1	
2	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	NACDL Task Force on Restoration of Rights
10	and Status After Conviction Day 1 of 2
11	
12	
13	Thursday, February 16, 2012
14	9:05 a.m 5:27 p.m. Miami Tower
15	100 SE 2nd Street Miami, Florida 33131
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
22	COURT REPORTERS (800)288-3376
23	www.depo.com
24	REPORTED BY: LAURA FISH, PROFESSIONAL SHORTHAND
25	REPORTER FILE NO.: A6018A8

1	NACDL TASK FORCE MEMBERS
2	Rick Jones Elissa Heinrichs
<pre>3 Vicki Young    Margaret Love 4 Geneva Vanderhorst</pre>	Vicki Young
	Geneva Vanderhorst
5	Lawrence Goldman
6	PANEL I (Page 4)
7	Ralph Martin, President & CEO, RKRM of Consulting, Inc.
8	Mark Schlakman, Director of Florida State
_	University's Center for the Advancement of Human Rights and formerly Special Counsel on Clemency to
10	Governor Lawton Chiles., Jr.
11	Wayne Rawlins, Community Justice and Economic Development Consultant
12	26 v 6 1 op men e oons a 1 can e
13	PANEL II (Page 67)
14	Iana A. Patterson, Facility Director of Broward County Bridge TC/Work Release Center
15 16	William Evans, Facility Director of Turning Point Bridge Work Release Facility
17	David Freedman, Executive Director, Transition, Inc.
18	Luz Norwood, Workforce Program Supervisor of
19	Transition, Inc.
20	PANEL III (Page 128)
21	Carlos Martinez, Public Defender for Miami Dade
22	County
23	Reverend Charles Dinkins of Hosanna Community Church
24	PANEL IV (Page 187)
25	Dante Trevasani, Attorney and Equal Justice Works

1	Desmond Meade, President of Florida Rights Coalition
2	Nellie King, President of Florida Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers
3	
4	PANEL V (Page 246)
5	Deacon Edgardo Farias, Archdiocese of Miami Detention Ministry
6	Cleveland Bell, Executive Director of Riverside House
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:) 2 PANEL I 3 MR. JONES: My name is Rick Jones. I'm one 4 of the coaches of the National Association of 5 Criminal Defense Lawyers Task Force on the 6 restoration of rights status after conviction. 7 We are pleased, let me say at the outset, to 8 have you-all here. 9 We have been going around the country. 10 This is the second leg of our journey, I should 11 say. Perspectively, we will be going around the 12 country on a listening tour, trying to 13 understand what the issues are, what the 14 challenges are, what works, what doesn't work, 15 with respect to helping folks who have been

convicted to reintegrate themselves and re-assert themselves into society in a meaningful and productive way without the hurdles and the challenges and the barriers that we know that lots of folks face.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We started in Chicago and we are very pleased to be in Miami today. I'd like to at the outset say a couple of things and I'm going to go in no particular order, just a train of thought.

We're operating under a number, or at least one, I am anyway, sort of challenged in that.

We're going to have to speak in good voice today, because we want to make sure this is being recorded.

What you guys say, obviously, is being recorded by the stenographer/court reporter, but we also are videotaping. Those videotapes have proven to be invaluable. So we hope that this one is as well and that we can get everybody's audio and everybody speaks in good voice.

Secondly, if everybody could just power down their phones and their Blackberries and their iPhones and things.

We are, obviously, doing our best with the audio. Any competing audio is, obviously, not the greatest thing in the world. So if you could just power down your phones at the outset, that would be helpful.

We want to thanks Carlton Fields, the law firm, for having us here. They're very gracious for providing us with this space and all the extras that they have done for us. We're very appreciative also at the outset. Just note that we are assisted in our work. None of this

- 1 happens without the NACDL staff: Angelyn 2 Frazer, Obaid Khan, who's there, and Norman 3 Reimer, who is the executive directer, have 4 really all worked hard behind the scenes to pull 5 this together and we are incredibly appreciative 6 of that. 7 I'm going to pause before we get into the 8 substance of our conversation. We're excited to have this conversation with you. I'll have my 10 colleagues introduce themselves. Then, I'll 11 tell you how the show sort of the operates and 12 we'll get started. 13 MS. HEINRICHS: Good morning. My name is 14 Elissa Heinrichs. I am a criminal defense 15 attorney. I practice outside of Philadelphia in 16
  - primarily Bucks County. I practice in State Court. I'm a board member of NACDL as well.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- MS. YOUNG: Good morning. My name is Vicki Young. I'm one of the co-chairs of the Task Force. I'm a criminal defense attorney in the San Francisco Bay area, and I'm a former board member of NACDL.
- MS. LOVE: My name is Margaret Love. I am a private practitioner in Washington, DC, specializing in executive clemency and

```
1
    sentencing and correction of this policy.
2
    spent 20 years in the justice department. I am
3
    a recovering bureaucrat. I'm delighted to be
 4
    here.
5
         MS. VANDERHORST: Good morning. My name is
    Geneva Vanderhorst. I'm also a private
6
7
    practitioner in Washington, DC. I focus
8
    primarily on criminal defense work. I'm
    starting to do a bit of juvenile criminal work
9
10
    as well. I am also a member of the NACDL Board
11
    of Directors.
12
         MR. GOLDMAN: My name is Larry Goldman.
13
    am a criminal defense lawyer in New York City
14
    and a past president of the National Association
15
    of Criminal Defense Lawyers. Good morning.
16
         MS. ROBERTS: Hi, Jenny Roberts. I'm the
17
    reporter for the Task Force. I'm a law
18
    professor at American University and I teach a
19
    criminal justice clinic there. So they're
20
    criminal law related courses.
21
         MR. JONES: We are, obviously, most
22
    interested in hearing from you and being able to
23
    share in a discussion with you-all. The way
24
    that we operated in the past and the way we're
25
    going to operate today and going forward is that
```

we're going to give each of you five to ten minutes to give the benefit of your opening thoughts, after which we have a number of questions for you.

The questioning is done presently by one of our members who will engage you in the conversation. After which to the extent that there's time, I'm sure there's others of us that will have questions as well and then we will ask you whatever questions we might have that. And that usually works to have a really full and thorough and robust discussion.

This panel will be led by Margaret Love, who will be the questioner. But before we get to that, I'd like to give each of you, starting with Mr. Schlakman, I think five or ten minutes to just tell us a little bit about yourself and to give us the benefit of your opening remarks. So I'll stop talking and turn this over.

MR. SCHLAKMAN: Thank you, Rick. And, of course, it's a pleasure to join you today. I appreciate the invitation.

I've had the privilege over the years of serving as special counsel to Florida Governor Lawton Chiles and then, subsequently, had tours

in the White House and the State Department,

Department of Defense. I've continued to focus

upon a number of issues that I dealt with for at

least a few of my several years with Governor

Chiles, including clemency and civil rights

restoration over the years have directed

projects to in effect rethink the civil rights

restoration process in Florida that have been

funded from time to time by the Florida Bar

Foundation and continued to stay engaged in an

effort to -- well, help Florida officials, just

that, rethink the process and change course.

Perhaps in the span of that five to ten minutes, it might be useful to provide an overview as to where Florida has been, where it is now, and where potentially it may go in all of the attentive issues that are at least touched upon in the process.

I was talking with Margaret Love earlier.

Florida if not unique, is certainly a highly
unusual state on many different levels. But as
it relates to civil rights restoration, the

Florida State Constitution, as many of you may
be well aware, provides the governor and cabinet
sitting as the board of executive clemency with

essentially unlimited discretion.

To grant clemency, civil rights restoration in Florida is a form of clemency and specifically, the course we're talking about today is the bundle of rights that in Florida would include voting service on a jury, right to hold public office. Firearm authority is handled separately.

Of course, there are other aspects of clemency that aren't relevant for our purposes today: Pardons, commutations and sentences and otherwise.

It may be useful just to note,
historically, some trend lines. When you go
back even 20 years, two decades, in the early
1990s, there were -- and these are anecdotal
numbers, but they should give you at least a
sense as to the number of civil rights
restoration cases or files that are granted. In
the early '90s, it went from roughly 8,500 a
year and then took a significant dip to 1992
just less than 5,094, less than 2,000. That
pace was more or less maintained for several
years.

During the early 2000s, 2002, the pace

picked up again. That was as a result in 2002 approximately 6,500 cases, and then it moves forward, 14,000, 24,000.

2007, which I'll talk about very briefly -in 2008, there was a spike of sorts that tracked
to a rule change in the Board of Executive
Clemency, but matched those numbers up to, say,
from 14 to -- in 2007, 38,000; 2008, 85,000; and
then it diminished significantly from that point
forward; 2009 approximately 25,000; 2010 under
6,000; 2011 under 100.

Now, to place that into context in the early '90s, I served as a first assistant general counsel and then special counsel to Lawton Chiles. I took that post in '92. Again, to place it in context, Florida was grappling, like other states, but with prison overcrowding, federal court orders as to release populations. That, of course, was pre-election 2000. I'll underscore why that's significant in just a moment.

Because of the multiplicity of issues on the criminal justice system, prison overcrowding and otherwise, the clemency process where many people are getting early release of some sort, the clemency processed was used as a tool of sorts to try to manage a population under some highly extenuating circumstances.

Jump forward. That bit of a spike that I alluded to in 2002, that correlated to Governor Jeb Bush's decision to implement a process whereby those who had completed their sentences either from incarceration or terminating probation, their information would be routinely passed to the parole commission, which serves as the investigative arm of the board of executive clemency rather than rely upon applications.

That was in terms of efficiency, while far from perfect. And there were a number of cases that were case files that perhaps were lost along the way.

Much more efficient, the next notable

upturn in terms of cases granted or case files

granted was as a result of the rule changes

adopted by Governor Crist and the cabinet in

April of 2007. There has been a loss

misinformation about what was done there. There

was no automatic right restoration process

adopted by Governor Crist and the cabinet.

In fact, while there were many cases that

were facilitated by way of adjusting the rules to allow for essentially three tiers or three levels of process from the nonviolent cases to midlevel cases to more violent cases, it still was treating the civil rights restoration caseload as if there were public safety issues embedded within it.

It would defy one's imagination to try to find a public safety issue that attaches to voting, service on a jury while there are preemptory challenges, right to hold public office. Well, the fundamental aspect of democracy the people decide.

So the public safety issue, which many advocates or advocacy organizations missed, related to something that was never intended to be the case. Florida, again, I was speaking with Margaret Love about this briefly before the proceeding began, while there was no universal law or statute that applied, Florida adopted a patchwork quilt to the authorities. And then in some cases, there was a recipient policy whereby rights restoration was leveraged as a threshold test for eligibility for a range of occupational licenses, professional licenses and certain jobs

that required State certification -- never intended to be the case as far as the clemency process serves that purpose.

So what happened was that the public safety issue was actually conflated into voting rights. The Crist team either missed that or decided not to address that concern.

A bit more context -- and I'll start the wind down, because there are a wide range of issues here that perhaps we can catch up in terms of Q. and A. afterwards -- but Governor Bush's ex-offender task force. So, now, we're going back several years.

I helped to shape a recommendation that came up through the task force. That was to decouple rights restoration from the employment restriction issues. To clarify, the task force was focused upon that for purposes of re-entry. Again, it was Governor Bush's ex-offender task force. But re-entry was the charge. It was focused upon not kicking the floodgates wide open, so to speak, but to facilitate reasonable access to jobs for ex-felons based upon whatever appropriate review process, but not to have that determined based upon the status of one's civil

rights. So it has taken a while.

Just this past year as in 2011, the Florida legislature passed a law, and the Governor signed it, that decoupled the civil rights restoration issues from employment restrictions.

Let me clarify one last thing for now. The clemency process in Florida is neither subject to judicial review, nor legislative oversight.

So when I say that the legislature passed this bill that became law with strong support from Attorney General Pam Bondi -- and that's the last bit of context that I'll share before I defer -- it had nothing to do with clemency per se or civil rights restoration per se. It was dealing with external restrictions again, this patchwork quilt of barriers that have been imposed over time.

Now, this is what we're left with last

March, Governor Scott and the new cabinet,

Attorney General Pam Bondi. As many of you may know, this is not the governor's cabinet. This is the Florida cabinet, which means these are constitutional officers. They're independently elected statewide. They have their own constituencies, their own power base.

The clemency board by the Florida constitution, again, is the governor and the cabinet.

When the governor and cabinet met for the first time, this current governor and cabinet, last March, they changed the rule of executive clemency, rolling back the changes that were made under the Crist administration. That was never fully automatic rights restoration.

Nonetheless, they implemented one of the more restrictive policies for purposes of rights restoration than exists today.

Now, there is a minimum five-year waiting period and a seven-year waiting period, depending upon the nature of the offense before ex-offenders can even apply and that's after a completion of sentence. To clarify, that does not necessarily mean that their civil rights restoration was granted. That's for purposes of application.

There's cause for some encouragement and then two points -- again, I defer. There's cause for some encouragement in that the landscape was different when the governor in cabinet implemented these more restrictive

1 criteria. That is that bill that I just alluded 2 to that hadn't passed yet. That's significant, 3 because at the time, Attorney General Bondi was 4 framing this as her predecessor. Attorney 5 General Bill McCollum, former congressman, had 6 also emphasized that there was a public safety 7 issue within more routine or automatic rights 8 restoration; and in reality, there was. 9 Now, it's a function of in effect one's 10 perspective in philosophy as to whether 11 completion of sentence means that one has 12 satisfied his or her debt to society. 13 There's an opportunity to take another pass 14 back at this. I'm not suggesting to you that 15 the governor and cabinet will. But the governor 16 has made statements that in response to the

There's an opportunity to take another pass back at this. I'm not suggesting to you that the governor and cabinet will. But the governor has made statements that in response to the Legislative Black Caucus, to some extent and otherwise, that he would be at least open to taking another look. So, again, not to overemphasize that, but the timing is interesting.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in closing, while that law passed and largely will address many State jobs and licenses, there are other aspects of this by analogy that are remarkably inequitable.

```
1
         I'd like to take the opportunity to
2
    introduce someone that I met last summer,
3
    Jessica Chiappone, in the audience. Perhaps at
 4
    your discretion, she can respond to questions.
5
         Jessica, you had a felony conviction,
6
    correct?
7
         MS. CHIAPPONE: Yes.
8
         MR. SCHLAKMAN: And you graduated from law
9
    school when?
10
         MS. CHIAPPONE: May 2011.
11
         MR. SCHLAKMAN: She's doing some remarkable
12
    things to change the world, the Florida Bar to
13
    be more precise. The Florida Board of Bar
14
    Examiners has a threshold test similar to what
15
    on an ad hoc basis the number of State
16
    occupational and professional licenses and some
17
    other jobs require a state certification. That
18
    is rights restoration as your threshold test.
19
    You cannot proceed unless you have had your
20
    rights restored.
21
         Well, in Florida -- and the numbers that I
22
    cited to you in the last year to calendar year
23
    2011 -- and I can't tell you this is precisely
24
    accurate. But with all indications, there were
25
    less than 100 civil rights restoration
```

applications granted, less than 100 in the entire state. It is a purely discretionary, some would say arbitrary process. This does not go to party, although the population -- and this does necessarily go to voter suppression.

Although the population that's affected is disproportionately -- the demographics are disproportionately skewed.

But we also have, it's easy to say,
ancillary concerns. But actually, they're real
life concerns like Jessica. She cannot proceed
unless the Florida Board of Bar Examiners
revisits it's standard, which was analogous to
the standard that the State just disposed of.
The rationale for that, by the way, is that the
standard to become a lawyer should be rigorous.
Well, clearly, it should be. I think the
emphasis that's being placed now by the Florida
Board of Bar Examiners and potentially others is
misplaced.

So I probably exceeded my five to ten minutes. There is much more to discuss, but just due to our limited timing, why don't I defer and, hopefully, we can pick up some of these issues in the Q. and A. portion.

1 MR. JONES: Time well spent. Thank you.

Mr. Martin.

MR. MARTIN: I would like to take this time to thank everyone for actually inviting me down from Orlando to come be a part of this. The first time I heard about it was when Wayne sent me the e-mail.

I'm actually from here in Miami, five or ten minutes away in Overtown, the Liberty City area, which is probably the roughest part of the state of Florida, actually.

The majority of us in Overtown, Liberty

City, are ex-offenders, convicted felons. For

me and my family, there was 26 of us in a

three-bedroom housing project and my family of

two generations. You have generation of welfare

and you have generation of felons.

But there's some ex-offenders, not all, we just want an opportunity. We don't want you to roll out the red carpet to us. We want to make sure that when we do our time, our past doesn't dictate our future. For me, that is exactly what has happened.

My conviction for burglary and theft is 18 years old now. I'm one of the few ex-offenders

you may meet who has his bachelors, masters, MBA and law degree.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I understand fully what Jessica went through. Because even in law school, I had people who didn't want to be my friend because I was an ex-offender.

When I won the moot court competition and I won the Law Review, they said something must be wrong; someone must be feeling sorry for this quy because there's no way he can excel academically the way he did. Even when I would go and win the moot court competitions as part of the traveling team and we would win the best brief awards and when I won the award of barrister award, some people said, something is wrong, an ex-offender does not do this well. Well, the problem was I actually had got on the same playing field as they have when they had mom and dad at home and I didn't have mom and dad, because, see, my mom has been in prison five times and my father has been in prison six times. So if you let society tell you, I shouldn't be as successful as I am. When I graduated from law school --

And, Jessica, I've been --

Where is she?

MR. SCHLAKMAN: She'll be back.

MR. MARTIN: I've been in front of the Bar examiners for 7 years and they're still giving me problems.

When I had my hearing in front of Florida

Board of Bar Examiners, I didn't get not one

question about my felony conviction. The

majority of the questions for me surrounded,

well, what did you do that you didn't get caught

for? That's what they asked me. But I'm pretty

sure within the next year or so I'll be in.

But, see, when you have people who come from certain backgrounds and you excel, but you have a little speed bump where you get in trouble, we make the best role models. Because when you want mentors and you want us to mentor people who have a background similar to my own, who better to mentor at-risk youth than those who have a parent in prison than an ex-offender themself, who's been through all of that?

I don't worry the seven appearances on Oprah. I don't worry about being accepted to law school. I don't worry about that she paid me to go to law school. I don't worry about

being on the governor's ex-offenders Task Force and all that.

Simply put, I don't want people to hold my past against me. The funny thing is the more I have climbed up the academic ladder, the more resistance I have found. Because for some people, it's simply amazing and untrue, that there's no way you can be this successful man.

I've known Wayne 10 to 15 years now. It's because of Wayne that I started my own consulting firm, my mentoring firm and my staffing agency that staffs exclusively ex-offenders.

Wayne will tell you, Wayne has people from Orlando, who don't know I'm in Orlando, e-mailing him looking for me -- "We need Ralph."

Even as I go into schools and do
motivational speeches to some of the average
kids, Orange County public schools won't let me
in. They told me my felony conviction, unless
it was 25-years old or more, was too recent. I
couldn't believe that. Who is better to go into
these schools than somebody like myself? After
three or four hills, they finally let me in.
Wayne knew I told them, "I've got a message that

they need to hear."

We're not asking for sympathy or anything like that. I just wanted the opportunity to be on a level playing field. I think that when you go 18 years without getting in trouble and the most I've gotten is a speeding ticket on a jet ski, I think that shows something about me, my character and what I am truly about.

I've spent the last 18, 19 years of my life helping those who have a background similar to my own. It's very personal for me because of what's going on in my family. I've got nine family members in prison in the state of Florida. I have to make sure that when they're released that I can be in a place to help them.

Let me give you a personal story and I'll just end with this. My son has never gotten in trouble. I had my son when I was 17 years old. My son is 18 now. My son had graduated from school and everything else and he's getting ready to go to Georgetown on a 75 percent scholarship. I was extremely happy. And because my biggest fear in life was that I would get a call at 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning and someone would tell me that someone has done

something to my son. Because when you're smart and you're in the city, it's not cool.

There were a lot of people who had a lot of animosity for me ten minutes away, here in the Overtown area.

I got a phone call. It was actually 3:30 in the morning to tell me that my son had been pulled over with some guy who had cocaine and marijuana and everything else in the car. I said not my -- as far as I know, my son doesn't hang out at 3:30 in the morning, because he's texting and always calling me. But it was true.

So, now, I've got to save my son from the exact same system that gobbled me up, gobbled my father up -- even though he wasn't in my life -- and gobbled my grandfather up. That hurts me to this day, because everyone knows your father is in and out of all the prisons in the state of Florida helping ex-offenders. But, now, I've got to save the ex-offender that means the most to me in my life. That's why I'm here today and that's why I really do the work that I do.

I love the legal field and I will be extremely happy when they let me into the Florida Bar. But I love saving the lives more

than anything else.

So just thank you for the opportunity to be here. Whatever we can do, just let us know.

I'm only a phone call, an e-mail away. What 200 miles away in Orlando? So just thank you very much.

MR. JONES: Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Ralph.

MR. RAWLINS: One of the greatest challenges that we have in Florida is our parole commission. All of the advances that we've seen in terms of the legislative mandates have been held up in the parole commission. They are the ones that have been charged to review these applications.

I cannot figure out why less than 100 could be approved and gotten through over the course of the time that they've had the opportunity to serve the citizens of Florida.

So as you move forward, I would do further examination of the parole commission, who thinks that re-entry is how fast can we re-enter our felons back into the Florida correctional system.

Now, my experience with re-entry began with

OIC of Dade County. Attorney Heinrichs filed
the -- OIC from Philadelphia on Broad Street.

Reverend Leon Sullivan started the organization
back in the late '50s, early '60s.

In Miami-Dade County, my office was based in Liberty City. Half of the people that came into the office to get jobs, jobs training and placement organization were ex-felons.

Being in the job training placement
business, we had to get paid based on the number
of placements that we had. If we didn't make
placements, we didn't get paid. So working with
ex-felons was not a desirable group in terms of
getting your placements and making money for
your organization, but that was on a population
base. We had no choice. So we worked
diligently and we actually had a 92 percent
placement rate for the people that came through
our doors.

I left OIC to take over Regency, which was a justice department initiative, and also based in Liberty City in the working tenure. Because, again, our population base was largely ex-offenders and felons. So we started to see a lot of expungement work. We started community

court, where people that committed offenses, misdemeanor offenses, were able to do their sentences, community services. Subjects are back in the community in which the crimes were committed on which they lived, so they could start feeling some vestment in the community because the state of Florida has divested ex-felons and ex-offenders from feeling invested in community and society.

So at any rate, after leaving Regency, I took over the consulting position for Project Safe Neighborhoods, which is, again, the justice department initiative. In doing so, I learned a lot from Steven Rickman, who was the director of Regency in Washington over all the regencies. He came with this approach that re-entry was a public safety issue.

So you heard a lot that the decisions that are made by the legislature are because of public safety. But it's the very fact that successful re-entry enhances public safety. A person that can get a job, that can pay taxes, that can feel vested in the community is less likely to reoffend than someone that doesn't.

You know, what is a fundamental advocacy to

an American? Power of the vote. And when you take that away from a person, you've pretty much left them hopeless.

I think it's a basic right of the human being, by the grace of the All Mighty that once you repent and you serve your sentence that you're free again. This is a basic human right that's given to us by the All Mighty. And you take that away because you're a tough one-crime state or you feel that these are public safety issues to the contrary of the fact that the real public safety is providing a person with successful re-entry and an opportunity and access to resources that they can be law abiding citizens and tax paying citizens.

So in my capacity as the consultant for project safety, my focus is re-entry. There's a few things we're doing, producing a book. It's very small, but very comprehensive and very thorough book, that provides access to resources for ex-offenders in Miami-Dade County. I'll share this with the group.

Additionally, one of our primary functions is to go inside the prisons and visit all of the inmates that are within six months of their

release and we started an initiative called "Re-entry Fears." We bring in service providers, 20 or 30 providers into a prison, meeting the men and/or women that are being released within six months and connect them to the services that match their needs. We assess their needs in advance. You know I see the classification offices and the transitions offices in the prison and then make a request for our network of providers, some of which are in the book that is going around and some others. We bring those providers into the prisons, meet their inmates face-to-face and start making connections with the family back in the community and, also, of course, with the inmate themselves. So when they're released they have an opportunity to access these resources and services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, we have working groups that work on a number of different issues. We have one of the chairs here, Asaki Gobbo. She chairs our employment training and entrepreneurship working group. We have a juvenile re-entry working group. We have, also, a social marketing and communications working group as well as housing

and referral coordination working group.

Then one last note in the housing referral coordination. We found Florida has had a large number of foreclosures. A lot of property owners are having a difficult time filling the vacancies. So what we did is we proposed to these property owners, look, you've got a property. You can't fill your vacancy. You're having a hard time paying your mortgage. We have ex-felons that are having a hard time finding a decent place to stay, provide housing to them for a weekly rate, very low security deposit or whatever to move in. And we'll assist you by providing you access to our social and economic service providers that can enhance their living environment.

So we can have NA meetings and AA meetings, job training and placement providers come right on site to your location so that you can help those ex-felons connect to social services. So, now, those are the landlords that are participating. They're participating in that transitional housing initiative. They're getting the vacancies. So they're paying their mortgages. The former inmates have a decent

1 safe place to live. We have no liability. 2 We're just a matchmaker. We're just saying 3 here's a place to live and here are people that 4 are in need of housing. It provided an 5 opportunity for people that would otherwise 6 would be homeless. That indeed creates a public 7 safety challenge for us. 8 So I appreciate the opportunity to share these remarks and look forward to answering any 9 10 questions that you may have. 11 MR. JONES: Thank you very much, 12 Mr. Rawlins. 13 Before we get to the questioning, could we 14 just have Ms. Chiappone -- we have an extra seat 15 right here. Would you mind coming up and just 16 giving us two or three minutes of your story? 17 MS. CHIAPPONE: My name is Jessica 18 Chiappone. I just recently graduated from Nova 19 Southeastern University Law School. I'm a 20 convicted felon. I got arrested in 1997 and 21 have since lived a life of collateral 22 consequences, which I'm a fighter and I'm 23 dealing with and I try to do my best to help 24 others. It troubles me so much in how it 25 affects my children.

I brought with me today -- I have a federal conviction and I was a resident of New York when I was released. So, technically, I should have my voting right reinstated already, because in New York your voting rights are automatically reinstated upon success of release. So when I went to -- I applied to the Board of Clemency in February of 2008, before I started law school to have my rights restored in Florida, because I was a Florida resident. I checked every year on my application and every year they told me that they haven't got to the 2008 applications yet. So in January of this year, I called, again, as usual. I was told that my application was put on hold, because they couldn't contact me.

Now, the person they sent the letter to to reopen my case was Mr. Herbert, which I personally know, from the FR, because I'm also the vice-president of the Florida Rights

Restoration Coalition. He spoke at one of our meetings, so he knows me. And not only that, you could Google my name and you could get my contact information. There was no reason that you couldn't contact me regarding my application. So I went a different route

because, again, as the Florida Bar application states, you can't even send your application to the Florida Bar unless your rights are restored. So I can't even get my application in the door to have them look at it to explain my situation. So I called the Florida Bar and I said, "Well, you know, technically, I have my voting right." I said, "Your application doesn't make sense, because even if you apply to Florida and you get your rights restored, not all of your rights could be restored."

So the question doesn't even make sense and you can't ever have all your rights restored to answer that in affirmative in order to submit the application. So I called the Board of Elections in Florida statewide and I was told that I can't get my voting right because according to the Florida Constitution, you have to have your rights restored before you can get your voting right. So I pulled up the Constitution. It says that "no person convicted of a felony or adjudicated in this state or any other state to be mentally incompetent shall be qualified to vote or hold office until restoration of civil rights or removal of

1 disability." So, technically, in my mind, I have my removal of disability with regards to 2 3 the voting right. I contacted my county 4 supervisor to get the application. And the 5 application in order to be eligible to vote says, "I affirm that I am not a convicted felon, 6 7 or if I am, I have my rights relating to voting 8 restored." Okay. But in the explanation box, 9 it says with regards to Box 3 you're signing 10 this saying that you're not a convicted felon, 11 unless you had your civil liberties restored. 12 So it's so conflicting. And if you're somebody who's not going to analyze it the way I 13 14 do, they're going to think that they can't even 15 send this application when they can, pursuant to 16 the Florida Constitution. So there's so many 17 barriers that are set forth just with paperwork. 18 And, you know, minute things that most people 19 don't have the education or the experience to be 20 able to deal with. 21 So you have the layman collateral 22

consequences and then you have educated people's collateral consequences.

For me, I don't make a lot of money. Because I have a law degree, I owe \$212,000 to

23

24

25

my education. I can't pay my loans back. I have two children. I'm a single mom.

So my option just recently was to maybe put my younger son into Catholic school. In order to apply for a scholarships for Catholic schools, you have to volunteer to do extra service hours. If I go to volunteer to do extra service hours, I have to submit to a background check, which is not going to allow me to be on the school property.

So, I mean, the collateral consequences go on and on and on and they affect my children. They're making my children a statistic when they did nothing. What I did was before they were even born. So it's 15 years old. Like, it just doesn't make sense to me. It kills me because there's people that shouldn't have to fight as hard as I fight just to be a citizen.

You know, you do what you do, you get out, you try to make a life for yourself and, eventually, your family and you have all these barriers that stop you. That's why we're all here, because something has got to change. It goes right back to the public safety issue. The more you push these people away, the more you're

forcing them into making bad decisions just to pay bills, just to make ends meet, just to put food on the table, you know. How is that not a public safety issue?

When you talk about public safety issue, are these people -- are we excluded? Aren't we able to be safe, too? Don't we fall into that population or no? It's only everyone who's never been convicted of a felony that has to be safe. I don't understand it.

MR. JONES: Thank you very much for that testimony.

Margaret.

MS. LOVE: I just want to say I really appreciate you-all being here. I particularly appreciate the testimony of the people who have actually lived there.

The problem of collateral consequences, I was struck by something Mr. Schlakman said about how there had been a decision 20 years ago to use the clemency process to manage the population. The people who had been convicted -- I'm on a little bit of a crusade to avoid labels. I don't like calling people ex-offenders or felons or whatever. I'm just

1 trying to talk about them as people. So to use 2 the clemency process to manage the people who 3 have been convicted -- and it does seem to me 4 that -- I mean, I'm astonished to hear all these 5 kind of mysterious rules and barriers, like the barriers that both Mr. Martin and Ms. Chiappone 6 7 mentioned about not being able to come on school 8 property. Now, where in the world does that 9 come from? What is that barrier? Is that a 10 school policy? May I just ask you two if you 11 know what that --12 MR. MARTIN: I think it's still from when 13 the young girl Jessica Lunsford was murdered by 14 a sexual predator. I think there was -- and 15 I'll quote what Wayne and Vicki Lukis said, who 16 you'll hear from tomorrow. Right then and 17 there, they painted all ex-offenders with the 18 same brush and made the Jessica Lunsford Act. It said, you know what, if you're a felon, we 19 20 don't want you on the school properties at all. 21 MR. SCHLAKMAN: So that's a law of the 22 State of Florida? 23 MR. MARTIN: Yes, ma'am. And in 24 conjunction with the Jimmy Ryce Act, so it just 25 encompassed everyone.

1 MS. LOVE: I see. Okav. Well, let me ask 2 a couple of questions about the clemency 3 process. I was struck by this notion of 4 decoupling the clemency process from other 5 opportunities. It sounds to me like that that 6 is not a process that has actually taken place 7 given the rules of the Florida Bar, for example, 8 that they still couple. MR. SCHLAKMAN: If I may, the State law 9 10 applies to State jobs that my require 11 certification, State occupational and 12 professional licenses. It's not universal, as 13 you may know, and that's the case in many 14 The State law of the past was directed states. 15 toward State action. So the Florida Bar, while 16 it is an oversight for the lawyers in Florida at 17 90-something-thousand lawyers, it's not

However, by analogy, I mean, certainly the policy underpinnings of the law are certainly relevant to the Florida Bar scenario and beyond. There are just this one clarification or at least additional backup.

technically a State agency. So to that extent,

it's not at all surprising.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When I said earlier that the clemency

process, and in particular the civil rights restoration process, was used somewhat as a tool to manage the early release populations, let me be clear. That was within a unique set of circumstances and unique time. The State of Florida was under federal consent agreement, that prison populations were overcrowded. People that would otherwise have been incarcerated were being released. So it was an effort not to deprive them of their vote. It was an effort to try to monitor the populations that all things being equal would have been behind bars. I was trying to put things in larger context for you and also election 2000. I mentioned it and I didn't close the loop on that. It was unclear. By a time frame, you can associate these issues with a particular party or particular governor. But I think for these purposes, it's best not to necessarily get distracted by potential partisanship issues or other agendas, sub rosa or otherwise. Before election 2000, I think it's fair to say that very few people appreciated the magnitude of the underlying problem. Let me give you an order of magnitude in terms of how

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

many individuals in Florida may actually have completed their sentences may be residing in the state without the right to vote, which is, again, non-privileged, but they seem to be a number of footnotes to that.

When the rule change was adopted in April of 2007, the department of corrections and the parole commission did a number of runs and it appeared as though there were in excess of 300,000 older cases and sometimes called them "historical cases" that at least it appeared they hadn't received their rights restoration over time.

Now, there are a number of runs to try to narrow that number of how many people died, how many people moved out of state. But that population was never reviewed under the criteria of the new rules.

The current process each year, roughly between 40 and 60,000 individuals, are either released from custody or terminated from supervision. Those are 40 to 60,000 new cases each year. I didn't really talk about the back log, although the parole commission was brought up. So, again, when we placed that in context

before Governor Scott and the Florida cabinet, at the urging of Attorney General Pam Bondi, adopted these more restrictive criteria in March of last year -- again, the five and seven-year wait list time frame -- there was a backlog date processing backlog of in excess of 100,000 cases, case logs. What happened in effect when those new -- the criteria were implemented. The backlog was not necessarily eliminated. But it was, essentially, eliminated because now those cases were subjected to a five-and seven-year standard.

And then just finally, because I think it's important not to leave here without a clear picture of the process, the parole commission has absolutely nothing to do with the fact that only 100 cases were granted last year. That's pure policy of the board of executive clemency of the governing cabinet. Separate and apart from that, there are capacity issues that the parole commission has been grappling with for years, underfunded insufficient staff to deal with workload and inefficiencies beyond all of that.

So, again, when trying to place all of

these issues in context, I think it's important because even the current Chair, Tina Pate, is very innovated in terms of trying to fill the gaps and so to speak between resources and processing.

And then just, again, finally, the Florida
Rights Restoration Coalition, Jessica mentioned
she's vice-president. That, as you know, and
you will hear from the ACLU later -- and I see
Vicki Lukis, who I've worked with over the
years. It's a excellent organization dependent
upon the day, 60 or so national, state and local
community organizations that have aligned, at
least to some extent, to promote more routine,
if not automatic rights restoration.

Again, I've had the privilege of working with it and the ACLU independently, but collaborating with, to try to work some of this strategic landscape.

Again, I want to suggest to you despite the challenges and the fact that Florida has potentially hundreds of thousands of people who have completed their sentences and have not regained the right to vote just without even looking at the collateral consequences, and,

1 again --2 MS. LOVE: I want to ask Mr. Martin a 3 question, if I may, because I'd like to talk 4 about the -- our past here is, in fact -- I'm 5 just looking at our mission statement -- to 6 undertake an inquiry about how the legal 7 mechanisms for relief are working. So what 8 you're saying is tremendously important. But I would like to ask Mr. Martin, who served on 10 Governor Bush's task force, which was a very 11 innovative task force, you made a number of 12 recommendations --13 MR. MARTIN: Yes. 14 MS. LOVE: -- about the barriers that then 15 existed in various State agencies to employment. 16 Could you tell us what happened to those 17 recommendations? 18 MR. MARTIN: If I'm not mistaken, I think 19 they just took them under advisement, right, 20 I don't know. Maybe. I think that even Wayne? 21 with the decoupling that some of that barrier is 22 moved. But even if you have -- let's say if I 23 wanted to work for the Department of Juvenile 24 Justice. Even if I have the felony, I have my 25 civil rights resorted, under Chapter 435, I

```
1
    believe it is, I would still have to go waiting
2
    for the appeal. They would initially deny me.
    And I would have to go in front of a committee
3
4
    for an appeal here. And I think that's how 435
5
    works, right?
6
         MR. GOLDMAN: Uh-huh.
7
         MS. LOVE: Why would they initially deny
8
    you?
9
         MR. MARTIN: Because I have the felony.
10
         MS. LOVE: So it's an automatic denial?
11
         MR. MARTIN: In most cases, yes; even to
12
    volunteer, yes.
13
         MS. LOVE: Okay.
14
         MR. MARTIN: And after that, you would have
15
    to go through a 435 and you'd have to just go to
16
    a subsequent hearing.
17
         MS. LOVE: Does each agency have their own
18
    rule or policy that would automatically exclude
19
    you and make you appeal; is that the way it
20
    works?
21
         MR. MARTIN: I think all of them now are
22
    under Chapter 435 of the Florida statutes.
23
         MS. LOVE: So that means that it's an
24
    automatic rejection?
25
         MR. MARTIN: Sometimes. It depends on the
```

crime. It depends on who's looking at your application pretty much, too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LOVE: Okay. I just have one more question -- well, maybe I don't. Maybe I should turn it over to my colleagues here. Let me just say one last thing, that it does strike me -and I've got a fair notion about how things are working in other states in this country in terms of restoration of rights. Florida does strike me, just based on what you-all have said, as pretty unique in using this restoration of voting rights, which is automatic in most states, but using that process to exclude people with a conviction from a whole range of opportunity. That's why I mentioned that I was interested to hear you talking about how that manages a population. It continues, it seems, to manage a population. So that's very interesting. And I think truly unusual, if not

MR. MARTIN: Let me say this: When I was getting ready to graduate from law school, I had a job offer from every major law firm in the state of Florida. When we found out that I couldn't even apply to the Florida Bar or sit

```
1
    with the Florida Bar because of the felony, I
2
    think that the president of Nova, the dean of
3
    the law school, and some of the professors were
 4
    so incensed that they hired three lobbying firms
5
    for me and they hired three Republican lobbying
6
    firms. And I think I must haven't gotten my
7
    civil rights without a hearing in seven months,
8
    in seven months.
9
         MS. LOVE: What about the Florida Bar?
10
    That's the one that --
11
         MR. MARTIN: After I got my civil rights,
12
    then I was able to go ahead and do that.
13
    able to go ahead and apply --
14
         MS. LOVE: They were applying within that
15
    system as opposed to going to the Florida Bar --
16
         MR. MARTIN: They went right to --
17
         MS. LOVE: -- to knock out that rule.
18
         MR. MARTIN: They went right to Tallahassee
19
    and lobbied on my behalf. Within six or seven
20
    months, I had my civil rights restored without a
21
    hearing so.
22
         MS. LOVE: Okay. Well, let me open it to
23
    my colleague.
24
         MR. GOLDMAN: Let me just ask a couple of
25
    questions about The Bar and the certains. Let
```

me just ask a couple of questions with relation to admissions to The Bar. On the one hand admissions to The Bar affects a relatively very small percentage of ex-offenders. Very few people have the drive, ambition, perseverance, guts, whatever after being convicted of a crime -- you know, going through law school, which is the three most miserable years of my life and I suspect -- well, you've probably had more miserable years. But it is important to me in a sense because lawyers who have served time, bring a whole different perspective to their professions, which, hopefully, affects how their colleagues act and the like.

I think Mr. Schlakman said -- and I know

nothing about Florida. I'm from New York. My world consists of from the Hudson River to the East River with the rare exception of a trip to the Hamptons. So I know nothing about Florida. I admit my ignorance. But I understand from you, sir, that admissions in Florida are controlled by the courts as opposed to -- is that true?

MR. SCHLAKMAN: Well, as a practical matter, it's the Florida Bar and the Florida Bar

Board of governors with oversight by the courts.

MR. GOLDMAN: Okay. Why can't -- and this may be a simplistic question -- and frankly,

I've worked in New York with lawyers who have been convicted and done time. They have gone through, you know, hoops and jumps, but they've become members of The Bar, terrific members of the Bar. The courts have generally not been so tied down with these kind of crazy restrictions. Catch-22, why doesn't somebody get to the court administrator's chief judge and say, hey, cut out this catch-22. This poor woman has been, you know, battered around on? The three of you perhaps could give me your views.

MR. SCHLAKMAN: Respond to that because in part, again, it's important to remember now the timing. This law that just passed went into effect. It was staggered. Some of it went into effect middle of last year. Some of it December or in February. It's new.

I actually invited or asked maybe

Jessica -- she might have been coming on her own initiative. But I asked Jessica to try to be here today, because her story is compelling.

It's not only eloquent, it's compelling. It

invests the humanity into a system that is really not well considered.

But just to simply answer your question, the timing is right to do that, and I intend to do that. We have discussed, for instance, that in this instance -- I don't handle typically individual cases, but I plan to, if Jessica is willing to take on her matters on a pro bono basis and work it, not simply for Jessica, which in and of itself would be a -- I mean, the goal speaks for itself. That would be more than justified, but to change the process for others. And the timing is now, because, again, the implementation of this law.

And back to Margaret's issue, the law doesn't control what the Florida Bar or the Florida Bar of Board Examiners does. But by analogy, I think the argument is compelling and so that's what we intend to do.

MR. MARTIN: I think that there ought to be rules and regulations in place. I have no problem with that. But just look at the totality of circumstances, when you look at individuals who come from a certain neighborhood, sometimes it's difficult not to

have a run-in with law enforcement. It is extremely difficult.

When I applied to Florida Bar, they told me
The Bar examiners would never admit me,
because -- they look for rehabilitation. And
there's no set definition for rehabilitation
with The Bar examiners. But I think once you've
gone through the process of having your civil
rights restored, that background check in and of
itself, I think The Bar examiners kind of say,
wow, you've gotten your civil rights restored.

It won't be as difficult on you, because my hearing didn't last but 30 minutes and that was totally unexpected for an ex-offender. I walked in and pretty much like, yeah, okay, here, here and here. I walked out. They didn't attack me or anything like that. The guy was like, well, you've gotten your civil rights restored, so we know you've already been through one extensive background check and it was okay. The problem is having to have these civil rights in order to apply. That needs to be talked about on the law school application. Don't have me go to law school and take out 100 to \$150,000 of student loans knowing that there's a possibility I may

not get in the Florida Bar. At least talk to me about that beforehand. And that's part of the problem also.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHIAPPONE: I agree with that. understand, as I said about the rules and -- you know, the overinsight into a particular individual who may have had a troubled past, but stopping me from being able to just send my application, you could send your application and be able to sit for The Bar and not have your hearing until after. So if I graduate now -- I graduated law school. I took the New York Bar and I passed New York Bar. And I'm still sitting here in Florida, because this is where I want to be and this is where I want to raise my children, but I can't even send my application. I just think that doesn't make sense. Because if I can send the application, I can take The Bar and I could deal with the other issues which are -- you know, I understand you have to have a hearing and that's fine. But why can't I send my application and take The Bar? Why should I have to sit for The Bar five years from now after I graduated law school? I mean, that's what bothers me the most right now, because I

don't want to be so far out of law school before I have to take another Bar exam. I want to take it and then we'll push these other issues and try to change it. But I think that initial step is the step in the right direction. So I think that's the first one I would like to address.

MR. GOLDMAN: So let me just ask one

question and then excuse my inter-reference,
when you said the term "manage a population," it
kind of sounds euphemistic. I mean, am I -- is
there -- and I don't know any -- feel free to
talk about it. But is -- I suspect with my
typical cynicism that there is a deliberate
gubernatorial effort by certain people to keep
ex-felons, who presumably would vote for one
party, disenfranchised as long as possible. Is
there some truth to that or am I just a perverse
New Yorker?

MR. SCHLAKMAN: Are they mutually exclusive? I have taken actually a couple of broad passes at this. As the circumstantial backdrop, I think they're -- whether they're partisan issues -- I mean, whether one is a Democrat, whether one is a Republican, whether one is not affiliated, I mean, there's always

strong conjecture as to the sub rosa agenda, if there is one. I would assert that and this has no bearing. I don't believe that there necessarily is. There could be, but I think the connection and the confusion and the obviastation between public safety and the implication, the lack of understanding, which I think to some extent also goes to the courts and the board of Bar examiners, I think they really just don't appreciate what the process is or more importantly, what it is not. It's the restoration of civil rights in Florida as it is handled today and has been over the years, not just this administration, which does have the most restrictive policy that Florida has seen in quite some time. But these issues have cross cut parties. So is it to suppress, voter suppression? It could be. But I'm here to say that I think getting caught up in that -- not to dismiss it -- but getting caught up in that, I think, that the tighter nexus is focusing upon the relationship between public safety and how to now move forward. Because let me give you a visual: Bondi is a career prosecutor. When she was a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

candidate, she said -- and I'm paraphrasing -it would be dangerous and it was irresponsible
for Governor Crist to advocate for automatic
rights restoration. Well, again, caveat, that's
not what happened in terms of Governor Crist.
But certainly, it facilitated a much larger
number of cases through the processes. That
impression continues.

When the policy was adopted in March of
2011, Attorney General Bondi serving. And the
governor actually determines all. It's the

When the policy was adopted in March of 2011, Attorney General Bondi serving. And the governor actually determines all. It's the governor, plus a two-or-three-member cabinet.

But in the room, the Florida association of police chiefs, the Florida Association of Sheriffs, not the Florida Prosecuting Attorneys Association per se, but a number of individual prosecutors were there on public safety grounds. Those grounds have now been decoupled. So there is opportunity. So, again, I'm not evading or avoiding your question. There could be a number of different motivations. But I think it would be more of a distraction to get caught up in those.

MR. JONES: All right. Vicki and then Jenny.

```
1
         MS. YOUNG: I just have a quick question,
2
    Ms. Chiappone. You stated that you've taken the
3
    New York Bar?
 4
         MS. CHIAPPONE: Yes.
5
         MS. YOUNG: Are you a member of the New
6
    York Bar?
7
         MS. CHIAPPONE: No. I have to submit
8
    because New York is -- you submit like a
    two-to-three-page application initially. You
10
    sit for the Bar and then you send the rest of
11
    your application.
12
         MR. GOLDMAN: Do you want a job?
13
         MS. CHIAPPONE: I haven't sent the resumé
14
    application, because, one, it's $500 to get
15
    licensed. I don't have that; and two, I'm
16
    waiting for one more law employment affidavit in
17
    order to be able to submit it.
18
         MS. YOUNG: I was just wondering if, in
19
    fact, you could get admitted to the New York
20
    Bar, you can't do a reciprocity?
21
         MR. MARTIN: Not with Florida.
22
         MR. SCHLAKMAN: Not with Florida.
23
         MR. GOLDMAN: Otherwise, all of us old
24
    people would move down there and take the
25
    Florida lawyers' jobs.
```

```
1
         MR. JONES: We have a few questions from
2
    our reporter, Jenny.
3
        MS. ROBERTS: There's been a lot of talk
 4
    about felony convictions and I know the numbers
5
    are very high in Florida as in other
    jurisdictions of people with misdemeanor
6
7
    convictions. I wonder if anybody here can speak
    to how some of these laws relate to that or any
    other efforts from organizations like
10
    Mr. Rawlins or anyone else that have grappled
    with the issue with misdemeanor convictions in
11
12
    bars to employment or other things?
13
         MR. RAWLINS: We haven't experienced any
14
    great challenges with the work that you've done
    as it relates it to misdemeanor.
15
16
         MS. ROBERTS: You have not?
17
         MR. RAWLINS: No, I have not.
18
         MR. SCHLAKMAN: And misdemeanor convictions
19
    do not impact civil rights decisions. It's only
20
    felony.
21
         MS. ROBERTS: How about in the employment
22
    area?
23
         MR. SCHLAKMAN: It's a different set of
24
    issues.
25
         MR. MARTIN: I haven't seen any. I've seen
```

1 just very few in Orange County. But once, 2 again, South Florida is more willing to give you 3 a second chance. In Orange County it's much 4 more conservative and they're less willing to 5 give you a second chance, even with the 6 misdemeanors whens it comes to employment. 7 MS. LOVE: Let me just follow up what she 8 said, Ms. Roberts. The laws that address 9 employment don't apply to misdemeanors; is that 10 correct? 11 MR. MARTIN: That's correct. 12 MS. LOVE: Okay. That's good. 13 MR. JONES: Does anybody else have 14 questions? All right. I have a few. I want to 15 weigh into an area that I think we've really 16 directly avoided and that is talking about --17 not necessarily partisanship, but other agendas 18 and I'm interested in what -- well, let me 19 backpedal for a second. And I probably have the 20 numbers wrong. I think you said something about 21 300,000 historical cases, older cases and then 22 something along the lines of 60,000 new folks 23 every year coming out of prison. 24 MR. SCHLAKMAN: Plus another backlog of 25 about 100,000.

1 MR. JONES: So we're talking half a million 2 people or more going forward? 3 MR. SCHLAKMAN: Potentially, right. 4 MR. JONES: Potentially. Significant 5 number of folks, right? If you know -- if any 6 anybody knows -- what's the demographics of 7 those folks? 8 MR. SCHLAKMAN: I don't know that particular population, but I think it's 9 10 reasonable to extrapolate. I mean, the 11 demographics in any prison system are skewed 12 more towards minority populations for a variety 13 of reasons that many of you know as well or 14 better than I do, so without having the survey 15 data or access to research methodology for that 16 notional population, I think we can at least 17 extrapolate reasonably that it 18 disproportionately impacted population. And, 19 therefore, the questions that you were asking 20 about whether there's a certain voters 21 suppression or whether it's a party partisan, 22 whichever the right term is, initiative -- I 23 mean, circumstantially it certainly seems like 24 there could be. But, again, my point was -- I 25 know that's not yours -- for purposes of

changing the process, I'm noting that and moving beyond it. That's all. MR. JONES: Right. Right. I understand that. So we're talking about a population of folks who are probably to some large percentage people of color? MR. SCHLAKMAN: Just to extrapolate. MR. JONES: And we're also probably talking about folks who are economically speaking certainly not in the one percent, right? We're talking about folks who are in the lower economic ... MR. SCHLAKMAN: Again, I think certainly reasonable to extrapolate, sure. MR. JONES: Right. Right. It seems to me, Mr. Rawlins, that you're testimony has, if

MR. JONES: Right. Right. It seems to me, Mr. Rawlins, that you're testimony has, if nothing else, the force of common sense, that it seems abundantly clear to me and probably to anybody who hears you really, honestly deep in their heart, that it only makes sense to help these folks succeed. It only makes sense to help these folks, you know, become productive members of society. So that they don't reoffend, so that they don't have to be back in the re-entry system the way you said the parole

commission thinks about re-entering prison. It only makes a better, richer, fuller, safer society. So what I really want to try to get at with other agendas and those, what is the real motivation here? What are we really up against here when we're trying to bring some sense and some logic and some rationality into our restoration civil rights process? What do people think of the real motivations, the underlying? What's going on?

MR. MARTIN: Let me say this -- and I don't know if Wayne was there -- I was at a meeting with every member of the Florida house. We brought in Representative Jerry Madden from Texas. Texas is much more conservative than Florida. Texas got this right five, ten years ago when Bush was governor of Texas. They're less restrictive when it comes to ex-offenders.

When Representative Madden talked to the Florida House about getting it right and he said, I have a question for you-all, how many of you will not do this because you're afraid that you're going to be looked at as being soft on crime? They all raised their hand. They won't get reelected if they raise their hand again.

And that's part of the problem.

Perception is if you do anything to help ex-offenders, you're looked at as being soft on crime and you will not get re-elected. And then he said, I'll change something that Governor Bush told us.

When has helping someone change their life around ever been considered being soft on crime? It's part of the reason a lot of people think they won't get re-elected and they think it will look soft on crime.

MR. JONES: Mr. Rawlins.

MR. RAWLINS: This is a Republican controlled legislature and the governor is a Republican and has been for the last three administrations. It is an issue. I don't care how you slice it, dice it, if you want to sweeten it, if you want to do the Miami two step around it, you're going to face it no matter how you like it. When you talk about Republican administration, you're talking about big business.

The privatization of prisons was a major issue. It's still a major issue, lesser today than it was two months ago. But it's big

1 business, nevertheless, the operations of our 2 prisons. Just like cotton was to the slave 3 trade, inmates are to the prison industry. You 4 have to have them. And this is why I think the use -- lack of an incentive between it being 5 Republican-controlled legislature in the 6 7 Governor's office as well as of the business 8 aspect of prisons and how many of our -- well, 9 three major corporations are on the stock 10 exchange. You're going to have this challenge. 11 You're going up against big business and you're 12 going up against a Republican machine that 13 controls our legislature. 14 MR. JONES: Ms. Chiappone or Mr. Schlakman, 15 either one. 16 MR. SCHLAKMAN: I don't think disclosure is 17 relevant or affirmative, but I'll be happy to do 18 so. I'm a Democrat. I worked for a democratic 19 governor and a democrat President. First of 20 all, the super majority in our legislature don't 21 impact the clemency process at least directly in 22 any way. I don't believe that -- personally --23 that's all that it is. I don't believe that 24 party, if not partisan voter suppression, is the

driver here. Again, I just want to emphasize it

25

certainly wasn't the driver when I was responsible for handling Governor Chiles' clemency issues for the first two of my several years.

MR. JONES: What is the driver in your -MR. SCHLAKMAN: Public safety. Public
safety, the concern about it misplaced to now
completely and before missed. Because even
Governor Crist's effort did not, in my personal
opinion, adequately address. It tended to be an
overlap of the public safety issue, which,
actually, alienated to some extent, some law
enforcement or at least didn't bring them in to
the fold. Therefore, you have this law
enforcement split.

If you are not aware of this, you may just for purposes of taking a snapshot at the Florida picture, because that is really what we're getting when you have an expansive agenda, but still you'll leave here a day and a half later having much more information and context, but there still will be much more that you won't be able to reach.

But one study that you may want to take a look at -- and I'm not even embracing its

methodology, but it was conducted by the parole commission, again, the investigate arm of the more executive clemency last summer as a result of the implementation of these highly restricted criteria last March. That study indicated -- and it's a parole commission study, so whether it's anecdotal is relevant -- that in instances where ex-felons had regained their civil rights, the incidents of recidivism are significantly less.

Out there on the horizon, there are research surveys indicating a number of things. But if you have an opportunity, I would seek out that parole commission study. It's quite abbreviated. But, again, it's compounding. It seems to run counter to at least the notion that is prevailing right now that there is some rationale for withholding this civil rights restoration for a period of in the current policy five and seven years, so in any case.

You're framing on the issues about concern about people being slathered with soft on crime. I also happened to serve as chairman of the board of the Unisons project in Florida. Apart from that, I work with former Florida Supreme

Court Justice Raul Quintero in terms of death penalty reform issues, not because I enjoyed these issues, but because I was in a position with the governor that at least relatively few are within the context of rights restoration, clemency, general capital cases.

Clearly, I think your point is well taken. So my answer to you is public safety. But it's also in many respects fear of being branded as soft on crime or sensitive to victim's issues, left liberal democrat or otherwise. These are labels that probably matter far more than voter suppression.

MS. CHIAPPONE: Well, wouldn't that go directly to voting and voting suppression -
MR. SCHLAKMAN: Well, there's clearly a relationship and what I'm trying to break. So you're right.

MS. CHIAPPONE: Well, I think living the collateral consequences, such as I can't rent in most of the rental communities because of my felony. I can't own in some of the homeowners' associations. And living certain collateral consequences, I think it does relate to voter suppression, absolutely. And they hide it

1 behind the agenda of public safety, which is 2 counterintuitive. It doesn't make sense. It's 3 unjustifiable because public safety would only 4 be improved by enhancing re-entry. 5 MR. JONES: All right. We are, 6 unfortunately, out of time. We have one last 7 question. 8 MR. ROBERTS: Okay. I was just curious 9 about the parole commission study. In one 10 minute if you could tell me why they did that 11 study. 12 MR. SCHLAKMAN: They were directed to by 13 the governor and cabinet. It was incorporated 14 within the policy change that was adopted in 15 March of 2011 and there was a direction from the 16 governor and cabinet to the parole commission as 17 investigative arm of the board to conduct a 18 survey and a study and report back and that's 19 what it was. 20 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. 21 MR. JONES: This has been a great start to 22 our learning in Florida and we appreciate 23 you-all coming and taking the time and sharing 24 with us your expertise. Thank you very much.

PANEL II

25

MR. JONES: Let me start by saying welcome to our second panel. We're very pleased to have you. Just a couple of caveats, you guys, I believe, have the microphone on your table. If you don't, it should be there in very great short order. You can keep it on that table. What you have to say, by and large, is far more important that what we have to say. We're here to learn from you.

Because we are recording this and we're interested in making sure that we pick up the audio, I would ask that when you answer your question and when you're giving your opening statements that you just speak into the microphone. The way that we operate these sessions is that we give each of you five to ten minutes to give us a sense of who you are and also the benefit of your opening thoughts, after which we have lots and lots of questions for you. The questioning is generally led by one of us. This particular panel is led by Vicki Young. After which, we'll open up the floor to all of us and have a broader discussion. I was about to turn the floor over.

All right. Without further due, I'm going

1 to stop talking and turn the floor over to you.

2 | We should probably start with you, Mr. Freeman.

If you could just give us five to ten minutes of opening remarks, we'd appreciate it.

MR. FREEMAN: No problem. I hope it's working, because my voice is not.

I appreciate the opportunity of being here today, although I was surprised when they called me.

I had been a new executive director at

Transition, which is a vocational training
program for former offenders for about one
month, maybe two when he called me. And I said,
you don't really want me to testify and he said,
oh, but we do. So I consented, but I consented
and said I just need somebody to be here with
me, which is Luz Norwood, who's our senior
manager, who has been with the center a little
bit longer and knows a lot more about what the
center does.

By way of background, Transition is a 40-year-old agency that has worked with former offenders all that time.

We were created because the founder saw a need in our community and started doing some

outreach into the prisons.

My background is substance abuse and mental health. I've been administrator of residential facilities, drug treatment and alcohol for all my career. On top that, I've ran the homeless shelter. I can tell you that the individuals we run into in all those places, involving my previous positions, are similar. They make for the gamut oftentimes where you would go from when I worked at Spectrum, which is a drug treatment program.

I went to the homeless shelter and the same people are coming over to me and saying, I know you. I saw you with Dave Chase or I saw you here or there. So it was not a leave for me to move from where I was to vocational programming. We had the same problems in alcohol and drug treatment that we have on the job front, only things have now gotten worse. The economy has really hurt our ability to keep our people going back into this.

I'm going to stop there and turn it over to Lucy. I work well from questions, more so than from -- you know. But that's basically who I am and where I came from.

1 MR. JONES: Fantastic. Thank you. 2 Ms. Norwood. 3 MS. NORWOOD: Good morning, everybody. 4 the center manager at Transition and we do 5 operate at Offenders Service Center. We help anybody who's been arrested find work. 6 7 process has changed dramatically. There aren't 8 a lot of jobs and so the offender, in particular, is facing a lot for competition. So 10 we're focusing not only resumé building, but 11 more intensive interviewing skills and we also 12 focus on soft skills. 13 Once they do a general orientation and they 14 sit down with a career adviser, an assessment 15 needs to be done. Instead of just putting them 16 right in front of a job developer like we used 17 to do five years ago, because it was quick to 18 find a job, they now go through a two-day 19 intensive assessment, which starts with basic 20 skills assessment. It goes through identifying 21 needs and barriers. They develop a resumé. 22 They develop a cover letter and more 23 interviewing. 24 On the third day, then they see a job 25 developer, which works with them one on one.

You don't get put in front of a computer. The job developer will then take the background and their skills and find an employer in our data base.

We have a little over 7,000 employers that we worked with over the 40 years, and it's invaluable. That data base is amazing. These are employers who either themselves were offenders and have built small businesses or even some larger businesses like Walmart is hiring a lot from us.

So there are a lot of advantages to coming through Transition. We teach them how to talk about their charges. You have to accept responsibility and talk about what you've done to prevent these issues from coming up again.

It's about building a work history and helping them identify their needs and how we're going to take them to where they want to go.

We now also have implemented vocational training, which is really, really important in this job market -- short-term meaningful training, small things like computer certifications.

I know what some of you may think, it's

```
1
    hard to get an offender a job in technology --
2
    not true. There's a lot of tools that we use:
3
    The federal bonding program, the tax credit.
 4
    These are all things that we not only educate
5
    our employers about, but we also educate our
6
    offenders. Because whether they find a job
7
    through us or whether they find a job on their
8
    own, their record isn't going to go away. So
9
    the sooner they learn how to deal with it and
10
    speak about it, the better off they're going to
11
    be, not only in their work life, but also in
12
    their personal life.
         We also offer a lot of after-employment
13
14
    services. We follow up with our clients for a
15
    minimum of one year. We offer them bus passes,
    counseling and referrals to other services as
16
17
    they meet. That's about it in a nutshell.
18
         MR. JONES: Thank you.
19
         MS. NORWOOD: Thank you.
20
         MR. JONES: Mr. Evans.
21
         MR. EVANS: Good morning, everybody.
22
    would like to thank y'all for the opportunity to
23
    be here.
24
         I'm Bill Evans. I'm from Turning Point
25
    Work Release Center, Bridges of America. My
```

primary background has been in law enforcement almost 30 years, primarily in corrections. This is a little new to me, too. I've been with Bridges of America for a couple of months.

Bridges of America is actively involved in a partnership with the Florida Department of Corrections to assist inmates of successful reentry back into our communities. I hope you noticed when I said "our communities," ladies and gentlemen, these inmates we're releasing statewide on a daily basis are our neighbors and will be interacting with all of us in society.

Bridges of America is committed to the successful community re-entry and to reduce recidivism.

"Re-entry" and "recidivism" are not just buzz words to us. We are truly committed to assist in our community, in other words, the inmates at our facility to transition as smoothly as possible into society as productive, contributing citizens.

Inmates with substance abuse problems are engaged in a cutting-edge program with a holistic approach. That major modality consists of a therapeutic community that generates the

main things that discipline and positive
environment that effectively replaces
self-destructive behaviors with self-control,
earn self-esteem and hope.

It is also our goal to establish lasting relationships with the inmates and their families. For the inmates to be the leaders of positive examples in their families and positive role models for their children thus, hopefully, breaking the cycle of the children also offending and coming to prison.

We're very committed to helping the inmates in our facility. We do consider ourself a community. Everything we can do to help people successfully reintegrate back into society, form a meaning, lasting bond with their families and get on their feet again is what Bridges of America is really about.

I'm excited to be onboard with them. I've only been with them, like I said, a couple of months. I think it's very meaningful work and very good to give back to someone and try to help people to better themselves.

MR. JONES: Thank you.

Ms. Patterson.

MS. PATTERSON: Good morning. Thank you for allowing me to be here as well. This is a very great opportunity to speak about what we do as an organization as Bill said, Bridges of America is in partnership and we are in partnership with the Department of Corrections to provide that cutting-edge treatment and cutting-edge tools for our offenders, so that they can re-enter the community.

Now, my facility, the Broward County

Bridge, we have an intensive substance-abuse

component as well as work release. In that

substance-abuse component, the offenders come

from the Department of Corrections within 24 to

36 months of being released. They undergo a

nine-month substance abuse treatment.

They go through levels, steps and phases in the program, prior to actually going into the work release part where they actually go out into the community and find jobs before being actually released. So for the most part, the offenders at the facility where I am, they're there anywhere from two years to 12 months, depending on their time and also court mandated.

They are court-mandated to receive

substance abuse. We offer outpatient treatment. We offer aftercare and also preventive for the substance abuse. What I have here is some materials that I wanted to give to you-all about the cost effectiveness of the offenders receiving substance abuse treatment.

A study was shown that these statistics show that 82 percent of the offenders that go back into the society, back into the community, who do receive substance abuse treatment, do not reoffend within that three-year period.

At our facility, we also offer job

training. We offer vocational classes. We have

GED classes. We have a six-month culinary arts

program where the inmates actually receive a

culinary arts certificate and they are from the

American Culinary Federation and they receive a

certificate. It's an apprenticeship program.

Right now, we just started the CDL class, where the offenders will go through the CDL course and also receive a certificate.

We also have Florida Ready to Work, which is national career readiness test now, where the inmates take an apprenticeship. They take a test online. This is to assess their readiness

1 to receive a job. They receive a certificate 2 and that will assess whether or not they are 3 career-ready, job-ready so to speak. 4 As you-all can see, I'm a little nervous. 5 MR. JONES: Don't be. MS. PATTERSON: I would like not to be. 6 Ι 7 do better with questions. 8 I've been with Bridges of America myself 9 for actually five years. Prior to going to 10 Bridges of America, I worked for 17 years in the 11 Department of Corrections. 12 Being on this side of the fence is very 13 rewarding and I really, really love what I do. 14 I see the results in people, actual people. 15 I was listening to the people this morning, 16 the lady this morning, when she was talking 17 about taking The Bar and the collateral 18 consequences of what she did and now how it's 19 affecting her life and the life of her children. 20 We do a lot with our families. We have a 21 lot of families. We have a lot of family 22 integration in our program. When I see the 23 interaction of the families with the offenders 24 and how they actually, too, relate back to each 25 other and I think the relationships are

restored.

These guys come back to us and they say,
how much -- you know, some of them never had the
opportunity to be with their family and interact
the way that they do. When the family members
see how much the program has actually helped
them and allowed them to change, they come back
as well. They tell us how much it helps for
these guys to go through this program and to
be -- we focus not only on the substance abuse,
but also on the whole person, body, mind and
spirit.

The holistic approach is what Bridges of America does. It is a very rewarding job that I have to be able to see the results and to know that you-all have taken the time to hear about this so that you can formulate a report to see how well you can help eliminate, or at least limit the collateral consequences, is a great thing that you're doing and I thank you.

MR. JONES: Well, we thank you. Thank you very much.

MS. YOUNG: So Mr. Freeman and Ms. Norwood, you said your program has been in existence for 40 years?

1 MS. NORWOOD: Yes, ma'am.

MS. YOUNG: And you have a large data base of employers you've worked with. Certainly employment is a big issue in terms of re-entry. Can you speak to how you approach new employers into coming into your data base to get them interested in hiring and working with ex-offenders? Because, clearly, it's easier if they go through your program rather than you just submitted a resumé --

MS. NORWOOD: Yes.

MS. YOUNG: -- off of Craigslist or something.

MS. NORWOOD: Absolutely. One of the benefits to coming through Transition is our job developers, they have many years of experience working with this population. We do develop a relationship with the employer. We not only educate the employer on the benefits of hiring an offender, they do stay on the job. They just need to learn how to stay on the job and obtain those soft skills.

We use on-the-job training as one of the tools to entice an employer. We can reimburse them. Depending on their company, it's like up

to 90 percent to offset the cost of training and new employees for three to four months. That's one of the tools that we use; also, that the offenders are eligible for federal bonding. We use that as a tool. More importantly, we get them to focus on the individual's skills versus their charges; that's the easiest way. That with a

the individual's skills versus their charges; that's the easiest way. That with a relationship with a job developer, and then we develop a relationship with the client.

We walk them through the interview process.

A lot of times they'll interview on the phone
with us there coaching them. It's intensive
case work. That's what gets our offenders in
the door and that's what gets our employers to
talk to them.

MS. YOUNG: What kind of jobs are we -- you know. Also, I didn't understand, is it mainly a co-ed facility?

MS. NORWOOD: Yes. We service anybody who's been arrested. We have a very small youth class where we offer GED services.

We also partner with the Miami-Dade Boot

Camp where we offer more recognition therapy and

vital issues project, which is both related to

1 soft skills, anger management, and employment. 2 MR. FREEMAN: And it's a nonresidential 3 facility. 4 MS. NORWOOD: Yeah. 5 MR. FREEMAN: I think we need to clear that 6 up. 7 MS. YOUNG: Oh, okay. 8 MR. FREEMAN: In the morning, we walk in 9 and doors open at 8:00 or so and there's 20, 30, 10 40 former offenders in the waiting room, who have either been ordered there or found us on 11 12 the Internet or on the phone, waiting to sign up 13 for services every day, every morning. 14 By the end of the day, people are out and 15 about doing their business. But in the morning, 16 the waiting room is full. There's is men and 17 women. There's children there as well, which 18 was a surprise to me. I didn't expect to see so 19 many children coming in with their moms that are 20 former offenders as well. But that is part of 21 the reality of what we do. 22 MS. YOUNG: So the people that come in 23 every day, what are you hearing from the people 24 that you see that their major -- is it mainly 25 economic? Is it housing? Is it family? Or

1 you're going to say, well, it's everything? 2 What are their primary concerns when they come 3 to your facility in terms of can you help me? 4 How do I re-enter? Can you help me? 5 MS. NORWOOD: The average adult that walks 6 into our facility with a record has a 7 ninth-grade education. Education has been a big 8 barrier in this job market. Most positions are requiring a high school 10 diploma. That is barrier number one. The 11 second barrier is transportation. They can't 12 afford to maintain a car or have a vehicle, and 13 the public transportation is not helping. 14 hard to get them from point A to point B, which 15 is restricting them to their area. 16 Our population happens to reside in 17 Northside and Overtown. So there aren't a lot 18 of jobs in Overtown or in Northside. So we're 19 having to provide them with transportation to 20 get them out of the area where the jobs are. 21 Those are the biggest barriers that we're 22 finding. 23 MR. FREEMAN: South Florida's 24 transportation is one of the hugest barriers to 25 all of the folks that are in the low-income

category, whether they're homeless, whether they're in recovery, whether they're coming out of prisons, that getting from place to place is not easy here. Our transportation system, is unlike some places up in the Northeast and other parts of the country that are much better.

Transportation, people are always asking for bus passes to get from here to there. The time it takes to get from here to there is often almost insurmountable for these folks. I mean, you're talking two to three hours to get from their home to the place where they need to work. It is just a real major challenge that most of us would not even want to take on.

MS. YOUNG: Mr. Evans, you've mentioned that primarily you've been in corrections and now you're at a new facility. What have you heard from when you were in corrections?

Because, quite frankly, we've got to acknowledge there's a revolving door. So people are going to say -- you know, people are going to come back into custody. What have you heard in terms of, you know, what were their barriers to re-entry so that they ended up either reoffending or violating and coming back? Can

you speak to that?

MR. EVANS: To be honest, while I was in corrections, they were starting to get into re-entry because it was being realized within the last couple of years that to reduce recidivism that everybody needed to do as much as possible with re-entry to help successfully re-enter someone in the society.

The majority of inmates that are released from the Department of Correction in Florida are given \$50 and a bus ticket and, hey, I hope you do okay and that's it.

The work release programs and Special
Bridges of America, I believe, are a lot more
successful. But to be honest, the Florida
Department of Corrections for the most part,
their mission is to keep people incarcerated and
not let them escape. That's pretty much their
mission to be honest with you. They are trying
to do some re-entry, but it's not near as in
depth as what some of your other agencies are
and what bridges is trying to do.

MS. YOUNG: And where you are now, can you speak to what you've heard from your community of how it is and this economy of trying to

reenter, trying to find employment? How has that been working?

MR. EVANS: The label of having been an offender and having a record, that, of course, throws up an obstacle. Some people are just -- if you mention prison or inmate, it scares some people.

I think the media and television have a lot to do with that. A lot of people that have never had any type of a relationship with somebody that's possibly an ex-offender -- you know, a lot of people go by what they see on television.

The other obstacles like were mentioned, transportation, the economy, the job market, the unemployment is so high there's not a lot of high-paying jobs out there.

When you start trying to pay down on a vehicle and first, last and deposit on rent and insurances -- I mean, it's rough out there. The economy is rough out there, whether you're an offender or not. I mean, if you're just in a low-income bracket, period it's rough on you out there right now.

MS. YOUNG: Thank you.

```
1
         Ms. Patterson, your program is a
2
    court-mandated program, isn't it?
3
         MS. PATTERSON: The program that I have is
 4
    Bridges of America. And the guys that come to
5
    our program are court mandated for substance
6
    abuse, yes. And they are actually still
7
    Department of Corrections' inmates.
8
         MS. YOUNG: So they are still serving their
9
    sentence?
10
         MS. PATTERSON: Yes, they're still serving
11
    their sentence. They come into the program
12
    within 24 to 36 months of being released from
13
    prison back into the community.
14
         MS. YOUNG: So when they're in Bridges,
15
    they're still serving their sentence?
16
         MS. PATTERSON: Yes, ma'am. They're still
17
    incarcerated.
18
         MS. YOUNG: And how big is your facility?
19
         MS. PATTERSON: My facility has 212. As
20
    the 212-bed facility, 128 of those are
21
    substance-abuse beds, with 84 of them being
22
    work-release beds.
23
         Like I was saying before, they enter the
24
    program and they go through the substance abuse
25
    part of the program and then they are -- they
```

phase -- we call it "phase up," basically, or
"phase out" to work release.

MS. YOUNG: And then in work release, what have you been hearing in terms of the barriers to finding jobs, the economy? Do you have a set list of employers that you refer people to? How does that work?

MS. PATTERSON: To be quite honest with you, we have a very vast and broad data base of employers. At my facility, the 84 work-release beds that I have, the 84 residents that are in work release at this home today, I have nine people that are unemployed and that is because they haven't gone through orientation, which they'll go through on Monday.

Because our program is so successful and because of our reputation, within the community the employers know that when these guys come from Bridges, number one, they've been through an intensive program, okay. We're teaching them not just how to go back and get a job, but we're teaching them how to keep their job. We're teaching them. We're giving them life skills. We're teaching them a myriad of things, which makes our reputation so that we get phone calls

from employers saying, can you please send me a couple of people? I have six openings. Do you have six guys?

So what we're doing at our facility is a really great thing and that's why I said on this side of the fence, it's really good. We do offer the same type of training. We have an employment specialist. They go through an orientation. They take the test.

As far as seeing where their skills are, you know, the things that they would be good at we try to place them in those things, in those jobs that they're good at.

The average salary right now at my work release facility is \$9.64 an hour. That's the average. However, I have inmates or offenders that are making \$18 an hour because of their skill level and because we take the time with them to find them and place them in that job that they will keep when they are released.

MS. YOUNG: But given the state of Florida and the Department of Corrections -- and I forgot. Were they saying 60 -- how many people a year, 60,000?

MR. JONES: Forty to 60.

```
1
         MS. YOUNG: Forty to 60,000 a year are
    being released. So within Florida out of those
2
3
    60,000, between Bridges and Transition, what
4
    percentage of the people being released are
5
    afforded those kind of services, 10 percent,
6
    25 percent, 1 percent? If anyone has an idea of
7
    the numbers, because your programs are special
8
    is what I'm hearing.
         MS. NORWOOD: Yes.
9
10
         MS. YOUNG: And so are you the 1 percent of
11
    the department? You know, how many people can
12
    you address?
13
         MS. NORWOOD: We're seeing anywhere between
14
    11,000 people a month. So we've been doing it
15
    for four years. That's a lot of people. We're
16
    the only organization aside from a program
17
    called Strive in New York state that does this,
18
    just the offender and employment services. I
19
    wouldn't begin to guess a number.
20
         MS. PATTERSON: There's a number in that.
21
    It's 20 percent of the population.
22
         MS. LOVE: Twenty percent do what?
23
         MS. PATTERSON: Receive the services, the
24
    substance abuse services.
25
         MR. FREEMAN: Three.
```

1 MS. PATTERSON: 23.

MR. FREEMAN: No, It's a fairly small number. But percentage wise and regularly, it's a fluctuating number because, oftentimes, it regularly gets cut. Because my former employees, also, ran what used to be called Secure. I don't know what they're called now. They used to call be Secure Residential, which is what the Department of Corrections calls drug-treatment beds after you come out the prison. You're still theoretically in prison, but you're in the community getting drug treatment.

MS. PATTERSON: Correct.

MR. FREEMAN: Secure Residential, those beds have regularly gotten cut year after year so.

If I'm not mistaken, up until last year the prison population grew every year. I think it's 115,000 folks go in the front door in the Florida prison system every year. I don't know how many of those folks come out and you spend 60,000. I'm assuming it's a very small number that actually gets specialized services if you analyze their budget. Because in Broward

1 County, you've got 200 in small beds. I know 2 Broward fairly well, because I've been a 3 resident there for 30 years. Broward County, 4 there's another 40 beds, I believe, over at House of Hope. Other than that, I'm not 5 familiar with any other beds that are set aside 6 7 for Department of Corrections. That is a very 8 small number for a community of 1.8 million that 9 is seeing a lot of folks coming back, because 10 Broward County has the reputation to put more 11 people into prison than anybody else in the 12 state of Florida. MS. YOUNG: So can all of you then address 13 14 how re-entry is really assists public safety 15 versus it being this, oh, it's soft on crime 16 that they were talking about in the earlier 17 panel? 18 MR. FREEMAN: I'll be glad to take a shot 19 at that. Basically, if you take somebody who's 20 coming out of prison who now has nothing to do, 21 needs a place to live, and has no income to 22 support that and you get them income so he 23 doesn't have to go out and revert to his former 24 behavior, you will make him into a taxpayer and

he will pay taxes. He will not go back to his

25

old habits, whether that was drinking and drugging and committing crimes, whether it was property crimes or other.

If you notice, 60 percent of the people going in the front door have substance abuse and mental health problems on the way in. They probably will have similar problems on the way out, even though, theoretically, they've been separated from the drugs and alcohol for that long. The reality is they haven't been necessarily. Just because they've been in prison doesn't mean they can't get the drugs and alcohol. They still have their underlying issues. If you don't provide that treatment and you don't provide that job, they're going to return back to their normal behaviors.

So the economics of providing drug

treatment, providing re-entry services is,

clearly, let's move the money from the

incarceration side, preferably we can to the

prevention and replacement side on the way out

because we can save money in the long run and

all the statistics show that.

I know the return on drug treatment is 12 to one. Every dollar spent on drug treatment

has a return of \$12 to the community. I believe that's the same in mental health as well.

MR. EVANS: Kudos to everything he just said. I don't know if everybody in this room realizes it, but Florida incarcerates more of its citizens per capita than anybody in the world, including Russia and China. The United States as a country incarcerates more of its citizens per capita than any other country. But Florida actually leads the nation, which is a statistic that personally I'm not proud of at all.

If you can just put yourself in the situation of -- imagine right now if you just are making it from paycheck to paycheck, you're making a house note but you're getting along and all of a sudden, you're incarcerated. All right. Your family, how well are they going to do? Your significant other, your children that you were helping take care of, what are they going to now be put into? Are they even going to possibly be doing something they shouldn't do that will help them get into prison? Are your children going to be doing things that are not supervised because the significant other is

working two and three jobs? The chances -- it's a set-up for failure.

The other thing is when you get out just trying to make it, it's -- I think we're contributing to the problem by incarcerating too many people is what I'm getting at. I think there's a lot of diversionary programs like the work release centers, like what all of the sinners that -- all of the organizations that people sitting at this table are working with.

I think a lot more diversion can be done with people rather than just warehousing them in a prison system that is not only setting them back but setting their families back and just contributing to an on-going cycle of not what we're trying to achieve.

Getting back to public safety, it is a public safety issue. If a person gets out and cannot take care of themself, cannot support themself, put yourself in their shoes. If your only skill sets were not the most law-abiding jobs in the world but you really wanted to change in your heart, if you got released and you still couldn't take care of yourself and feed your children, would you go ahead and go

back to those things you were doing that would have put some fast money in your pocket or would you sit there and continue to let your children go hungry and try to do right?

MS. PATTERSON: And, of course, I'd like to remind everyone that Department of Corrections is turning out inmates right now with \$50. It used to be 100, now it's 50.

MS. NORWOOD: That got cut back too, with no ID to cash that check. They don't have ID.

MS. PATTERSON: Correct. So I don't know what they can do with \$50, because I definitely can't do anything with \$50.

So to say to someone who's been in prison, been incarcerated and he didn't receive any type of services, any type of assistance, any type of skills and he's got \$50, and more than likely he's going to spend that \$50 to do something fun with it and then he's going to look for a way to get 50 more.

MS. YOUNG: Has anyone been receptive to sort of an economic argument of you'd be saving money if you spent more money on re-entry services here in Florida or that's not a popular topic?

1 MS. NORWOOD: It's not a popular topic. 2 And I think they've proved it in Florida with 3 only one center that services offenders with --4 what was the percentage of people incarcerated? 5 MS. PATTERSON: Sixty-eight percent. MS. NORWOOD: Sixty-eight percent. The 6 7 cost of replacement is less than \$2,000. I'm 8 sure \$2,000 is a lot cheaper than incarcerating 9 somebody for a year and being able to get them 10 on a career path. There just isn't any other 11 way. 12 Like they said, they're being released from 13 prison with a check for \$50, no ID. An ID is 14 going to cost them \$30, but only if they have 15 the \$18 to get their birth certificate and an 16 address to have their Social Security sent to. 17 But they can't get their Social Security card 18 unless they have their birth certificate. They 19 can't get a birth certificate, unless they have 20 their ID. So it's sending someone -- they're 21 chasing their tail. 22 MS. YOUNG: Can't you just get them their 23 ID before they walk out the door? 24 MS. NORWOOD: That would be nice. I know

right now in Miami-Dade County Boot Camp, we had

25

1 worked closely over -- we've had a relationship 2 with them for a little over 15 years. In 3 working with the program, Captain Bennett, who 4 was the captain over there at the time, said 5 "enough." He got the DMV out there with their truck and they came out and they started getting 6 7 the kids IDs in Phase II. 8 Phase III, they go into job search. 9 already had all of the IDs and the birth 10 certificate and the things that they needed to 11 get a job before they even got to work release. 12 And it works. They have a 75 success rate. 13 Seventy-five percent of their kids that go 14 through there don't come back. Those are just 15 facts.

MS. YOUNG: Ms. Norwood, you mentioned something. How is it that your program was able to get Walmart on board with hiring ex-offenders? I guess the two never quite seemed in sync to me.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. NORWOOD: Well, Mr. Freeman actually helped me with that one, so I'll let him. It wasn't easy.

MR. FREEMAN: Actually, I think what it is, is Walmart has worked with the offender, because

they work with the folks in Broward, too. They've worked with offenders before. It's dependent on the crime. It's not something that they're going to put out in public and say, by the way, we hire ex-offenders. Send them over. It's not something that you put on your banner. So they keep it fairly quiet. Many employers do, but what the brick wall you run into is that it's selective.

I understand their selectivity, but it makes it difficult for those that are trying to place people. Having been in that situation of hiring folks myself, and having somebody come to me when I was hiring and saying, by the way, I've been charged with murder after the fact, it was very hard for me personally and as a professional to follow that through and say, how did this happen? Whatever, go to the probation office, find out what really happened. And, eventually, I did hire that person. That person is now a nurse and he's a tax paying citizen.

However, crossing that bridge is not easy because I'm a professional in the field.

For somebody that is doing hiring and wants to hire the best person for the job, they're

going to go right past that and say give me the next applicant.

MS. NORWOOD: That goes along with the re-education process not only of the offender, but of the employer. It's just telling them over and over again what the person's skills are, what an offender can offer.

Forget the word "offender." Look at this person as an applicant. Because there but for the Grace Of God, who are you or I? It's that simple. We have to show more compassion and educate the community.

MR. EVANS: The studies have been done.

The statistics are out there. The numbers are out there that the work release beds and the clinical works. The numbers have been out there for years. It's nothing new. I think the biggest problem is the receptivity of it. How much of this is actually getting to the population, the entire population?

The taxpayers, I believe, will be very receptive to this. Taxpayers don't want their money wasted, number one. And it's being wasted in a lot of ways. But I don't think the message is actually getting out to the taxpayers.

As far as the Department of Corrections, in their defense, they're not incarcerating the people. They're not handing out the sentences.

I think we have some serious issues with the legislative and the judicial branches, the tough on crime. Lock them up. Lock them up. Lock them up. Lock them up.

I don't want somebody calling up here that -- if I got my house broke in, I call up. I want that person going to prison.

There's a lot more problems than just the Department of Corrections. I think there needs to be a serious look at getting the message to the judicial legislative branch about do we really need to be putting everybody into prisons or shouldn't we be putting them into diversion programs?

MS. PATTERSON: I was also going to point out, you were asking about the message of the treatment and why the Department of Correction hasn't gotten that message yet. And, I believe, that now the message is being sent out there and it's trickling to where they are getting the message and I think that's because Bridges of America and other private facilities are,

actually, now placing substance abuse and re-entry counselors, specifically for that purpose, inside the prison and inside the work release facilities. So that message is getting out there, but it's real slow and it's trickling.

MS. LOVE: Yeah. I hear you-all talking mostly about placing the people that you deal with with private employers. Our main sort of charge here is to look at how the legal system adversely affects the people with convictions.

I was particularly struck by your comment about the person that you dealt with who became a nurse. Ordinarily, there are legal barriers, specific disqualifications that apply to nursing. Do you know how the person got past that?

MR. FREEMAN: I don't specifically know because I've lost touch with the person over time. My guess is that people took an interest because of this person's particular personality. He was an affable, gentle person. It was like, how could he possibly have done this? So I think his probation officer, as well as myself, and others along the way, assisted him in

working around the system and enabling him to get to where he got. And he had to drive himself. There's no doubt about it that he wanted to clear this up and he felt terrible about it. And that personal connection, I believe, is what helped him.

Within the legal system, Broward County, I know very well, because that's where I've spent most of my career.

There are judges. There are probation officers. There are systems that will support people that are not -- that are outside the rank and file for one reason or another, if you can find that judge, if you can find that person.

We have a lot of the specialty courts in Broward County. We have the mental health court. We have the drug courts, whatever. I had a part in developing the drug courts way back when. In fact, I was in the community that created our first drug court. Drug courts have been a huge hit in this country, but it has taken two decades to get to where they've gotten, if I'm not mistaken.

MS. LOVE: That was the other thing that I wanted to ask you, all four of you, that one of

the important things is steering people out of
the system so they never get a conviction to
begin with; is that something that's happening
in Florida now, diversionary programs or
deferred adjudication or whatever you call it?

MR. FREEMAN: Well, I can answer and then
I'll hand it over. But from Broward County, the
Broward courts, because we have some specialty
courts because we have the misdemeanor, there's
misdemeanor drug court now, which is one of the
first in the country. We have the felony drug

misdemeanor drug court now, which is one of the first in the country. We have the felony drug court. We have the misdemeanor mental health. We have a felony mental health court. So in that area, we are getting those people out of the system and keeping them out of the system, providing services.

We have the CIT program, which is the program where we train officers when somebody on the street is having a mental health issue. It seems dangerous, but may not be. We try and preserve life, number one, by teaching officers not to go with your hands behind your back and other mannerisms that might be insightful to a person having a mental health problem, at the same time, giving them resources to bring those

1 people to where they're supposed to, taking them 2 to lock up for 24 hours, just like when we had 3 the 24-hour drunk tanks many, many years ago, 4 because it was seen as a legal issue as opposed 5 to a mental health/substance abuse health issue. MS. PATTERSON: The court diversion beds 6 7 that you were speaking of, Bridges of America 8 does have facilities that have the court diversion beds. We call them the "nonsecure 10 facilities" that we have. We were just awarded 11 more beds. I'm deferring to someone in the 12 audience. I think it was 100 beds that we were 13 awarded. More, of course --14 MS. DENMARK: Legislature just approved an 15 additional 1,200 beds statewide. 16 MS. LOVE: Statewide 1,200 beds? 17 MS. DENMARK: And that's huge for the state 18 of Florida because, traditionally, money does 19 not go for diversionary beds. It's huge to have 20 that type of money poured into substance abuse 21 diversionary court beds, so we're celebrating. 22 MR. JONES: Could you just tell us your 23 name and who you are for the record? 24 MS. DENMARK: My name is Cecilia Demark. 25 am with Bridges of America. I do a lot of the

legislative pieces and going before the Florida

Department of Corrections with issues as it

relates to this issue of how can we do a lot of

the diversionary pieces, because we can't

continue to incarcerate.

We have about 102,000 incarcerated now in the state of Florida. Only about 8,000 of those beds are treatment beds. Approximately 40,000 people are released every year from the state of Florida. Only 20 percent of that 40,000 getting any type of treatment in the prison beds or in the community residential beds.

MR. JONES: Thank you.

MS. NORWOOD: If I may add just one thing, in terms of prevention, a lot of funding cuts have -- they were a lot of youth programs that were geared to at risk youths. There was six programs in Dade County less than five years ago and there's none now. There is no at-risk youth program. They're not going into the communities and finding out why the kids are not going to school.

There was one kid in our small school, I told them that the truant officer was going to call him and he looked at me and he said,

1 "what's that?" 2 So there's a problem. There needs to be 3 more prevention. MS. HEINRICHS: I'm interested in hearing 4 5 more about the residents and how they're 6 qualifying for, I guess, Ms. Patterson and 7 Mr. Evans, your programs. You've mentioned that they're court ordered to be there. Is this a court order at the sentencing stage or are they 10 identified through the Department of 11 Corrections? And if that's the case or in 12 either case, who qualifies? 13 MS. PATTERSON: There is a scoring system 14 that -- they are identified by the Department of 15 Corrections and there's a scoring system. We 16 don't get to pick our inmates despite some of 17 the rumors that private facilities pick. We 18 really don't get to pick. 19 There is data base that Department of 20 Corrections has. There is a waiting list for 21 the beds and it just goes by whoever's name is 22 put in there. It depends on the inmate's score. 23 The inmate's score is dependent upon a lot of

things as far his sentence, his sentencing

structure, his custody, his time, his behavior

24

25

1 in prison, and mainly a lot has to do with his 2 crime. If his crime had anything to do with 3 substance abuse, whether it was selling drugs or 4 using drugs, then more than likely that score is 5 going to be up there and it's going to show that 6 he needs some type of substance abuse counseling 7 or treatment. 8 MS. HEINRICHS: Even if he or she has no 9 history of substance abuse? Because you 10 mentioned if they are accused of dealing. 11 MS. PATTERSON: Correct. And we do have 12 guys -- we don't have a problem with substance 13 abuse, but it's related. But if that crime is 14 related, then they will show up as needing that 15 substance abuse treatment. 16 MS. HEINRICHS: Are there any weapon 17 possession disqualifications? MS. PATTERSON: Do you mean like violent 18 19 crimes or something? 20 MS. HEINRICHS: Yeah, any sort of violent 21 crime. 22 MS. PATTERSON: They disqualify as a sexual 23 offense. 24 MS. VANDERHORST: I want to follow up with 25 the list of in terms of the demographics that

1 qualify for your programs. Do you know if there 2 are any programs that persons who have been 3 convicted of any offenses would qualify for a 4 sexual offender registration that would have 5 access to similar programs that are similar to 6 yours? 7 MS. PATTERSON: I don't believe there is 8 anything as of yet. 9 MS. VANDERHORST: And particularly, 10 Ms. Norwood, you mentioned that you-all not 11 only, I guess, train your population on 12 interviewing skills and basis skills, but you 13 also do what you refer to as "soft-skill 14 training." Can you give us an example of how 15 you advise a person who particularly would have 16 a felony conviction in their background on how 17 to discuss that felony when they are in an 18 interview, a job interview? 19 MS. NORWOOD: Well, just like anybody else 20 who interview -- I mean, we don't always have 21 good things to say about our past, our history. 22 It's about taking responsibility. Most people 23 are okay with, oh, I made a mistake. I made 24 many mistakes and this is what I'm going to do 25 to not have that happen again. These are the

1 steps that I took in prison either with 2 life-skill classes or drug treatment. This is 3 what I did. Most people are okay with that. 4 It's practice, having them go through it again 5 and again. 6 We try to keep them away from legal jargon. 7 They use it wrong. Just like I'm not an 8 attorney. I'm not a probation officer. I stay away from legal jargon, because we're going to 10 use it wrong. We don't know what we're talking 11 about. Keep it simple. 12 MS. VANDERHORST: Mr. Evans, I'm 13 particularly interested in your statement about 14 how Florida incarcerates more of its citizens 15 than in any other jurisdiction. People aren't 16 getting the message that the way to deal with a 17 lot of the economic issues is to not -- it is to do treatment rather than incarceration. 18 19 I wanted to know whether or not you feel as 20 if your organization has a voice at the table, 21 the legislative table or the executive branch 22 table in saying, this is what works and this is 23 what doesn't work? 24 MR. EVANS: They do. And they preach it, 25 but it still gets back to politics when you're

talking about the overall citizenry of the state of Florida and politics. Florida has a history of being a tough-on-crime state.

And your population, what they're actually hearing, what the media is putting out there through the news and through the articles in the newspapers, you still see a lot more of the tough on crime in Florida and the public safety. I believe if the citizens of Florida, actually, really knew how much it is costing them to just warehouse people versus to put them into diversionary programs to help turn them around, get them back in society as contributing citizens, I think the citizens of Florida would be all for it unanimously. But it comes back to what the citizens of Florida actually know what is going on.

MS. YOUNG: Mr. Freeman has a response.

MR. FREEMAN: I just wanted to comment on the ability of the system to interact with the legislature for a moment. Number one, I think you need to know that, at least on the mental health and substance abuse treatment, the prevention side, Florida ranks somewhere down in the lower 44, 45, 47, 48 out of 50 per capita

spending on those issues. However, over the past three years, the professional associations have been able to at least hold those basically stag, which doesn't make us any better. We didn't have to take the reductions that a lot of other systems within the state had to, say education or whatever. So at least substance abuse and mental health were pretty much never one of the areas where -- you now, there's an interface between Department of Corrections and the regular citizens rate, in fact, because using drugs is illegal. So we have been able to hold the time. However, we are still very under funded.

To get those additional dollars and to do that cost shifting, which is what I'm talking about, to take money from say an established citizen, which is mostly in the northern part of this state, as opposed to the system being the correction system where the big prisons are in the northern part of the state, which would mean job reductions in a time when we have a very difficult economy would fall on deaf ears, I believe.

MS. YOUNG: We are running up against the

clock. I want to get Larry --

MR. GOLDMAN: I have just a couple of questions, one with respect to Ms. Norwood and Mr. Freeman. One of the concerns perhaps of employers of hiring people out of prisons is if something, which statistically this would happen sometimes, it happens that some negligous lawyer totally different from criminal lawyers, goes at them and claims that they had negligent hiring, that they failed, they hired someone with a criminal record who had been accused of something and, therefore, the employer is liable for an act by this employee. Do you run into that problem, one; and, two -- well, let me ask you first, do you run into that problem with employers worried about liability?

MS. NORWOOD: Absolutely. Absolutely.

That is the first issue that we get. Employers are a little hesitant to hire an offender. Why would you hire an offender if you have so many people unemployed that are not offenders?

That's where the federal bonding comes into play. We tell them about it. It's free to the them. It's free to the offender for six months and it's not even just for offenders. People

1 with bad credit are having problems finding 2 jobs. There are many, many barriers. Again, 3 like you had mentioned, a nurse with a felony conviction, very difficult -- very, very 4 5 difficult, unless they had -- and I don't even 6 think they can have that sealed or expunged. 7 It's a conviction so they can't. It's very 8 difficult. 9 We have to also re-educate the offender in what field that they can get into. Don't send 10 11 them to an employer. If you have a felony 12 conviction, I'm not going to send someone to 13 Chase Bank. It's not going to work out. 14 MR. GOLDMAN: Let me ask you, there is --15 the federal government will provide bonding for 16 a six-month period. 17 MS. NORWOOD: Free of cost. 18 MR. GOLDMAN: Do you think it would be 19 helpful if there were a state law saying that an 20 employer who hires an ex-offender under these 21 certain programs, whatever, will not be liable 22 for any wrongdoing by this person on the grounds 23 that he or she or it made a mistake in hiring? 24 MS. NORWOOD: I think it would, yes. 25 MR. GOLDMAN: Basically increased --

MS. NORWOOD: Yes. It would be another tool. Absolutely.

MR. GOLDMAN: Let me ask you one other question. This Walmart, which by the way, has now been outed -- but, you know, we are a national organization. This may be just a crazy thought of mine, what do you think if the NACDL went to Walmart and said, we would like to work with you and -- you know, not only us, on a national basis of having a Walmart hiring program for ex-offenders? Do you think there's a shot in hell?

MS. NORWOOD: Walmart does have a program.

They call it a community jobs programs and they

call it a community jobs program because Walmart

will never call it an offender jobs program.

We have job fairs. We periodically host jobs fairs that we host for our offenders and anybody in the community. Last year, I had four job fairs. Two, I call an "offender job fair," not one employer showed up. The other two were community job fairs. I had more employers than I had chairs for. So that will give you an -- if you can get them to do it, yes, we will take that up.

1 MR. GOLDMAN: Let me ask this one question. 2 MR. JONES: Last one because then I want to 3 get Jenny in on this conversation. Go ahead. 4 MR. GOLDMAN: I just wanted to discuss with 5 Mr. Evans, Ms. Patterson -- you know, I'm 6 looking at this graph and I see that with 7 respect to community programs, it costs the 8 taxpayer approximately two-thirds. It costs in 9 terms of recidivism. It's roughly a ballpark 10 figure of half. Right now you deal with a 11 selective population. I mean, they have to --12 they're the top priority. And I'm going to 13 assume these are the better risks. Could you 14 double, triple your program or reasonably -- you 15 know, expect the same kind of results and tax 16 savings with maybe a little drop off the same 17 kind of results in recidivism? How far down 18 could you go? Could you double it, triple it, 19 quadruple it? 20 MR. EVANS: That's difficult to say. 21 you start multiplying, I truly believe that it 22 would still be much better than warehousing, 23 much better, significantly. 24 MR. GOLDMAN: Well, what percentage of the 25 prison population -- it's a tough question.

```
1
    going to be damned for asking it, but, what
2
    percentage of the prison population people about
3
    to be released do you think it would be a
 4
    statistically positive benefit from extending
5
    your programs as far across the board as
6
    possible?
7
         MR. EVANS: I believe there would be a
8
    significant benefit. I mean, for me to give you
9
    an exact, it would be --
10
         MR. GOLDMAN: No. We're guessing.
11
         MR. EVANS: I would think at least half.
12
         MR. JONES: Ms. Patterson.
13
         MS. PATTERSON: I agree. I think it would
14
    be a big benefit. And if we could get them in
15
    prison, not so much within that 24 to 36 months,
16
    but actually within five years or even longer,
17
    where they had even more time to, you know, go
18
    and get an ID or get that birth certificate.
19
    The time is not against them and then they're a
20
    captive audience more so, you know. They're not
21
    that long -- getting a job is a long-term goal
22
    and not so much a short-term where I need to
23
    hurry up and make some money because I'm getting
24
    ready to get out real soon. So I need some
25
    money in my pocket, you know. They actually can
```

concentrate on making a change. I do believe that the recidivism rate would most definitely, definitely go down with that.

MR. JONES: Jenny.

MS. ROBERTS: So this could go to anyone, but Ms. Norwood might be able to answer it best, the restoration of rights, how often do you work with people who are seeking that and how effective have you found that in using with employers if somebody has been restored?

MS. NORWOOD: Well, once they've been restored back, they can legally say -- their restoration of rights is very important. At one point, the Department of Corrections was automatically submitting information for that to happen, so they could vote and all of those things. But it's not happened.

So every single day we're getting phone calls on restoration of rights and sealing and expunging information. There definitely needs to be more outreach, more marketing and more open dialogue about those two elements of an offender, because most offenders that come in, I'm here to seal something. That's the question that I get. They need to know what they're

1 asking for. And we need to be better prepared 2 on how to service those questions. 3 MS. ROBERTS: Can you speak a little bit 4 about in Florida how sealing and expunging has 5 helped people and who qualifies? 6 MS. NORWOOD: Absolutely. And this is my 7 very, very limited knowledge on this. I am not 8 an attorney or an expert in sealing and expunging or restoration rights. 10 MS. ROBERTS: Well, just from your 11 viewpoint of helping people get --12 MS. NORWOOD: If you're convicted, you're 13 not eligible, which presents a problem. But 14 they can have their rights restored. So when we 15 talk about it with our offenders, we always go 16 with the restoration of rights, because most of 17 the people that come into our center are 18 convicted. 19 If you're coming to a career center, it's 20 because it's your last stop. Most of them have 21 been convicted. So they don't qualify for the 22 sealing and expunging. 23 If there could be some loosening of the 24 requirements to have your record sealed or

expunged, that would -- maybe if someone hasn't

25

```
1
    been convicted of a crime in 15 years and
2
    they're still being punished for that same crime
3
    that they've done their crime, they've come back
 4
    into society. They're productive citizens, but
5
    they're still paying for that same crime over
6
    and over again.
7
         MR. JONES: All right. I have a couple of
8
    questions. We're almost out of time. I know
9
    Margaret has one last question she wants to ask.
10
         MS. LOVE: I wanted to ask the question
11
    that Jenny asked, so I don't have it anymore,
12
    just what the role of the restoration of rights
13
    was.
14
         MS. YOUNG: Great. I just have a couple of
    questions. For this half of the table first,
15
16
    Transition works with folks who are both
17
    post-conviction as well as people who have not
    yet been convicted, but who have been released
18
    from detention; is that right?
19
20
         MS. NORWOOD: We work with anybody who's
21
    been arrested.
22
         MR. JONES: Anybody --
23
         MS. NORWOOD: The outcome of your case
24
    is -- unless you have an open charge. That
25
    presents a problem. If your case is still open,
```

we don't want to find you a job and then you have to quit that job because you may have some other things you have to deal with.

MR. JONES: Right. Where I practice law in New York, Harlem and Washington Heights and Inwood and those communities, frequently folks will have been arrested and convicted without really having committed the underlying crime. But because of the way the criminal justice system works and because of expediency and because of their counsel and because of a whole host of reasons, people will plead guilty to things that they did not do, right? We all agree that that happens, right? I even see you guys again. So the thing that struck me right away when we started in opening remarks was this notion that in order to get a job, you have to accept responsibility.

I know that the communities where you serve in Overtown and Liberty City and -- was it

Norwood, Northwood -- Northside -- I'm sure that there are folks who say to you, but I didn't do anything wrong, right?

MS. NORWOOD: (Nods head.)

MR. JONES: Particularly folks who may not

1 have resolved their criminal case yet, right? 2 How do you balance this notion, this idea that 3 in order to get employment you have to accept 4 responsibility with the idea that maybe it's not 5 a good thing for me to -- I need a job. 6 MS. NORWOOD: Those are not the people that 7 are coming into our office. The people that are 8 coming into our office and the people that I 9 believe that you're dealing with are one in the 10 same. 11 These, again, the average education is 9th 12 grade. This is generational poverty. That's 13 where this population is coming from. people that you're talking about, they don't 14 15 need our help. They're doing just fine. 16 They're figuring it out until they don't have 17 to. 18 Unfortunately, the part accepting 19 responsibility for their actions or lack 20 thereof, those are not rules we made. Those are 21 rules that society puts on us. In order to get 22 this person a job, this is what you're going to 23 have to say. 24 MR. JONES: I suppose that there are people 25 who probably say, I will accept the

responsibility -- and the same way they probably plead guilty if they haven't done anything -- they accept responsibility just to get the job.

MS. NORWOOD: They have no choice, because those are the rules that are being imposed on them. You can't go into an employer and whether you've been convicted or arrested or not, the employer nine times out of ten has no exposure to the criminal justice system. For someone who's been arrested, they don't know the difference between an arrest and a conviction, withheld adjudication and no pros and all of these other things. They don't know. We have to re-educate the employers as well as the offenders.

And while they're still in, be careful before you take a plea. Be careful. Sometimes out of fear, a lot of times with the first offenders. And we get them in our office.

Every once in a while someone who hasn't been exposed to the system before, maybe they were driving with a suspended license or something of that nature and they're petrified and they will say anything to make this stop.

MR. JONES: So it wouldn't work to go into

```
1
    Walmart or anybody in these other 7,000
2
    employers and say, listen, I've got a
3
    conviction. It was 15 years ago. I've done
4
    everything under the sun to become a, you know,
5
    positive --
6
         MS. NORWOOD: Well, that's different from
7
    the guy that you were sending --
8
         MR. JONES: But hear me out. But "I didn't
9
    do it," those four words are going to cost me
10
    the job, right?
11
         MS. NORWOOD: Yes.
12
         MR. JONES: All right. I just wanted to
13
    make sure that that's what you're saying.
14
         This half of the table, it sound to me like
15
    both of you -- and correct me if I'm wrong --
16
    came from law enforcement backgrounds?
17
         MR. EVANS: Correct.
18
         MS. PATTERSON: Correct.
19
         MR. JONES: Right. And it also sounds to
20
    me like you're both saying -- and Ms. Patterson
21
    you said it explicitly -- this side of the fence
22
    is really good, right? Meaning that now I'm not
23
    in law enforcement, but I'm actually out in the
24
    community trying to help folks get employment,
25
    get back on the right track, get themselves
```

together, get their lives together, right? you said this side of the fence is really good, right? I'm not suggesting that you meant that the other side wasn't good, but, clearly, this side of the fence is really good. MS. PATTERSON: Uh-huh. MR. JONES: And when we were talking about public safety, Mr. Evans, I think that you said

MR. EVANS: Absolutely. Right.

that, clearly, the work that you're doing helps

public safety.

MR. JONES: So in the last panel we were trying to understand why it's as difficult as it is for people to restore themselves to society, to regain their status, what the underlying motivations are, right? And why the hurdles are so many, why it's so hard, why it's so difficult, right, for people to reintegrate into society? And one of the answers we got was public safety. That it's against notions of public safety to allow persons with a felony conviction to reintegrate into society.

Correct me if I'm wrong, what I'm hearing from both of you who have been on the other side is that the argument about restoration of civil

rights and restoration of status being a public safety concern is not in your minds -- and I don't want to speak for you -- completely or at all legitimate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EVANS: I don't think it's legitimate at all. I think it's a catch phrase excuse to throw out there to protect individual agendas. Systems are resistant to change, period, all the way up to the federal government. Try to go in there and just change the federal government for the better. We see it on TV all the time. Go after the school system if you want to, the college system. Go after a system and try to change it. Go after the prison system, the legislature, any system that's in place. And those people at the top that want to protect their system, their way of life, their rules that work the way they want them to work, systems are very resistant to change and that's the problem. That's the hurdles.

MS. LOVE: Could you just say a little bit more on that? What are the systems doing and whose agenda? Could we pull out a little bit more? What is the agenda that wants to keep people with a conviction in a certain place?

MR. EVANS: I'm not sure. I'm not well versed enough to expound on that in specific detail. But from a common sense standpoint and what we see in the numbers and the statistics that would argue against doing this and the fact that it doesn't change, because the system is resistant to change.

From a common sense standpoint, look at where we're at. Look at the intelligence level. Look at the technology we have in the 21st century and look at how archaic some of these systems are. Fifteen years later, she's being punished for something. That's crazy.

A common sense standpoint, you know, in God We Trust. We all sin. We all make mistakes.

Let's be forgiving and move on. Just get back to our common sense of it. And the system has these things in place. Nobody wanted these hurdles to be put in front of them, but the systems are in place. The hurdles are in place from the system.

MR. JONES: I see lunch is here. It's noon and we've run out of time and I apologize to folks I know want to ask some questions.

This has been great and we really have

learned a lot. We appreciate you-all coming down here and taking the time to spend to educate us in the way that you have. So thank you very much.

## PANEL III

MR. JONES: We are pleased to start the afternoon session of our first day of hearings in Miami with two very distinguished folks. In the interest of full disclosure, the Honorable Carlos Martinez, and his friend, and we're happy to have him here, and Reverend Dinkins as well. We're pleased to have you.

The way that these hearings run is that we're going to give each of you about ten minutes to give us the benefit of your opening remarks, your opening thoughts, and tell us a little bit about who you are. Then after you have done that, we have lots and lots of questions, which we hope will foster a fairly robust discussion.

The way that we operate the Task Force is that one of our members opens the questioning and does the lion's share of the questioning for each individual panel. And then to the extent there's time, the rest of us will ask questions,

1 as we might. 2 For this particular panel, Geneva 3 Vanderhorst will be the lead questioner. 4 So without any further ado, I'm going to 5 turn the floor over to Carlos Martinez and let 6 him begin with his opening remarks. 7 Oh, by the way, let me just say, 8 particularly for you two folks, we're having 9 some audio issues, so make sure when you're 10 speaking that, to the greatest extent possible, 11 that you use the microphone. 12 MR. MARTINEZ: I will try to do that. 13 Good afternoon. Thank you for the 14 opportunity to be here with you and to actually 15 tell you about some of the things that are 16 happening in Florida which are very troubling. 17 In some respects, they are not new. They've been with us for -- the worse of it has actually 18 19 been with us since 1994 and things have gotten 20 progressively worse. 21 Since then, we had a little blip -- which 22 was a good blip -- with Governor Crist in terms 23 of civil rights restoration, and, unfortunately, 24 we've gone back even worse than we were before. 25

But that's one aspect of it.

What I wanted to focus on is talk about the four primary issues which, to me, they are the first one being pleas without counsel and how prevalent that is in Florida.

I'm very happy that NACDL has sponsored and written two reports and one of them specifically on the disastrous conditions in Florida.

The second aspect that I wanted to talk to you about is what I call the glass ceiling, which is what is happening with the consequences and the impacts of the consequences of an arrest, not even a conviction, just an arrest and the short and long-term consequences of that.

The third item -- they're all interrelated.

I just broke them out into form, but they're all really interrelated -- the whole issue of privacy and having the opportunity to grow up, mess up, and then have a clean shot at life, which really relates to the confidentiality of juvenile records and how Florida operates.

The last one is one that really is very troubling to me, and it's also closely related to the glass ceiling, and that is the financial burdens we are placing, particularly in Florida,

1 on people who are convicted of anything in terms 2 of what the fines, the fees, the costs are and 3 what the long-term impacts could be on our 4 society, particularly, on those who have already 5 low income to begin with, and who also have the 6 second strike, which they're members of a 7 minority, whether it's Hispanic or Black. 8 In Miami, if you're Hispanic, you're in the 9 majority. But if you're poor Hispanic, you're 10 in a minority. So we have an interesting 11 situation. 12 Let me start the first part with pleas without counsel. I think it's clear from the 13 14 record that NACDL has already established that 15 it's an atrocious record. Florida has the 16 longed books, which allows the state attorney to 17 make a declaration in court that they will not 18 be seeking jail time on a particular 19 misdemeanor. If they make the declaration in 20 court, that means that person is no longer 21 entitled to have the public defender appointed. 22 The typical thing that happens is somebody gets 23 arrested. 24 (There was a brief interruption.)

(There was a prier interruption.)

25 What typically happens is a person gets

arrested. And within 24 hours, they show up in court if they were not able to bond out.

Typically, our experience is the people who do not bond out, it's either because they are visiting Miami-Dade County and do not have anybody to bond them out or don't have a credit card or something to be able to post bond or they do not have the resources -- you know, either themselves or a family member -- to be able to post bond and get them out before the first appearance.

So they come in. They get fingerprinted. Fingerprints are immediately uploaded into the Florida Department of Law Enforcement and the record starts there.

What happens in court the next day is that you have the hearing at first appearance where we only learn about an hour or two before what client is going to be on the calendar. So essentially, there's no time to confer with a client before the hearing. We've been able to actually load it up with paralegals in court to at least be able to assist the clients in getting information from the clients that try to obtain release.

But typically, what happens is they come up in a very short period of time. Cases are handled one after the other very quickly. I think the report said that it was three minutes. I think the report is being generous. Three minutes, I think, is when there's a hold-up that we may need an interpreter. So it takes a little longer, but really it's a pretty disturbing scene, if you ever watch it. While you're in town, I suggest that if you get a chance in either the morning or the early part of the afternoon, you should do that in our courthouses on the fifth floor and you can observe the craziness of that hearing.

Essentially, what happens is, depending on who the judge is, if it's during the week, we're pretty good most of the time. Because we have judges who are there, who are well-educated about first appearance, who know very well that if you get somebody released ROR, released on their own recognizance, that that person has a better shot to defend themselves on the charge.

So the judges are very well-educated and they actually are very good also in that we get a lot of cases dismissed.

We have an attorney in the courtroom. get a lot of cases dismissed for lack of probable cause, just right off the bat; and a lot of times, they won't get refiled. So it's pretty good. The bad news is: The ones that do not get dismissed, they're essentially offered credit for time served, a credit time served plea, which in Florida is an adjudication. They have a pretty quick plea colloquy that, you know, I challenge anybody to sit in there and be able to write that fast as to what is being conveyed. Obviously, some of us -- I think most of 

Obviously, some of us -- I think most of us -- are lawyers. If you practice criminal law, you should be able to write the things down; but if you don't, you would not understand. So our clients, obviously, are disadvantaged in hearing, because the speed is astonishing. So that happens at first appearance.

You get a lot of people who are pleading.

And in those cases, it's not really what I'm

talking about. Those cases, there is an

attorney. There is no discussion with the

attorney. But somebody can say, Oh, well, at least the attorney was present. If you say that the video screen -- you're present through the video screen -- then, yes, you're right, the attorney was present through the video screen, because these are closed circuit TVs.

The client is actually at the jail. I don't have enough attorneys to have an attorney at the jail and an attorney in court, so what I'm left with is a paralegal. And I have them doing other duties and running in there, trying to see -- you know, make sure. It's like plugging holes in the dark trying to figure out, okay, what do we do?

But that situation is not even the bad one. The situation that I'm talking about is when we are discharged and the person is released from court, the prosecutor could say, Judge, we have a no order of no imprisonment. And before we're discharged, we'll jump in and we'll say something like, Well, Judge, if that's going to be the case, we'll release them ROR.

So that way, a person has no hold and they'll get out that day.

We've got to put a process in place to make

sure that, even if they say "ROR," that they are, in fact, released, because we had certain situations where the person stayed one, two, or three days. And it wasn't until a client called and said, Hey, they said I was going to get released and I didn't get released, and we were discharged on the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So other than those crazy things, the client then comes to court, either in the main courthouse or one of the branch courthouses, depending on where the ticket was issued. they go to branch court, in branch court there are no assistant public defenders. Again, one, it's a staffing issue; the second is it's a space issue. They build these courthouses without space for defense counsel. Because the way that they've operated for decades has been without defense counsel present at the courthouses. So in those courts, it is where most of the stuff happens in terms of people pleading guilty without an attorney. There is a prosecutor and the prosecutor typically rides the circuit. They go from courthouse to courthouse. They don't know much other than, I'm going to give you a plea offer today. And

if we close out the case today -- you know, if you want to close out the case, you can do that if you plead guilty.

So all of a sudden you get all those what I call the "free convictions" and those sales that go on in those courts. And yet, that is not the worst.

The worst is actually in our building. In our building, it used to be called the Metro

Justice Center, but it got renamed after a prosecutor, so we still call it the Metro

Justice Center, only because we feel courthouses should not be named after prosecutors.

So in any event, what happens in our courthouse is typically you get a calendar. It's a public defender calendar. And it's all our clients that are on calendar, but then you get the biggest part of the calendar, which is about 70 to 80 percent of the calendar, which is the pro se calendar. And when you go to those, the best way that I could describe it is it's kind of like shot gun justice, that you walk in and if you don't know what's going on, you're kind of surprised about what's happening.

They'll line up 10 or 12 people, however

many can fit in the front row in front of the judge. The clerk will ask them to raise their right hand, take the oath, and they're going to be questioned and the questioning proceeds in the manner that they start doing the plea colloquy that is required under our rules.

Our rules -- when they wrote them, I don't think anybody envisioned that they would do these on mass pleadings. People expected them to be done individually.

So in our rules, it doesn't specifically say you talk to one person at a time. We should change our rule to say that. That's probably a good thing to do that, but what happens is they'll do 10 or 12 individuals. The judge will say the first one, you know, So-and-so, you're charged with so-and-so, how do you plead?

You have a choice to plead guilty, not guilty or blah, blah, blah, blah.

By this point, they know most of the ones that are going to plead guilty. Usually, they line up only the ones that say they're going to plead guilty. So they go one by one and people will be saying guilty, guilty, guilty, guilty, guilty, guilty, guilty, guilty, guilty, guilty. Then they ask the next

1 question and it proceeds like that. "Do you-all 2 understand that you're giving up your rights to 3 go to trial?" Okay. "Everybody at least say 4 'yes' out loud." 5 "Yes." 6 "Do you all understand that you're giving 7 up your right to appeal?" 8 "Yes." 9 So you know, all of a sudden, there's no 10 individualized hearing or finding of any kind. 11 The judge asks the prosecutor, "Mr. Prosecutor 12 or Madam Prosecutor, do you have a factual basis 13 for each one of these charges?" And the answer, 14 of course, is going to be, "Yes." 15 And then they move on and then they tell 16 the people exactly where they need to go to pay 17 whatever fine or fee or cost was imposed. And 18 that all takes place. 19 So when I mentioned the three-minute 20 justice, that's probably fast. Because if you 21 divide it up individually, it's a lot less than 22 that per individual. But that happens on a 23 regular basis. We've been in the process of 24 educating some of the judges. And some of them

stop the practice if we're around, but then they

25

1 forget if we're not around. Nevertheless, I 2 think it's just a terrible thing. So that's the 3 number one issue with pleas, essentially, 4 without counsel all the way from not having 5 anybody there, to having somebody by video 6 without being able to consult with that person. 7 Any questions about that or should I go to ... 8 MR. JONES: Keep going. 9 MR. MARTINEZ: Okay, glass ceiling. Glass ceiling is essentially all the consequences that 10 11 we have in Florida. In Florida, in particular 12 the worse consequences in the book for me are 13 the ones that end up costing somebody their job 14 or not being able to be employed. 15 One of the laws that was passed and, 16 frankly, I forget the name because of the --17 they called it the "So-And-So Act." There are 18 so many of them that are named after people. 19 Every time there's something bad that 20 happens, typically, in Tampa -- sorry, Tampa --21 but Tampa or on the west side of the state 22 something horrendous happens on the west side of 23 the state, they'll come up with a law. They'll 24 name it after the child. It was the tragic

victim of the crime and then they'll impose the

25

law all across the state.

But what happens with a lot of the laws that they have passed is they affect employment in terms of not being able to get a job, losing your own job.

Let me give you a brief example. I got a call from -- we go out in the community and I'll talk about this later -- we do something that's called "Redemption Project." What the Redemption Project is are workshops. It's when we go out into the community with different partners, including the churches, including the State Attorney's office, including police departments, and all sorts of folks. What we do is we try to help people so that they can seal or expunge their criminal records.

One of the things that I found at one of those events, somebody comes up to me. Most of the people that show up to these events are working-class people. So you're going to see a lot of people in jeans, you know, sometimes in shorts. You're not going to see people in suits other than the people that are working there, volunteering there at the events.

So this well-dressed man in a suit a tie,

comes up to me and starts explaining -- of course, I'm thinking he's one of the volunteer's lawyers or somebody who is volunteering. But he starts acting -- you know, I want to talk to you because I had a criminal record back in 1973. So I'm looking at him and I'm like, "Oh, and you want to get it sealed?" And he said, "No, I can't get it sealed because they told me I have an adjudication." 

With a Florida conviction, you can never seal it. If you have conviction at any point in time, you can never seal it. It's done. You're over and done with.

So I asked him, "Well, what's the problem?"

He was working for Florida Power & Light.

He had been working for Florida Power & Light, I

think, he said 26 or 27 years and he was given

notice that he was being laid off/fired because

of one of the laws that had just been passed

that prohibited anyone from going on to school

grounds who had a prior criminal record. The

law extended to Florida Power & Light. Why?

Because they bring lights to the school and they

have power in the schools.

Florida Power & Light did not want to take

on the extra burden of certifying that they would not send this person into a school. So, therefore, this person could no longer perform his job and be available anywhere -- model employee, horrible problem, could not get it done, just could not get it done. That's one example.

I've heard from people who worked for Bell South or AT&T, the same issue with the schools, that they can no longer work for AT&T.

So you are now taking people who had lawful jobs, who were taxpayers, who were earning money and all of a sudden putting them out and making them unemployed. A lot of times, they're going to be a little older, particularly, if you've been working with them for a long time, that you're going to have a hard time making that same salary somewhere else even if it's a private enterprise. So the glass ceiling, that's just one example. And that's somebody actually getting knocked down a peg or two.

What the glass ceiling affects most of the time is everyone else, which is all the people who have had any type of charge during the years, where there was a conviction or not even

a conviction.

Part of the glass ceiling problem is you have a lot of people that have been underemployed. A lot of opportunities are lost with them. One of the things that I'm kicking off with The Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce and with a lot of the local chambers is an educational program that I have designed to educate them how to read criminal histories. Because part of the problem that we have in Florida that we need to fix is the way that the criminal history printout reads. I've shown examples to different people in my office and they have misread it. They have actually told me, "Oh, this guy has three priors," when, in fact, it was only one.

The way Florida works is: When you get fingerprinted, your record goes up. When new charges are filed -- you know, let's say you got charged with murder. Initially, you were arrested for murder. The prosecutor decides, I'm not filing murder, I'm filing aggravated battery. You're aggravated battery. All of a sudden, those charges, the clerk has to submit them. They go up. We plea it down from an

aggravated battery, which is a second-degree felony. We plea it down, let's say, from a simple battery to a misdemeanor. Guess what? He gets fingerprinted for that conviction and all of a sudden, it shows up.

So you have instances with the same case number, but because the transaction number is different, anybody who's reading and will see the transaction number will think, Oh, that's a different one; oh, that's a different one; oh, that's a different one.

Also, one of the dates that they put on there is the event date. Well, the event date is not the arrest date or the incident date, but it's the date that the information was submitted. So unless you're trained to read it on a regular basis, you're not going to know. So you have a lot of missed opportunities.

The glass ceiling also applies to universities. And I'm not talking about grants and I'm not talking about scholarships. I'm talking about application.

I got a call from a doctor out in the community. I won't say how I know her, because of who she is, but she called me up and,

obviously, she can afford an attorney. calls me up and she tells me her kid graduated from high school with a 4.3. The kid was enrolled in AP classes and a bunch of other things. A top-notch student applied to one of the major universities. Florida has several, but one of the major ones is north of Orlando, I'll just say. They had wait-listed her application. She qualified because of her grades. Because of her rank in school, she qualifies for every imaginable grant and the grants were not a problem. All of the scholarships were not a problem. It was the admission. They wait-listed her because, when they asked the question on the application, "Have you ever" -- actually, I have it here and I'll read it, so I don't mess it up. It's an affirmation that the person has to do. It is, "I have been found guilty of or entered a plea of 'no contest' to a felony charge and not had my civil rights restored by the Florida governing cabinet sitting as the examining board of clemency. "If you do not know the answer to the question, ask your attorney or the county

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clerk's office, non-lawyers of the county where you were charged.

Now, you hear that and guess what? She did not know whether she had been found guilty or entered a plea of "no contest." She had actually been sent to a diversion program for a grand theft of a prom dress from Macy's. She was sent to a diversion program. It was a \$310 dress. In Florida, over \$300 is grand theft.

She went to a diversion program, had no problem, finished the program, did everything, okay. She did not know and she didn't tell her parent when she was filling it out, she didn't ask her parent, "Should I say this or that?" She just answered it.

Well, she calls me up and I'm like, how could they have that question on this thing? You know, this is just nuts.

Well, what she did not know, that I had to look up, is when I'm reading it, I'm saying this is crazy because a kid with a juvenile record in Florida, that's not really considered a felony, even though it is a felony. But it's considered an offense and considered a delinquency offense, so it's not really considered criminal.

So I looked at it and I see a link under the word "felony." I click on the link and this is what I find under the link for the description of "Felony: Under State law, you are not eligible for Bright Future Scholarships if you have been found guilty of a felony or if you have pled no contest to a felony. However, you are still eligible to apply and may check, 'I do not agree to the felony question No. 34' if your conviction or plea was removed from your record by the Court or took place in a juvenile court."

Now, who's going to find that? You only find it if you see "felony" and you click "felony." She had not seen that. She had not clicked on that. So that's one of the things.

There was another one that I found in a college application. When this happened, I contacted the Department of Education and made a request to change the rule and to actually not put it behind in the definition, but actually put it within the question. Why would you not state in the question that, if it's a juvenile case, "This does not apply"? Why not say it up front? And they ended up denying it. And I

know why: Because it was too weeks before they published the new set of rules and nobody had filed anything with it. We're going to resubmit that, but that's one example.

The one is a regular college application from one of the community colleges. And the question here, it's a "yes" or "no." And this one is another one. "Have you ever been charged with a violation of the law, which resulted in or is still pending that could result in probation, community service, or jail sentence, or the revocation or suspension of your driver's license?"

Guess what? Every single charge in Florida qualifies. You would have to say, "yes." What happens if you answer "yes"? You go on a waiting list. And then they have a judicial panel that gets to review it and you no longer have the priority that you had because of your class standing, because of your grades. You have lost your priority for that class. You now have to wait behind everybody else. And so that's a big problem when it comes to the issue of glass ceiling.

MR. JONES: I'm going to have to speed you

up on these last two because we need to get to Reverend Dinkins.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARTINEZ: Privacy sort of relates to that. Our privacy is very quick. Florida law clearly states that most juvenile records are confidential, including juvenile arrest records. Unfortunately, our Florida Department of Law Enforcement has taken the wrong position that the statute that applies to them allows them to charge -- not only to release the record, but to charge for the record. So it's selling for \$24. It's a money maker for them, so I know that's why they were opposing it. So we need to get that law fixed and that's every charge. misdemeanor charge, you could actually, right now, purchase every juvenile record for 24 bucks in the state of Florida, even if it was a seven-year old, even if it was dismissed. doesn't matter. You can get the record.

The last one is what I mentioned, which is the financial obligations that people are getting just soaked. This is what's bad about Florida. One, Florida does not have a requirement that, when you're imposed -- the judge is imposing costs, fees or fines, that

there's a finding that the person has the present ability to pay. Florida does not have that requirement. So it's imposed on everyone.

Two, Florida does not allow for most -almost all fees, fines, and costs to be either
waived or converted into community service
hours. So they have to be paid. So,
essentially, it's an obligation kind of like
student loans. It goes with your death and
survives death.

I find it kind of interesting that you can negotiate with the IRS if you have a debt, but you cannot negotiate with the courts or with the clerk of the court if your debts that you have are past due.

Third item, which is the most gross, is that there's a requirement that, if a person has not paid by a certain period of time, that the clerk of the court can submit that case to collections; and collections charges 40 percent on top of the amount. While your case is in collections, you cannot -- even if you're making good faith payments, substantial payments, if your license is suspended because you haven't made your payments, you cannot get your license

1 back until you fully pay the collection amount. 2 So that's my two cents for now. 3 MR. JONES: Great. Thank you, sir. Reverend Dinkins? 4 5 REVEREND DINKINS: Charles Dinkins is my 6 I'm a local pastor in Liberty City. name. 7 also grew up here. 8 The take that I take on restoration is that 9 of one conviction. I'll give you a story of a 10 conversation I had a few years ago with the 11 State Attorney's office in reference to their 12 projection of building prisons. It was said 13 that we know how many prisons to build based 14 upon anti-social behavior of children, that the 15 Internet system between the age of birth to six 16 years old. And my question was: "Okay, great 17 information. What are we doing with it?" And 18 the staff person from that office said, "That's 19 not our job. If the community takes that on, 20 that's the community's job." 21 As I listened to Carlos Martinez make 22 comments about the arena in which he works in, 23 my comments are centered around the arena in 24 which I minister and have grown up in. If we're

able to sense the problem early, then

25

systematically what hinders us from addressing the problem? The State of Florida is not a prevention state. I'm fully aware of it. There is great resources to be gained from crime. Incarceration is only one of them, not to mention the court systems and many jobs and things that are present there. I say this to say that many of the children in the inner city where I minister and work, certainly is not applicable to all children. It's encouraging to hear you talk about college children and the impact of juvenile mischief that has created havoc in their lives. But in the community where I work, that's the norm, that kids' lives are destroyed as a result of a mischief, a child mischief.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

High-crime neighborhoods warrant high crime police patrol, which warrants that our kids have a greater frequency, a greater opportunity to be seen being children. And to have it have such an affect on your life to where you really can't rebound and begin to develop an adult life is devastating and prevalent where I live and work.

So from a prevention perspective, maybe the way we look at restoration is some of the issue.

Looking at restoration from a prevention perspective, if courts understand who's at high risk for becoming criminal statistics, then why is the system of such that we're creating such problems, allowing the community to intervene at this early age where we have our greatest return on the buck to impact not only the person who's standing before crime -- or in the courts because of a crime, but their siblings? Because we all know it's intergenerational. So that's one prevention perspection.

The other is that we run a program in our center called "The Children of Inmates Program."

And children get the opportunity to visit their parents in prison and establish bonds and work out the psychological issues associated with having a parent that's in prison.

It's all too often that, when these parents come home, the issue of restoration is comical.

I'm being nice. In terms of housing, in terms of employment, in terms of even reuniting with their family and eradicating the stigma of being -- and that's a convict -- never really goes away.

The community in which I serve, most of

those families and those who are incarcerated, have shown that they have issues early on in life by not performing academically, by having antisocial behaviors. Yet, we approach restoration from the standpoint of after the fact as opposed to before the fact, not only before they go to jail -- well, even in terms of being released from prison. There's very little prevention activity that's taken place in terms of having a plan and having social support systems in place for those who are coming back into society to be able to deal with the realities that, yeah, you've got your voter's card, but when you show up to vote, you're still not allowed to vote. Yeah, you have your driver's license as ID, but you really can't use a driver's license to drive because of these fines and these other things that's associated with it. Yeah, you have skills to get a job. Expungement and restoration events are great. But greater than 50 percent of the clients in my community have multi-felonies, but the law only allows one. Virtually, we have families that are being destroyed because the male figures of those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

families, which are -- women are growing now,
but are pretty much excluded out of the equation
of developing and raising positive children.
There's no access or there isn't as the system
exists today and as I understand the system and
how it exists today. There is virtually no
reentry access where a person can rebound.

You know, every one of us in this room at some point in our lives have had to come back from something. And that's pretty much eliminated for those who fall in this category.

So coming out of prison, to me, a form of prevention would be having systems in place that help those who desire not -- recidivism, to have a system in place that starts as early as 18 months prior to release where there's a collaborative effort between the community and the prison systems and the social service systems of the county or the city where they're going to return to where at least this person has a clear understanding of the resources that's available to them and somewhat to help encourage them to continue on the path of right.

I don't know about anyone else in this room, but if you've ever been unemployed long

enough, you start questioning your values of what's right. If you get hungry enough, you start questioning your values of what's right.

So I think with these families with these individuals, you're almost not given an opportunity to rebound. You're almost set up from the cradle to be incarcerated in and out of prison, because a child cannot select their parents. They cannot select the environment in which they are reared in. So having an opportunity to get through childhood, to be able to make some kind of rebounds on the adult side of life, when you begin to understand life differently, it's almost eradicated the way the system is established today.

It's interesting to me when I look at the issues of restoration and how we go about doing it. And I don't have the answers and I'm not a lawyer type. I'm a community type that sees so many families destroyed, so many children who believe that their rites of passage is going to jail. So many families and talented people who don't get the opportunity to explore the other side of life simply because of that child's misbehavior and getting caught and getting

arrested.

So that's pretty much all that I have to say in terms of restoration at this point on the issue.

MR. JONES: Thank you. We have questions.

MS. VANDERHORST: I want to start with Reverend Dinkins with you and ask if you could describe a bit about the Hosanna Community Foundation as well as the Network for Children of Inmates programs that the churches have been involved with.

REVEREND DINKINS: Hosanna Community

Foundation was started out of a church youth

group ministry, actually, a church not

understanding the kind of ministry we're doing

in terms of social services. What we do there

is provide youth and family services.

One of the programs that we run is the Children of Inmates Program. It has received national recognition, just the security and strategies with the lead agency among nine faith-based agencies that are located throughout Miami-Dade County, that provide primarily three things for these families: Funding trips where they're able to go and visit the incarcerated

parent; two, support groups where they're able to become each others' allies, each others' friends as it relates to dealing with the loss of that incarcerated parent; and then thirdly, care coordination where we provide social services or make referrals to help that family, in particular that child, deal with the absence of that incarcerated family.

One of the other things that we do in this community is we've been able to start the Urban Partnership of Miami-Dade County which is composed of Miami Drug-Free Coalition, gaining alternative and Hosanna Community Foundation.

We recently received grant funding from SAMHSA to do a drug-free coalition. Through that coalition, we're now, for the first time, have an opportunity to get professional types and community types together to really address the problems. In this case, it would be marijuana, alcohol, and adult binge drinking among teenagers.

But what's happening is that for the first time, in my experience, people from cross sectors of professions are now coming together and talking about the problems in real ways.

You know, all too often, we fail to realize the impact of a policy at the ground level, so this gives us some opportunity to be able to talk about some of those things and for people to hear some real stories.

MS. VANDERHORST: Within Miami Dade, can you give us an idea of how many children have at least one parent who is incarcerated or some way attached to the system and how many of those children in that group you're able to service through the Children of Inmates Program?

REVEREND DINKINS: In the particular community in which I serve, ma'am, throughout Dade County, the number would be -- I don't know, well over 80 percent.

MR. MARTINEZ: If it's in State prison, I would say probably 15,000 parents, not children. Children could be up to three.

REVEREND DINKINS: In the community where I serve, which is 36th Street, 79th Street

Northwest, Biscayne Boulevard, and 37th Avenue, which is certainly on the radar screen in your office, 90 percent of the children that grow up in that community have a parent that has some encounter with the law, either have been

arrested or presently serving time or just been released. It's just the nature of the community. Now, of that, we have -- of the children that are in enrolled in our program that have come through our program, about 1,700 in that community just about is that we've mentioned, the number I would throw at you is well over 20,000 children. I'm sure the number is much higher than that, but that's a safe number of children that are living in the community.

So the children of inmates is a part of the solution, but by no stretch of imagination the total solution. And, yes, the families that we're able to serve, we do great work with them. We see them make progresses. But the long-term effect of the values that's in that family long before we show up are not eradicated easily. It's that simple.

MS. VANDERHORST: Are you seeing a number, or at least a significant percentage of the children that you are able to serve, give their own juvenile records or their own contacts with law enforcement?

REVEREND DINKINS: What I've sensed and

seen is, because of the values that are taught in the family from the parents who have these unhealthy habits, the children begin to think, Well, that's the way life is. That's the way you deal with life.

Case in point: In my own life, I grew up in Miami. My two heros when I was growing up was Vida Blue, for those of you who are baseball fans, and Super Fly, for those of you who watch those kind of things. Now, I was going to be one or the other. Thank God I chose to be Vida Blue.

All right. I'm telling you, leaving the greatest impact on my life was leaving Miami and going off to school in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

That gave me a whole other perception about life to understand that what I had experienced in my neighborhood and that which my parents were able to afford me, that there was a whole other world.

All too often, the children in the cities very seldom leave the intercity so their exposure is very limited. And as a result of what they see from not only their parents, but the cultural norms or community norms, it just

1 breeds into intergeneration of negative 2 behaviors, is my opinion. 3 MS. VANDERHORST: Is it fair to say that 4 the population you're dealing with is 5 significantly people of color? 6 REVEREND DINKINS: It is fair to say if you 7 put that color in terms of poverty. It doesn't 8 matter whether you are Anglo, Latin, or Black, 9 poverty has such an affect on you to where 10 people are doing just all they know to survive. 11 Now, in the particular community where I 12 serve, yes, a large population is African 13 Americans, but that is changing. When I was 14 growing up, it was 90 percent African American. 15 Now, in the community it's like 60 percent 16 African American and like 39 percent Latinos. 17 So we're all living together and going to jail together, you know. Basically, that's what's 18 19 really happening. 20 I think the common thread though is poverty 21 and we're able to see it early on in the 22 academic performances as well as in their social 23 development, but there's very little that is 24 done. The way the system is structured, it's

really not conducive for a whole lot really

25

happening to remedy the problem, is my personal opinion.

MS. VANDERHORST: I know that your groups connect or try to keep a connection between the parents and the children. Are there any services that are focused particularly just on parents who are getting out of any kind of judicial system, whether it's probation or they're getting released from some kind of detention center?

REVEREND DINKINS: There are services. The challenge there is, is the quality of those services and the availability of resources to perpetuate those services. Case in point, a person gets out of jail, out of prison, where do they live? Chances are you can't go back to the house. Your family, they've pretty much written you off. So where do you live? In terms of employment, how do you really get a job? Some people are getting jobs on the masses, most of them are not.

Most of the parents that we go visit, they're in jail for selling, you know, illegal form pharmaceuticals. So it comes back to economics again.

The community -- attitudes are of such that, This is what we have to do to live. Those of us who are providing services, our attitudes of are such, I need to get a paycheck, and getting the paycheck means satisfying funders. And the funders may not always be -- most certainly, in most cases, are not critically clear about how things should take place on the ground. We have theories. But most of the people with the theories have never lived that life. And nor is there a system in place where we really take the time to do the grunt work to understand how things are working at the ground level to really give these families an opportunity to rebound. It's the nature of the business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We're more concerned about how many people does it employee than how many people has it impacted when it comes to funders.

And so as a result of that, we have programs out there. But when you measure the outcomes, all too often, the outcomes are not there and those who are giving the funding are those who have a political connection, not necessarily those who are really impacting lives

1 of people.

MS. VANDERHORST: So with that, I want to back up a bit.

Carlos, we have some information of how difficult it is to get records sealed and expunged. Can you give us an idea of what you think or who you think the current laws are actually intended to impact, since it excludes so many people who have criminal records or even plead no contest?

MR. MARTINEZ: I wouldn't even take a guess at who they were intending to impact, because there's such a hodgepodge of laws and they passed a whole set initially and then they changed it so that you can only seal it once.

And then there are a lot of different things that you can't expunge. In Florida -this is how crazy Florida is, if you're actually found not guilty by a jury, you cannot expunge that record. You can conceal it, but you can't expunge it. Even though a jury of your peers found you not guilty, you cannot expunge your records.

The difference between seal and expunge in Florida is sealing the record is actually sealed

and you can only -- the record is still available, but only through court order. They actually destroy the records for expungement.

Florida law is really problematic. Part of the challenge that we have -- and we've actually been making some headway in converting some people in terms of legislators who actually started even looking at it and thinking about it and even some law enforcement to be looking at it and thinking about it. But now we're going on seven years working on this project from the first time that I wrote the statute to make all the changes to -- you know, I have a senator. She filed it religiously every year. We finally said, You know what, let's give it a break and keep working on some folks and go back at it and try to see.

Reverend Dinkins was 100 percent correct.

When we have the redemption workshops, it was only to facilitate the very small sliver of people who qualified, facilitated for them to at least get a shot. But I would say that I have never had a redemption workshop where I have more than 25 percent of the people who qualify for a seal; most of the time they don't.

1 In Florida, as I mentioned to you, if you 2 have any find of conviction, you cannot seal it. 3 If you get a conviction for driving with license 4 suspended and your charges for cocaine 5 possession were actually dismissed but your 6 driver's license was suspended, you showed up in 7 court having one of these three-minute specials 8 where you pled quilty, you did your time served, you now cannot have that cocaine possession that 10 you were not quilty of sealed, because you had 11 that conviction. 12 MS. VANDERHORST: I want to wrap up my 13 question with sex offenders. First of all, is 14 there a distinction between the Jessica 15 Lungsford-type offenses versus the teenager 16 involved with the 21-year-old and it's a 17 consensual relationship? And then the second

involved with the 21-year-old and it's a consensual relationship? And then the second part of my question is: Are there any remedies available or any assistance available for people who are able to be released from a detention center to have any of their rights restored?

MR. MARTINEZ: As a sex offense --

MS. VANDERHORST: Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARTINEZ: -- or something else?

MS. VANDERHORST: Just a sex offense.

MR. MARTINEZ: Sex offense, forget it.

You're never going to get your rights restored.

That's just not going to happen. That's not
going to happen. Even if it was a minor sex

offense, you cannot get your rights restored.

Forget it. Do not pass go. So let's start with
that.

In terms of any help, there is no help available, because -- well, there's a little bit of help now after the scandal. Really, they only acted because tourists happened to be driving under our bridge where there was the encampment of all the homeless sex offenders. They weren't really homeless. The Department of Corrections really had no other place to supervise them and they came up with a fictitious address and it's under the bridge.

After some embarrassing situations where the international media started picking up the stories and making photos and going there actually talking to people, they ended up finding them places throughout Dade County, through The Homeless Trust. It's a set up that we have and they get some tax dollars and they try to help people. So they help some people

with rental and some -- few pockets.

Our laws are all messed up. We have the state law, which has -- it's a certain footage. It's 1,000 feet. We have local laws that everybody wanted to see who was tougher on crime. So we had some local mayor all of a sudden come up with a 2,500-foot law. And then the next mayor wanted to do it. We have 36 municipalities in Miami. All, I think, except seven, passed those laws.

So if you look at the map of Miami and you have these concentric circles of where you cannot live, there are very few spaces. One of them is in Pinecrest, which I know Reverend Dinkins knows, I can't live in Pinecrest.

Pinecrest's cheapest home is \$1 million. On a public defender's salary, you're not going to live in Pinecrest. Pinecrest is one of the few areas that you can actually have some sex offenders living there because there are no schools nearby. Some of them have no churches nearby and some of them don't have any school stops nearby -- there are lots of different -- or parks; so depending on what law it is and -- you know, as you're crossing all the municipal

lines, okay. And this one, it can't be 1,000 feet from a park, this one from a church, this one from a nursery, or pre-K. So it's all over the place. So there's really no help. There's really no relief.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the other aspect of it you mentioned the consensual. Florida consensual, yeah, right.

Florida, we have a law that they called the Romeo and Juliet Law, which if the kids are within -- I'm trying to think if it was three or four but, I think it's three years. If they're within three years of each other that you can actually apply to the court that you do not have to register as a sex offender. So a 21-year-old with an 18-year old, well, you're not going to have a problem there anyway. If it's a 19-year-old with a 16 or a 15, which is very common in Florida. And let me tell you why. Florida is one of those states where kids get held back if they don't pass what's called the Florida Comprehensive Assessments of the testing. If you don't pass that test, we have 13-year-olds in fifth grade. So talk about a mix, a crazy mix of hormones in kids growing up

and you're not going to have problems? Come on.

Let's get real. So there are lots, lots of

issues.

Unlike many other states, Florida does not

have a level of sex offenders. In Florida, we

treat them all the same. It doesn't matter.

have a level of sex offenders. In Florida, we treat them all the same. It doesn't matter. There's no high risk, low risk, moderate risk. It's just everybody is the same. You all get lumped in. All the laws apply to you and that's it.

MR. JONES: We are going to run out of time pretty soon. I wanted to get a couple of other people in this discussion.

Margaret, you had a few questions.

MS. LOVE: Carlos, I wanted to ask you, we heard a lot from Mr. Schlakman this morning about the restoration of rights. You mentioned 1994 as a year that sort of marked the beginning of a dark time or a bad time. I was thinking back a few years even before that when they started having these complex hearings in the restoration of rights process. Can you say why you pinpointed 1994, and can you also speak to what has happened with the restoration of rights procedure?

MR. MARTINEZ: You're going to have one of the speakers later on that's going to address the restoration of rights but, essentially, it is a terrible joke in Florida. There's no such thing as restoration of rights. They don't have enough staff. The waiting lists that they have are ridiculous. The rules that they've put in place, for automatic -- essentially, no automatic restoration; there is, but, again, it's not real. Essentially, we have no restoration of rights. Let's not mince words when it comes to that.

Going back to 1994, why I think that was where it really got ugly in Florida, that was the year when we had had a lot of tourist murders and some of them done by young people --several of them done by young people, committed by young people. Florida went absolutely hog wild in terms of transferring kids to adult court, making it easier to transfer a 14-year old to adult court for felony offenses. So that was one issue.

The second issue that was horrible with '94 is that that's when they started whittling, I think, away at the confidentiality of juvenile

arrest records. The first year, what they did
is -- it only applied to the ones who were
transferred to adult court. That's the only
time that it would be public. I think next year
or two years after, they came back and they
opened it up for all felonies. Then, they came
back a year or two after that and they opened up
if you had a third misdemeanor conviction.

Throughout all that, even though the legislature was taking as -- you know, were opening it up more, our Florida Department of -- this is how ridiculous their argument is: Our Florida Department of Law Enforcement said, Well, from the first moment that that statute was enacted, it doesn't apply to us.

Of course, they forget to read the first sentence of their statute that says that they have to comply with every other statute in Florida. But that's another issue. But that was the year that, essentially, the floodgates were open and records and then FDLE realized they can make a ton of money selling these records to private companies.

And then, it kept getting worse than the counties. All our 67 counties got in on the

act. Now, every month, they upload all the arrest record information from anything that happens in Dade County. It not only goes through FDLE, it goes through these private companies as well, because they can sell it to them cheaper than FDLE does.

MS. LOVE: When Governor Bush established the re-entry task force, the mandate of that effort was to get the public agencies in Florida to take a look at the collateral consequences that were operating and to get rid of the ones that were disfunctional or creating a public safety problem themselves. Whatever happened to that effort? I know there were recommendations made.

MR. MARTINEZ: That was one of the best things that happened in Florida. That was actually a bright moment that happened in Florida. It was not just that he had done that task force. He had also expanded drug courts in Florida. He poured a lot of money into drug courts in Florida. Actually, he was the first one that put a lot of money into public defenders as well.

So a lot of people don't know that. They

think a conservative is a conservative is a conservative. No. There are different types of conservatives.

What happened with that effort was that they started doing it, but there was no follow-through in funding staff to do that. If you don't have staff that are dedicated to actually follow through and report year after year, year after year, what have you done and then have direction pushing it, saying, okay, this year we're going to take it on. And then without a legislative effort, if you don't have that kind of five, ten-year plan, you're not going to get it done, because there's so many forces that get aligned against it that they just water it down and nothing happens.

This past year there was Senate Bill 146 in the 2011 session that actually did something good. Now, watered down in committee, this always happens, but at least it says a State agency may not deny an application for a license, permit certificate, or employment based solely on the applicant's lack of civil rights. So that was a big step forward and it directed the same thing that the task force had directed

1 before in its report, the recommendations. 2 It is now a Florida statute that it 3 requires agencies to provide a list of all 4 agency or board statutes or rules that 5 disqualify from employment or licensure --6 essentially, the same things that they have to 7 go through all of their rules and determine which ones work, which ones don't, which ones are having a negative impact on public safety or 10 anything else and then come back with 11 recommendations. So that was just passed in 12 2011. Hopefully, they'll be going with that. 13 But I can tell you there's an agency that I know 14 that I asked and the agency had no idea that 15 this got passed. 16 MR. JONES: I want to get Jenny in on this 17 conversation real quick. 18 MS. ROBERTS: So you had started, 19 Mr. Martinez, with the issue of the 20 less-than-three-minute courts. So why don't we 21 return to that and just ask: Is there any

from misdemeanor convictions? And it's a three-part question. Do you see any problems with clients based on misdemeanor convictions

mechanism in Florida for restoration or relief

22

23

24

25

broadly defined? And third, do you have any funding to deal with restoration issues of either felonies or misdemeanors?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARTINEZ: Okay. The first one was relief for misdemeanors. There is no mechanism not only for misdemeanors. There is no mechanism in Florida. Again, Florida is crazy. If you have a felony conviction in Florida, theoretically, you can get your rights restored. But you already know my opinion about that. you have a withhold of adjudication, which means you don't have a conviction, which means a judge and a prosecutor determine, We're not going to punish you with a conviction, we're going to punish you with something less. If you have a withhold, you can't apply for restoration of employment rights. You just can't do it. just stays on your record. If you have certain types of withholds of adjudication, you can never get those sealed.

Let me tell you what I think is the most egregious one, which is aggravated assault.

Typically, what we see is females who have been victims of domestic violence. They're in an abusive relationship. And at one point, they

1 decide to fight back, and they fight back. 2 don't injure the other person. They just 3 threaten with a knife or with something. That's 4 an aggravated assault under Florida law. So the 5 prosecutor takes that into consideration and 6 makes the offer of, okay, I'm going to give you 7 a withhold, because we recognize -- instead of 8 dismissing the case, which is what we usually 9 try to do -- they say, okay, we're going to give 10 you a half load. Let's see if you withhold. 11 Well, withhold is worth absolutely nothing, 12 because it stays on the record. They can't do 13 anything. They cannot seal that particular 14 record. 15 What was your second one, relief from 16 misdemeanors? 17 MS. ROBERTS: What kinds of problems you've 18 seen for clients based on their misdemeanor 19 convictions. 20 MR. MARTINEZ: Seeing it all the time. 21 actually had one of our attorneys who got into 22 the Florida Bar, moved, tried to move to Miami 23 Beach. In Miami Beach, if you're going to do 24 rental properties, they have these rental 25 companies and they require a background check.

1 They ran a background check, misdemeanor charge. 2 Not only misdemeanor charge, but misdemeanor 3 charge as a juvenile and the person was not able 4 to get housing on the beach. So the person had 5 to rent from a private person. And I'm talking 6 about somebody who's got money. Imagine if 7 you're in a situation where most of our clients 8 are in, which they don't have money to make the 9 choice to live on the beach or to live on 10 Brickell or anything like that. So their 11 choice, a lot of times, is, you know, public 12 housing sometimes and then public housing, 13 you're not eligible depending on what the charge 14 is. 15 Even misdemeanors, you would be eligible

Even misdemeanors, you would be eligible depending on what the one is. Because we have three different housing agencies here. We have at least three and they have different rules on what the charges can be. There are some general rules that apply to all, but they also have their own individual rules. And your third one was money.

THE COURT: Money.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

MR. MARTINEZ: Well, there was never any money coming for us to do our redemption

projects. The way we ended up doing was actually all through volunteer efforts, you know, begging this one to come to one of our events. And frankly, a lot of it was by showing different people, different stakeholders in the system, in the criminal justice system, that there was a value to it in terms of there was a lot of interest in it.

So some people came to the table and I volunteered their services. For example, early on, we were able to get our Department of Corrections to have one of their people come in.

In Florida, in order to get your records sealed, your application done, you have to have fingerprints. But it costs \$7 to get your fingerprints done anywhere. We got a corrections officer to volunteer fingerprinting for free. So that's one less cost. We got the clerk of the court to actually come out and have their people present, so we could process their paperwork right there and then and they would get their certificate for applying. Now, we were able to get the State Attorney's office in -- because for expungement in Florida, you need the State Attorney and the prosecutor to

sign off on it. And we would able to get them in and do that.

Our office, no extra money. We now rely primarily on former assistant public defenders who come back and volunteer to do this because they love doing it. The State Attorney's office, they have, I think, 12 employees that get paid to actually do these kind of things on the committee.

MR. JONES: We are essentially out of time. I want to just follow up very quickly with two quick questions.

The first two -- to you, Carlos -- I want you to maybe put on your American chief defender hat and talk sort of broad picture nationwide.

In many places, Miami-Dade County, in New York
City where I'm from, the public defender offices on the front end is placed where most people who come through the criminal justice system get their representation. What, if any, role do public defender offices or the public defender community play across the country in the clemency process, the pardon process, the restoration of status process, generally? What role are public defender offices playing, if

1 any?

MR. MARTINEZ: I can tell you this, the role that we've played here and across the country depending on who does it, I have the benefit of being an elected official. I'm an elected official countywide, so that gives me a lot of independence. So I can go and advocate for these issues and I have at the legislative level. I've worked on legislation. I can lobby, so I can speak out on these issues. I can try to get, you know, communities to be able to come to the table and be able to try to change the laws.

So in terms of the process and of the national level, I think we also need to get -we need to get some national infancy or
adolescent protection act going in terms of
protecting juvenile records. I think the whole
idea that you have all these states having
different laws, it's atrocious. Because what
happens is a kid from Florida is at a
disadvantage where they're competing with any
kid from other states, particularly the ones
that have records that are not public. So I
think we need to have a national discussion

about it and we need to actually put some laws in place when it comes to the confidentiality of juvenile records.

In terms of other issues on misdemeanors, I would love to see a federal law that does not allow the use in immigration proceedings of any -- any -- conviction that was obtained without counsel whatsoever, just period, end of story.

The other thing that I would love to see, but this is more in Florida and I think it's in other states too, but in Florida, I mentioned the orders of no imprisonment. In Florida, what we should do is, okay, let's look at how they're being filed. In Dade County, 70 percent of the time they're being filed. So 70 percent of the people, okay. Why don't we turn those? If the prosecutor is telling the Court, "This person is not bad enough to receive a jail sentence," let's turn that automatically into a civil charge.

You don't need a prosecutor. So you can save your money on a prosecutor. You don't need a defense attorney. Okay. You don't even need a high paid judge. You can use a magistrate

that makes less money than a judge or you can even have a hearing officer. So there are ways that we can do these things and maul these things, so that we can reduce the impact of a lot of these low-level things, but we still have to get to the issue.

One of the things that I'm thankful for -and this is going to sound really strange -- I
am thankful for that we've gone through the
Great Recession. Here's why: There's less
money for cops doing the sweeps in the Black
communities and bringing people in for all these
drug charges and all this nonsense of loitering
and a bunch other things that really damaged
them, so I am thankful.

I hope at the federal level, when we cut the budget, that we cut that, because that's created major problems in our communities in terms of creating this permanent underclass that has a glass ceiling.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MR.}}$  JONES: This would be a good place to stop.

Thank you, gentleman, very much for your time and we appreciate it. It's been very enlightening. We're going to break and

reconvene in ten minutes for the next panel.

## PANEL IV

MR. JONES: I don't know how much you guys have seen over the course of the morning and the afternoon, but, (a), we're happy to have you here and appreciate the discussion that we're about to have; and (b), we're struggling with our sound system, so I'm going to ask you when you're speaking to hold the microphone and speak loudly. I guess I'm not doing that myself at this very moment.

The way that we operate is to give you-all five, ten minutes or so to give us the benefit of some opening remarks, after which we have lots of questions as you've seen that we're interested in discussing with you. One of us usually leads the discussion. For the purposes of this panel, that person will be Larry Goldman, who will lead the questioning. So without anymore from me, really, I think I should turn it over to you and I guess we'll start with you, Ms. King.

MS. KING: Thank you. My name is Nellie
King. I am the president of the Florida
Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, the

approximately 2,000 members statewide. We are a pretty large voluntary Bar Association and active in these issues, and also we're working more and more collaboratively with NACDL over the most recent years. I think this is a wonderful program and project. I'm happy to be a part of it.

I come from Palm Beach County. So some of my comments are based on my experience in Palm Beach County, which is, obviously, smaller than Miami Dade. And so you may find some differences between what I say and what Carlos told you earlier.

I was a public defender for the first five years of my career. I've been in private practice for ten years. I do seal expunge work pretty frequently, less restoration work just because it doesn't happen.

Florida is very reactionary. We have a part-time legislature -- you know, tough on crime, very easy to be shot down when good initiatives are brought to the attention of those people that matter.

I represent people with serious charges.

So I can speak to some of the questions that I heard before about sex offenders and their re-entry initiatives and the problems that I would call "banishment" in Florida has caused to sex offenders in this state, and, also, how that approach makes communities less safe from my opinion from a recidivism standpoint.

As far as the seal expunge process, I would also state that that is very subject to the political winds.

There was a time, when Governor Crist came into office, that one of the very first things that he did was take the opportunity to publically state that he thought some things needed to change as far as restoration of rights and seal expungement.

He had a large photo opportunity with a nurse, who was having problems with administrative licensure and that sort of thing -- a big hug to the nurse. We're going to try to get things back on track in Florida. And some of the process was made easier during that initial time period, although it didn't move the peg too much further or move the needle too much further.

Then with this recent governor that was swept into office, immediately the attorney general came in and said, We're going to stop this; a convicted felon shouldn't have rights.

That's just the way it is. Florida is a -- you know, we've got a lot of crime here. We're just going to shut it down.

Effectively, that's what we've seen. That shift is going to be hard to undo, even if new political winds change the direction of the thought process here.

So I would say, as far as just general recommendations, some public discourse on education needs to continue as far as what it means to have even a misdemeanor conviction on your record, what it means to have a felony conviction on your record.

Florida, as far as immigration policies, has implemented a very aggressive policy where ICE officials are in the jail, literally in the jail, the local sheriffs give them -- you know, they rent them space so to speak. They go cell to cell. And for any reason -- and it's really quite traumatic to the families, because I've represented people who said, "I don't know where

my brother is." And I said, "I'll bet I know where he is. He's on a plane." And they don't know. They get a phone call four weeks later when the person ends up in Mexico or somewhere else and says, This is what happened to me. Those issues are shocking.

One method of education would be to actually talk about how misdemeanor and felony convictions affect the immigration of Floridians and US citizens, in general, because it takes just the slightest crime of dishonesty or moral turpitude to subject you to deportation.

Another issue that was brought up earlier with the misdemeanor convictions and uncounseled convictions is that it sounds good, you know, in these mass pleas at first appearance and at arraignment. Oh, you'll just get a withhold and walk out the door. Nobody is explaining the long-term consequences to those people taking those pleas. It's just easy and then they get the court costs. They walk out the door. The County gets their money and the person gets to go home after a night in jail.

So I have been working with NACDL on this new report that they put out on three-minute

justice and hope that that could be a good starting point for further discussion on reforms and misdemeanor courts because it is a crisis in Florida.

Lastly, what I would say about employment issues is that with the interconnectivity of the Internet, just the arrest, you know, is enough to keep somebody from getting a job. It used to be back in the day that Florida wouldn't talk to Virginia because they just didn't have the interconnectivity or the access. Now, it is so easy just to run somebody's name.

The public doesn't know what the word

"nolle pros" means. That might be a good way to
educate the public, is just to explain to them
an arrest does not mean that anything was ever
proven or that they had a criminal disposition.

So, you know, the advent of technology has really hurt folks just trying to get apartments and getting jobs. Because in this economic climate, particularly with people scratching for jobs, just the hint whether it's accurate or not that somebody had a brush with law enforcement is enough to keep somebody from being employed. And so that's why I continue to do the seal

expunge work is because even one brush with law enforcement is enough to keep them out of consideration.

MR. JONES: Thank you.

MS. TREVISANI: Thank you very much and thank you very much for having me here and for convening this panel. It's a very important topic.

My name is Dante Trevisani. I'm an attorney with the Florida Justice Institute, which is a nonprofit civil rights law firm. We mostly concentrate on prisoners, civil rights litigation. I'm an Equal Justice Works fellow, which means I'm funded by an outside organization called Equal Justice Works to do a specific project on felon disenfranchisement, the restoration of civil rights and other legal barriers for re-entry.

I'm also on the advisory board of the Florida Rights Restoration Coalition, which is an organization dedicated to reform of felon disenfranchisement laws in Florida and it conducts community outreach in education. What I do mostly is assist and advise people in the process of getting their civil rights restored.

I help them gather documents. I help them get certified copies from their criminal cases. I help them prepare personal statements and get letters of support from employers and from family and friends and anyone else that can support their application. I also conduct community presentations on the restoration of civil rights. At these presentations, I'll frequently give brief advice to people who are there. I also conduct these presentations in county jails in Miami Dade County.

With my brief time today, I'd like to take a minute to address just a few things and the first is the community perception of the folks who are affected by the rules governing rights restoration. To sum up, there's not a lot of information out there and there is some mis-information. Not a lot of people are aware of the process. They're not familiar with the eligibility requirements. They're not familiar with the consequences of restoration of civil rights. A lot of folks think that it's the same as getting your record sealed or expunged, which in, Florida it's not. It simply restores your right to vote, serve on a jury, and hold public

office.

In addition to that, there is a large sense of frustration from the folks that I talk to at the community presentations that I do. And this stems from a couple of things. One is that the rules are very onerous. It's very difficult to get the restoration of your civil rights. There is a five- or seven-year waiting period that starts running at the end of your probation or when you come off of supervision, depending on the crime, if you want to go under conviction.

If you are eligible for the five-year waiting period, you have to be arrest-free in that time. So you cannot have any arrest or restart the five-year-waiting period, even if it doesn't result in conviction. Or if it results in a conviction for a misdemeanor, that would not have stripped your civil rights to begin with.

There is the requirement that you get certified copies of the charging instrument from your criminal case for every felony conviction that you'd like to restore your rights from and also certified copies of the judgment and sentence form, which this can be a problem even

for lawyers, which usually requires you to go to the clerk of the court where the conviction occurred. Most of the time, it can't be done over the phone. It just presents a very onerous requirement for a lot of folks.

Another source of frustration is the requirement that everyone fully pay their victim restitution for their case before they're even eligible to apply.

Of course, folks coming out of prison are presented with a lot of financial barriers and so this presents another financial barrier to entry and to restoring voting rights.

Another issue is the delay. Once you become eligible and file an application, there's a lengthy investigation by the Florida Parole Commission. Then they make a recommendation to the clemency board. Then, the clemency board decides if they would like to hold a hearing, which are held only four times per year.

In terms of the time, I've never seen this process take less than a year. In most cases, it takes several years. I know folks who have been waiting up to five years for the application to be processed. So the backlog of applications is a

1 serious problem.

The last source of frustration, I think, is the disinformation that's out there. A lot of folks think that the process is automatic, that they automatically get their civil rights restored when they come out of prison, which is not the case since March of 2011.

This belief in the automatic system stems from the fact that, for a brief period between April 2007 and March of 2011, there was a small category of people who were eligible for automatic civil rights restoration immediately when they came out of prison. So I think that belief has percolated through communities into something that people believe that every conviction can be restored automatically, which is not the case.

That sort of leads into the second thing I wanted to mention, which is just briefly the history of the rules.

Like I mentioned, since March of 2011, that the was recent change that instituted the five-or-seven-year waiting period. Between April of 2007 and March of 2011, there was that one sliver of category of people who were eligible for automatic restoration. It was a small category, but at least

it was there. Before that, the rules were in various iterations and versions that -- some had an automatic or a waiting period, some had an automatic section.

But there was always, as it is now, discretion by the clemency board. The clemency board, even after you apply, has complete discretion to grant or deny restoration of civil rights. It's not done by a statute. The rules of executive clemency are set by the clemency board, which is made up of the governor, the attorney general, the commissioner of agriculture, and the chief financial officer of the state. So those rules are promulgated right from the executive.

The last thing I want to address is employment licensing issues. Of course, employment is a big problem for people coming out of prison.

And the criminal convictions don't make it any easier to get employment licenses.

Now, the good news is that in Florida last year a bill was passed that prohibits State agencies from denying an employment license based solely on the applicant's lack of civil rights. So they can't have a blanket rule that says you can't have a license until you get your civil rights restored.

And this doesn't apply to law enforcement or

1 correctional agencies or to fire departments or any 2 position that a local government deems critical to security or public safety. The problem with that is 3 that it doesn't prevent the agency from simply 4 5 denying the license based on the underlying criminal 6 conviction. It doesn't prevent the agency from 7 taking the lack of civil rights into account when 8 those agencies have the discretion to grant or deny 9 an employment license. If you take all this into 10 account, oftentimes, it results in folks not being able to get their employment license even with this 11 12 law that was just passed. 13 And so, again, that's all I want to take of my brief time. Thank you very much for convening 14 this panel. 15 16 MR. JONES: Thank you. 17 Mr. Meade. 18 MR. MEADE: Good afternoon. My name is Desmond Meade. I'm president of the Florida 19 20 Rights Restoration Coalition. I'd like to first 21 thank you-all for inviting me. Trust me when I 22 tell you, you will not -- you will not -- know 23 how much I really appreciate being invited to 24 this panel. And you have to excuse me if I get 25 excited at times, because I live, eat, sleep,

drink this.

Just to give you a brief background so you can understand or have a better perspective of my position to date. Just a little over six years ago, not too far from this building, I stood in front of railroad tracks contemplating suicide. I was homeless, living on these streets right here, addicted to drugs and alcohol and recently released from prison.

I checked myself into a treatment facility.

After completing that, I enrolled at Miami Dade

College while living in a homeless shelter.

Today, I'm a second-year law student at

Florida International University and president

of the Florida Right Restoration Coalition. My

undergraduate degree is in public safety

management with a concentration in criminal

justice. So what I bring to any discussion is a

complete perspective from the inside out.

Not only did I go through Transition -- and you heard from some people from Transition -- but I also served on the Miami Dade Homeless Trust Board as well.

I currently co-own and manage Transition Housing for ex-offenders and recovering addicts.

I continuously speak on a consistent basis to at-risk youth and what I chose to call "returning citizens." And I do that because we know that the labeling of individuals increases the propensity of them acting out on that label as they tell us not to call our children "stupid" because they'll grow up feeling that way.

Florida State, they did a study that shows that when you label someone an "ex-offender," an "ex-con" that you increase the probability of them recidivating and committing a crime. So one thing that we've done in the Florida Right Restoration Coalition is we've tried to institute a new policy or you might say of referring to ex-offenders as "returning citizens" or "individuals who have repaid their debt to society."

One of the very unique things about Florida
Rights Restoration Coalition is that our
leadership is comprised of people who are
impacted, so we're guided by people who have
intimate knowledge. I was made aware that
you-all heard from Jessica Chiappone earlier
today. She's our vice-president.

Our treasurer is Dr. Roslyn Osgood, who is also formerly impacted. And she's a professor at Nova University.

When I took over as president a little over two years ago, the FRC at that time was basically focusing on educating the public about civil rights restoration and trying to influence the policy of the cabinet as well as influence the legislature to put an amendment on the ballot in order to change the Constitution and remove the lifetime ban.

However, since I became president, I've kind of shifted that a little bit for a couple of reasons. First of all, in the past, our organization was looked at as an organization that fought for the rights of convicts and that's not true. What we fight for is the rights of everyone, because we realize that the policies that impact people who are incarcerated also have impact on people who are not incarcerated, not only their families, but the general public at large. We've seen over the years how funds have been depleted from the civil courts to apply to the criminal courts, you know. So we know that there are impacts.

These new clemency changes that occurred last year, there were beliefs that it was politically motivated and that it didn't make any sense. Well, we have a slightly different take on it. It does make a lot of sense and we're not buying into totally that it's politically motivated. We have instead broadened our view at FRC to where we understand that there are much broader implications as far as with rights restoration than most people are addressing and also that rights restoration is interrelated to voter suppression due to justice reform and privatization of persons. And so that is the direction that we have been taking. We've been trying basically to connect the dots, not too many people really have been jumping on this bandwagon, but slowly, but surely it changes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We know that as far as with the -according to public opinion, there were recent
polls that were conducted by CNN that showed
that approximately 80 percent of Americans are
in favor of rights restoration. A recent
release study by a -- a research paper by a law
professor from the University of Pittsburg also

attest to 80 percent of Americans are in favor of rights restoration, you know. So we know we have some movement there. So we went beyond in the public safety argument. We started putting figures to rights restoration and we started to bust a few myths. One of the main ones were that we looked at the policy changes that were made in March. The first glaring thing that we seen was that it placed a nonviolent offense in a violence offense category, which was a voting infraction in Florida.

It's a third-degree felony if you vote without having your civil rights restored. Now, that's a non-violent offense but, however, it placed it in a seven-year-waiting period category.

After notice of that, I remember having a talk with the supervisor of elections for Hillsborough County. I was informed that Hillsborough County identified 13,000 individuals who were on their roster, who did not have their rights back. When you take into account that Florida has 67 counties and Hillsborough County has 13,000 -- it is nowhere near what Dade and Broward have -- but we knew

that it had serious implications because, if any of those individuals participated in a state, county or local or federal election, then they're liable to prosecution, because they signed an affidavit on the voter registration card that attested that they were able to vote. If that individual were to be prosecuted, then they would be placed, after completing their sentence, in that seven-year-waiting period.

Now, a discussion that bothers me that its not being had more often is that we often stop it at five- and seven-year waiting period, when in reality, after that five- and seven-year waiting period, there's an additional six-year application process. So individuals are not waiting five or seven years, but rather 11 to 13 years. So now you're looking at potentially 90,000 individuals who may have voted unsuspectedly that are now facing removal from the voting process for 13 years.

As Mr. Martinez and others have alluded to is that, even after the 13 years, you have a less than 11 percent chance of getting your rights restored. So we know that, with the political climate the way it is, that there are

strong political implications.

Now, I tell people all the time -- they look at me like I'm crazy -- I think that our governor was the greatest governor that Florida has had in the past couple of decades. I am really grateful for him, because he's managed to piss off enough people to actually stimulate enough civic participation, but he's done -- and I tell people this because of his actions and actions of our cabinet, they have actually allowed rights restoration to be the gateway to open up for people to see exactly what's wrong with our system and to understand that it's not exclusively about felonous franchisement.

Now, when they made the policy changes, they ordered their administrative body before a parole commission to conduct a study on the people who received their rights. Well, the study was released, I believe, in June or July of last year. And what the study revealed was that Florida had a 33.1 percent recidivism rate. Of the individuals in 2009 who received their rights back, the recidivism rate was reduced to 12.4 percent. The individuals in 2010 that received their rights back, the recidivism rate

was reduced to 5.4 percent. So that totally destroyed any argument whatsoever that it was a public safety issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On top of that, what I did was, based on those figures and the 2010 study by Florida Department of Corrections, I prepared what I term a "Republican argument." And, basically, what it's saying was that Florida allocates \$20,000 a year to incarcerate a person. While at the same time, they were only allocating --Governor Scott's budget last year called for 3,500 per student. So based on that \$20,000 figure and the amount of individuals that participated in that study, which amounted to 30,000. Out of those 30,000 individuals, 27,266 did not commit another offense. And so the argument that I prepared, basically, stated that based on the \$20,000 per inmate, that those 27,266 individuals represented over \$545 million that the State did not have to spend to incarcerate those individuals, partially due to the fact that they received their rights. addition to that, they represent enough bodies to fill to maximum capacity over 20 correctional institutions.

And so my question was that: If these individuals did not receive their rights and would have recidivated at the rate that Florida normally recidivates, where would Florida have gotten the money to pay to incarcerate and where would Florida have gotten the prisons to house them? And that explained to me why we're building prisons quicker than we're building schools.

Now, in addition to the financial aspect of it, the other part of the argument, basically, was stating that when you restore these people's rights, automatically you also will increase contribution to the tax base that would allow you to at least maintain the level of taxes for everyone else, or if you did have to raise it not as much as you would have. And in addition to that, it reduces crime.

So we made the proposition to the cabinet. I wrote the letter personally and I sent it to everyone and, basically, saying that if you institute this policy, you're going to be able to reallocate the use of dollars. Each year, the programs will need -- such as education, health care, public safety. You'd be able to

increase contribution to the tax base and you're going to be able to have a significant impact in reducing crime. It does not cost the State one penny to implement because you do not have to create another agency to administer this policy. They solemnly rejected. And I have the letters. They once, again, said it was a public safety issue.

What I learned in law school is that sometimes you have to learn what questions to ask. And before, I was asking: Why would they not accept this Republican argument? These are Republicans. Well, the proper question I should have asked was: Who stands to benefit from these policies not being implemented?

Earlier today, I heard, Well, what was the system that is adverse to this change? Well, then that's when I discovered the prison industrial complex system. As I further investigated, I found that this system played an instrumental role in campaign contributions to our cabinet members. And what I've seen and -- after investigating that, I've seen the policies that the public had so readily accepted, because they were cloaked in the "get tough on crime"

rhetoric or the "No Child Left Behind" or "Zero Tolerance" rhetoric that allows for law enforcement to interact with our kids at a early age. And once that interaction occurs, that kid is stigmatized and that increases the propensity or the probability of that juvenile eventually dropping out of school, which it increases the propensity of them committing delinquent acts.

But in addition to that, Florida over the summer passed a law that allowed sheriffs of each county to house juvenile offenders in adult facilities. So now, what I've seen was the further indoctrination of our kids into a system that at the same time was trying to be privatized.

We know that they tried to privatize prisons through our appropriations bill. The courts rejected that and just the other day, once, again, we were able to beat that back.

The system was designed to privatize these prisons and, therefore, the owners of these prisons, they were getting \$20,000 for it coming in. But because they were privatized, they were allowed to take these inmates and outsource them to businesses. So, therefore, if you own the

company that had 20 employees and you were paying them \$20 an hour, as a private prison owner, I could come to you and tell you, layoff 18 of them and I'll give you 18 inmates and you pay them \$2 a day. Well, the only problem they were facing after that was that, at some point or another, these individuals that was incarcerated would eventually have to be released because they would have repaid their debt to society. So they had to create an environment that would allow for the probability of these individuals going away and recidivating. We have to take it away.

And Governor Jeb Bush's task force identified the areas that we needed to address. And those were the same areas that were being attacked. We need to take away their ability to work. We need to take away their ability to get housing. We need to take away their ability to get an education. And once we do that, once we stop them from regaining their civil rights, then we increase the probability of their committing an offense and coming back to my system to where I would be able to continuously outsource. So we knew that this touched on a

1 lot of areas.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

I will end with this: We even went as far as even identifying that the same entities that were behind implementation of these policies that caused our kids to enter into this system and have the system become a vicious cycle were also the same entities that were behind the implementation of anti-immigration policies that you're seeing being implemented throughout the county. Because these same private prison companies are also busy building prisons to house immigrants. In that spectrum, they get paid 48,000 a year per person they detain. So we've seen it as not just purely a political ploy with these policy changes, but we've seen it as elected officials carrying out the marching orders of their major campaign contributors. We knew that, by implementing this policy, it made a lot of sense: Create this environment and that would cause taxpayers' dollars to line the pockets of private owners. Thank you.

23 | MR. JONES: Thank you.

MR. GOLDMAN: Thank you. Let me say I am fascinated by the kind of lobbying economic

analysis of this problem, which, I think not enough has been said. I don't believe the three of you were here at the beginning of the day when Mr. Jones introduced it. Let me just tell you our objectives, one of which we haven't so far concentrated on, and I want to at least initially do it. One of them is to educate The Bar. We all know, those of us who are lawyers and those of us who are professionals in this 10 field, that The Bar as a whole, the Criminal 11 Defense Bar, did for many years a woeful, woeful 12 job in advising clients of immigration problems. 13 There are probably thousands of people who 14 are overseas away from their families, who would 15 not have taken a plea, would -- if their lawyer 16 knew better -- have negotiated a separate plea, 17 which wouldn't require deportation and the like. A lot of steps have been done to ameliorate 19 that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

The law has changed in the like. One of our goals here is to educate The Bar. And I'm picking on -- not picking -- well, don't get too concerned, don't worry -- but some of you because you're a Bar leader. And by the way, that's a great organization. I was president of

the New York State Organization and I always used to say, Why can't we be like Florida? And I didn't mean only the sun.

I understand you've been the president of
the Bar Association, although you look too young
for that. You're supposed to be very old to be
the president. That's one exception.

Anyhow, let me ask you: What can we do initially? Lawyers, since it's three-minute justice, one, what can we do to educate The Bar so that lawyers are focusing, to the extent they have lawyers, these people, on I am pleading guilty to something?

Sure, you're going home today. You're not going to jail. Congratulations. The 12 hours or whatever you've spent in jail were horrendous. You're going home. But you now are going to have a problem with your job and the rest of your life.

What can we do with respect to saying to judges, Judge, before you take a plea, advise this person of what this might do. What can we do to educate lawyers? And this is not quite restoration of rights, but this is trying to solve it at the front end, so we don't get to

it, about the problems of the collateral effect of convictions. Let me ask you first.

Martinez was talking about earlier is that his office is engaged, it seems, from soup to nuts. He was talking about redemption workshops.

Mr. Martinez was talking about the way his office in this county works. Even though they are overburdened with cases, he seems to work from the front end to the back end of the case holding redemption workshops and those sorts of things. I would suggest though that he is the exception.

I know you're going to hear from Carey

Haughwout tomorrow and she's the public defender

in my circuit. She does similar work to

Mr. Martinez, I believe, in kind of the holistic

approach.

The problem, as Mr. Jones pointed out, the public defenders are the front line for most of these folks. I don't have the percentages. But at first appearance and sometimes all the way through, the public defenders, particularly given the current economic climate, they are The Bar that we're talking about.

So in some counties, the 19th Circuit, for example, they didn't feel it was necessary to staff first appearances with public defenders until we lobbied for the criminal law section of The Bar to get involved with that because of what was happening in those circuits.

So I think, unfortunately, we'd have to actually get down to educating the public defenders that are the front line defenders for these folks, which is fine, although, I think there are some counties that are resistant to that sort of thing, because their budgets don't accommodate or they just don't want to do it. Their folks are working around the clock with overburdened caseloads as it is. But that's really one focus.

What FACDL has tried to do is bridge the gap with the Public Defenders Association to say, What can we do as far as training and those sorts of things to explain this?

Jacksonville is an area where I was apprized of recently this mass plea. This three-minute justice really is in force there, where they use the videos and there are signs -- basically, your rights are up on placards in the

courtroom.

And, unfortunately, the Florida Supreme

Court -- I watched the oral argument in the case

of Eden Hill the other day where the Florida

Supreme Court was taking up some of these issues

of people just watching the video about their

rights and then raising their hands en masse and

saying, Yeah, I understand it even though I

speak Creole and there's no interpreter here.

I don't believe, after watching that oral argument, that we're going to get any judicial relief from these concerns about mass pleas.

If the issue is in the courtroom, the other way to get to the problem is to work with judges and some of the training that they do over the summer during the judicial conferences.

In our circuit, we do have judges that spend a lot of time on plea colloquies not just the written warnings, but actually spend a lot of time on the written colloquies. I have asked the public defender in our circuit on this issue of deportation, since they're the first people that come in contact with these folks, to have -- you know, Palm Beach politics often has to do with little cards. Cards that you stuff

in somebody's hand when they're at first appearance, let's say. You don't have to talk to an ICE official other than to just give him this information. Or you don't have to talk to the police when they come in to talk to you either. Or even come in and add something like, you know, You do have rights and that your immigration consequences can be affected by anything you say and do in this building and after you walk out of it.

I don't think we got too far with that, but that's the only suggestion that I could make is just getting to people quickly before they walk out of the jail after taking the plea.

MR. GOLDMAN: Mr. Trevisani.

MS. TREVISANI: One thing I would suggest is something that several groups are currently doing and has been done in Florida, which is to catalog all the collateral consequences of conviction. This is -- in Florida, at least, this would result in a very long document, which would be too onerous to present to people, I think, at the public defender's stage, but it could be condensed into maybe a one-page form that it could educate people on what exactly

sort of employment consequences that they would be facing. This getting together on all of these collateral consequences is often seen as just writing reports and not necessarily doing anything about the underlying problem. But I think it does have some impact.

And in Florida, at least, you know, this sort of thing started back in 2007 with Governor Bush's Ex-Offender Task Force. And part of it was cataloging all the employment consequences of a criminal conviction. And then several reports were written and followed up with Florida Congressional analysis. Eventually, this culminated in some, you know, statutory relief, which was the bill that we called the Decoupling Bill. It made sense that State agencies can't deny a license solely because of the lack of civil rights restoration.

I think the first thing is to get all of those consequences into one place, to have folks realize that there are reams and reams of consequences to a criminal conviction.

MR. GOLDMAN: Let me ask you both -- and I'm going to ask you in a second, Mr. Meade -- if you think about this, I want you to tell me

all the things that lawyers should have done that you know of. We're not going to be here that long. What about when this thing fell from the judiciary, what about saying that judges should essentially advise people, judges should be aware of things? Is the Florida judiciary moderately ignorant of the rights that are lost or the judges not as -- New York judges -- just it doesn't come into their minds usually.

MS. KING: I think some of them are quite aware, because they either came from the defense practice -- although that would be a small percentage of them -- or they're just in tune to those issues. But I think they're dealing with mass dockets, too. Their goal is just to get through the day so that the next set of cattle can be brought through. So that -- you know, it's systemic in some regard.

Now, like I mentioned, the judicial relief doesn't seem to be coming our way. I think the suggestion of cataloging some of the long-term effects could be good if we could get into training some of the judges, even if it's just informally.

I had a client who was in her forties

trying to adopt a child from Asia. She had stolen a bra when she was 18 and Homeland Security wouldn't allow her to adopt a child some -- however-many years later. So, you know, it's hard to probably catalog everything that can happen. But that sort of just vignette-type education with a judge might be good.

As far as lawyers, I think we get it. I've had to file post-conviction motions against who is now our chief judge. Because he was once a defense lawyer, because back in the day, you didn't have to advise somebody of the immigration consequences.

So you know, Padia is great. However, it doesn't stretch until we get this ultimate decision far enough back to say that that can be rectified for a client of mine who's now facing deportation. But I think the judges in Florida, for the most part, would want to know these sorts of things. They just don't know it.

MR. JONES: Larry, before you move on,
Ms. Chiappone wanted to respond to one of your
questions very quickly.

MS. CHIAPPONE: I just wanted to say I was a law student liaison for the Juvenile Justice

Committee for the American Bar Association and we worked on a multi-year project where we did log all the collateral consequences of juvenile adjudications nationally. What we did is we put it into a website. So you can ask for a statute per whatever jurisdiction you're in and it would list all the collateral consequences of that offense.

If we put together some kind of statewide voluntary program or something that we could do similar to that, which I'm sure the American Bar Association is now doing after Padia, they might already have started something like this. And if we just maybe work in conjunction with them having some — instead of like wikipedia. So anybody at any time could send an e-mail, oh, you can add this. And, you know, it's based on all of our efforts so it's easy to look at and, again, I think addressing and having not only the judges, but the prosecutors being more aware of the collateral consequences.

So while it shouldn't be the criminal defense attorney's job to maybe print this out or make them aware, if we had something set up like that, it would make it that much easier.

1 You can go and type in the statute, print it out 2 and be like, You do understand that if we plead 3 to this, these pages of consequences are likely 4 to occur? You know, it's just ... 5 MR. GOLDMAN: Thank you. 6 Let me ask, Mr. Meade, what would you 7 tell -- here's your chance, you know, 8 theoretically, we could publicize it. What 9 should defense lawyers know about the collateral 10 consequences of a conviction that they don't 11 know or don't think about? 12 MR. MEADE: That's a tough question for me 13 to answer. 14 MR. GOLDMAN: That's why I gave you some 15 time. 16 MR. MEADE: The reason why is because it's 17 very hard for the people here, for the defense 18 attorneys, to truly understand what's going on 19 in the hands of a defendant. Personally -- and 20 I'm hoping that you're really asking me to be as 21 honest as possible -- there's not too much that 22 a defense lawyer can do in this position, 23 particularly as a public defender. 24 The focus needs to be placed prior to the 25 individual coming to the public defender or

after that person has utilized a public defender service. And the reason why I say that is because as an inmate, we don't care about the collateral consequences.

When you look at the makeup of a prison population, over 90 percent of the population was under the influence of drugs or alcohol at the time they committed their offense. There's a great deal of that population that, if you're like me, was an addict. The only thing I cared about was getting back on the street to get that drug. I don't care about rights. I don't care about that. I don't care about voting. I don't care about serving on a jury. I don't care about employment. I'm a hustler. I'm on the streets. It matters nothing to me.

So you can educate a public defender to make people aware of the collateral consequences all you want, but it means nothing to a homeless person. It means nothing to an addict. It means nothing to a person that's a hustler on the street or whatever. Now, it might mean something to, say, a middle-class individual, who might have a good job paying \$75,000 a year or higher, but those are not the people that are

in our prisons.

The people that are in our prisons, the majority are there because they're there for quality of life crimes, crimes that they committed based on their economic status. And so these collateral consequences are of no consequence to them. They want to get out of those dreary, dirty broken down state jails, you know.

Even when you poll the individuals who have completed their sentence, the majority of the people who have completed their sentence are not trying to get their rights back to vote, you know. A lot of them are not.

Florida has over a million people who are disfranchised, but the backlog is maybe only around like -- anywhere between 2- to 300,000.

If we have over a million, shouldn't the backlog at least be seven, 800,000? So it's not of great importance. People are not putting a lot of stock on the loss of civil rights. The only thing they're putting stock on is a perfect example, me.

In 2000, I was sentenced to 15 years. My release date was actually 2013, which so happens

now, I'm supposed to be walking the fields, grazing like a blue cow. But the day after I was sentenced, the prosecutor told the other defendants in the box, Don't be like Desmond. He took it to trial and got 15 years. So the only thing they're concerned about is, I don't want to do that time.

Now, if anything, what needs to be changed is that I remember my judge and that's the reason why I took it to trial, Judge Manny Crespo. And he told me, he said, "Desmond, if you're not guilty of this crime, then you have a right to have your day in court."

And I took him upon his word.

What happens is, when you elect to have a trial, the judges typically will max you out, especially if you don't have a high-paid attorney. The judge will give you the maximum amount of time. No one wants to take that risk. I was innocent of my crime that I was convicted of and sentenced for 15 years, but I took it. How many other people are there that did not do the crime, but don't want to take the chance to roll the dice because a jury might find that,

1 Hey, maybe he's too intelligent to be in there 2 so he must have been guilty. That's the case in 3 my case. And they didn't pay attention to the 4 evidence. 5 MR. GOLDMAN: That's probably -- and we 6 could go on for hours on that, too. 7 MR. MEADE: These people that you're 8 talking about, you can train a public defender to tell their client as much as you want, and 10 they'll tell them until they're blue in the 11 face, You're going to lose this, this, this. 12 You can tell them everything. But at the end of 13 the day, they want to get free. They want to 14 get to their drugs. They want to get back to 15 their families and whatever and they're going to 16 take those pleas. 17 MR. GOLDMAN: Let me just go for one final 18 topic, which I heard and it sort of surprised me 19 because I never thought of it. 20 You both -- you, Mr. Trevisani, also hit on 21 it or close to it. When a prisoner -- when a 22 defendant is fined -- and I'm told in Florida 23 that there's no discretion, the judge cannot 24 suspend the fine; is that true?

MS. KING: It depends on the offense.

1 MR. GOLDMAN: The seriousness of the offense and restitution, that restitution and/or 2 the fine and the court costs live with the 3 4 person. So when he or she is about to leave 5 prison after X years, given the \$50, also given 6 a bill for X-thousand dollars? 7 MS. TREVISANI: Yes. In many cases, that's 8 how it works. There is a statute that requires the clerk of the court to set up a payment plan. 10 It's not always followed. 11 There's also another statute that requires 12 when somebody is so many months behind on 13 payments that it be referred to a collection 14 agency. So there's not much that can be done 15 after the fact, but, yes, some fines are 16 discretionary; but some fines are mandatory, 17 too. 18 MR. GOLDMAN: Let me ask y'all this, do you

MR. GOLDMAN: Let me ask y'all this, do you think it would make a difference in the outlook of someone leaving prison has if he or she knows that he doesn't start off thousands of dollars plus everything else behind the eight ball?

MS. TREVISANI: Absolutely. The folks that I've talked to and come in contact with, it's a

huge issue for them. The legal financial

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 obligations of the legal debt can be astounding. 2 The Brennan Center has issued a report on 3 the state of legal financial obligations in 4 Florida. I don't remember the average numbers 5 offhand. But the average person coming out of the criminal justice system has thousands and 6 thousands of dollars in debt. 7 8 MR. GOLDMAN: It's slightly better than a 9 college loan. 10 MS. TREVISANI: It's slightly better with 11 less stigma. 12 MR. GOLDMAN: Thank you. MR. JONES: Margaret. 13 14 MS. LOVE: Okay. I was going to ask 15 Mr. Trevisani and Mr. Meade to comment on 16 something that Carlos Martinez said about the 17 usefulness of the restoration of rights 18 procedure in terms of your own, obvious, sincere 19 commitment terms of devoting yourself to try to 20 make the system work. 21 Then, Mr. Trevisani, actually -- I think it 22 was he who, actually, fessed up that less than 23 1 percent actually get their rights restored. 24 So that kind of answered my question. So I 25 wasn't about to say that you were either

courageous or deluded or demented or something,
because I, actually, have to confess that I do
this kind of work myself on presidential
pardons, and that's even less than 1 percent so.

Let me ask you both: If that system is not
working now, what would you do to construct an
ideal system where somebody coming out of

ideal system where somebody coming out of prison, like yourself, maybe you didn't care going in, but coming out you did, what would you do? What kind of system would you construct to help people get over the collateral consequences, the stigma, the social attitudes that keep people in this internal exile situation?

MS. TREVISANI: Just to respond to the first part on the difficulty, you're absolutely right. It's extremely difficult and at times, it seems futile. A lot of my work is convincing people that it's not.

The second thing is that -- and going more back to the first thing, it's not futile in a global sense, in the sense that we're trying to build the movement and we're trying to -- like, the numbers just keep increasing and keep increasing and it gets more futile for our

arguments that there needs to be a legislative change or a constitutional change. And I don't think it's always been less than 1 percent.

That might have been since the new governor has come in.

So then, back when Governor Crist was governor, it was probably slightly higher, but not that much.

To actually answer your question, that would be difficult. I think Desmond is in a better position. The first two, like the vast majority of the states to immediately restore voting rights -- and like Desmond mentioned, voting rights are often the furthest things from people's mind when they're taking a plea.

Part of my job though, on the other side, is to convince people that it does matter and that they do -- if they're the people that are most affected from the laws in our country, they need to be the people who are voting to change them and that's civic participation. That, I think, contributes to a lower recidivism rate, although that's sort of a lesser goal. So other than that, I think Desmond would be a better person to answer that.

MR. MEADE: On the first part of the question -- and I'm hoping I'm understanding it right -- if the Florida Rights Restoration were to make some kind of compromise or whatever, we think an ideal system would be that the only individuals that would probably lose their rights are individuals who are convicted of capitol offenses and then have them go through the process.

But everything other than capital offenses and sex crimes would be totally automatic once they -- and we have states that even allow people to vote while they're in prison. So we think that that would probably be an ideal system. But to best implement something like that, I think what it does is it will free up agencies that you've heard from, I guess, today and maybe tomorrow, that it would allow them to help an individual reintegrate back into their community as quickly as possible.

We know that that three-to-nine-month window is probably the most critical time that a person who has recently been released from prison has to reintegrate back into society.

I want to point out an aspect of losing

your civil rights that not too many people talk about all the time is that it has an adverse impact on the ability to find safe and affordable housing. Not only are you restricted from government housing, but there are numerous homeowners' associations that include that provision in their bylaws. And that, basically, it would prevent you from renting or even owning a house if you have not had your civil rights restored.

So by removing that, you would be able to help an individual -- you know, these agencies will be able to provide a more comprehensive program or even a more holistic approach to re-entry and assist these individuals in re-acclimating back into their communities.

MS. LOVE: Let me just follow that one more step. What do you think would be the best agency or institution to grant the kind of relief that would enable you not simply to vote -- because that, in Florida, is unusual that you don't get your voting rights back immediately. Not just a vote, but to overcome all of those collateral consequences that affect jobs and employment and housing and all kinds of

1 things, what would you say would be the best 2 place to go, the most reliable place to go to 3 get your rights back? MR. MEADE: Are you asking me that from an 4 5 advocacy perspective or from an impacted 6 individual? 7 MS. LOVE: No, no, no. If you had to 8 construct a system so that lots of people could earn their way back. 10 MR. MEADE: Well, then I think we have an 11 issue between the 10th and the 14th Amendment, 12 because I think really it should be federal. 13 think we should go to a federal -- because 14 here's the thing is that, interestingly, there 15 was a comment maybe about two weeks ago to a 16 Huffington Post piece that I wrote to where the 17 gentleman said he lives outside of the country 18 and he's not a resident of any state per se. 19 However, he's still allowed to participate in 20 federal elections, because he is a US citizen. 21 So I think that anything that deals with 22 voting and rights restoration should be dealt 23 with on a federal level as opposed to a state 24 level. I kind of, like, relate it to slavery,

because the other day I flew into South

Carolina. And in South Carolina, I would be able to practice law.

The other day, Jessica had to fly to

New York to take The Bar because Florida won't

allow her to.

Back in the slavery days, all the slave had to do was cross that line and he went from being a slave to a free man. So rather than having just four states implement these policies that create that type of environment to where an individual would have to cross the border in order to really experience the freedoms that we have been naturally endowed to experience, then it should be administered federally to prevent these four states from doing it. Did that answer your question?

MS. LOVE: Part of it, yes. Let me ask Ms. King if she has a response to that.

MS. KING: Back to the earlier question about what I would change, I would change from the cabinet making these simple rule changes because that is just way too easy for the next administration to come in and take it back another notch. So I would say some sort of legislative reform where it took actually the

```
1
    people voting for what standards because it
    doesn't matter now. We're talking about
2
    educating the public. If the rules are in the
3
4
    hands of a few, Pam Bondi, the commissioner, and
5
    the governor, it's just not going to happen.
    it does seem futile.
6
7
         MS. LOVE: But how about the actual
8
    decision-making authority; would you leave it in
9
    that order?
10
         MS. KING: No. I think, if it was an
11
    automatic thing, you know, how prisons do exit
12
    interviews? That ought to be the time when
13
    they're telling the guy to go register at the
14
    sheriff's office and here's your bus ticket.
15
    Here's a palm card that tells you you can get
16
    your rights back, just go to the local
17
    supervisor of elections office or whatever.
18
         MS. LOVE: But I'm not talking about voting
19
    rights now. I know that's what --
20
         MS. KING: Oh, who would administer it if
21
    was --
22
         MS. LOVE: Well, I mean, if it was a larger
23
    thing, dealing with all of the collateral
24
    consequences.
25
         MS. KING: Well, the only office would be
```

1 the clemency office and it's just a dead office. 2 So you'd have to fund it and get it back off the 3 ground and you'd, hopefully, have people 4 involved in that process that weren't -- you 5 know, towing that one line, which is: 6 not going to get your rights back. 7 I think it's the rule making. 8 MS. LOVE: How about the courts? 9 MS. KING: Well, that would be great. 10 mean leaving it within the discretion of the 11 courts could be an option. 12 MS. LOVE: Well, I mean, that's sort of the 13 answer I frankly was trying to see whether you 14 guys had. Because when I asked Carlos that same 15 question, he immediately said that the court 16 should do it. 17 MS. KING: Well, he's also in a circuit 18 though where that would happen. It will lead to 19 the situation that he suggests though where one 20 county -- and you get into The Panhandle and 21 north of I-4, none of that is coming back. 22 is very conservative. They don't believe in 23 re-entry and those sorts of things, so that's 24 nice. But for South Florida, I think for me, 25 that will work. For Pensacola and those areas,

1 I don't think it would work. 2 MR. JONES: Jenny. 3 MS. ROBERTS: Well, this sort of relates to 4 a lot of the questions that Margaret has been 5 asking, so let me start with Mr. Trevasani on the issue of who does the restoration work. Do 6 7 you have a long waiting list? And have you 8 found that the public defenders are able or set up to do this in any way or is private counsel 10 doing it? 11 I guess my bigger question to everyone is 12 this -- and this goes to Larry's questions: Is 13 it the role of defense counsel to work on 14 restoration of rights issues for their client or 15 does their job end with the criminal case? 16 MS. TREVISANI: You're asking me if I have 17 a long waiting list of clients? 18 THE COURT: For people who want to apply or 19 have issues that you can't serve them all. 20 MS. TREVISANI: The answer to that is: 21 used to before the rules changed implementing 22 this five- to seven-year waiting period. 23 biggest part of my job now is really just 24 education. To get people in that situation is 25 difficult. Most of the people I tell that

there's a waiting period will say, all right, I'll be back in five years. And then so that leads into my next answer which is why it's very difficult now, at least for the public defenders, to advise their clients in any way or help them with the process, because the five to seven years starts running when probation ends. So, you know, five-year prison sentence, five years of probation, we're talking ten more years down the road, plus the waiting period, plus paying restitution, then looking at less than 1 percent. It's almost a dead end.

THE COURT: Just the bigger question of should it be the role of the lawyer -- I mean, assuming some of these obstacles were relieved, should it be criminal lawyers' role to work on restoration of rights, expungements, sealing issues with clients? Assume they were immediately available.

it's very difficult. And I think there was one

other issue that you asked me about.

MS. TREVISANI: I think, yes. I think that's the place it needs to start, because that's where the person is coming in contact with the system. And that's where the lawyers

are in the best place to advise the client in terms of how to go and navigate that system post-conviction or post-plea.

Like it has been mentioned, the PD's office in Miami has been committed to that, as I understand it, and they do hold periodic workshops for sealing and expunging. And then, restoration of rights has been incorporated into that recently.

MR. MEADE: There is at least one state that allows for the courts to play a role in the restoration of rights to where it's actually either the governor or the courts can restore an individual's rights. So on that note, yes, there is a role that a defense lawyer can play in helping that individual regain their rights.

However, I think that the other aspect of it is that we have to understand that civil rights restoration, the loss of civil rights, is, basically, a civil sanction in the criminal setting, you know. So there might be some kind of movement to say, Well, if it's a civil sanction, then criminal defense lawyers would not be funded to defend a person. Because we know in civil courts we're not -- the

1 Constitution is not going to afford us 2 representation. So that might be a little 3 tricky there as well. MS. LOVE: There are those of us who don't 4 5 think it should be called a civil sanction, because it's not a civil sanction. It is part 6 7 of the punishment. 8 MR. JONES: We are almost out of time. Ι 9 have just a couple of questions for you folks. 10 Is there some value in this disenfranchisement? Is there some value in 11 12 having someone who has been convicted of a crime 13 having to go through this process of restoring 14 their civil rights? Is there any value in any 15 of this, anybody? 16 MR. MEADE: There's a lot of value in it. 17 In anything in life, you know, whether it's a 18 piece of trash on the ground, it's going to be 19 of value to someone. The key question is: Who 20 gets to take benefit of its value? 21 Our contention is that the only value in 22 the disfranchisement of individuals is towards 23 private industries that would profit from these 24 individuals being disenfranchised, but it does 25 not value the public one bit.

1 MR. JONES: So there's no value in saying 2 to someone, "You took for granted your 3 citizenship rights the first go round and so now 4 we're going to make you earn them back so that 5 you have a greater appreciation of them once you 6 ultimately five, ten, 15, 20 years down the 7 road." 8 There's no -- no one would agree, no one 9 would suggest there is value in the road back; 10 am I right about that? 11 MR. MEADE: Deep down inside, yes. 12 MR. JONES: Okay. MR. MEADE: At the end of the day, once a 13 14 child of the family might commit a 15 transgression, they don't no longer become a 16 part of that family. Oh, you're not a Jones 17 anymore because you skipped school. MR. JONES: Well, I don't know about that. 18 19 MR. MEADE: I'm going to punish you, but 20 you're still going to remain a part of that 21 family. At the end of the day, you're still 22 part of the family. Disfranchisement says that 23 you're not part of the family anymore. 24 MR. JONES: Well, if that's the case --25 Go ahead, Mr. Trevisani.

MS. TREVISANI: I'm not going to say that it never could, that that kind of punishment forcing to someone to earn back those sorts of rights never could be useful. But in this situation, I don't think it is. It doesn't help public safety. It doesn't force people to have a greater appreciation. The way that it's playing out in Florida, it just makes people more frustrated and less engaged with their society.

MS. KING: Well, that's particularly true, especially, if we don't tell them you're going to lose it to begin with, you know. If they don't understand that, then how is it rehabilitative if it's never fully explained? And that's the fear, I think, in the courts that they say, And by the way, if I take the five extra minutes it's going to explain to you how it's going affect your life, they wouldn't get what they want, which is the next round of defendants in the courtroom.

MR. JONES: If that's the case and we're going to abolish the disenfranchisement and this whole notion of having to restore your rights, why would we not do it across the board? Why

1 would we apply it to capitol cases, but not to 2 any others? 3 MR. MEADE: The simple answer to that is 4 that it's a compromise. It's just a compromise 5 that we know. I mean, as far as getting our 6 position is that everyone has a right to have 7 their civil rights restored. But we know that, 8 in this day and age where there's so much emotions involved with particularly even in 10 crimes against children or certain sexual 11 offenses, we know that that would never fly in 12 the public's face and we know that, when you're 13 talking about someone who might have had a 14 family member that was brutally murdered, some 15 things the public just can't forgive. 16 MR. JONES: So it's a compromise position? 17 MR. MEADE: It is, basically, a compromise 18 position, just like the courts have allowed the compromise and allowed for sanctions against 19 20 sexual predators for a lifetime. 21 MR. JONES: In Florida, particularly when 22 we talk about civil rights restoration, what's 23 the package of rights that we're talking about,

MR. MEADE: The right to vote, the right to

Mr. Trevasani or Mr. Meade?

24

25

1 serve on a jury, the right to find safe and 2 affordable housing, and the right to employment. 3 MS. KING: Right to bear arms. 4 MR. MEADE: Well, that's separate. 5 MS. KING: I don't think so. 6 MR. MEADE: That's separate. That's 7 separate. When you're dealing with civil rights 8 restoration, it's to vote, to serve on a jury, 9 run for office, safe and affordable housing, and 10 employment. 11 As far as with the decoupling bill, that's 12 a whole different story there. That's a whole 13 different story. That was never anything that 14 really barred it. It was just a perception. 15 The bill that was passed cleared up the 16 perception that civil rights restoration was a 17 requirement to apply for licenses. 18 MR. JONES: Then the last question that I have is just when you were talking about this 19 20 notion and talking about collateral consequences 21 and the fact that it -- you know, if you advise 22 folks on the front end and you talk to them in 23 advance of their taking a plea or them going to 24 trial or doing whatever mechanism happens,

whatever happens to resolve a matter, a criminal

case, you said that most people aren't thinking about anything other than that time they're going to have to be in jail. They're not thinking about this other stuff. And I wonder if that would be the case if they actually were advised on the front end, if they actually had the ability to know. I know that, in our practice, we actually do talk to folks about collateral consequences in my office and in New York.

Many times, we find that folks are often more concerned about the fact that their families may be kicked out of their homes because of this conviction or that they may lose their children because of this conviction or that they may be deported because of this conviction. So I'm just wondering whether or not if we actually did, in a sort of comprehensive meaningful way, advise folks on the front end about what they were giving up, what the road back was going to be, what the collateral consequences were going to be, whether or not their thought process would expand beyond just it's going to be rough doing that time or whether or not they're thinking

```
1
    about some bigger broader pictures. I don't
2
    know if you --
3
         MR. MEADE: Well, those consequences that
 4
    you just mentioned were tangible consequences
5
    and so -- you know, it's hard to really equate
6
    "my family is going to get kicked out of the
7
    house" to "I'm not going to be able to vote."
                                                   I
8
    can grasp that real quick. Also, you have to
9
    understand, too, that I don't know about other
10
    states. I know that a great majority of the
11
    individuals that are incarcerated do not even
12
    have a high school diploma. They really don't.
13
    So they're not understanding about not being
14
    able to do certain things.
15
         Now, when you start talking about something
16
    up close and personal that they can wrap their
17
    mind around, then, yes, it would have an impact.
18
    But to serve on a jury, to live in community
19
    housing, they're really not --
20
         MR. JONES: That's disconnected.
21
         MR. MEADE: Yeah.
22
         MR. JONES: We'll have to end it there,
23
    unfortunately. Thank you guys very much for
24
    this discussion. We appreciate it.
25
                      PANEL V
```

MR. JONES: Let's get started. Deacon

Farias has to leave us and so we want to give

him ample opportunity to give us the benefit of

his thoughts.

Welcome to both of you. We're pleased to have you here. We are interested in having a discussion with you. And I understand that Deacon Farias has to leave in 30 minutes. So we're going to change up a little bit in the way that we operate. We're going to give each of you 10 minutes or so to give us the benefit of your thoughts, your opening remarks; after which, we have lots of comments for both of you.

What I think we're going to do, because we want to get you out of here on time, is to start with you and let you give us the benefit of your opening comments and then we're going to direct some specific questions to you. The way that we work is one of us leads the questioning. For this particular panel, that will be Elissa Heinrichs, who will be responsible for the majority of the questioning.

So not to forget about you at all,

Mr. Bell, but just because we're operating under
time constraints, we're going to have Deacon

1 Farias speak first, asking him questions and 2 then make sure we get him out the door on time 3 and then we'll come back to you. 4 The other thing I would say is that we're 5 laboring under some sound issues, some audio problems. So make sure when you speak that you 6 7 speak in a good voice and that you hold the mic 8 close to you so that we can make sure that you get heard on the audio tape. 10 Having said all of that, I'm going to turn 11 the floor over to you, Deacon. 12 DEACON FARIAS: I know the microphone 13 because I had every Tuesday and Friday a regular 14 problem. It's okay. Let me tell you something, 15 I feel guilty right now in front of many 16 lawyers. 17 MR. JONES: You shouldn't. 18 DEACON FARIAS: We need to see this. It's 19 a wonderful opportunity to speak about the 20 criminal charges and especially the re-entry 21 process. 22 Let me tell you something about my 23 experience. I am involved in a Christian 24 ministry for 14 years right now. I am a

director of the Downtown ministry called

1 Archdiocese of Miami for seven years. This 2 ministry I am serving, I tried to provide 3 Catholic services in three counties: Miami 4 Dade, Broward and Monroe. 5 We have 34 facilities between state prison, 6 federal prison, county jails, juvenile detention 7 centers, and immigration detention centers. 8 It's too much. We have in three counties around 45 people in prison, in jail, or in detention 10 facilities. 11 I don't know what happened, but really it's 12 a serious situation I think for all of the 13 United States. Our country has a problem. 14 remind a judge seven years -- ten years ago, I 15 reminded a judge in the criminal court moving 16 his finger in the air saying to the lawyer and 17 prosecutor, the public defender, and the 18 prosecutor that say this, "We have a crack in 19 the system" -- "crack in the system." And I say 20 today -- 10 years today, I say, "The system is 21 broken." The criminal justice system is broken, 22 because we had 2.4 million people in prison in 23 the United States. 24 We have 5 million people under probation

time and we had 90,000 juveniles that

1 pass-through the juvenile system -- 90,000 2 around the United States. That's too much. 3 What happened? I don't know. Really, I don't 4 know. 5 I have four parts to that question because 6 as a deacon and ordained minister and in my mind 7 I had a pastor in mind, Pastor Delhard 8 (phonetic). Something happened in our country, 9 something happened in our society and we need to 10 do something serious. 11 The four questions for you lawyers is to 12 ask yourself, What is going on? Why it's going 13 on? What ought to be going on? And how might 14 we respond? 15 Because it's not only money, my dear 16 lawyers, because I listen to the complaints of 17 the families: "We try to look for a lawyer and they look for money." And they spend all their 18 19 resources to try to free their loved ones that 20 is in prison. 21 I receive every day many call-ins from 22 families of ex-offenders. I say, "I'm sorry. I 23 can't help you, because the resources are full. 24 It's complete." 25 If I give you an address, if I give you a

problem, they're going to say they don't have the space. They don't have money. This is a problem in Miami, okay.

They suffer so much. The ex-offender, they suffer so much to find a job and housing. They have problems.

This is the problem with the probation officer, they don't have money to pay the fee. And for not paying the fee, they return to the prison. It's a problem in the system. It's a problem, because we forgot that we are human beings.

We forgot as a human being, our human condition. During my 12 years -- 14 years visiting prison, I learned something about our human condition, that we are weak, that we are limited and we are vulnerable. Everybody makes mistakes every day as a human being. Nobody is God.

We need to realize that we need to revise our criminal justice system and to reform, to try to do something that I'm going to say quickly in their response, because maybe we can find a solution in this way.

If we have people that stands for the

1 people, we need to find a place to do something 2 for them right now, for these people because 3 it's dangerous. But if we find people that they 4 are no violence, we need to make it -- we need 5 to give like a penalty, okay. No imprisonment. 6 This is the way they are thinking, because we 7 need to think. 8 We need to reflect. This is the time to 9 reflect. You invite many people here to 10 reflect. We come in here to reflect and we need 11 to do those things. I hope that we need to work 12 in the national, state and county levels -- all 13 the judges, all the lawyers, with social 14 workers, with law enforcement, educators. We 15 need to do something together, coming together 16 with local organizations, city organizations, 17 religious organizations, we need to come 18 together, to talk, to listen, like you. 19 I am thankful to be here. I don't know how 20 you find me, but I am grateful to you. 21 MR. JONES: We found you. 22 DEACON FARIAS: I think that we need to do 23 something for the ex-offenders because they are 24 human beings. They're human beings. They need

the chance to restore their life. I was

participating in the work office in Rome with Catholic chaplains around the world with our Pope, Benedict XVI, and this Congress.

I have three points. We tried to advocate in the work no more death penalty. The other advocate is no more life sentences, because people need a chance, a second opportunity, a second opportunity.

The problem is that the prison system, the criminal justice system is not working. It's not working because everything I think we know you're here, I think, yes, is the prison complex, industrial complex. But I say the criminal justice system is complex. Everything is money. And say you don't have money, I'm sorry. You're going to receive a good sentence. If you have money with a good lawyer, you're going to maybe be free. This is the way I see for many years. The justice is not justice right now. I don't know if you have questions.

MR. JONES: Thank you. We do.

MS. HEINRICHS: We do have questions.

Thank you for that. I would like to talk to you about the detention ministry and, I guess, specifically, if you could -- you mentioned, as

far -- well, as far as the detention ministry, the first question would be, at what stage are you getting involved with, I guess, your clients? Are you visiting them in prison when they're still inmates or are you getting involved once they are released?

DEACON FARIAS: Florida, I got involved

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEACON FARIAS: Florida, I got involved weekly, daily. Because as in my position, I visit them daily in prison, federal prison, state prison, whenever they call me for service or for advice of the -- I speak to advise here in Miami, in Broward or in Monroe. This is my (inaudible portion) with 250 volunteers, okay, Catholic volunteers, lay ministers, with priests, bishops, deacons and religious. is the way we serving in the area, the religious area. But right now for many years, I realize that we need to work in the social area and we try to find that we had a group of teachers to try to go to prison to teach second languages, English or Spanish, or whatever they need. the other thing that is impossible to find right now is the legal support, a lawyer that helps us to understand the system because they're busy.

All the lawyers, they are busy.

You know Carlos Martinez was here. I know him very well and he's overwhelmed as a lawyer. He's overwhelmed. Too many cases they had. How they resolve? How they had opportunity to investigate every crime? Impossible. We don't have justice. The only (inaudible portion) from my position is Miami Dade, Monroe and Broward County.

MS. HEINRICHS: In addition to the spiritual guidance that you provide, do you and perhaps the volunteers provide any sort of specific re-entry services?

DEACON FARIAS: No. We don't have right now because we don't have the resources in the parishes, in the churches. Between the Archdiocese of Miami, we have 110 parishes.

The people is not prepared to receive the ex-offenders. It's very difficult. Society, they don't want to help the ex-offender, okay. And this is the problem, to restore all the rights of the ex-offender is one point, but to restore the life is impossible right now in the situation that we have because the economic crisis, everybody suffers right now. It's impossible to find resources to find money and

to ask for a house or to ask who you give a job to an ex-offender that was 15 years in prison. It's the reality. No one wants this kind of person.

MS. HEINRICHS: Well, you've posed the question to the panel as far as attorneys what are the things that should be done. You asked four questions, turning it to us to look at how we can contribute to changing the system.

DEACON FARIAS: Yes.

MS. HEINRICHS: Do you see a role for the archdiocese in perhaps helping to either change the system or even perhaps provide education to your parishioners who may share some of societal fears that you've mentioned? Is there anything that you've seen that has been any initiatives that have taken place or do you see room for such initiatives in the future?

DEACON FARIAS: Thank you so much for your question. We have right now initiative problem that we are going to in the prevention area because it's another area. I see that the re-entry problem is okay. We are focused on the entry problem, but we need to focus on the prevention area to talk to the community, to

1 talk to the parties. And right now, we are 2 going to open a program for juveniles that had 3 difficulties with their behaviors and to talk 4 with their parents to try to prevent to the 5 arrest of their son, their daughter, their 6 children because their area is not good. And we 7 are going to start in four parishes right now 8 little by little. I was talking with one priest in Homestead, 10 I said, "Father, could you give me a house to

give the opportunity to one ex-offender?"

"I'm sorry, Deacon, nobody wants in the backyard the ex-offender."

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I said, "Wow, we need to change the mentality of the people."

And this is the reason from their values -little by little because we are human beings.

I am alone working in this ministry. I am the only staff, okay. I am the secretary, the treasurer, and I clean my office. But because of the economic crisis everybody agrees? No? It's the reality. And we need to -- and I do by heart, because it's a calling for me to help the people in prison, that they are suffering, to help the families.

And another topic in the criminal justice system that we forgot is the victims, includes the victims in this process of healing, and we forgot this. We forgot the victims, too.

Sometimes in one court I was in the middle of a conflict, because I was a companion. A mother of one inmate, but the other side was the mother of the young guy that was killed by this man. And I said, I need to be over there, too. I said what happened with mother? What happened with the system that creates sense of healing in this process?

I think about we need to talk about the restorative justice system, restorative justice system for those, for defendant, for the victims is too much.

I am not a lawyer. Sorry my English is so bad. I hope that you understand my concept, my idea. But you invite me to talk with you about my opinion. This is from my heart, okay.

MS. HEINRICHS: Thank you. Do other panelists have questions?

MS. VANDERHORST: You mentioned restorative justice, which I've become familiar with in DC over the last few years. Typically, even when

1 churches don't have the resources to do the most 2 familiar arrest or re-entry programs, they do 3 have some type of mediation where they are 4 putting those who have been accused in contact 5 with members of families who feel they've been 6 victimized by offenses. I want to talk 7 particularly about those in this situation labeled as "sex offenders" and whether or not 8 you're aware of any archdiocese in Florida or if 10 you're aware of any Catholic church policies 11 nationally that are dealing with sex-offender 12 issues, particularly for folks who are not being 13 released and staying incarcerated? 14 DEACON FARIAS: Remember the candle of the 15 church with the priest. All archdioceses are 16 the confidants of the American bishop. It's a 17 very difficult topic right now in the Catholic 18 church, okay, because it is very delicate. 19 don't know how to handle the kind of situation. 20 We don't know how to handle right now. We are 21 learning. But in my case, I know very well that 22 we had ex -- I'm helping two ex-sexual 23 offenders, okay. 24 In my case, from the Catholic church, we

are helping in some way. We have resources.

25

We'd like to help them, but it's too many people. We don't have the resources. And we don't have -- the parties open or the church is open right now to help them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

But we help them in some way because I am very concerned about the sexual offender living under the bridge and nobody cares. It is our new leprosies. And we need to do something for them. We need to because I know that they are not dreaming for them. They are not dreaming right now. It's an inclination that they are callous. It's a psychological wound -- wound. And they are not very -- I don't know if they seek psychiatry therapies. They don't have any dreaming for them. It's to keep them from the children, keeping them from the children. This is -- they said another kind of pastor, but right now -- I don't know how we helped them, okay. With this man, I lend him so much with this to amend. Little by little we are human being. As I say, we are weak, limited and need to help them.

MR. JONES: Larry?

MR. GOLDMAN: No.

MR. JONES: Jenny?

1 MS. ROBERTS: No. 2 MR. JONES: I have just one or two 3 questions. 4 DEACON FARIAS: Yes. 5 MR. JONES: Clearly, the Catholic church 6 has an interest in the criminal justice system 7 and you direct the archdiocese office of 8 detention ministry. So, clearly, the Catholic church is going into prisons and working with the population, the inmates, the folks who are 10 11 imprisoned and also you're working with them 12 when they come out of prison. Is it your sense 13 that with respect to disenfranchisement, the 14 loss of civil rights for people who have been 15 convicted, that the Catholic church's position 16 is that we ought to (a) work with those people 17 so that they can be restored to society, 18 restored to their status and (b) that on the 19 front end it might be a wise idea that these 20 folks ought not lose their status and their 21 rights in the first place; is that generally 22 speaking where the Catholic church is on these 23 issues?

24 DEACON FARIAS: Yes.

MR. JONES: So the question that I have

1 then it seems -- and for the first time in the 2 last panel, I think we explicitly talked about 3 the abolishment of civil disenfranchisement that 4 you're accused of something. If you're 5 convicted, you go to prison. You serve your 6 time and when you come out, you are restored to 7 your citizenship and you can go back about life. 8 And abolishing all of these civil rights disenfranchisements, clearly, the Catholic 10 church -- and we've seen this within the last 11 few days and weeks. And the way that they were 12 able to get directly to the Obama Administration 13 on contraception and those issues, clearly, they 14 have a powerful lobby and a voice. Do you think 15 that we could bring the church, the Catholic 16 church and what is the correct role for the 17 church, the Catholic church, in terms of 18 lobbying and bringing their considerable 19 presence to bear on these very issues in a 20 legislative capacity to talk about abolishing 21 disenfranchisement or changing the restoration 22 process significantly? What do you think the 23 role of the Catholic church should be in this 24 area? 25 DEACON FARIAS: It's going to be really an

262

```
1
    impact because the power for all the Catholic
2
    church, we have right now the American Catholic
3
    Correction Chaplain Association. It's all the
 4
    chaplains, all the Catholic chaplains in the
5
    nation, we come together talking about prison,
6
    talking about the issues around the criminal
7
    justice system. Yes, yes, of course, maybe we
8
    can go to the bishop, to the confidant bishop,
9
    to talk about this. We have in Florida seven
    bishops that they are concerned about prison.
10
11
    This is the reason that one a year we are going
12
    to Tallahassee, the director of prison ministry
13
    of each of the seven archdiocese directors and
    in front of the secretary of the Department of
14
15
    Corrections to talk about issues, problems.
16
    Yes, the Catholic charities, it's an advocate.
17
    It's our expansion. It's social justice.
18
    part of doctrine of the social justice.
19
         MR. JONES: Well, thank you for coming.
20
         DEACON FARIAS: You're welcome.
21
         MR. JONES: I'm glad that we found you and
22
    I'm glad that you came and you gave us this
23
    testimony.
24
         DEACON FARIAS: Thank you so much. And I'm
25
    sorry about my English.
```

1 MR. JONES: We appreciate you being here. 2 Thank you. 3 Mr. Bell, the floor is yours. 4 MR. BELL: My name is Cleve Bell. I'm the 5 executive director of Riverside House. 6 Riverside House is a 24/7 residential facility. 7 I like to think of ourselves as recyclers. We 8 recycle broken lives that have been despaired on the streets of our society. 10 My own experience has been one that I 11 myself -- as I look at these red seats, it kind 12 of reminds me of Valentines, because it was 13 February 14th, 43 years ago, that my life was 14 changed. My sobriety date now is --15 February 14th, I've been clean 43 years. 16 that, to me, is a big accomplishment. And we 17 try and that's basically the gist of what we try 18 and do at Riverside House with those individuals 19 that we have a chance to work with. 20 I, myself, when I was released from the 21 institution just happened to be fortunate enough 22

institution just happened to be fortunate enough to come across some people, and those folks took a special interest in me and enabled me to go to Miami-Dade Community College where I got an AA, an associate in arts. Another friend helped me

23

24

25

to go to the second two years, which was at FIU. So I got a bachelors in music. At the end of my educational experiences, I was faced with the same decision that most people have which is, what in the world am I going to do with a degree in music?

A friend of mine knew about Riverside

House. And he asked me would I go to Riverside

House and do a weekly Bible study. So 43 years

ago I went and it was one night a week. I said,

I can do one night a week. That's not a big

deal.

So I get there and the executive director at that time decides that he wants to take a one-year sabbatical. So they asked me, "Would you fill in until he returns?" Well, that was 43 years ago. I was waiting on him; now, I'm looking for him.

I think that when we talk about restoring folks' rights, I just think it's very important that we do that so that people can engage.

Now, I must admit even though, I'm a proponent of restorative -- of people's civil rights, I kind of used it to motivate myself.

In other words, I'm going to do everything you

say could be done by a person that had their civil rights, even though I don't have them, I'm going to do it anyway. So I used that as a motivating factor to go to school, a motivating factor to do what I'm doing today.

So for me, I'm a big proponent of attitude, adjustment. Because if one waits for the system to get right, it will never -- nothing will ever happen. And I think that I worked with the system. In fact, I'll be in Washington on the 19th through the 21st.

I'm a part of a group called the ICCA,
International Community Corrections Association.
We meet annually in Washington. We work The
Hill. We make our rounds. And this has been a
discussion point that we've been discussing for
at least 15, 20 years by now. But I must say
that we have made progress. Progress has been
made. But there's a whole lot more we've got to
do. I think for me, from the little
contribution that I have to make, I think my
role is to try and get the inmate population to
realize that they have to be participants in the

fall right because it won't.

People sometimes in substance abuse programs we say that some of our clients that people in recovery are the only ones that sit around wanting to be congratulated because they got up and went to work on time. We said millions of people do that every day and nobody ever says a thing. So the point is that we really need to find -- I'd like to find a way to begin to make this restorative thing work. How did we do it? How does it work in other states? And what can we learn from it? Some of the things that we've been doing in Tallahassee is trying to chip away at it.

It's always tough to overturn something completely. So you see if you can get your foot in the door, if we can make a little consideration here and a little leeway here. So that's what we've been working on in the last ten or 15 years here in Florida.

The population that I work with now, I work with federal inmates. We run a 80-bed facility.

Our daily count runs about 80. About ten percent of those are women; the others are men.

The big challenge that we face with our

population, I guess, it's the same we face with any and that is employment. And, again, my thing is that I have found that if you're able to tell -- if you're able to help people to understand what it is that you're trying to accomplish, I have found that people are 100 percent supportive in trying to help people to be successful in re-entry and recovery.

So my thought today is that, you know, we just got to keep working at it, keep working at it. And, you know, when we get a victory, we celebrate it, but not rest on it, because there's more we've got to do. I think we've done a lot, but a lot more still needs to be done. So I hope that this kind of answers some of the -- at least gives you some thoughts of some of the things that I'm involved in.

MR. JONES: Thank you.

MR. HEINRICHS: There are previous

panelists that have mentioned in some form or

fashion how -- I guess, the importance of

educating society. One panelist mentioned that

many people -- I don't think he said most

people, but many people have not had personal

contact with somebody who is reintegrating back

1 into society from prison. And because of that 2 media, for a variety of reasons, people are 3 fearful. 4 Now, in researching Riverside House, I was 5 on the website and you talked about how the program started with one building, one home 6 7 behind the church; is that correct? 8 MR. BELL: Correct. 9 MS. HEINRICHS: It's since expanded, but 10 the website also discusses community involvement 11 and outreach. 12 MR. BELL: Yes. 13 MS. HEINRICHS: And although it doesn't say 14 it specifically, while I was reading it, I was 15 wondering, does that include educating in the 16 immediate community? Did you have any 17 resistance when the facility was first opened? 18 Have you faced resistance since then or has it been, you know, rosy existence in the 19 20 neighborhood? I'd like to hear how that's 21 worked for you and if there are successes. 22 You've had successes, obviously, along the way. 23 What do you attribute to that, specifically to 24 the community interaction with your residents? 25 MR. BELL: When we first opened, we had to

have a public hearing, which is part of zoning.

And it was a very tense time, but we finally got it through. We also had folks working on our board, who were very familiar with zoning. I think the other thing that has been a big plus for us is that we have a positive history in the community. We really do work hard to honor those things that we said we're going to do in terms of security of the system.

I have a right to gamble with my life, but I don't have a right to gamble with yours. So I need to keep in place all of those systems of accountability. Accountability is a big deal. So we really work hard on accountability, making sure that people are where they're supposed to be, when they're supposed to be there. If they're not going to be where they're supposed to be, then they need to find other places where they can reside. Because I think it's important that if I say that a person is accountable that they're accountable. So that is the case.

I'm also involved with the Homeless Trust
Coalition here in Miami. We started out with
that group probably about 15 years ago. And we
was able to put together a one-cent sales tax on

all food establishments that does over \$400,000 a year. I think that probably generates about 12 million and that way we work along with HUD providing housing. We went from, oh, four or 500 beds to we now have 5,000 beds on any given day for homeless individuals and their families. We had to work, even with district zoning. At first when we first got our zoning here in the city of Miami, we had to show -- we only won by one vote on the city commissioners. And the thing that was funny was they were kind of treating us like we were the millionaires that had all the money. We simply wanted to help homeless people. But I've gone through this whole thing of siting of locations.

And I think for us that if there's any one contributing factors that -- we've had a positive history in the community. We work hard to protect it. And we're involved in the homeless. We're also involved with mental health. We also work extremely hard here in Dade County to be a part all the coalition of providers -- you know, Camillus House, Lotus, all of them. So we try and stay very up to date on all of the services that are being provided,

because sometimes there are other groups that
have services that can be rendered to the people
I served that I don't have myself. So we do a
fairly good job on collaborating and working
together.

MS. HEINRICHS: I would imagine that that collaboration and the history that you have both with Riverside House and with the Homeless

Trust, you've built a level of credibility in the city --

MR. BELL: Yes.

MR. HEINRICHS: -- a larger community. How do you see that credibility? How do you see the experience that you and those you work with, how do you see that helping? And do think there's room to help the population of those returning to the community who are sex offenders? Because as you know, there are housing restrictions in place that keep them out of the neighborhood.

MR. BELL: Right.

MS. HEINRICHS: You're talking security steps that have been taken in your facility and I think security and public safety is an issue that's often looked at specifically when we're talking about sex offenders.

MR. BELL: Right.

MS. HEINRICHS: What role do you see your coalition and the service providers that you work with, how can they use their credibility to help that population?

MR. BELL: Well, we haven't been very successful yet. We've been working on it for the last five years here in Miami and now we have an ordinance that says you can't -- I think it's 2,500-square feet from a daycare center or school or a bus stop. There are probably 70,000 bus stops in Dade County. So we haven't really had a lot of success with that. We still are working on it.

Probably about six months -- well, maybe a year ago -- we had a group living off of the beach under the overpass over there. You may have heard about that. We finally were able through the Homeless Trust to try and find some people to help us to work with those folks. But that's something that we really need to go back to the drawing board on and try and figure out.

Our community doesn't really know how to handle it. And when it becomes public and political, the chances of getting anything done

is slim and none until you can find some movers who are able to help you to move the agenda forward. But right now we are very depressed in this community at least from my perspective in how we treat sex offenders.

And the other thing, too, is the way we categorize them. We branch everybody under one heading. And that maybe -- you know, they may not all be there for the same. For example, a person who texted somebody could be a called a "sexual predator" as opposed to somebody who raped somebody. It's like they've got a pot and they just put everybody in it. And they call them "sexual predators." Because once you do that it's over.

So what we're trying to do and the coalition is trying to do is we're continuing to voice those things. We lost a battle on the ordinances. One time what was happening is that people were sending their folks from other places to here. And once that happened, then the city commission and the county commission and once you get that going, it's reactionary. We can't say today that we had a case that said we had to do it this way. But it was a bad

topic of the year. It's not a topic you wanted to talk about because it gets emotional.

I think part of the problem is is that a lot of folks have people in their families that have sexual issues. But I don't think we know how to talk about it. So as a result, we don't get anything done with it. But it doesn't stop us from at least trying. I don't know of any -- you know, we're pushing. In fact, there's not a meeting we don't go to that that doesn't come up.

Our chairman has gotten to the point now where he loses his cool as soon as it comes up, because we have no solution at this point. But that doesn't mean we shouldn't try and find one.

MR. HEINRICHS: I should have asked this question to some of the other panelists. It just crossed my mind now. But I practice in Pennsylvania and a lot of the ordinances that are affecting sexual offenders have been challenged successfully now at the state Supreme Court level and now they're rolling back these ordinances. Have you seen anything like that in Florida?

MR. BELL: No.

1 MR. HEINRICHS: That doesn't surprise me 2 based on what I've heard all day. I thought it 3 was worth asking. 4 MR. BELL: But I tell you what, I really 5 would love it if I could get some of that 6 information that you're talking about, because I 7 would love to bring some of that up here in the 8 city with some of our leaders. If we got some actual cases where those things are being looked 10 at, I think I'd love to -- I'd like to push 11 something like that in the community, because 12 there are people that we can talk to in the 13 community, but we need examples or we need to 14 see some cases that perhaps you think would be 15 good cases to discuss, because the will is here. 16 We just have to do a better job of educating 17 people. 18 MR. HEINRICHS: I want to go back now to 19 the services that are provided by Riverside 20 House. MR. BELL: Yes. 21 22 MR. HEINRICHS: You provide drug and 23 alcohol inpatient -- or extensive inpatient?

you do inpatient services or is it outpatient?

MR. BELL: Outpatient. In other words,

24

25

276

when they come to us, they are there residential. Now, what the feds have is they have a program called "Teed Out," which, I think, is a national group. And they contract with them to do all of their mental health and substance abuse treatment.

So I have the person in my facility, this group comes in and does the treatment. I do the case management. I do the job placement. I do life skill classes. I do a family reunification. I do things like getting them back into the community. I have community relation board meetings where I bring in the public so that they can see a little of what we're doing and who we are.

We have quite a few -- like last night, for example, we had Valentines night. Families, we had probably about 150 people there last night, the families of the clients as well as friends of Riverside House. So we do five of those kinds of events every year. We do Thanksgiving, Father's Day, Mother's Day, Christmas and Thanksgiving. And that's our connection to the community.

MR. HEINRICHS: With the family, what was

1 it that -- how did you describe it, working with 2 the families? 3 MR. BELL: Yes. 4 MR. HEINRICHS: Let me just back up. First 5 of all, when you're talking about those who are 6 placed with your services --7 MR. BELL: Right. 8 MS. HEINRICHS: -- is this post-release or 9 is this a pre-release program? How does that 10 work? 11 MR. BELL: This is post-release. When they 12 come to me, they still have probably -- let's 13 say they're at the end of their sentence and 14 they probably are there with 120 days on their 15 sentence. They finish those 120 days, they then 16 go on probation. Some will go on to probation. 17 In fact, most of them go on probation. Because 18 if they don't come through me, many of them go 19 directly to the street. So they come to me and 20 then they go to probation. We have to prepare 21 them for re-entry back into the community, jobs 22 and whatever may be needed in order to get them 23 back into the community. 24 MR. HEINRICHS: So the work that you're 25 doing with the families, it's going to be

somewhat of a limited --

2 MR. BELL: Yes.

MR. HEINRICHS: Okay. Then moving to the employment services that you provide, what is it that you do? What do you provide for them? And if you could also talk about what contact, what partnerships you may have with employers.

MR. BELL: Well, the thing that we do internally is that we work on the basics of employment like resumés, application filling out -- you know, how to complete an application, some of the basic kind of things. We have a staff person whose task it is for them to go out into the community and try and find venders and companies that will work with us.

Over the years, we've developed a list of companies that work regularly with us. And so whenever we have people come in, we always go back to those. We're always trying to increase that number. So that's the way we do it at this point is we develop our own contact and we have a full-time staff that does just employment placement.

MR. HEINRICHS: Do you find that the employers that you're working with on a repeated

1 basis, are they coming from specific industries? 2 Is there a range? How would you describe the 3 types of jobs that your residents are securing? 4 MR. BELL: I would say it would be 5 basically re-entry kind of work in terms of 6 maintenance, restaurants, some hotels. I would 7 think those would be the main industries --8 construction. But, of course, some of those 9 things are in decline right now. But those are, 10 basically, the fields that we have here in Dade 11 County. And so those are the markets that we 12 usually try and copy into. Those are the ones 13 that have been helpful to us. 14 MS. HEINRICHS: Do you provide any wrap 15 around or, I guess, follow-up services for lack 16 of a better term? 17 MR. BELL: We have what we call "aftercare," but it isn't -- at this stage in 18 19 the game when the person leaves me because 20 they're in the federal system and when they're 21 released to probation, there's no longer any 22 guidelines on their coming. But we do provide 23 opportunities for them to come back and 24 participate in any way that they can. But we 25 have no strings on them. So when they finish,

1 they are finished. But we do have what we call 2 "aftercare," and they can come back. Let's say 3 for example, they lose their job or they're 4 having family issues, anything that they may 5 have, they can come back to us and we will work 6 with them (a) by directly addressing it 7 ourselves or making referrals into the 8 community. So we don't drop them once they leave. 10 MS. HEINRICHS: Based on your experience 11 working in the various aspects that you work 12 with Riverside and -- I'm forgetting the name of 13 the other. 14 MR. BELL: The Homeless Trust. 15 MS. HEINRICHS: Yes. What do you see as 16 the most significant barriers to re-entry with 17 those that you've worked with? 18 MR. BELL: I think that -- I'm just 19 thinking. A couple of things that come to mind 20 is that we've had a problem perhaps with 21 employment and housing. And some of those have 22 been, I think -- there's a trend of thought that 23 we've been dealing with that if a person's 24 family is on Section 8 housing, that that person 25 that was incarcerated can't live in that home.

Now, there is some information from the feds that says that's not the case. And they say it's based on each jurisdiction and how they care to interpret that. But we do have some of that happening in our situations where -- in fact, right now I've got 11 people that when they leave my program will be homeless.

So what we're doing now is looking at opening up a three-quarter-way house and trying to find a way to -- because if we got them jobs and if we've got them kind of on their feet, it's kind of a sad thing to just drop them on the street because we've invested an awful lot of money in them. And so right now we've correlated with about four or five different homeless shelters and they're trying to help us with some of these. We've probably got about six of them that haven't left yet. But five or six have already left and we've been able to get them in the housings.

So we'd like to open a 40-bed residential, a three-quarter-way house, which is a lot less structured. It's a place where like they're reporting, where people can kind of still be connected, but still be encouraged to be on

their own as well.

MR. JONES: Larry.

MR. GOLDMAN: Let me ask you, sir, a couple of questions in a couple of areas; one with respect to these people you're working with there, re-entry, do you run into legal barriers often that prevent them from getting jobs, housing? What, if anything, do you do about that?

MR. BELL: The only cases we ever run into is sometimes when a person has come out of prison with an alias and under the other name they have about five or six other charges and we didn't know about it, that they've had driving violations for driving without a license and all those kind of things. And when those kind of things happen, we have to try to regroup with them. And we have to call the bureau to find out (a) Are we going to let this guy stay here or (b) What are we going to do with them?

In most cases, they let them finish out their term here because they don't have access sometimes with all the other information having to do with the alias. But we do have guys picked up from time to time. And we have to

1 work with them. And what we do is we have to 2 follow through with them and get them reassigned 3 back to our facility. So I don't know if that's 4 what you're asking, but that's probably the big 5 reason. 6 MR. GOLDMAN: I was asking what things have 7 the law got in the way of your work or have you 8 not yet got to that point? 9 MR. BELL: Well, I think what I said 10 earlier, probably the housing part in terms of 11 Section 8 housing where we're told that if a 12 family member -- we have quite a few people that 13 come through our program that have family 14 members that are in Section 8 housing. And so 15 when that becomes the case, we have to then work 16 with that person until we can find alternatives. 17 And in a case like this, that person would 18 probably be designated as homeless and we then 19 would have to try and find some shelter for them 20 temporarily until something can be worked out. 21 MR. GOLDMAN: Let me go to another area, 22 which is slightly off topic perhaps, but maybe 23 not. Riverside is faith-based? 24 MR. BELL: Yes. 25 MR. GOLDMAN: Do you, as a faith-based

1 organization, run into any restrictions in terms 2 of government funding, government support? 3 MR. BELL: I don't. Because one of the 4 things that I've done early on is that I do --5 you know, anything having to do with faith 6 based, Bible studies, or anything along that 7 nature, I raise money for that separately. I 8 have a separate budget, a separate program. 9 And what I do for the feds is what --10 they'll ask in the RFP. I work strictly with 11 that. And my task then is to try to make these 12 things work out in a way that would be helpful 13 for people. But I raise money separately for 14 the faith base. 15 MR. GOLDMAN: I'm going to ask a guestion 16 that I think I know the answer to. I know your 17 answer. But I'm going to ask you why. Do you 18 feel that a faith-based organization has a 19 better chance, it's more successful in helping 20 people re-enter society successfully? 21 MR. BELL: Yes, I do think so. 22 MR. GOLDMAN: And why? 23 MR. BELL: Why? Well, I think I have to 24 probably curse it and say that in the case of my 25 program, I'm pretty sure that folks get a better

1 shot at my shop than they do a lot of places. 2 And the reason for that is that I really -- I 3 feel -- in my case, I feel called to do what I'm 4 doing. I don't do it for money. I do it for 5 notoriety. I do it because I feel called to do 6 it. And part of my call is that somebody 42 7 years ago was on the front step and when I came and asked for a cup of water, they gave it to I'm here today because people believed in 10 And it made all of the difference, you me. 11 know. I wouldn't be here if somebody didn't 12 believe in me. 13 I have a family that heard me speak at a

I have a family that heard me speak at a place. And the gentleman asked me about my schooling, whether I was interested in going to school or not. At that point, I wasn't really interested. He said, "Well, if you're ever interested in school, let me know. I want to help you."

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I found about a year after he had asked me, I went down to his house and I told him, I said, "Well, I think I want to try this school thing." I wasn't sure. I dropped out in high school. So I wasn't sure if I was up for this school thing.

So I go and he takes me into his den and he gives me a check for \$5,000. And he gave me \$5,000 for 4 semesters for five years. That's how I got through school. My point of telling you that is that I see that happening every day. For me, it happened because of the faith base.

Now, let me just clarify: When it comes to the faith base, I'm not a Bible thumper. I don't think you have to say things the way I say it and I don't think you have to believe exactly like I believe. I don't have conditions that if you don't do this, you can't come. Because I don't think that's the gospel. I think the gospel is about accepting and loving people the way they are. We may have differences, but I'm just not one of those guys that has it all figured out. I'm still trying to figure it out. Some people got it figured out already. I don't. And so that's the way I run it.

Now, I don't think that some faith-based programs are as effective as others, because I think some of them are too rigid and too -- too rigid, I think, is the word. But I do think that if we look at the statistics with prison ministries like Chuck Colson and those guys, we

1 find that in the institutions where these 2 programs are run that there is a decrease in 3 events there in the prison. And so we have some 4 good stats on some of that today. 5 MR. GOLDMAN: So I assume or let me know --6 let me ask you, would you favor government 7 funding for more faith-based programs? 8 MR. BELL: I'm not sure I would today. 9 MR. GOLDMAN: Why not? 10 MR. BELL: Because I really do think 11 there's a separation between church and state. 12 MR. GOLDMAN: Okay. Well, let me ask you 13 the question because, obviously, we're not 14 getting into first amendment issues. But would 15 you think that -- putting aside first amendment issues, like government funding. We're here to 16 17 try to fact find, not make decisions yet. Would 18 you think that more government funding for 19 faith-based programs like yourself would be 20 helpful in moving people into society quicker? 21 MR. BELL: I think that just today in terms 22 of us talking, I would have to study that a lot 23 more because I'd like to know what that entails. 24 I mean, I hear it and the sounds of it, but what 25 does that entail? And I need to be able to talk

1 about what that entails. 2 MR. GOLDMAN: Okay. Thank you, sir. MS. VANDERHORST: I want to first ask you 3 4 what are the racial, cultural and economic 5 demographics of the populations that are seeking 6 your services from Riverside? 7 MR. BELL: Right now at Riverside House we 8 have -- today's population -- now, for the year to date starting 4/1 through to today, 16 10 percent was African American, 11 percent 11 Caucasian, and 55 percent Hispanic. 12 MS. VANDERHORST: And with such a 13 significant Hispanic population in particular, 14 do you have interpreters working with you? 15 MR. BELL: We have both English and 16 Spanish. We have bilingual staff. 17 MS. VANDERHORST: And then the hard 18 question --19 MR. BELL: It really creates a challenge. 20 MS. VANDERHORST: The hard question I want 21 to ask you has to deal with sex offenders. 22 listened to your comments about how Florida does 23 now have a category that separates serious 24 offenses from somewhat minor offenses, but kind 25 of labels everyone who has an offense either

1 slightly related to a sexual issue as a sex 2 offender. If you had a receptive platform for 3 Florida's executive and legislative branches and 4 resources were not an issue and whatever you 5 said they should do, they were willing to do it, 6 what would you propose as a solution on how to 7 begin working with reintegrating persons who 8 have been labeled as sex offenders? 9 MR. BELL: You know, when you've been 10 around as long as I have, you've seen certain 11 plates that are circulated that we had before. 12 Twenty years ago, we did an excellent job in 13 Dade County with the sex offenders. We had a 14 couple of doctors, Dr. Charles Mutter and a 15 couple of other people who spearheaded it. And 16 we had a couple of facilities where sex 17 offenders were there and they went through one 18 year of treatment. And part of that 19 treatment -- in fact, Riverside House we've 20 worked with sex offenders for five years 20 21 years ago. And it was kind of normal the way we 22 did business. We also had a sex offender 23 treatment center in Gainesville. So the state 24 itself was even committed to the whole process.

But over the years, I guess as things changed,

1 but if I was to have my way, I would go back and 2 take a look at some of the things we did 20 3 years ago, because we did a good job. In fact, 4 I still have contact with some of those sex 5 offenders that I worked with 20 years ago. They're in the community. They're doing fine. 6 7 They're doing well. I think that if we could go back and look at some of those and make maybe some minor adjustments here or there or tweak 10 them here or there, I think that we could really 11 use that whole system, again, in trying to 12 address this issue with sex offenders. I've worked with them. I don't see it any different 13 14 than drug addiction or anything else, because --15 but, again, I've worked with them. And now, was 16 everybody a perfect case? No. 17 There was one incident where I had a young 18 guy that had a tendency to -- he was in a 19 situation where he would go and peek in windows 20 of people's houses. So he would come back to 21 the facility. And when he told me, I said to 22 him, "You can't do that." 23 So he said he understood. 24 The next night he went and did it again. 25 took him in my car, took him back to jail and

had him locked up, because he didn't understand that he was to tell me that when he had the urge, not after he had done it. There was a difference. Tell me when you feel like you're getting ready to, because then I can help you. But once you've done it, I can't help you anymore. So what I did is took him back to jail so that he could receive some more treatment. But I think that what has happened is that, you know, anything in our society, in my experience has been once it gets emotional, you lose all perspective and sometimes we overreact. I think with sex offenders, we're doing the same

you know, anything in our society, in my
experience has been once it gets emotional, you
lose all perspective and sometimes we overreact.

I think with sex offenders, we're doing the same
thing. I think we're overreacting. There's
some folks there that really do need help. Most
of them need help. But we can do that. We've
done it before. We can do it again. But we
have -- you know, times have changed and as
you-all are aware at this table.

But to answer your question, what we did 20 years ago, I could go back and look at it again and roll out some of it because I thought it was very effective.

 $\mbox{MS. VANDERHORST:}$  Can you tell us a bit what factors were used 20 years ago,

particularly in the one-year treatment program that you were telling us about?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BELL: One facility was located out on 27th Avenue. And what they had is a rigorous program where starting at 8:00 in the morning you were engaged in something having to do with dealing with your issues. They had treatment. They had therapy. They had groups. They had a very comprehensive program. And probably about three months or four months before they were to be released, they would then contact agencies like Riverside House and we would start working with them on an entry level and we would work with them until it was time for them to be released. And then when it was time for them to be released, then we would bring them to Riverside. By that time, we had known them for three months at least.

And the other thing that was a key factor is that we also used to tie the family into it. That's a very important ingredient. I've had people that have been pretty dangerous.

The one condition that I have used to work with dangerous people or individuals is that if they have family, we stand a chance. Family is

```
1
    always a key ingredient in that. So what I
2
    would always do -- for example, I have people in
3
    jail that the judge used to send me and he'd ask
 4
    me would I take them and they were kind of
5
    suspect cases. And the first thing I would want
6
    to know is, does he have a family? And what I
7
    would actually do is go and meet the family.
8
    And I'd say to them, Ms. Johnson, you know,
    Daryl is in jail. But he can get out but this
10
    is what we have to do. Ms. Johnson, can you
11
    help me do that? Can we do this together? And
12
    if she said, yes, then that's all I needed. If
13
    she said, no, then I didn't have anything to
14
    work with.
15
         But those are some of the things that we've
16
    done and tried in the past.
17
         MR. JONES: Thank you.
18
         Margaret.
19
         MS. LOVE: Yes, sir. I have two questions.
20
    As long as we've been going down memory lane, my
21
    guess -- I invite you to go even further down
22
    memory lane back to the time when you started in
23
    this business in the mid '70s -- 1977, I
24
    believe, if I recall from your description here
25
    in your file and ask you -- we talked a lot
```

earlier today about the restoration of rights system in Florida for restoring civil rights, a system that somehow has gotten linked up with restoring a lot of other rights -- jobs, housing, all kinds of stuff that's all kind of linked into this voting rights for better for worse. Back in the '70s that was an automatic restoration procedure. And I wonder if you -and it wasn't until the early '90s Governor Chiles, I think, changed it to a hearing type of procedure. And I'm wondering if you can sort of give us your thoughts about how things were different back in the early days and maybe the first 15, 20 years that you were working in this business in terms of kind of restoring rights and status. That's what we're about here is this legal rights and status business. Have you noticed over those 35 years that there's been a change? MR. BELL: Well, if you go back beyond the '70s, early '60s and mid '60s, we were a very segregated city. We were still not able to go to lunch counters. In the mid '60s, we still had signs that said, "Color served in rear."

Virginia Key Beach, we couldn't even go to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 the beach then. So this whole idea of rights and stuff was -- I don't know. I mean, we just 2 3 never thought about it in the lights of perhaps 4 we think about it today. But we've come a long 5 way in terms of those number of years. 6 I think that we find ourselves lying as a 7 community with all of the different languages 8 and cultures in the community of trying to figure who's who anymore. So when you say has 10 it gotten better, I think as a whole things have 11 improved. I don't think that -- you know, I 12 think we've had some improvement. Now, I'd have 13 to think a little bit to kind of point out what 14 some of them are. Is that not what you were 15 asking? 16 MS. LOVE: Well, I mean, it was an 17 interesting answer for me because you immediately sort of shifted to the issues of 18 19 racial segregation. 20 MR. BELL: Yeah. 21 MS. LOVE: And so for me, you know, we all 22 kind of understand that there's a major 23 overlap --24 MR. BELL: Right. 25 MS. LOVE: -- in those two systems. But it

```
1
    was interesting to me, because I wasn't thinking
2
    about that. I was thinking about the way we
3
    treat people with a criminal record, whatever
 4
    their race. So that's -- and we've heard so
5
    much testimony today about how really bad things
6
    are right now, where there is no more
7
    restoration of rights. So that's why I was sort
8
    of -- I have to ponder a little bit your answer
    to me because it's interesting that you think
10
    we're better off now than we were in those years
11
    when it was automatic and now it doesn't happen
12
    at all.
         MR. BELL: Right.
13
14
         MS. LOVE: So that's just -- I mean.
15
         MR. BELL: But when I was talking to you, I
16
    was talking though from the perspective of civil
17
    rights.
18
         MS. LOVE: I understand you were, sir.
    know I do. I thought it was a very interesting
19
20
    kind of a shift on your part that -- you know,
21
    because there's so much intertwining in those
22
    sets of issues.
         MR. BELL: Right.
23
24
         MS. LOVE: We're real aware of it and we're
25
    kind of got our antennas up for the sort of
```

```
1
    agenda that isn't always terribly transparent.
2
    It's sort of a hidden thing there.
3
         MR. BELL: Well, in Florida, we always want
4
    to make sure that it's understood, that we're
5
    not -- we don't go easy on crime.
6
         MS. LOVE: Well, indeed.
7
         MR. BELL: We want to always be tough.
8
         MS. LOVE: We understand.
9
         MR. BELL: We always want to be tough on
10
    crime.
11
         MS. LOVE: We do.
12
         MR. BELL: We don't know what it means but
13
    we like ...
14
         MS. LOVE: Well, here's another thing and
15
    this is sort of related. You mentioned the
16
    Section 8 housing problem. And you also
17
    mentioned that you've gotten some sort of
18
    advisory from Washington about whatever your
    local housing authority thinks it's supposed to
19
20
    do really isn't what it's supposed to do.
21
         MR. BELL: Well, they kind of implied that,
22
    yeah.
23
         MS. LOVE: Well, I know exactly what you're
24
    talking about. These are the so-called "myth
    busters" --
25
```

```
1
         MR. BELL: Right.
2
         MS. LOVE: -- that are coming out of OJP.
3
         MR. BELL: Right.
 4
         MS. LOVE: And let's say, hey, don't do
5
    that. You don't have to do that.
6
         MR. BELL: Right. Exactly.
7
         MS. LOVE: So it interests me, again, here
8
    you've got your local housing authorities
9
    interpreting federal law, federal law, let me
10
    remind you. This is not a state law they're
11
    interpreting. It's federal law.
12
         MR. BELL: Right.
13
         MS. LOVE: In a way that the federal agency
14
    says, "Don't do that."
15
         MR. BELL: Right.
16
         MS. LOVE: But your folks are suffering
17
    because of this local interpretation.
18
         MR. BELL: Sure.
19
         MS. LOVE: And you're a federal halfway
20
    house, right?
21
         MR. BELL: Yes.
22
         MS. LOVE: Well, I'm wondering whether you
23
    really have to put up with that.
24
         MR. BELL: Well, you remember politics is
25
    local. So the question is, how do we get there
```

```
1
    and, yeah, how do you get there?
2
         MS. LOVE: We should talk.
3
         MR. BELL:
                   Okay.
 4
         MS. LOVE: Because I know the people who
5
    set up that myth busters.
6
         MR. BELL: I mean, I thought it was
7
    interesting.
8
         MS. LOVE: The attorney general has been
9
    very interested.
10
         MR. BELL: Right.
11
         MS. LOVE: And has written to the Attorney
12
    General of every state.
13
         MR. BELL: Exactly.
14
         MS. LOVE: To please look at these
15
    collateral consequences --
16
         MR. BELL: Right.
17
         MS. LOVE: -- and stop doing the ones that
18
    are a problem and a public safety problem. So I
19
    don't know whether it's not -- it's been my
20
    impression that there are a lot of attorney
21
    generals that aren't paying too much attention,
22
    but this is a chapter and verse that strikes me
23
    as most interesting.
24
         MR. BELL: Yeah. But I do think that --
25
    you know, for example, we have a story of a
```

```
1
    young lady who was trained to be a dental
2
    hygienist while in prison but when got out
    couldn't be licensed.
3
 4
         MS. LOVE: The reason being that?
5
         MR. BELL: In Florida, you can't be
    licensed if you have a felony, if you have a
6
7
    felony record.
8
         MS. LOVE: Unless you get your rights
9
    restored.
10
         MR. BELL: Unless you get your rights
11
    restored.
12
         MS. LOVE: But now it's decoupled, right?
13
         MR. BELL: I'm not sure.
14
         MS. LOVE: They'll get you coming and
15
    going.
16
         MR. BELL: I know. So on one hand you say,
17
    for example, we have people who are cutting hair
18
    at flea markets because they can't get their
19
    license as a barber. So you then say, well, why
20
    do we have underground economy? I don't know.
21
    You told me to work, right? You said to pay my
22
    bills, right? What do you want me to do? You
23
    won't give me a license, so what do you want me
24
    to do?
25
         MR. JONES: Jenny, questions?
```

```
1
         MS. ROBERTS: No.
2
         MR. JONES: I have a couple just really
3
    following up on the things that everybody has
4
    said, a couple just housekeeping so that I
5
    understand. The Riverside Christian Ministries,
6
    what's the geographical area that you serve?
7
         MR. BELL: Right now we're located in
8
    Little Havana. So we would serve anyone from
9
    836 south all the way down to Homestead.
10
         MR. JONES: Is that pursuant to an RFP or
11
    contract with the city?
12
         MR. BELL: RFP.
13
         MR. JONES: RFP. And I come to your door
14
    for a cup of water and you take me in. Am I
15
    obligated to participate in the religious life
16
    of the place to some degree or can I opt out of
17
    that completely?
         MR. BELL: You can opt out.
18
19
         MR. JONES: Completely?
20
         MR. BELL: Uh-huh.
21
         MR. JONES: Okay.
22
         MR. BELL: We do what we call
23
    "orientation." And in that orientation session
24
    if you indicate that you're Catholic and you
25
    want to go to a Catholic church, then that's
```

where you go. If you say you're Muslim and you want to go to a mosque, that's where you go.

MR. JONES: If I say I'm agnostic or I say

I'm nothing, can I just sit at home on Sundays?

MR. BELL: You can sit at home.

MR. JONES: Okay. I'm wondering about a couple of things you said that I found fascinating, very interesting. I'm not really sure how to turn them into questions. But initially, you talked about your loss of civil rights as a motivating factor for you.

MR. BELL: Yes.

MR. JONES: And I'm wondering if you think that there is some value in societies taking away of people's civil rights as a result of a conviction for that very reason to make it for them a motivating factor to turn their lives around.

MR. BELL: No, I wouldn't think that would necessarily be the way it works. It's just the way I'm wired. As I kid, if you wanted something to be done, tell me it couldn't be done. I'm kind of wired that way. But I wouldn't advocate that as a policy to be a motivating factor. It's just something that I

```
1
    use because I'm kind of wired that way.
2
         MR. JONES: And you wouldn't advocate it as
3
    a policy because --
 4
         MR. BELL: That we take people's rights?
5
         MR. JONES: Right.
         MR. BELL: I don't see it working. Because
6
7
    I've got thousands of friends that don't have
8
    it. It hasn't changed. I don't think that --
    I'm trying to say this right, Rick. I don't
10
    think taking people's rights is a motivating
11
    force for change. I just happen to use it for
12
    me.
         MR. JONES: More harmful, more detrimental
13
14
    than it is ...
15
         MR. BELL: I think it's more harmful.
16
         MR. JONES: When you were talking to Elissa
17
    about reforms in Pennsylvania, you said you
18
    wanted that information from her because -- your
19
    words, "The will is here." And I really want to
20
    focus on that will, the will that you see being
21
    here. Will to do what?
22
         MR. BELL: I think, first of all, the will
23
    to take a look at the whole issue. I think we
24
    have polarized -- we made such a big -- nobody
25
    has really sat down and had a decent
```

1 conversation about sexual predators and what it 2 means. Everything here has been reactionary. 3 Everything. 4 I remember when I went down to the county 5 commissioners when they were getting ready to pass this ordinance about the 2,500 square feet. 6 7 I stood up. You would have thought that I had 8 just killed somebody when I simply said, "You can't do this." And they immediately just got 10 up -- not physically -- they ushered me out of 11 the room and changed subjects. My experience 12 has been is that you just can't -- this is 13 un-American. You can't do this. 14 MR. JONES: That's your philosophy? 15 MR. BELL: Yes. 16 MR. JONES: But now you think that people 17 are coming around to your philosophy? 18 MR. BELL: I think people can be won to my 19 philosophy, because that's what I've done for 20 the last 40 years is won people to my 21 philosophy -- my way of -- I haven't completely. 22 They don't go 100 percent, but at least they get 23 me in the door. And if can I get in the door, I 24 stand a chance. 25 MR. JONES: And when you say "the will is

1 here," you're talking about I think they have 2 it. Who's the "they"? 3 MR. BELL: Some of the leaders, the 4 leadership of this community. There is the will 5 to do that. In this town, the way things have 6 always worked is somebody has got to have the 7 will to take it on. And if they take it on and 8 if they've got a decent reputation and they're known in the community and not seen as a 9 10 bleeding heart liberal or whatever but a 11 practical person, my experience in 40 years has 12 been you can get something done. And I think that this issue on sexual predators we need to 13 14 address it as well as restoring people's civil 15 rights. This needs to be taken on in 16 Tallahassee and it needs to be taken on here. 17 And if we have the rights folks framing the 18 issue properly, my experience has been the will 19 is here to do it. 20 MR. JONES: Why do you think the system is 21 as it is? Do you think it's just about people 22 wanting to appear tough on crime? Is it just 23 about this notion of public safety? Why is the 24 system such as it is in Florida? 25 MR. BELL: I think most people who -- I

1 think a lot of people really do think that chain 2 gangs work. They think they work. And they 3 don't, but people think it. 4 And so when you've got politicians who are 5 running for office and they're saying, we need 6 to get tough on crime, then they give you a list 7 of how we get tough on crime and the average 8 citizen, you know, if they've been having trouble or if anybody has had trouble, their 10 neighbors had trouble, then the next thing you 11 say is, well, that makes sense to me. Well, it 12 doesn't always makes sense. I mean, we now have 13 built ourselves into a real mess. 14 MR. JONES: The last thing I wanted to 15 raise with you was this notion: You said that 16 one of the things that you wanted to be a 17 catalyst for, one of the things that you thought 18 was important, was the inmate population is 19 participants in the process. 20 MR. BELL: Yes. 21 MR. JONES: And that they lose 22 accountability --23 MR. BELL: Yes. 24 MR. JONES: -- being accountable for 25 themselves. And then in this conversation you

1 just had a minute ago, you were talking about 2 the 1960s. And you said that you know it was a 3 segregated city. They had the colored-only 4 signs and the white-only signs, and the 5 color-sit-here signs and you said that this 6 whole idea of rights, we didn't even think about 7 it, right? 8 MR. BELL: Right. 9 MR. JONES: Because you had so many other 10 challenges and obstacles and historical 11 oppression and stuff to overcome. 12 MR. BELL: Right. 13 MR. JONES: And I'm wondering if part of 14 this whole notion of accountability, part of 15 this whole notion of inmate population as 16 participants is sort of the -- you know, badges 17 and incidents of slavery sort of this -- the 18 legacy of historical impression in that people 19 don't really -- you know, the folks who we're 20 talking about, the folks who come to your door 21 looking for a cup of water, oftentimes don't 22 really believe that The Constitution applies to 23 them, have seen generation after generation 24 after generation of their family members and

their loved ones treated as though The

Constitution doesn't apply to them. And so the educational piece is a tremendous undertaking in and of itself just to get people to even think about their rights and the restoration of their rights and their status when they didn't have status. So if you could just speak to that as you take us out, that would be great. I would love to hear your thoughts about that.

MR. BELL: Okay. I think that with all of the things that you had mentioned, you know, I most certainly have been a recipient of all of those kinds of activities remembering when I was a kid that there was only three things that you could be when I was a kid: A preacher, a teacher or an insurance man. And if you didn't want to be one of those three, then you were out of luck.

And so I think when we talk about this issue today and when I talk about being a promotor or a pervader of attitudes, I've come to the conclusion of awareness that it's really an inside job and that if I wait for the outside to give me what I need, I'm never going to get it. I'm going to have to dig deep and I'm going to have to do it myself. And I think for me my

experience in jail that year that I was there and after that, the one thing I've learned, I think, from that and one reason I talk about educating is that -- have you ever heard, "I might be poor, but I'm somebody"? And I need to dig deep to find out who that somebody is. And I've got to get -- I've got to get away from all of that history stuff that's there because it won't help me. It's there. It was done. It's on, but now I got to go deeper and find something that will enable me to like who I am now. And that's what I find missing in the institution when we -- they keep saying, "the system," well, the system ain't going to change. I'm going to change. And then the only chance the system has to change is for me to change and then for me to take it on. But it's got to be I've got to do it, but there are people around here to help me do it. But I've got to take my eyes off of -whatever I called -- I said "the enemy." Because when I look at the enemy, it ain't helping me. Because all it does is make me more angry. It makes me more hostile and I'm not going to get anywhere.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what I need is that I've got -- I do a -- I run a group. I run groups every week at a church called Celebrate Recovery. And the one thing that I see as a hinderance for many of the folks that come is that they keep thinking that somebody else is going to make it right and they're not. There's nobody to make it right. I have to start with me. And so I'm a big proponent. That's why I kinda didn't do the restoration of civil rights, that why I took on working with offenders. That's why I take on issues because I want them to know it's an inside job and it ain't going to change.

And there ain't nobody going to love me until I learn to love me. And I'm worth loving. And when I believe it, then I act like it. And when I act like it, you treat me that way. You treat me the way I act. You treat me the way I am and for who I am. So when I go somewhere and people say hi to me, it's because I feel pretty good about me. In fact, I feel real good about me. But if I had of kept looking at the system and all of the injustices, I would have never gotten anywhere, because it's depressing.

And so I've worked hard and I continue to work hard and that's the thing that I talk with the inmates when I go out and speak. For example, we started -- I was a part of a group called Kyros that started 35 years ago. Today they're in 30 states and six countries.

And the whole idea and the reason that I got involved in it back in those days is that I saw here was an opportunity to get some inside work done. Because if I don't do inside work, I don't stand a shot. I'm behind. You know, for example, I got into the education game late in the game. I didn't grow up reading Newsweek. I didn't grow up reading all those things. And so as a result, I sometimes have deficits, because I didn't have it. Well, but I can't. But eventually, I've got to move on. I can read Newsweek now. You know, I can read Time magazine. I can use my iPad now and I can do all of those things now.

So at some point or another, the people that I'm trying to expose a message to is that it starts now. It starts here. And it starts with you, because nobody else can do it.

We have a group -- and I'll shut up. We

1 have a group at our meeting we call 2 "co-dependents." And codependency, you know, is 3 when we have these toxic relationships where 4 we're sick and make each other sick. For the 5 men's we call it "codependency." And so we 6 found that we weren't having any men come to it. 7 So we decided to call it "anger management." 8 And now we have a room full of guys. They're 9 not codependent, but they're angry. 10 MS. LOVE: The women will come to it. 11 MR. BELL: Yeah, the women come to the 12 codependency. My point is that I think that we 13 need all of what's being done here today. And 14 over the last 40 years, this is what we're used 15 to being in a part of these kind of groups. But 16 at the end of the day, the people in the 17 institution has to know, they've got to do the 18 work. It's not easy, but it can be done. 19 not easy, but it must be done. 20 If you're going to succeed, if you're going 21 to have any shot at life, you've got to do the 22 work. So I've already talked more than I need 23 to, but that's my story. 24 MR. JONES: Amen. Thank you. Thank you 25 very much.

```
1
          The hearing stands in recess until tomorrow
2
    morning at 9:30. See y'all then. Thank you
3
    very much.
4
    (Thereupon, the proceeding was adjourned at 5:27
5
     p.m.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Laura Fish, certify that I was authorized
4	and did stenographically report the foregoing
5	proceedings and that this transcript is a true
6	record of the proceedings before the Court.
7	I further certify that I am not a relative,
8	employee, attorney, or counsel for any of the
9	parties nor am I a relative or employee of any of
10	the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the
11	action, nor am I financially interested in the
12	action.
13	Dated this 18th day of March, 2012.
14	bacca chib foch day of haren, 2012.
15	
16	LAURA FISH
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	