html?smid=nytcore-ios-share&referringSource=articleShare
David Rudovsky, Law Enforcement by Stereotypes and Serendipity: Racial Profiling and Stops and Searches Without Probable Cause, 3 U. PA. J. CONST. L. 296 (2001)
David Rudovsky, <i>The Impact of the War on Drugs on Procedural Fairness and Racial Equality</i> , 1994 U. CHI. LEGAL F. 237
Emma Pierson et al., <i>A Large-scale Analysis of Racial Disparities in Police Stops Across the United States</i> , 4 NATURE HUMAN BEHAVIOUR 736 (2020), https://5harad.com/papers/100M-stops.pdf
Fines and Fees, VERA, https://www.vera.org/ending-mass-incarceration/criminalization-racial-disparities/fines-and-fees (last visited Feb. 10, 2023)
Gary Webb, <i>Driving While Black: Tracking Unspoken Law-Enforcement Racism</i> , Esquire (Apr. 1, 1999)
Illinois State Police CRIMPAT/ Valkyrie Training, 39 ILL. STATE POLICE (2013), https://www.aclu-il.org/sites/default/files/criminal_patrol_core_1.pdf
Illinois Traffic and Pedestrian Stop Study 2004 Annual Report: Traffic Stops, ILL. DEP'T TRANSP., https://idot.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/idot/documents/transportation-system/reports/safety/traffic-stop-studies/2004-illinois-traffic-stop-study.pdf (last visited Aug. 22, 2023)
Illinois Traffic and Pedestrian Stop Study 2006 Annual Report: Traffic Stops, ILL. DEP'T TRANSP., https://idot.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/idot/documents/transportation-system/reports/safety/traffic-stop-studies/2006-illinois-traffic-stop-study-statewide-report.pdf (last visited Aug. 22, 2023)

Investigation of the City of Minneapolis and the Minneapolis Police Department, U.S. DEP'T OF JUSTICE 32 (June 16, 2023)
J.L. Hirschtick, S.M. Homan, G. Rauscher, et al., <i>Persistent and Aggressive Interactions with the Police: Potential Mental Health Implications</i> , EPIDEMIOLOGY AND PSYCHIATRIC SCIS. 29 (2020), e19, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/epidemiology-and-psychiatric-sciences/article/persistent-and-aggressive-interactions-with-the-police-potential-mental-health-implications/08A72C424643BA06BF558E579CC30312
Jamie Fellner, Race, Drugs, and Law Enforcement in the United States, 20 STAN. L. & POL'Y REV. 257 (2009)
John Hudak, <i>Marijuana's Racist History Shows the Need for Comprehensive Drug Reform</i> , BROOKINGS INST. (June 23, 2020), https://www.brookings.edu/articles/marijuanas-racist-history-shows-the-need-for-comprehensive-drug-reform
John MacDonald, Jeffrey Fagan, & Amanda Geller, <i>The Effects of Local Police Surges on Crime and Arrests in New York City</i> , PLoS ONE (June 16, 2016), The Effects of Local Police Surges on Crime and Arrests in New York City (ssrn.com)
Jordan Blair Woods, Traffic Without the Police, 73 STAN. L. REV. 1471 (2021)
Magnus Lofstrom, et al., <i>Racial Disparities in Law Enforcement Stops</i> , Pub. Policy Inst. Cal. (Oct. 2021), https://www.ppic.org/?show-pdf=true&docraptor=true&url=https%3A%2F%2F www.ppic.org%2Fpublication%2Fracial-disparities-in-law-enforcement-stops%2F
Marijuana Arrests by the Numbers, ACLU, https://www.aclu.org/gallery/marijuana-arrests-numbers (last visited Aug. 22, 2023)
Nayak Polissar et al., <i>Illinois Traffic and Pedestrian Stop Study 2022 Annual Report: Traffic Stops</i> , ILL. DEP'T TRANSP. 2 (June 30, 2023), https://idot.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/idot/documents/transportation-system/reports/safety/traffic-stop-studies/finalil-stop-study-2022-part-ii-traffic-stops6-30-23.pdf
Peter Vernierio & Paul H. Zoubek, Interim Report of the State Police Review Team Regarding Allegations of Racial Profiling (1999), https://www.state.nj.us/lps/intm_419.pdf [https://perma.cc/5T8Y-25PG]
Racial Disparities in Stops by the D.C. Metropolitan Police Department: Review of Five Months of Data, ACLU-DC and ACLU Analytics (June 16, 2020), https://perma.cc/N4B8- AA86. 19
Rashida Richardson, Racial Segregation and the Data-driven Society: How Our Failure to Reckon with Root Causes Perpetuates Separate and Unequal Realities, 36 BERK. TECH. L.J. 1051 (2021)

Report on Race- and Ethnicity-based Disparities in the Chicago Police Department's Use of Force, CITY OF CHI. INSPECTOR GEN. 32 (Mar. 1, 2022), https://igchicago.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Use-of-Force-Disparities-Report.pdf	7
Sharon LaFraniere & Andrew W. Lehren, <i>The Disproportionate Risks of Driving While Black</i> , N.Y. TIMES (Oct. 24, 2015)	7
Silvia S. Martins, Luis E. Segura, Natalie S. Levy et al., <i>Racial and Ethnic Differences in Cannabis Use Following Legalization in U.S. States with Medical Cannabis Laws</i> , 2021 JAMA NETWORK OPEN 1, https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2784528	ó
Stephen Rushin & Griffin Edwards, <i>An Empirical Assessment of Pretextual Traffic Stops and Racial Profiling</i> , 73 STAN. L. REV. 637 (2021)	;
The Truth About Trials, MARSHALL PROJECT, https://www.themarshallproject.org/2020/11/04/the-truth-about-trials (last visited Feb. 7, 2023))
The War on Marijuana in Black and White, ACLU 21 (2013), https://www.aclu.org/report/report-war-marijuana-black-and-white	
Urbana, Ill., Resolution 2021-02-005R (Feb. 9, 2021) https://www.urbanaillinois.us/sites/default/files/attachments/Resolution_2021-02-005R%20%28FINAL%29_0.pdf11	
William Cai, Johann Gaebler, Justin Kaashoek, Lisa Pinals, Samuel Madden & Sharad Goel, <i>Measuring Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Traffic Enforcement with Large-scale Telematics Data</i> , 2022 PNAS NEXUS 1, https://academic.oup.com/pnasnexus/article/1/4/pgac144/6652221	3

Interest of Amici Curiae

The American Civil Liberties Union ("ACLU") is a nationwide, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization with nearly two million members and supporters dedicated to the principles of liberty and equality embodied in our nation's Constitution and civil rights laws. The ACLU's Criminal Law Reform Project ("ACLU-CLRP") engages in litigation and advocacy throughout the country to protect the constitutional and civil rights of criminal defendants and end harsh crime policies that result in mass incarceration and criminalization.

The ACLU of Illinois ("ACLU-IL") is the state-wide Illinois affiliate of the ACLU. ACLU-IL is a private, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization supported by a membership of approximately 50,000 individuals. Its purpose is to protect the rights and liberties guaranteed to all Illinoisans by the United States and Illinois Constitutions. Among these rights is the right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures, and the right to be free from unlawful discrimination on the basis of race and national origin, both of which are central to the legal question raised in this case.

The National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers ("NACDL") is a nonprofit voluntary professional bar association that works on behalf of criminal defense attorneys to ensure justice and due process for those accused of crime or misconduct. NACDL was founded in 1958. It has a nationwide membership of approximately 10,000 lawyers, and up to 40,000 with affiliates. NACDL's members include private criminal defense lawyers, public defenders, military defense counsel, law professors, and judges. NACDL is the only nationwide professional bar association for public defenders and private criminal defense lawyers. NACDL is dedicated to advancing the proper, efficient, and just administration of justice. NACDL files numerous amicus briefs each year in the U.S. Supreme Court and other federal and state courts, seeking to provide amicus

assistance in cases that present issues of broad importance to criminal defendants, criminal defense lawyers, and the criminal legal system as a whole.

The Illinois Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers ("IACDL") is a nonprofit organization dedicated to defending the rights of all individuals as guaranteed by the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of Illinois. The organization's membership includes private criminal defense attorneys, public defenders, and law professors throughout the State of Illinois. The IACDL's mission is to serve as a leader, alongside diverse coalitions, in identifying and reforming flaws and inequities in the criminal legal system, redressing systemic racism, and ensuring its members and others in the criminal defense bar are fully equipped to serve all accused persons at the highest level. IACDL is committed to enhancing the criminal defense bar's capacity to safeguard fundamental constitutional rights. It is an affiliate organization of the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers.

Amici submit this brief urging the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit to reverse the District Court's denial of the motion to suppress and find that the mere odor of raw cannabis alone is insufficient as a basis for probable cause to search a vehicle pursuant to the automobile exception to the warrant requirement of Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

Statement Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 29(a)(4)(E)

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 29(a)(4)(E) amici represent that:

- (i) No party's counsel authored this brief in whole or in part;
- (ii) No party or party's counsel contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting the brief; and
- (iii) No person—other than the *amici*, their members, or their counsel—contributed money that was intended to fund preparation or submission of this brief.

Summary of the Argument

Amici urge the Court to hold that the odor of raw cannabis—the possession and use of which is often legal under state law—does not alone provide a legally sufficient basis for police officers to search a vehicle pursuant to the motor vehicle exception to the Fourth Amendment's warrant requirement. Such vehicle searches, typically following pretextual traffic stops, disproportionately burden Black and Latino drivers, who are likewise targeted for disparate enforcement of cannabis laws. The better policy, which will begin to remedy a long history and ongoing pattern of racially discriminatory law enforcement, is to not allow officers to utilize what may be lawful behavior as an excuse to detain and search drivers—a disproportionate number of whom inevitably will be drivers of color.

Statistics show that police disproportionately target Black and Latino people for enforcement of cannabis laws, both in Illinois and throughout the United States. Data further establish that police in Urbana (where Defendant-Appellant Prentiss Jackson was stopped while driving), in Illinois, and nationwide, pull over and search Black and Latino motorists at disproportionately high rates. Pretextual traffic stops—those conducted for investigatory purposes and not for roadway safety—are a primary policing tool that drives these racial and ethnic disparities. The alleged odor of cannabis is one of the most common pretexts that police use for stopping and searching drivers, especially drivers of color. This is true despite the fact that white people and people of color tend to use and possess cannabis at similar rates.

Giving officers *carte blanche* to perform warrantless vehicle searches based only on the alleged odor of cannabis will judicially sanction the unacceptable racial and ethnic disparities among the drivers whom police officers stop and search. When police can conduct warrantless searches based on the alleged, unverifiable odor of cannabis without anything more, history and

experience show that police will use that authority to disproportionately target and harm Black and Latino drivers.

In a state such as Illinois, where possession of certain amounts of cannabis is legally permitted, police must not be able to conduct a search or seizure based upon the odor of cannabis alone. *Amici* urge this Court to reverse the District Court's denial of Mr. Jackson's motion to suppress.

Argument

A. Introduction

A police officer in Urbana, Illinois, pulled over Mr. Jackson for driving without his headlights at night. Mr. Jackson promptly turned on his headlights when the officer pointed out the reason for the traffic stop. But the police-initiated encounter did not end there. Like many pretextual traffic stops of Black drivers in Illinois and elsewhere, the officer escalated the encounter and searched Mr. Jackson's vehicle due to an alleged odor of cannabis in the vehicle. The officer ultimately discovered a small amount of raw cannabis, according to the officer's testimony.

Driving without headlights does not justify a vehicle search. Illinois law permits possession of personal amounts of cannabis. The only justification for the vehicle search in this case is the odor of raw cannabis and nothing more. The question Mr. Jackson presents in his appeal of the District Court's denial of the motion to suppress is therefore whether the odor of raw cannabis alone is a sufficient basis for a warrantless vehicle search. *Amici* urge this Court to answer the question in the negative to protect the people of Illinois from the racially-biased misuse of vehicle stops by police. There is a decades' long pattern of police in this state using pretext like cannabis odor to disproportionately stop and search Black and Latino drivers, leading to

(24 of 42)

tremendous harm for people of color in the name of seizing contraband that police rarely, if ever, actually find. A holding by this Court that the alleged odor of cannabis alone provided sufficient cause to search the vehicle in this case will only exacerbate these inequities.

B. Disproportionate Enforcement of Cannabis Laws Harms Black and Latino People

For decades, police departments across the United States have disproportionately targeted Black and Latino individuals for investigation and enforcement of cannabis-related conduct.¹ Although Black people account for roughly 13% of the United States population, they are nearly four times more likely than white people to be arrested for a cannabis-related offense.² While the national arrest rate of white people for cannabis-related conduct remained largely constant for the first decade of the millennium, the arrest rate for Black people steadily *increased* between 2002 and 2010.³ Over the last decade, as more states have decriminalized and/or legalized possession and consumption of cannabis, the arrest rate disparity between white and Black individuals has remained largely constant—including in states that have adopted legalization measures.⁴

Notably, the police targeting of Black and Latino people in this way cannot be justified or excused on the basis of actual drug usage and possession. Researchers have consistently found

¹ Jamie Fellner, *Race, Drugs, and Law Enforcement in the United States*, 20 STAN. L. & POL'Y REV. 257, 272–3 (2009) (reviewing drug arrest rates by race from 1980 to 2007).

5

² Marijuana Arrests by the Numbers, ACLU, https://www.aclu.org/gallery/marijuana-arrests-numbers (last visited Aug. 22, 2023).

³ The War on Marijuana in Black and White, ACLU 21 (2013), https://www.aclu.org/report/report-war-marijuana-black-and-white.

⁴ A Tale of Two Countries: Racially Targeted Arrests in the Era of Marijuana Reform, ACLU (2020), https://www.aclu.org/report/tale-two-countries-racially-targeted-arrests-era-marijuana-reform.

Filed: 09/18/2023 Pages: 35 (25 of 42)

that cannabis usage rates are similar between white and non-white individuals,⁵ and some studies posit that white people possess and use cannabis at higher rates than Black people.⁶ Yet, as stated above, across the nation police still arrest Black people for cannabis-related offenses at four times the rate of white individuals.⁷ And in Illinois, the racial disparities are among the worst in the country—Black people are more than seven times more likely to be arrested for cannabis possession than white people.⁸ Thus, while the criminal legal system casts a wide net over cannabis use and possession by Black individuals in America, it has effectively ignored the same conduct occurring at the same *or greater* rates in many white communities. This significant inequity is especially problematic in light of the fact that many of the people arrested may have engaged in entirely lawful behavior.⁹

Document: 19-2

Case: 23-1708

⁵ *Id.* at 66.

⁶ See, e.g., Silvia S. Martins, Luis E. Segura, Natalie S. Levy et al., Racial and Ethnic Differences in Cannabis Use Following Legalization in U.S. States with Medical Cannabis Laws, 2021 JAMA NETWORK OPEN 1, https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2784528 ("in 2018 the lifetime prevalence of cannabis use was lower for Black (45.3%) than White (53.6%) adults aged 18 years or older, but Black individuals were 3.64 times more likely to be arrested for cannabis possession."); ACLU, supra note 3, at 21 ("In 2010, 34% of whites and 27% of Blacks reported having last used marijuana more than one year ago—a constant trend over the past decade. In the same year, 59% of Blacks and 54% of whites reported having never used marijuana. Each year over the past decade more Blacks than whites reported that they had never used marijuana.").

⁷ ACLU, supra note 3, at 17; see also John Hudak, Marijuana's Racist History Shows the Need for Comprehensive Drug Reform, BROOKINGS INST. (June 23, 2020), https://www.brookings.edu/articles/marijuanas-racist-history-shows-the-need-for-comprehensive-drug-reform.

⁸ ACLU, *supra* note 4, at 8.

⁹ A person may smell of cannabis for a host of innocent reasons. "[O]ne such reason is that a person working at a cannabis cultivation facility, or a dispensary could, and likely would, leave their place of employment smelling like raw cannabis. Persons with medical cannabis card may cultivate plants and, in the process of doing so, would likely smell of raw cannabis. Persons using or handling raw cannabis in *any way* can smell of raw cannabis. Persons using, possessing, or otherwise around raw cannabis wholly within the bounds of the law can, and likely will, have the odor of cannabis on their clothes, hair, and even personal effects." *People v. Molina*, No. 20-TR-5612 (Ill. Cir. Ct. 2021). Importantly, plausible innocent explanations are

C. Police Stop and Search Drivers of Color at Disproportionately High Rates Nationwide and in Illinois

Many of the historical and present racial and ethnic disparities in cannabis-related enforcement by police arise out of systemic disparities in police stops and investigations, including traffic stops.

In 2020, the traffic stop was the most common type of police-initiated contact with U.S. residents age sixteen or older, with more than twenty-one million such encounters that year. An extensive body of literature has long made clear that police do not stop or investigate all community members equally. Racial and ethnic disparities in traffic stops have persisted for

probative in the probable cause analysis. *See, e.g., People v. Hill*, 162 N.E.3d 260, 266 (Ill. 2020) (explaining courts assessing probable cause must consider "the plausibility of an innocent explanation").

¹⁰ Contacts Between Police and the Public, 2020, DEPT. OF JUSTICE BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS (Nov. 2022), https://bjs.ojp.gov/sites/g/files/xyckuh236/files/media/document/cbpp20.pdf (publishing data that showed 16,709,200 drivers and 4,918,700 passengers had contact with police during a traffic stop in 2020).

¹¹ See, e.g., Charles R. Epp, Steven Maynard-Moody & Donald Haider-Markel, PULLED OVER: HOW POLICE STOPS DEFINE RACE AND CITIZENSHIP (2014); Bernard E. Harcourt & Tracey L. Meares, Randomization and the Fourth Amendment, 78 U. CHI. L. REV. 809, 854–59 (2011) (citing numerous studies providing evidence of racial profiling); Emma Pierson et al., A Large-scale Analysis of Racial Disparities in Police Stops Across the United States, 4 NATURE HUMAN BEHAVIOUR 736 (2020), https://sharad.com/papers/100M-stops.pdf; ACLU-IL, Racism in the Rear-view Mirror: Illinois Traffic Stop Data 2015-2017 (Jan. 3, 2019), https://www.aclu-il.org/sites/default/files/racism in the rear view mirror il traffic stops 2015-2017.pdf; Sharon LaFraniere & Andrew W. Lehren, The Disproportionate Risks of Driving While Black, N.Y. TIMES (Oct. 24, 2015); CIV. RTS. CIV. RTS. DIV., Investigation of the Chicago Police Department, U.S. DEP'T OF JUSTICE 142 (Jan. 13, 2017) (finding that the Chicago Police Department disproportionately targeted Black and Latino individuals for stops); Investigation of the City of Minneapolis and the Minneapolis Police Department, U.S. DEP'T OF JUSTICE 32 (June 16, 2023) (finding that the Minneapolis Police Department disproportionately targets Black and Native American individuals for traffic and pedestrian stops).

decades,¹² despite evidence indicating that white drivers commit moving violations at equal or higher rates than other racial groups.¹³

In Illinois, data collected on traffic stops over the last two decades shows prolific, persistent, and severe racial and ethnic disparities in traffic stops and searches. This trend includes Urbana, where Mr. Jackson was stopped and searched. In 2003, concerned about decades of reports regarding biased traffic stops, the Illinois General Assembly passed Public Act 93-0209 ("the Study Act") to study racial discrimination in traffic stops. *See* 625 ILCS 5/11-212. The Study Act required all law enforcement agencies in Illinois to collect and report uniform demographic data on each traffic stop they conducted for three and a half years. In 2005, the first annual report issued by the Illinois Department of Transportation ("IDOT") pursuant to the Study Act confirmed what many community members had already known and experienced for decades: police in Illinois were more likely to pull over drivers of color (defined as Black, Latino, Asian, and Native American drivers) than white drivers, and they were two and a half times more likely to search vehicles driven by people of color.¹⁴

Subsequent annual reports issued by IDOT pursuant to the Study Act revealed that the racial and ethnic disparities in Illinois traffic stops were not an anomaly but a fixture of policing

¹² In the 1990s, for example, litigation in New Jersey and Maryland provided irrefutable statistical evidence of racial profiling in traffic stops. David A. Harris, PROFILES IN INJUSTICE 60–62 (2003) (discussing lawsuits in New Jersey, *State v. Pedro Soto*, 734 A.2d 350 (N.J. Sup. Ct. 1996), and Maryland, *Wilkins v. Maryland State Police*, Civ. No. MJG-93-468 (D. Md. 1993)).

¹³ See William Cai, Johann Gaebler, Justin Kaashoek, Lisa Pinals, Samuel Madden & Sharad Goel, Measuring Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Traffic Enforcement with Large-scale Telematics Data, 2022 PNAS NEXUS 1, https://academic.oup.com/pnasnexus/article/1/4/pgac144/6652221.

¹⁴ See Illinois Traffic and Pedestrian Stop Study 2004 Annual Report: Traffic Stops, ILL. DEP'T TRANSP., https://idot.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/idot/documents/transportation-system/reports/safety/traffic-stop-studies/2004-illinois-traffic-stop-study.pdf (last visited Aug. 22, 2023).

in Illinois. By 2006, the year the data collection law was originally set to expire, the disparities had *worsened* compared to 2004. Statewide, Illinois police were still more likely to stop Black drivers than white drivers, and they were three times more likely to attempt searches of Black drivers compared to white drivers.¹⁵ More troubling, IDOT found that although drivers of color were two and a half times more likely to be asked by police for a search of their vehicle, police in Illinois found contraband in vehicles driven by people of color at *half* the rate they did for white drivers.¹⁶ These disparities show that police apply lower thresholds of suspicion to search people of color compared to white people.

The Illinois legislature extended and amended the Study Act several times. *See* Ill. P.A. 94-997; Ill. P.A. 95-290; Ill. P.A. 96-658; Ill. P.A. 98-686. Then in 2012, concerned about racial disparities in the use of police canines, Illinois began requiring law enforcement agencies to report data on sniffs by police dogs during traffic stops. Ill. P.A. 97-469. The first year of data on dog sniffs revealed that, statewide, Black motorists were 55% more likely to be subjected to a dog sniff by police, even though police were 14% more likely to find contraband during searches performed in response to a dog alert with a white motorist¹⁷—disparities which continue to this day and continue to show that police officers stereotype Black drivers as more suspicious.¹⁸

¹⁵ See Illinois Traffic and Pedestrian Stop Study 2006 Annual Report: Traffic Stops, ILL. DEP'T TRANSP., https://idot.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/idot/documents/transportation-system/reports/safety/traffic-stop-study-statewide-report.pdf (last visited Aug. 22, 2023).

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ ACLU-IL, *Racial Disparity in Consent Searches and Dog Sniff Searches*, (Aug. 13, 2014), https://perma.cc/P6CC-832K.

¹⁸ See Nayak Polissar et al., *Illinois Traffic and Pedestrian Stop Study 2022 Annual Report: Traffic Stops*, ILL. DEP'T TRANSP. 2 (June 30, 2023), https://idot.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/idot/documents/transportation-system/reports/safety/traffic-stop-studies/final--il-stop-study-2022-part-ii-traffic-stops-6-30-23.pdf (showing that Black drivers in Illinois in 2022 were subjected to dog sniffs disproportionately

In 2019, the ACLU-IL released a report documenting continued racial and ethnic disparities in Illinois traffic stops and searches.¹⁹ The report found that stop disparities statewide increased every year from 2015–2017, and that Black and Latino drivers continued to be searched at higher rates than white drivers. By 2019—a decade and a half after the Study Act was first adopted—racial and ethnic disparities in Illinois traffic stops and searches were so persistent that the Illinois General Assembly made the data collection requirement under the Study Act permanent. Ill. P.A. 101-24.

Today, law enforcement agencies across Illinois continue to engage in discriminatory traffic stop and search practices. The racial and ethnic disparities are often worst in densely populated areas where there are more diverse demographics. In 2022, each of Illinois' ten largest cities by population—together accounting for nearly one-third of the state's total population—reported severe racial and ethnic disparities in traffic stops and searches.²⁰

In Urbana, the racial and ethnic disparities associated with traffic stops are among the worst in the state. Last year, police in Urbana stopped Black drivers at *more than six times* the rate of white drivers. Police stopped Latino drivers at more than twice the rate of white drivers relative

relative to their share of the estimated driving population, while white drivers were subjected to lower rates of dog sniffs relative to their share of the population and despite dog sniffs having a higher positive alert rate for white drivers compared to Black drivers); see also Claire J. Rice, Failing the Sniff Test: Using Marijuana Odor to Establish Probable Cause in Illinois Post-Legalization, U. CHI. L. REV. ONLINE (Sept. 23, 2022), https://lawreviewblog.uchicago.edu/2022/09/23/rice-probable-cause ("Experts suggest that canines often make mistakes by reacting to unconscious cues from their handlers who themselves may exhibit implicit or explicit racial bias.").

¹⁹ ACLU-IL, Racism in the Rear-view Mirror: Illinois Traffic Stop Data 2015-2017, https://www.aclu-il.org/sites/default/files/racism_in_the_rear_view_mirror_il_traffic_stops_2015-2017.pdf (last visited Aug. 22, 2023).

²⁰ See Polissar et al., supra note 18.

to each group's share of the estimated driving population.²¹ Police in Urbana searched nearly one-fifth (eighteen percent) of all stopped vehicles driven by Black drivers—three times the rate of those driven by white drivers—despite finding contraband in vehicles driven by Black drivers at significantly *lower* rates than those driven by white people.²² The practice of stopping and searching people of color is so deeply ingrained within the culture of the Urbana Police Department ("UPD") that these glaring racial disparities in traffic stops come on the heels of the city's passing of "[a] resolution committing to end structural racism and achieve racial equality"²³ and the launch of the UPD's traffic stop pilot program created to "reduce racial disparities that exist in traffic stops…"²⁴

In Champaign, Illinois, which shares a metro area with Urbana, police in 2022 stopped Black drivers *more than nine times* more frequently than white drivers, and Latino drivers nearly three times as often relative to each group's share of the estimated driving population.²⁵ Police searched vehicles driven by Black and Latino drivers at twice the rate of vehicles driven by white drivers, despite finding contraband in vehicles driven by white and Black drivers at equal rates,

²¹ See id. at 1266–67.

²² See id.

²³ Urbana, Ill., Resolution 2021-02-005R (Feb. 9, 2021) https://www.urbanaillinois.us/sites/default/files/attachments/Resolution_2021-02-005R%20%28FINAL%29_0.pdf (acknowledging that "in Urbana, the black community continues to face disparate outcomes in traffic stops").

²⁴ Chief Bryant Seraphin, *Urbana Police Department Memorandum* (Dec. 8, 2020). https://www.urbanaillinois.us/sites/default/files/attachments/2021_Traffic_Stop_Pilot_Program_Procedur al Guidelines-DRAFT.pdf.

²⁵ See Polissar et al., supra note 18, at 190–91.

and finding contraband in vehicles driven by Latino drivers at nearly half the rate as vehicles driven by white drivers.

In Chicago, police in 2022 were nearly four times more likely to stop Black drivers and more than twice as likely to stop Latino drivers relative to each group's share of the estimated driving population.²⁶ Chicago police also subjected Black drivers to vehicle searches during traffic stops at a rate four and a half times greater than white drivers, and searched Latino drivers at three times the rate of white drivers, although the police were significantly *less* likely (or, in the case of Latino drivers, equally as likely) to find contraband in vehicles driven by Black drivers. One federal court has found plausible evidence to believe that the Chicago Police Department "maintained a policy that disproportionately targeted motorists based on race."²⁷

Black and Latino motorists in rural and less racially-diverse areas of Illinois likewise suffer from police targeting them for traffic stops and searches. Last year in Kankakee, for example, police stopped Black drivers at more than three times the rate of white drivers, and Latino drivers at more than twice the rate relative to each group's share of the estimated driving population.²⁸ Police in Kankakee subjected Black drivers to vehicle searches at nearly double the rate of white drivers, yet found contraband in vehicles driven by Black drivers at a lower rate compared to those driven by white drivers.²⁹

12

²⁶ See id. at 206–07.

²⁷ See King v. City of Chicago, 22-cv-04604, at 11 (N.D. Ill. Jul. 11, 2023), ECF No. 59 (denying motion to dismiss).

²⁸ See Polissar et al., supra note 18, at 631–32.

²⁹ *Id*.

In Watseka, a small town of 5,000 people that is 97% white, officers last year searched the vehicle of *every single Black person they pulled over*.³⁰ In Rockton, a village of 7,800 that is 92% white, police in 2022 stopped Black drivers at more than twice the rate of white drivers, searched Black drivers and their vehicles at more than twice the rate of white drivers, and yet they found contraband significantly *less* often compared to white drivers.³¹

D. Pretextual Traffic Stops Increase the Likelihood of Racial Profiling

Racial and ethnic disparities in traffic stops often worsen when police use traffic stops to enforce laws other than those related to traffic safety.³² Commonly referred to as "pretextual stops," these are stops ostensibly based on an observed traffic infraction or equipment violation (like the stop of Mr. Jackson), but they are conducted with the ulterior motive of investigating unrelated criminal activity for which the officer has no or insufficient individualized suspicion. Though these stops do not violate the Fourth Amendment as long as there is probable cause of the traffic violation, *Whren v. United States*, 517 U.S. 806, 819 (1996), pretextual stops give the police nearly unlimited discretion in deciding whom to stop for investigative purposes. With traffic laws that are so elaborate, so detailed, and so unrealistic,³³ virtually "no driver can avoid violating some traffic law during a short drive, even with the most careful attention."³⁴ Pretextual stops increased

³⁰ See id. at 1298–99.

³¹ See id. at 1081–82.

³² See, e.g., Stephen Rushin & Griffin Edwards, An Empirical Assessment of Pretextual Traffic Stops and Racial Profiling, 73 STAN. L. REV. 637 (2021); Epp et al., supra note 11, at 10.

³³ Jordan Blair Woods, *Traffic Without the Police*, 73 STAN. L. REV. 1471, 1480–81 (2021).

³⁴ David A. Harris, "Driving While Black" and All Other Traffic Offenses: The Supreme Court and Pretextual Stops, 87 J. CRIM. L. & CRIMINOLOGY 544, 545 (1997).

in popularity among police nationwide at the inception of the War on Drugs,³⁵ and remain a favored tactic by police to allegedly interdict drugs and other contraband.³⁶ Illinois is no exception.³⁷

In major jurisdictions known to rely heavily on pretextual traffic stops as a supposed crime-fighting tactic, the racial and ethnic makeup of a given geographic area is often a greater predictor of where police make pretextual stops than the rate of crime itself.³⁸ Even where traffic stops do match neatly onto "hot spots" of crime, the crime rates and data used by police is skewed by the historic over-policing of Black and brown communities, resulting in increased, disproportionate targeting of Black, Latino, and Indigenous people with pretextual stops.³⁹

³⁵ Alex Kreit, *Marijuana Legalization and Pretextual Stops*, 50 U.C.D. L. REV. 741, 743–44 (2016); David Rudovsky, *The Impact of the War on Drugs on Procedural Fairness and Racial Equality*, 1994 U. CHI. LEGAL F. 237, 249.

³⁶ Epp et al., *supra* note 11, at 59.

³⁷ See, e.g., Illinois v. Caballes, 543 U.S. 405 (2005); see also Illinois State Police CRIMPAT/ Valkyrie Training, 39 ILL. STATE POLICE (2013), https://www.aclu-il.org/sites/default/files/criminal_patrol_core_1.pdf (encouraging Illinois State Police to engage in "highly visible traffic operations" as a "proactive style of policing" with the goals of allegedly addressing "violent crime, traffic safety, drug crimes.").

³⁸ See, e.g., Report on Race- and Ethnicity-based Disparities in the Chicago Police Department's Use of Force, CITY OF CHI. INSPECTOR GEN. 32 (Mar. 1, 2022), https://igchicago.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Use-of-Force-Disparities-Report.pdf (finding that Chicago police traffic stops are more highly concentrated in areas where the majority of the population is Black than in areas the police classify as higher crime areas).

³⁹ See, e.g., Rashida Richardson, Racial Segregation and the Data-driven Society: How Our Failure to Reckon with Root Causes Perpetuates Separate and Unequal Realities, 36 BERK. TECH. L.J. 1051 (2021); Andrew Ferguson, Policing Predictive Policing, 94 WASH. U. L. REV. 1109, 1146–47 (2017).

When making pretextual stops, police rely largely on intuition⁴⁰ to decide which cars to pull over and investigate.⁴¹ Extensive evidence shows that officers use this "intuition" to target drivers of color more often than white drivers.⁴² Unable to reliably distinguish the cars that may contain drugs or evidence of other crimes, a driver's race or ethnicity, whether consciously or unconsciously, factors into an officer's suspicions of who may possess contraband and, therefore, who should be stopped and investigated.⁴³

The story of racial disparity in traffic stops is similar across the country. One study of traffic stops in Kansas City separated stops for minor violations done for purposes of investigation from stops for more significant traffic violations, where the purpose of the stop was to enforce the traffic laws. The results showed that Black people were twice as likely as white people to be

⁴⁰ E.g., Gary Webb, *Driving While Black: Tracking Unspoken Law-Enforcement Racism*, ESQUIRE (Apr. 1, 1999), at 118, 122–23 (reporting on officer intuition in deciding who to stop and describing one officer as being of the "belie[f] he can spot drug traffickers from the general cut of their jib").

⁴¹ Kreit, *supra* note 35, at 751.

⁴² See, e.g., Peter Vernierio & Paul H. Zoubek, INTERIM REPORT OF THE STATE POLICE REVIEW TEAM REGARDING ALLEGATIONS OF RACIAL PROFILING 26–28, 67–68 (1999), https://www.state.nj.us/lps/intm_419.pdf [https://perma.cc/5T8Y-25PG] (finding nonwhite drivers were treated differently than white drivers in terms of consent searches and hit rates of searches); David A. Harris, https://perma.cc/hMK5-JUSK] (concluding that Latinos make up a disproportionate number of those stopped by police, particularly of those stopped by drug interdiction units); David Rudovsky, Law Enforcement by Stereotypes and Serendipity: Racial Profiling and Stops and Searches Without Probable Cause, 3 U. PA. J. CONST. L. 296, 299–306 (2001) (chronicling many of the then existing studies on racial profiling).

⁴³ See Harris, supra note 34, at 560–69.

subjected to a pretextual traffic stop.⁴⁴ The study concluded that once stopped, Black and Latino drivers disproportionately were cited for minor infractions, such as equipment violations.⁴⁵

Similarly, a study of four million traffic stops in California found that for "Black Californians the likelihood of being searched is more than twice that of white Californians—a search rate of 20.5 percent and 8.2 percent, respectively." A study of fourteen years of police traffic stops in North Carolina showed that Black drivers were 63% more likely to be stopped and 115% more likely to be searched than white drivers, even though they drive less and contraband is less likely to be found in searches of Black drivers. Concentrating pretextual stops within Black and brown neighborhoods causes severe harms, as community members who are stopped are at significant risk of economic, psychological, and physical harm.

To begin with, Black and Latino drivers routinely subjected to pretextual traffic stops face burdensome fines, fees, forfeitures, and arrests.⁴⁸ Unpaid fines and fees in particular often result in a spiraling set of consequences—such as license revocations, additional debt through interest or late fees, arrest, or even jail.⁴⁹

⁴⁴ Epp et al., *supra* note 11, at 110.

⁴⁵ *Id*.

⁴⁶ Magnus Lofstrom, et al., *Racial Disparities in Law Enforcement Stops*, PUB. POLICY INST. CAL. (Oct. 2021), https://www.ppic.org/?show-pdf=true&docraptor=true&url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.ppic.org%2Fpublication%2Fracial-disparities-in-law-enforcement-stops%2F.

⁴⁷ Chris Horn, *Racial Disparities Revealed in Massive Traffic Stop Dataset*, UNIV. S.C. (June 12, 2020), https://www.sc.edu/uofsc/posts/2020/06/racial_disparities_traffic_stops.php#.Y96Cc3bMI2y.

⁴⁸ Fines and Fees, VERA, https://www.vera.org/ending-mass-incarceration/criminalization-racial-disparities/fines-and-fees (last visited Feb. 10, 2023).

⁴⁹ *Id*.

And for many Black and Latino drivers, stops are a real and justifiable source of fear, stress, and trauma.⁵⁰ Recent traffic stops across the country demonstrate why: low-level traffic stops can quickly spiral into deadly uses of force by police. The horrifying police killings of Tyre Nichols, Duante Wright, Sandra Bland, and Philando Castile, amongst many others, during police traffic stops are not anomalies—they are representative of a much larger national trend. In 2021, a New York Times investigation found that, over a five-year period, 600 people in the United States were killed by police during traffic stops, 400 of whom had no weapon and were not being pursued for a violent crime.⁵¹ Again, "Black drivers were overrepresented among those killed."⁵²

Just last year, the City of Chicago's Office of Inspector General found that 98% percent of Chicago police officers' reported use of force during traffic stops involved force against a Black (87%) or Latino (11%) person.⁵³ A report issued this spring by Chicago-based BPI (now known as Impact for Equity) and the Free 2 Move Coalition found that traffic stops by the Chicago police resulted in the largest share of incidents of officers pointing firearms at civilians—more incidents

⁵⁰ Amber Landers, David Rollock, Charity Rolfes, and Demietrice Moore, *Police Contacts and Stress among African American College Students*, AM. J. ORTHOPSYCHIATRY 81, no. 1 (2011), 72–81, https://psycnet.apa.org/doiLanding?doi=10.1111%2Fj.1939-0025.2010.01073.x; Amanda Geller, Jeffrey Fagan, Tom Tyler, and Bruce G. Link, *Aggressive Policing and the Mental Health of Young Urban Men*, AM. J. PUB. HEALTH 104, no. 12 (2014), 2321–2327, https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/full/10.2105/AJPH.2014.302046; J.L. Hirschtick, S.M. Homan, G. Rauscher, et al., *Persistent and Aggressive Interactions with the Police: Potential Mental Health Implications*, EPIDEMIOLOGY AND PSYCHIATRIC SCIS. 29 (2020), e19, <a href="https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/epidemiology-and-psychiatric-sciences/article/persistent-and-aggressive-interactions-with-the-police-potential-mental-health-implications/08A72C424643BA06BF558E579CC30312.

⁵¹ David D. Kirkpatrick, et al., *Why Many Police Traffic Stops Turn Deadly*, N.Y. TIMES (Nov. 30, 2021), https://www.nytimes.com/2021/10/31/us/police-traffic-stops-killings.html?smid=nytcore-ios-share&referringSource=articleShare.

⁵² *Id*.

⁵³ CITY OF CHI. INSPECTOR GEN., *supra* note 38.

than those recorded in response to dispatch calls about a "person with a gun" or "shots fired," combined.⁵⁴

E. The Harms of Pretextual Traffic Stops and Vehicle Searches, Including Those Based on the Alleged Odor of Cannabis Alone, Greatly Outweigh Any Perceived Benefits

Beyond exacerbating racial disparities and inflicting physical, psychological and economic harm, pretextual traffic stops and subsequent searches, including those based on the alleged odor of cannabis, do not improve public safety. Academic research establishes that stops and searches that are not based on reliable evidence of serious criminal activity are unlikely to be efficient or productive.⁵⁵ This is borne out by the fact that the vast majority of pretextual traffic stops do not result in the recovery of contraband, such as drugs or firearms.

In Illinois in 2022, for example, police found contraband (defined as drugs, drug paraphernalia, alcohol, weapons, stolen property, or "other"), in only 1.5% of all traffic stops statewide.⁵⁶ Likewise, in Nashville, researchers found that pretextual traffic stops disproportionately targeting Black and Latino drivers rarely led to arrests or the recovery of drugs or contraband, and had no statistically significant relationship to crime in the short- or long-term.⁵⁷

⁵⁴ A New Vehicle for "Stop and Frisk": The Scope, Impact, and Inequities of Traffic Stops in Chicago, BPI & FREE 2 MOVE 26 (Mar. 2023), https://www.impactforequity.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/BPI_Traffic-Stop-Report_F.pdf.

⁵⁵ John MacDonald, Jeffrey Fagan, & Amanda Geller, *The Effects of Local Police Surges on Crime and Arrests in New York City*, PLoS ONE (June 16, 2016), <u>The Effects of Local Police Surges on Crime and Arrests in New York City (ssrn.com)</u>.

⁵⁶ See ILL. STATE POLICE, supra note 37, at 4.

⁵⁷ An Assessment of Traffic Stops and Policing Strategies in Nashville, POLICING PROJECT 10 (2018), https://static1.squarespace.com/static/58a33e881b631bc60d4f8b31/t/5bf2d18d562fa747a554f6b0/154264

Similarly, in the District of Columbia, research found that only one percent of pedestrian and traffic stops *combined* led to the recovery of a gun in 2020.⁵⁸

In short, pretextual traffic stops are deployed by police to recover contraband and reduce crime and yet, pretextual traffic stops are demonstrably ineffective at achieving that goal. They rely on the arbitrary and inaccurate characterization of Black and Latino drivers as criminals, which unfairly subjects them to at-will intrusions of their privacy and relegates them to secondclass citizenry. Thus, this Court will not hinder public safety if it holds that officers in a jurisdiction where cannabis is legal may not use its odor as a pretext to fish for other evidence against a driver. Such a holding will, however, discourage officers from using pretextual traffic stops in ways that disproportionately single out Black and Latino drivers for harassment, fear, physical harm, and even death.

F. Pretextual Stops and Searches Based on the Alleged Odor of Cannabis Alone are Insulated from Judicial Scrutiny, Preventing Review of Baseless Police Actions

Finally, holding that the odor of raw cannabis alone does not constitute probable cause for a vehicle search in Illinois will promote officers' adherence to the Fourth Amendment.

Unlike most facts giving rise to probable cause or exigent circumstances, odors are intangible and dissipate soon after they are allegedly perceived. An odor will not appear on video or audio from officer-worn cameras (sometimes called body-worn cameras). As a result, it is difficult in practice for most people subjected to unfounded warrantless vehicle searches for

<u>0014294/Policing+Project+Nashville+Report.pdf</u> (finding that just two percent of stops resulted in arrest or recovery of contraband).

⁵⁸ Racial Disparities in Stops by the D.C. Metropolitan Police Department: Review of Five Months of Data, ACLU-DC AND ACLU ANALYTICS 8-9 (June 16, 2020), https://perma.cc/N4B8-AA86.

cannabis to mount an effective challenge to the legality of a search based on an officer's claim that an odor was present. The challenge sets up a credibility war between the officer and the motorist where the motorist is at a distinct disadvantage. Courts have long deemed officers' claims of smelling cannabis to be credible, and stopped all further inquiry, without determining whether the officer's opinion about detecting the odor is reliable (i.e., "reasonably trustworthy")⁵⁹ information, and supported by a factual basis rather than a bare assertion.

Worse, only drivers who are criminally charged as a result of the search will be able to bring a challenge via a suppression motion. Many will likely plead out before their motion is heard, ⁶⁰ and therefore, will waive any right to challenge a search's legality.

If no contraband is recovered and no court case results, the overwhelming majority of people subjected to the type of warrantless searches at issue in this case have no practical means of seeking relief if they believe an officer fabricated an odor of cannabis as a false basis for a search. Without the ability to recover substantial damages, motorists will be unlikely to find counsel willing to represent them. If they do, they may face hurdles such as qualified immunity defenses. *Monell* claims would be equally challenging due to the difficulty in gathering evidence of a policy or practice.

The most practical way for this Court and others to discourage discriminatory, baseless and harassing pretextual traffic stops premised on the alleged odor of cannabis is to hold that, due to

⁵⁹ See, e.g., Draper v. United States, 358 U.S. 307, 333 (1959).

⁶⁰ The Truth About Trials, MARSHALL PROJECT, https://www.themarshallproject.org/2020/11/04/the-truth-about-trials (last visited Feb. 7, 2023) ("About 94 percent of felony convictions at the state level and about 97 percent at the federal level are the result of plea bargains.").

the legalization of personal amounts of cannabis in Illinois, the odor of raw cannabis alone does not provide probable cause to search a vehicle here.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, *amici* respectfully submit that this Court should reverse the District Court's denial of Mr. Jackson's motion to suppress and find that, in a jurisdiction that has legalized possession of personal amounts of cannabis, the odor of raw cannabis alone is not a sufficient basis for probable cause to search a vehicle pursuant to the automobile exception to the warrant requirement. A ruling to the contrary would further incentivize police to engage in harmful pretextual stops, which do not meaningfully improve traffic safety or fight crime, and would allow for the harmful racial disparities endemic to traffic and cannabis enforcement to continue.

Dated: September 18, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan M. Brayman (ARDC # 6302461)
BREEN & PUGH
ILLINOIS ASSOCIATION OF
CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS
53 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 1550
Chicago, IL 60604
(312) 362-9907
jbrayman@breenpughlaw.com

Clifford Berlow
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS
353 N. Clark St.
Chicago, IL 60654
(312) 840-7366
cberlow@jenner.com

/s/ Alexandra K. Block

Alexandra K. Block (ARDC # 6285766)

Counsel of Record

ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC.
150 N. Michigan Ave., Suite 600

Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 201-9740 ext. 340

ablock@aclu-il.org

Julian Clark
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION
CRIMINAL LAW REFORM PROJECT
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(929) 969-4365
jclark@aclu.org

Counsel for Amici Curiae

> <u>Certificate of Compliance with Word Limit,</u> Typeface Requirements, and Type-Style Requirements

The undersigned certifies that this amicus brief complies with the word limit of Fed. R.

App. P. 29(a)(5) and Circuit Rule 29 because, excluding the parts of the document exempted by

Fed. R. App. P. 32(f), it contains 6,298 words as shown by Microsoft Word.

The undersigned certifies that amicus brief complies with the typeface and type style

requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(5)-(6) and Circuit Rule 32(b) because it has been prepared

in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word in Times New Roman font that is 12

points in the body of the brief and 11 points in footnotes.

Dated: September 18, 2023 /s/ Alexandra K. Block

Alexandra K. Block

Counsel for Amici Curiae

22

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on September 18, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the

Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit by using the

appellate CM/ECF system.

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate

CM/ECF system.

Dated: September 18, 2023

/s/ Alexandra K. Block

Alexandra K. Block

Counsel for Amici Curiae

23