No. 22-4489

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff/Appellee,

v.

OKELLO T. CHATRIE, Defendant/Appellant.

On Appeal From the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia **Richmond Division (The Hon. M. Hannah Lauck)**

JOINT APPENDIX

VOLUME 3 of 11 (pages 417 - 694)

JESSICA D. ABER United States Attorney **GEREMY C. KAMENS Federal Public Defender**

Kenneth R. Simon, Jr., Ass't U.S. Attorney Peter S. Duffey, Ass't U.S. Attorney **Counsel for Appellee** 919 East Main Street, Suite 1900 Richmond, VA 23219 (804) 819-5400

Nathan P. Judish, Senior Counsel **Computer Crime & Intel. Property Section Criminal Division U.S. Department of Justice**

Laura J. Koenig **Assistant Federal Public Defender Counsel for Appellant** 701 East Broad Street, Suite 3600 Richmond, VA 23219 (804) 565-0800

Michael W. Price, Litigation Dir. NACDL Fourth Amendment Ctr. 1660 L Street NW, 12th Floor Washington, DC 20036

This page intentionally left blank for double-sided pagination and printing

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1 (pages 1 - 176)

District Court Docket Sheet (as of Jan. 17, 2023)1
Indictment (Sept. 17, 2019, Doc. 1)22
Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence Obtained From a "Geofence" General Warrant (Oct. 29, 2019, Doc. 29)
Government's Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Suppression of Evidence Obtained Pursuant to Google Geofence Warrant (Nov. 19, 2019, Doc. 41)
Defendant's Reply to Government's Response [to] Motion to Suppress Evidence Obtained From a "Geofence" General Warrant (Dec. 9, 2019,
Doc. 48)76
Exh. A (First Step 2 Request to Google) (Doc. 48-1)
 Exh. B (Second Step 2 Request to Google) (Doc. 48-2)
Government's Notice Regarding Attachment of Google Geofence State Search Warrant to Response in Opposition to Motion to Suppress (Dec. 18, 2019, Doc. 54)
Affidavit for search warrant and warrant, with attachments (Doc. 54-1)107
Brief of Amicus Curiae Google LLC in Support of Neither Party Concerning Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence From a "Geofence" General Warrant (Dec. 20, 2019, Doc. 59-1)
United States' Response to Amicus Curiae Brief of Google LLC (Jan. 10, 2020, Doc. 71)
Defendant's Response to Google's Motion to File Amicus Curiae Brief in Support of Neither Party (Jan. 10, 2020, Doc. 72)158

VOLUME 2 (pages 177 - 416)

Transcript, Discovery Motion Hearing (J (court minutes))	Jan. 21, 2020, Doc. 81; see Doc. 77
Preliminary matters	
Def't witness Spencer McInvaille	Direct examination
Argument by the government	
Court's ruling	
Defendant's Supplemental Motion to Su "Geofence" General Warrant (May 2	appress Evidence Obtained From a 2, 2020, Doc. 104)
United States' Response in Opposit Suppression of Evidence Obtained Warrant (June 12, 2020, Doc. 109)	
VOLUME 3 ((pages 417 - 694)
Transcript, Suppression Motion Hearing Doc. 201; <i>see</i> Doc. 198 (court minute	g, Day 1 (evidence) (Mar. 4, 2021, es))417
Preliminary matters	
Def't witness Spencer McInvaille	Direct examination
Def't witness Marlo McGriff	Direct examination606

VOLUME 4 (pages 695 - 1070)

Transcript, Suppression Motion Hearing Doc. 202; <i>see</i> Doc. 199 (court minute	, Day 2 (evidence) (Mar. 5, 2021, s))695
Preliminary matters	
Def't witness Marlo McGriff, cont'd	Direct examination
Def't witness Sarah Rodriguez	Direct examination
Gov't witness Jeremy D'Errico	Direct examination
Gov't witness Joshua Hylton	Direct examination
Concluding matters	
VOLUME 5 (pa	ages 1071 - 1326)
Defendant's Post-Hearing Brief on "Geo 2021, Doc. 205)	ofence" General Warrant (May 3,
Government's Response in Opposition Suppression (May 21, 2020, Doc. 214	on to Defendant's Motion for ; <i>see</i> Doc. 207-2)1117
Defendant's Reply to Government's Res Suppression (June 4, 2020, Doc. 213)	ponse in Opposition to Motion for 1164
Transcript, Suppression Hearing (argume Doc. 215 (court minutes))	ents) (June 24, 2021, Doc. 217; <i>see</i>

Argument by the defense	
Argument by the government	
Rebuttal by the defense	
Concluding matters	

VOLUME 6 (pages 1327 - 1456)

Memorandum Opinion (denying suppression motion) (Mar. 3, 2022, Doc. 220)	
Order (denying suppression motion) (Mar. 3, 2022, Doc. 221)1390	
Criminal Information (May 6, 2022, Doc. 224)1391	
Transcript, Change of Plea Hearing (May 9, 2022, Doc. 247; see Doc. 226) 	
Plea Agreement (May 9, 2022, Doc. 228)1428	
Statement of Facts (May 9, 2022, Doc. 229)1444	
Judgment in a Criminal Case (Aug. 19, 2022, Doc. 239)1449	
Notice of Appeal (Aug. 25, 2022, Doc. 241)1456	
VOLUME 7 ($n_{0} \approx 1457$, 1910) DOCUMENT EVHIDITS	

VOLUME 7 (pages 1457 - 1810) – DOCUMENT EXHIBITS

Exhibits Admitted at Discovery Motion Hearing (Jan. 21, 2020)

Def't Exh. 1: Geofence Warrant & Application (same as Doc. 5-	4-1,
minus ECF header)	see J.A. 107
Def't Exh. 2: Google Amicus Brief (Doc. 59-1)	see J.A. 118
Def't Exh. 3: PDF of Raw Data (sealed)	see J.A. 2093
Def't Exh. 4: Activation Videoomitted from J.A., ava	ilable on request
Def't Exh. 5: Three Paths Video (sealed)	see J.A. 2139
Def't Exh. 6: First Step 2 Request to Google	1457
Def't Exh. 7: Second Step 2 Request to Google	1459
Def't Exh. 8: Third Step 2 Request to Google	1461

Def't Exh. 9: Step 3 Request to Google1463

Exhibits Admitted at Suppression Motion Hearing (Mar. 4-5, 2021)

VOLUME 8 (pages 1811 - 2090) - DOCUMENT EXHIBITS, CONT'D

Exhibits Admitted at Suppression Motion Hearing (Mar. 4-5, 2021), cont'd

Def't Exh. 43: May 2018 Privacy Policy – Redline	1811
Def't Exh. 43a: May 2018 Privacy Policy - Redline (with internet	
source information)	1840
Def't Exh. 44: Jan. 2019 Privacy Policy – Redline	1865
Def't Exh. 45: Oct. 2019 Privacy Policy – Redline	1895
Def't Exh. 46: McGriff Blog 1	1926

Def't Exh. 47: McGriff Blog 2
Def't Exh. 48: 2018 Quartz Article
Def't Exh. 49: 2018 AP Article 11941
Def't Exh. 51: 2019 NYT Article
Def't Exh. 53: Blumenthal-Markey Letter to FTC
Gov't Exh. 1: CAST PowerPoint Presentation
Gov't Exh. 2: Geofence Warrant (Doc. 54-1)see J.A. 107
Gov't Exh. 3: Declaration of Marlo McGriff (Mar. 11, 2020)
(same as Def't Exh. 21 (Doc. 96-1))
Gov't Exh. 3a: Declaration of Sarah Rodriguez (Mar. 11, 2020)
(same as Def't Exh. 24 (Doc. 96-2))
Gov't Exh. 3b: Supplemental Declaration of McGriff (June 17, 2020)
(Doc. 110-1)
Gov't Exh. 3c: Third Declaration of Marlo McGriff (Aug. 7, 2020)
(same as Def't Exh. 23 (Doc. 147))see J.A. 1562
Gov't Exh. 4: Joshua Hylton emails with Google
Gov't Exh. 5: Google Privacy Policy
Gov't Exh. 5a: Google Terms of Service
Gov't Exh. 6: Special Agent D'Errico's C.V
Gov't Exh. 12: "Got to Be Mobile" Video
VOLUME 9 (pages 2091 - 2092) – DIGITAL MEDIA EXHIBIT
Gov't Exh. 12: "Got to Be Mobile" Video (admitted only at suppression
motion hearing)
(.inp+ me)
VOLUME 10 (n_{2} n_{2} n_{3} n_{2} n_{3} n
VOLUME 10 (pages 2093 - 2138) – SEALED DOCUMENT EXHIBIT
Def't Exh. 3: PDF of Raw Data (see Doc. 69 (sealing order)) (admitted at
both discovery motion hearing and suppression motion hearing)2093
VOLUME 11 (pages 2139 - end) – SEALED DIGITAL MEDIA EXHIBITS
Def't Exh. 5: Three Paths Video (admitted at both discovery motion hearing
and suppression motion hearing)
Def't Exh. 8: CSV Google Data File (admitted only at suppression motion

hearing)...... (.csv file, viewable in Excel)

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 2 RICHMOND DIVISION 3 4) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) 5) Criminal No. 3:19CR130 v.) 6) OKELLO T. CHATRIE March 4, 2021) 7 8 DAY ONE 9 COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION TO SUPPRESS BEFORE THE HONORABLE M. HANNAH LAUCK 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 11 **APPEARANCES:** 12 13 Kenneth R. Simon, Jr., Assistant U.S. Attorney Peter S. Duffey, Assistant U.S. Attorney 14 U.S. Attorney's Office SunTrust Building 15 919 East Main Street, Suite 1900 Richmond, Virginia 23219 16 Nathan P. Judish, Assistant U.S. Attorney 17 U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW 18 Washington, Virginia 20530 19 Counsel for the United States Laura J. Koenig, Assistant Federal Public Defender 20 Paul G. Gill, Assistant Federal Public Defender 21 Office of the Federal Public Defender 701 E. Broad Street, Suite 3600 22 Richmond, Virginia 23219 23 Counsel for the Defendant 24 DIANE J. DAFFRON, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 2.5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

```
2
     APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
 1
 2
     Michael W. Price, Esquire
     National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers
 3
     1660 L Street, NW
     12th Floor
 4
     Washington, DC 20036
 5
             Counsel for the Defendant
 6
 7
                          I N D E X
 8
                           DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT
 9
      SPENCER MCINVAILLE
                              16
                                      126
                                              170
10
                             190
      MARLO McGRIFF
                                        _ _
                                               _ _
11
12
13
                       EXHIBITS
14
                                                       Page
15
      DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS:
16
      No. 1
                                                       27
                Geofence Warrant and Application
17
      No. 2
                 Google Amicus Curiae Brief
                                                       200
18
      No. 3
                PDF of Raw Data
                                                        34
19
      No. 5
                 Three Paths Video
                                                        61
20
      No. 6
                 Spencer McInvaille Report
                                                        75
21
      No. 7
                 McInvaille Supplemental Report
                                                        81
22
      No. 8
                 CSV Google Data File
                                                       120
23
                 September 2018 Oracle Submission
      No. 11
                                                       92
24
      No. 21
                 McGriff Declaration 1
                                                        52
      No. 23
2.5
                McGriff Declaration 3
                                                        73
```

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 DOG HIL 9 Document 201 0 Hied 03/29/21 9 dge 3 of 270 Paget 8 at 1831 of 2164)

Cus		ie Document zor Theo 05/25/21 Trage 5 01 277 T	
			3
1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3			Page
4	DEFENDANT'	S EXHIBITS: (Cont'd)	rage
5	No. 27	Every Step You Take	96
6	No. 30	AZ Ex. 18	255
7	No. 31	AZ Ex. 19	258
8	No. 32	AZ Ex. 20	249
9	No. 33	AZ Ex. 24	250
10	No. 34	AZ Ex. 202	252
11	No. 36	AZ Ex. 209	243
12	No. 38	AZ Ex. 219	227
13	No. 40	AZ Ex. 236	245
14	No. 43	2018 Privacy Policy - Redline	261
15	No. 46	McGriff Blog 1	219
16	No. 47	McGriff Blog 2	218
17	No. 48	2018 Quartz Article	85
18	No. 49	2018 AP Article 1	225
19	No. 53	Blumenthal-Markey Letter to FTC	233
20			
21	GOVERNMENI	C'S EXHIBITS:	
22	No. 1	CAST Report	32
23			
24			
25			
		J.A. 419	
I	I	J.A. 417	

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MinL¹⁹ Bocument 201: 01/20/2023 9/21 94 age 4 of 277 Page 1832 of 2164)

```
4
             (The proceedings in this matter commenced at
 1
 2
    9:30 a.m.)
 3
 4
             THE CLERK: Case No. 3:19CR130, United States
 5
    of America versus Okello Chatrie.
             The United States is represented by Kenneth
 6
 7
    Simon, Peter Duffey, and Nathan Judish.
             The defendant is represented by Paul Gill,
 8
 9
    Laura Koenig, and Michael Price.
10
             Are counsel ready to proceed?
11
             MR. SIMON: The United States is ready, Your
    Honor.
12
13
             MS. KOENIG: The defense is ready, Your
14
    Honor.
15
             THE COURT: Okay.
16
             MS. KOENIG: Before we begin --
17
             THE COURT: Okay. I'm aware that we have
    counsel for Google here. I don't know if you want to
18
19
    just state your name on the record because that you
20
    will be representing witnesses that come forward.
21
    It's really up to you.
22
             MS. CARROLL: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
    Catherine Carroll, present on behalf of Google.
24
             THE COURT: Thank you.
25
             MR. CARROLL: Thank you.
```

5

THE COURT: All right. Well, I understand 1 2 that you all have handled some logistics, that the 3 defense will begin presenting evidence first. I'll 4 hear any introductory remarks that either side wants 5 to present. And I think there's something else you wanted to address, and I can't remember. 6 7 MS. KOENIG: Yes, Your Honor. We have a 8 modified sequestration order that the parties have 9 agreed to that we're asking the Court to enter. Shall I just come to the podium? 10 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MS. KOENIG: Each party has an advisory 13 witness. The defense will designate Spencer 14 McInvaille, who's our expert, as our advisory witness, 15 and ask that he be allowed to remain in the courtroom 16 throughout the proceedings. 17 The government, I understand, will be designating Detective Hylton, who is their case agent 18 19 as their advisory witness. The government also has an additional expert, Agent D'Errico, and so he will also 20 21 be allowed to remain in the courtroom in an expert 22 capacity. But we are asking that the witnesses, in 23 order that the witnesses -- any other witnesses not be 24 allowed to be in the courtroom, except for during their testimony. 2.5

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-06119-Bocument 201: 0+/20/20239/21P9-14 of 286 77 Paget 9# 1834 of 2164)

6

THE COURT: All right. 1 2 MS. KOENIG: And then the last piece of it is 3 that the witnesses are not allowed to discuss their 4 testimony with other witnesses. 5 THE COURT: Right. And, obviously, counsel aren't allowed to talk about testimony that's coming 6 7 in. MS. KOENIG: So that is -- that's the 8 9 modification, Your Honor, is that the parties have 10 agreed that counsel will be able to talk to witnesses, 11 but the witnesses will not be able to talk to each 12 other. 13 THE COURT: So that includes Google? 14 MS. KOENIG: Correct. 15 THE COURT: All right. Now, I'm going to ask 16 you to spell the names on the record because I know 17 Hylton is spelled differently than a court reporter 18 might think, and just to be sure that we get everything correct. 19 20 MS. KOENIG: Sure. The defense expert is 21 Spencer McInvaille. M-c-I-N-V-A-I-L-L-E. And 22 Detective Hylton is H-Y-L-T-O-N. And Agent D'Errico, 23 I don't believe there's an apostrophe. Oh, there is. 24 Okay. D-apostrophe-E-R-R-I-C-O. The spelling of the 25 agent was not my preparation today. Thank you.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-06110-Document 201: 0+/20/20239/21P9-15-of 286 77012 1643 of 2164)

7

THE COURT: Does the government have anything 1 2 to add? 3 MR. SIMON: Nothing from us, Judge. 4 THE COURT: All right. So we will enter the 5 modified sequestration order. Obviously, counsel will 6 be responsible in making sure that their witnesses 7 know not to speak to each other. My bet is they already know that, given the counsel that we have in 8 9 front of us. And we'll certainly allow the experts to 10 hear the evidence as it goes in. That's not uncommon 11 and will, I think, serve the interests of the hearing overall. 12 13 Do we have folks calling in or not? 14 THE CLERK: Yes, ma'am. I have the line set 15 up, but it doesn't appear that anybody is on there at 16 this time. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So I want you to know we've had some folks ask to call in on an AT&T line. 18 19 Of course, it's as if we're in open court. I've 20 okayed that. If you are aware of anybody who you know 21 who is calling in, I'm going to require counsel to 22 inform them of our Local Rule 53 and the standing 23 order that they cannot record or transmit or give any 24 kind of broadcast of this hearing. We are in the 25 hearing. Ms. Daffron will create our record. And

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Document 201 01/20/2023 9/21 Pg age 8 of 270 page 8 (448 of 2164)

	8
1	especially with an AT&T line, it's a little odd, and
2	we're only really doing this because of COVID. I just
3	want to be sure that they are aware that even if they
4	sort of want to save something to tell a friend, or
5	somebody who might have an interest in the case, they
6	just cannot. They can order a transcript, but they
7	can't do anything more than that.
8	MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, I will tell the
9	Court that we expect that several members of the NACDL
10	staff
11	THE COURT: So you have to talk slower and
12	say N-A-D-C-L more clearly.
13	MS. KOENIG: Thank you. I expect that
14	several members, staff members, of NACDL, which is the
15	organization that Mr. Price works for, will be calling
16	in. We are a little surprised they haven't called in
17	already. But they have already been instructed not to
18	do any recordings or
19	THE COURT: We'll say it to anybody who does
20	call in.
21	THE CLERK: Hopefully, he can hear us.
22	THE COURT: Can we confirm that he can?
23	THE CLERK: Mr. Shoop, can you hear us? No.
24	THE COURT: So we have somebody from NBC
25	calling in?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-06119-Bocument 201: 0+/20/20239/21P9-17 of 286 77 Paget 287 of 2164)

9

THE CLERK: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: I want to be sure that that 3 person knows. I have to announce that so he or she 4 can hear it, too. 5 THE CLERK: It is NBC Universal. 6 THE COURT: Okay. NBC Universal. My 7 apologies for the delay. 8 MR. PRICE: Your Honor, we just got word that 9 lots of people are on the line considering the beeps, 10 but no one can hear anything. 11 THE COURT: They can't hear. THE CLERK: All right. I'm going to have to 12 13 call Martin. 14 THE COURT: All right. So we have to get our 15 IT involved. While we're waiting, what I'd like to be 16 sure is that we put on the record the motion we're 17 taking evidence for and other sort of just standard 18 things. 19 MS. KOENIG: Yes, Your Honor. I don't expect 20 that the defense will have any introductory remarks. 21 We will be prepared to go straight into evidence. But 22 this is an evidentiary hearing that is in support of 23 the motion to suppress the evidence obtained pursuant 24 to the geofence warrant, and that is ECF 29, for which 2.5 there has been a lot of subsequent briefing.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201: 01/20/23/23/21 Pair 18 of 286279tal Page 1: 1838 of 2164)

10

THE COURT: Now, you definitely can't speak 1 2 that quickly, and I didn't hear at all what you said 3 at the end. 4 MS. KOENIG: Sorry. For which there has been 5 a lot of subsequent briefing. THE COURT: Right. Okay. 6 7 So while we're waiting also, I want you to know we've gone through a lot of COVID protocol 8 9 together. I can see that you all have been very 10 mindful of it and will continue to be. I'm going to 11 ask you to continue to be, certainly, all through this 12 process. 13 We have a jury trial going on in a courtroom 14 on this floor. And so what I want you all to do is 15 not move in the hallways unless someone has allowed 16 you to do it. We're trying to make sure that traffic 17 is not congested so that, I guess, we don't lead into COVID congestion. 18 19 We can only do two people in an elevator at 20 any one time. And we really are coordinating on the 21 sixth floor, and a little bit on the seventh floor, 22 with how we're moving people around. So, certainly, 23 if you have any witnesses who are not in the 24 courtroom, be sure they know that, too. Our biggest issues with COVID have been, understandably probably, 25

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹ Bocument 201: 01/20/2023/21 Page 9128627914 Bage 91: 1839 of 2164)

11 I don't want to the say anything too negative, but 1 2 bored witnesses waiting for their time to be called and then sort of wandering around. And we just can't 3 4 have that. So I'll appreciate your indulgence in 5 that, too. This is our simplest technology. I have 6 7 never had an AT&T conference call not work. My apologies. They are only hearing us in binary 8 9 language of beeps. I guess we need an interpreter. (10 (IT is here now.) 11 THE CLERK: You can tell your folks they might have to call in again. 12 13 OPERATOR: Welcome to AT&T's teleconference 14 service. Please enter your access code followed by 15 the pound sign. There are 12 participants on the call including you. 16 17 MR. PRICE: I'm just letting them to know to call back. 18 19 THE CLERK: Okay. Can the people on the call 20 hear us? Can somebody say something? 21 AN UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Yes, we can hear 22 you. 23 THE COURT: Thank you all. 24 All right. I understand now that folks who 25 have called in on the AT&T line can hear us. We've

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pp. 20 of 2862 Total Page 2: (452 of 2164)

	12
1	had some introductory scheduling issues taken care of
2	about witnesses and presentation of evidence.
3	I also informed folks here, and I'm going to
4	inform folks listening in on the AT&T line, that our
5	Local Criminal Rule 53 and our standing order
6	prohibits any kind of broadcasting or telecasting or
7	recording of these events. Of course, you're welcome
8	to listen in, but it is just as if you were in the
9	courtroom itself.
10	We have one court reporter, who is making the
11	single record that we will have of this proceeding.
12	And it is a violation of our rules to in any way make
13	a different or separate recording or record.
14	Can everybody hear me say that? Is there
15	anybody on the AT&T call?
16	UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Yes.
17	THE COURT: All right. So you all are on
18	notice as to that?
19	UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Yes.
20	THE COURT: All right. Okay.
21	So I will allow the defense to begin
22	presenting evidence. I guess I want to confirm, is
23	this a continuation of evidence that we heard with
24	respect to discovery, the request for discovery, or is
25	this a whole new record?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/2023 121 Pg: 21 of 286 Total Pages: (453 of 2164)

	13
1	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, we will be repeating
2	some of what we did in the discovery hearing but not
3	all of it, so it's a continuation. There will be a
4	little bit of repetition, but hopefully not too much.
5	THE COURT: Right. That's fine. I just want
6	to make sure that both sides is the government in
7	agreement that you're actually referring to both
8	hearings as far as the evidence that I'm taking into
9	consideration?
10	MR. SIMON: Judge, I think we asked that the
11	Court certainly can consider that, but the record on
12	appeal, I think, in this case will be about the
13	evidence received at this particular hearing. And so
14	we don't necessarily think that the transcript from
15	the discovery hearing should override or overtake
16	anything today. So we'd say focus on the evidence
17	received here today, including from their expert
18	Spencer McInvaille. But, you know, both sides may
19	refer back to that testimony.
20	THE COURT: Well, that's my question. I
21	don't want disputes about what I can take into account
22	or what I can't take into account. You've been
23	agreeing about the important issues, but it's easier
24	to sort of set the parameters from the start rather
25	than not.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Cument 201: 01/20/2023 12 Pg: 22 of 286 Total Pages: (454 of 2164)

1	MS. KOENIG: Sure. Your Honor, from the
2	defense perspective, we have learned a lot of
3	information from the time of today past January 2020.
4	So to some extent, some of the issues that we talked
5	about before, like some of the exhibits that we have
6	on our exhibit list that we intend to introduce today,
7	we took to heart the Court's direction that we
8	shouldn't rely on past exhibits. So we are going to
9	be referring and admitting those separately today.
10	There may be a couple of points that we may
11	not spend as much time on, like, for example, the
12	three paths video we spent quite a bit of time at the
13	discovery hearing on. And we may not go too much in
14	depth on that simply just to save time because we have
15	a lot of witnesses and a lot of material to move
16	through. But to the extent there is something
17	different or contradictory or something that is
18	changed, of course, today's record would control
19	simply because at least the defense has moved well
20	beyond where we were information-wise from January
21	2020.
22	THE COURT: Right. Which in part is
23	although we've had, for lots of technological reasons
24	and pandemic reasons and making sure we can have
25	witnesses come in person from other places, we've had

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 23 of 286 Total Page 5: (455 of 2164)

	15
1	delay, but we've also had delay that I think maybe
2	will have available to us a better record.
3	What I'm going to say is that I want you all
4	at the end of today, presuming we go into tomorrow,
5	just meet and get a sense if there's going to be any
6	dispute about what we can turn back to. And if you
7	all want me to make any kind of speedy trial findings,
8	I can do so now. Is that a good way to start or is
9	anybody objecting to the delay that we've had? It's
10	been pretty well documented through our case, and, in
11	fact, Mr. Chatrie has asked for a couple of delays
12	himself.
13	I think we've all been on the same page with
14	respect to it, but if there should be findings on the
15	record, I'm happy to make them.
16	MS. KOENIG: I think all the findings have
17	already been made as to that, Your Honor, and we're
18	just here today ready to move forward. The defense
19	doesn't have any objections to the findings the Court
20	has previously made.
21	THE COURT: Right. Okay.
22	Mr. Simon, you're in agreement?
23	MR. SIMON: Yes, Judge. And I don't think
24	the Court was asking, again, about the record piece;
25	is that right?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹Document 201: 41/20/2023/21 Pp: 24 9fc286279tal Page 1: 456 of 2164)

16

THE COURT: I'm sorry? 1 2 MR. SIMON: You weren't asking, again, Judge, 3 about our review of the record, what the Court should 4 consider? 5 THE COURT: No. I think you guys are going 6 to agree. I just want to anticipate before anything 7 gets too old in our minds any piece of evidence that 8 you all think you may disagree about, we'll have a 9 hearing on that, about what to do about it, and we'll 10 do it now, not in two months, is what I'm saying. 11 MR. SIMON: Understood, Judge. And like defense counsel know, concerns about the delay here. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 14 All right. So we're ready for the defense to 15 begin. MR. PRICE: Good morning, Your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. PRICE: Michael Price for Okello Chatrie. 18 19 The defense would like to call Spencer McInvaille, 20 please. 21 SPENCER MCINVAILLE, called by the defendant, first 22 being duly sworn, testified as follows: 23 THE COURT: All right. Now, as you're 24 25 approaching the podium and the witness stand, you all

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/23/23/21 Pp age 01/201277tpl. Page 1: 1845 of 2164)

17 McINVAILLE - DIRECT may do whatever you wish with respect to your comfort 1 2 zone with respect to COVID. You may take your mask off as long as nobody here in this room objects. We 3 4 do have these plastic barriers. We have the 5 sanitizing wipes and hand sanitizer. The only thing I would say is certainly every 6 7 time you leave any space, clean it off so that if 8 somebody else goes near it or sits there also, it is 9 fresh for them. We try to follow-up on that, too. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 BY MR. PRICE: 13 Good morning, Mr. McInvaille. How are you? Q 14 A Good morning. 15 Q Would you please state your full name for the 16 record. 17 Spencer McInvaille. А Q And can you tell us who you are? 18 19 Yeah. I'm a digital forensic examiner with А 20 Envista Forensics. I deal with cell phone location, 21 location evidence in general. And I consult with 22 prosecutors, attorneys, and defense counsel on those 23 types of issues. 24 Q Thank you. And you've been previously qualified 2.5 as an expert in this case in the fields of digital

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 26 of 286 Total Page 286 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 18
1	forensic examinations, global forensics, and cellular
2	location analysis?
3	A Yes, that's correct.
4	Q So I want to start with some basics.
5	THE COURT: So, Mr. Price, I'm going to ask
6	you to move the microphone a little closer to you.
7	And also, Mr. McInvaille, if you could speak
8	into the microphone. That's the way my court reporter
9	hears you. She's not listening to you anywhere other
10	than from her earphones.
11	And I just want to confirm the government has
12	no objection to Mr. McInvaille testifying as an
13	expert; is that correct?
14	MR. DUFFEY: We do not, Judge. Thank you.
15	BY MR. PRICE:
16	Q So I want to start with some basics here. And I
17	want to ask you what sources of location data does
18	Google use to locate phones generally?
19	A Sure. So for locating devices, you're generally
20	going to see GPS data, Wi-Fi locations, Bluetooth
21	locations, and cellular. Those are the main ways that
22	Google would locate a device.
23	Q Can you explain each of those just a little bit?
24	What's GPS?
25	A Sure. GPS is our Global Positioning System using
	J.A. 434

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Document 201: 01/20/2023 Pg: 27 of 286 Total Pages: (459 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 19
1	satellites to locate devices. People commonly
2	associate that with how they navigate around town or
3	go places with their phone.
4	As far as Wi-Fi goes, Wi-Fi access points are
5	points on earth that we use to use data and things on
6	our phones. As they capture where those are on earth
7	based on signal strengths from each of those points,
8	you can relatively locate a device as it pertains to
9	how close it is to a certain access point.
10	As far as cellular goes, similar principle there.
11	We know where the cell towers are. They communicate
12	with a device. And based on signal strengths, you can
13	determine where the device is in relation to the cell
14	phone tower.
15	Q Are there any other sources of location data
16	besides the ones that you mentioned?
17	THE COURT: So, Mr. Price, you're talking
18	really fast.
19	BY MR. PRICE:
20	Q Are there any other sources of location data other
21	than GPS, Bluetooth, cellular, and Wi-Fi?
22	A You can also use, say, IP addresses, too, to
23	locate to generally locate someone.
24	Q How accurate are these sources? Maybe you can
25	just talk about each one a little bit.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Comment 201: 01/20/2023 Pp: 28 of 286279tal Page 2: 1848 of 2164)

20 McINVAILLE - DIRECT They're going to vary. Cell phone towers, Sure. 1 А 2 of course, cover very large areas. There are sectors. 3 Each of them cover large areas. While they can, 4 again, generally locate a device, they may not be the 5 most accurate, but they can give us a relative 6 location on earth. 7 Wi-Fi. Wi-Fi only extends so far. So it is going 8 to give you a smaller area than what the cell tower 9 probably could. 10 GPS can be very accurate. We can see that. It 11 can be very accurate. Sub-meter accuracy at times with open skies. 12 13 THE COURT: Sub-meter or some meter? 14 THE WITNESS: Sub-meter. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 THE WITNESS: Smaller than 1 meter. 17 So each has their own capability of how accurately you can place someone on earth or place a 18 19 device on earth. 20 Q So when Google is using location information to 21 find a phone, does it matter if someone is inside? Does it still work? 22 23 No, it can still locate. А 24 It still locates people inside or outside? Q 25 Yes. А

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹ Document 201: 01/20/2023/21 Pp: 29 of 2862 79 tal Pages: (461 of 2164)

Case	3:19-cr-00130-MAL Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Page 21-of 277 Page D# 1849
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 21
1	Q What are the what does Google do with this
2	data? What are the repositories of location data that
3	Google keeps?
4	A Sure. From my research, they keep this type of
5	data in several ways. So you have Location History
6	being that, as has been described, this journal of
7	location history for a user. That can include each of
8	those sources that we discussed on how information is
9	gathered. And so it associates those with date and
10	times for the device.
11	There's also Google Location Accuracy, which keeps
12	up with some of that information we've talked about
13	before with the access points, where they are on
14	earth, how they make calculations, to make
15	comparisons.
16	THE COURT: Did you say Location Accuracy?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	I'll let you say that again. I interrupted.
20	THE WITNESS: That's okay.
21	A As far as Google, there's Location History. There
22	is Google Location Accuracy, as well as Web & App
23	Activity also tracks IP addresses, and things like
24	that, web activity application usage for general
25	location.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL 19-3 Cument 201: 01/20/2023 Page 22-01-27 tal Page 22-

22 McINVAILLE - DIRECT THE COURT: Can you repeat what Location 1 2 Accuracy is? 3 THE WITNESS: Sure. So Location Accuracy is 4 going to -- is a repository of data as far as where 5 access points and things like that are located so that it can be compared to other data for location 6 7 purposes. THE COURT: Thank you. 8 BY MR. PRICE: 9 10 Q So what does Google do with all of this location 11 data? A Sure. So this location data at this point, just 12 13 data in general on people and their activities, is a 14 lucrative -- it's a tangible item at this point. It's 15 something that's used for advertising, understanding consumers and their habits, things like that. It's 16 17 used for advertising, essentially. Q The different kinds of advertising that Google 18 19 does with this? 20 A Sure. So you have targeted ads from understanding 21 what a person may want to -- you know, their 22 interests. You can also target those ads based on 23 their proximity to certain places. So if a particular 24 business would like to try to generate more activity 25 from the people that live and work around them, they

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Page 23 of 279 Page 23 of 279 Page 23 of 2164)

Cast	
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 23
1	can try to target those people based on pushing ads to
2	them because of their proximity to that location.
3	Q So if Google offers this advertising service to
4	businesses based on location, do the businesses get
5	the information about user location?
6	A Not to my knowledge. What the end user or the
7	person paying for the advertising service receives is
8	pretty much reporting on how well these ads are
9	converting to revenue for them.
10	Q How would you explain the difference between
11	targeting ads based on location and a law enforcement
12	request for user location data?
13	A So as far as, again, with targeted ads for the
14	business, the business is seeing whether or not their
15	ads are becoming sales, whether or not people in the
16	area that they're trying to pay they're paying to
17	hopefully see these ads are coming to their business.
18	As far as in this law enforcement request or these
19	geofence warrants, the difference is, is the return is
20	different. The return is that you are seeing
21	individual users, identifiers about those users, their
22	location, and other information provided. So it's
23	much different.
24	Q So just to clarify. What personally identifiable
25	location information do businesses get when they do

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pp: 32 of 2862 Total Page 24 of 277 Page D# 1852 of 2164)

I	l
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 24
1	this targeting?
2	A None that I'm aware of.
3	Q So we'll start with an easy one. What's a
4	geofence warrant?
5	A So, a geofence warrant is a request by law
6	enforcement to, in this case Google, to find out the
7	users that are in a specific area. So a circle or box
8	is drawn around a particular area where something
9	happens. A time frame of that incident is also given.
10	And the request is made to Google to find out who was
11	inside of that particular area during the given time
12	frame.
13	Q And did you review the geofence warrant in this
14	case?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So what type of data was searched as a result of
17	the geofence warrant in this case? Which repositories
18	of data?
19	A So Google Location History was the searched area
20	for Google.
21	Q Any others?
22	A No.
23	Q Who decided what kind of information was going to
24	get searched?
25	A From my understanding, Google made the

J.A. 440

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 33 of 286 Total Pages: (465 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 25
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 25
1	determination to search Google history Location
2	History, I'm sorry.
3	Q So there are three kinds. Google searched one and
4	it was up to them?
5	A From my understanding, yes.
6	Q Could you describe how a geofence warrant works,
7	what the stages are, how it unfolds process-wise, just
8	generally?
9	A Sure. So the warrant is broken up into three
10	steps. Each of those steps gaining more information
11	as you go. So the warrant will spell out each of
12	these steps for the process.
13	So, in Stage 1, a request is made for the
14	geofence. So wherever the place is on earth. In this
15	instance, it was a 150-meter circle, radius circle,
16	that was drawn around a fixed point. And so the
17	Stage 1 request is what users were inside of the
18	circle during a one-hour time period.
19	Q And does it end there?
20	A No. So it goes further into each of the steps.
21	So when that request is made, Google will respond with
22	the location of the users and an identifier for each
23	of the users who were inside of the circle at that
24	time. So that's the steps from asking for Stage 1 to
25	the return of Stage 1. Return of Stage 1 being a

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Coument 201: 01/20/2023 Pp: 34 of 286279tal Page 26 of 2164)

26 McINVAILLE - DIRECT spreadsheet of device IDs, locations, and the dates 1 2 and times of those locations. So once you have that information, you move into 3 4 Step 2. Step 2 requires that a determination be made 5 of how many of those users you want to know more information about. In Step 2, what Step 2 allows is 6 7 contextual data. So it removes the geographical limits as well as the time frame expands. So you get 8 9 more information about the movements of the people chosen out of Stage 1 about where they moved before 10 11 and after the original geofence. You end up with that group of people. And now you know where they came 12 13 from before the incident and then after. 14 Another determination needs to be made, and that's 15 Step 3. Step 3, when you make that request to Google, you're asking for all of the subscriber information or 16 17 all of the account information for the users that 18 you've selected. 19 So in each step, you have -- you've made the large

20 search of all users. Then you move into Stage 2 of a 21 defined group out of that that you received. And then 22 Step 3, again, another group that you've defined out 23 of that to finally understand and reveal who those 24 people are.

25

Q Thank you. I want to turn to the geofence warrant

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Page 27 of 279 Page 27 of 279 Page 27 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 27
1	in this case. Specifically, I'd like to call your
2	attention to what's been marked Defense Exhibit 1.
3	THE COURT: So the record is clear, Exhibit 1
4	is being shown on the screens here in the courtroom.
5	Q Can you tell us what Exhibit 1 is, Mr. McInvaille?
6	A This is the affidavit for a search warrant in this
7	case, the geofence warrant.
8	Q And this is the geofence warrant you reviewed?
9	A Yes, that's correct.
10	Q Thank you.
11	MR. PRICE: I'd like to admit Exhibit 1 into
12	evidence, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Any objection?
14	MR. DUFFEY: No objection, Judge.
15	THE COURT: It will be entered.
16	MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	(Government's Exhibit No. 1 is admitted into
18	evidence.)
19	BY MR. PRICE:
20	Q Okay. So you just explained how geofence warrants
21	work generally. We're looking at the warrant in this
22	case. What happened here? Tell us how this works.
23	A So, again, there's a three-step process outlined
24	in this warrant, as well. Again, a Stage 1, Stage 2,
25	and Stage 3. So here the there's a time frame for

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/2023 Pp: 36 of 286 279 tal Page 28 of 2164)

2.8 McINVAILLE - DIRECT May 20th of 2019. 1 2 THE COURT: You have to talk about where 3 you're referring to on the piece of paper because 4 anybody reading this record is not going to have the 5 document in front of them. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I'm under 6 7 Attachment 2 of the search warrant. A So it defines the time frame that's going to be 8 9 searched. So it's May 20th of 2019 from 16:20 hours until, on the same date, 17:20 hours. So a one-hour 10 11 time period. There's also a geofence drawn around a particular 12 13 location. So they provide a latitude and longitude of 14 where they're going to draw this radius. That radius 15 was 150 meters. So the Stage 1 of that request was 16 for users located within that circle during that time 17 frame. THE COURT: Now I'm going to interrupt you 18 19 because it's my job to make sure the record is clear. 20 In Defense Exhibit 1, I have a document behind a signed warrant that says Attachment 1, the place, 21 22 person or thing to be searched. That has Roman

23 numeral -- not Roman numeral. A numeral 1 at the 24 bottom. And then the document I think you're showing 25 me, there's a version of it that has a page 2. I'm

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

29 McINVAILLE - DIRECT trying to find what you're actually showing me in 1 2 Exhibit 1. 3 THE WITNESS: I'm on Attachment 2. 4 THE COURT: Got it. 5 THE WITNESS: And it's pages 2 and 3 at the 6 bottom. 7 THE COURT: My apologies. THE WITNESS: No problem. 8 9 THE COURT: I'm with you now. BY MR. PRICE: 10 11 Q Okay. So what happened at Stage 1 here, specifically? 12 13 A So Stage 1 was a request for all Google users for 14 that specific location. So within that circle during 15 the hour time frame on May 20th. 16 Q And what did Google have to do to produce that 17 information? A So from what Google has told us, they require the 18 19 search of all Location History accounts to complete 20 that search to find out who was inside of that circle. 21 Q Do you know how many that was? 22 They stated it was numerous tens of millions of А 23 accounts. 24 THE COURT: When did they say that? 25 THE WITNESS: That was in, I believe, Mr.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

30 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT McGriff's declaration. 1 2 THE COURT: I think it's Marlo McGriff. 3 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 4 MR. PRICE: We'll come back to it, Your 5 Honor. THE COURT: All right. 6 7 BY MR. PRICE: Q So after conducting a search of every Google user 8 9 with Location History enabled, how many users were then sent back to law enforcement? 10 11 A Sure. So as a return for Stage 1, 19 unique identifiers were provided with location information 12 13 for that one-hour time frame. 14 Q Thank you. And what happened after that? What 15 happened in Stage 2? A So a Stage 2 request was made to Google. 16 17 Initially, a request for contextual data for all 19 18 were made. I believe that request was made more than 19 once. And Google responded saying that that number 20 needed to be reduced before they could respond to the Stage 2 request for contextual data. 21 22 That number was reduced to, I believe, nine. So 23 nine users had contextual data provided. So that was 24 the data that provides you 30 minutes before the initial time frame and 30 minutes after. So now our 2.5

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

31 McINVAILLE - DIRECT time frame has expanded to two hours where there are 1 2 no geographical limits during Stage 2 so that you can see movement before and after and outside of the 3 4 original geofence. 5 Q Thank you. And then what happened in Stage 3? 6 So Stage 3, again, a request was made by law А 7 enforcement for the Stage 3 request. In that request, they identified three users to reveal account 8 9 information for. 10 Q Thank you very much. I would like to show you a 11 slide from the report prepared by the FBI's Cellular Analysis Survey Team, the CAST team, and it's marked 12 13 as Government's Exhibit 1. I'd like to show you page 14 8. Can you tell us what we're looking at here? 15 A So this is a similar picture to what you see from 16 page 3 of attachment to --17 THE COURT: Can we just go through the formality? Does the government object to this being 18 19 placed in evidence since we're taking evidence from 20 it? 21 MR. DUFFEY: We do not, Judge. It's our 22 exhibit. So no objection. 23 THE COURT: Okay. So Government Exhibit 1 24 will be in evidence. 2.5 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Page 32-0f 277 Page 24 and 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 32
1	(Government's Exhibit No. 1 is admitted into
2	evidence.)
3	BY MR. PRICE:
4	Q Sorry. What are we looking at here?
5	A So this is a similar picture to what is displayed
6	in the search warrant on attachment to page 3. It
7	shows the actual geofence. So that's the large red
8	circle here. The point in the middle is the reference
9	point that they provided to draw that radius from.
10	And then you see the area that's encompassed by the
11	geofence.
12	Q What are the places immediately implicated by this
13	geofence?
14	A Sure. So you have the Call Federal Bank. You
15	also have the Journey Christian Church. There's the
16	parking lot for the church and bank, and then some of
17	the wooded area surrounding both of those.
18	Q Thank you. Can we go to the next slide, please.
19	So this is the next slide. Can you tell me what
20	places are right outside the geofence as drawn?
21	A Sure. Special Agent he was able to identify
22	some of these. And so you can, from his chart here,
23	you can see the apartments. There's two different
24	sets of apartment complexes here. Again, the
25	previously mentioned locations.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-30cument 201: 41/20/2023 Pg: 41 of 286279tal Page 3: 19-67-00130-MHL¹⁹-30cument 201: 41/20/2023 Pg: 41 of 286279tal Page 3: 1861 of 2164)

MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 33 1 You have A.M. Davis, Inc., a company that's across 2 the street from the church. You have the Hampton Inn

3 Hotel, which is just outside the church's parking lot.
4 There's restaurants as well as a mini storage facility
5 there.

6 THE COURT: I'm just going to put on the 7 record this is page 9 of the same report of 8 Government's Exhibit 1.

9 Q Okay. I'd like you to take a look at Defense10 Exhibit 3. If we could bring that up.

MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, this is an exhibit that the Court had previously placed under seal. It is the raw data from what Google produced. And so I believe since we are broadcasting to a different courtroom, it may be best to look at the paper copies of this, but it is Defense Exhibit 3.

17 THE COURT: Is there any objection to that 18 from the government?

MR. DUFFEY: No objection, Judge.

19

THE COURT: All right. This has been placed under seal because of the potentially identifying information that is within it. And so we will review this document under seal.

24 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.25 Q Can you tell us what is Defense Exhibit 3? What

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/23/23/21 Pg: 42 of 286279tal Page 34 of 2164)

34 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT are we looking at? 1 2 A Yes. So it's the Stage 1 return for the Google 3 geofence. It's the letters from Google telling you 4 what they provided as well as PDF versions of the 5 Excel spreadsheets or CSVs that were from Google. Q So just to clarify, this is the raw data returns 6 7 from the geofence warrant? A Yes. This is what you would use to look and see 8 9 where one of these devices was on the map. It 10 provides you with locations and information about what 11 was requested in Stage 1. Q Can we take a look at column A? 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. We have to move them into 14 evidence. 15 MR. PRICE: Excuse me. 16 Q You reviewed this in preparation for your 17 testimony? A That's correct. 18 19 MR. PRICE: I'd like to move this into 20 evidence as Defense Exhibit 3, please. 21 THE COURT: Right. Any objection? 22 MR. DUFFEY: No objection. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 MR. PRICE: Thank you for reminding me. 25 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 3 is admitted into

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

35 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT evidence.) 1 2 Can we take a look at Column A, please. Q 3 Α Yes. 4 What does it say at the top of Column A? Q 5 Column A is defined as device ID. А What about Columns B and C? 6 Q 7 А B and C provide us a date and time. 8 How about D and E? Q 9 D and E are the estimated latitudes and longitudes А 10 for those records. 11 Q So tell me more about that. How do those estimated latitude/longitude points relate to the 12 13 geofence warrant? 14 A Sure. So these specific points -- so latitude and 15 longitude is a reference of a point on earth. And 16 these are the points that fell within the red circle 17 that we previously spoke about. So within the geofence. 18 19 Q So where that latitude/longitude point is 20 determines whether it gets reported in the geofence 21 warrant returns? 22 A That's correct. So if this point were to have 23 fallen outside of the red circle, it would not be 24 provided. If it falls within the red circle, it is 25 provided.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/2023 Pg: 44 of 286279tal Page 3: 1864 of 2164)

36 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT Okay. Now, let's look at Column G. What's that 1 Q 2 column? 3 That's the maps display radius in meters. Α 4 Q In that column, there are some numbers, right? 5 А Yes. 6 0 What are those numbers? 7 А That is the estimated radius. So from the 8 estimated latitude and longitude, a circle is then 9 drawn, a radius circle is drawn around that point, and that's the estimation that the device should be within 10 11 that circle by the estimate. 12 THE COURT: Within the second circle, not the 13 original circle? 14 THE WITNESS: Correct. This circle is drawn 15 around the individual points for that specific user 16 for that specific record. 17 BY MR. SIMON: 18 How does Google draw that display radius? Q 19 It would -- I don't know how they come up with it. А 20 How does it appear? Q 21 Oh, it appears -- when you draw it on the map, it A 22 appears to be the estimated latitude and longitude 23 point in one place with a circle drawn around that to 24 show you the area that the phone could have been in or the device could have been in. 2.5

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹Document 201: 01/20/2023 Pp: 45 of 286279tal Page 3: 1865 of 2164)

37 McINVAILLE - DIRECT Google has talked about something called a 1 Q 2 confidence interval. What's a confidence interval? 3 THE COURT: So you cannot be showing exhibits 4 you're not talking about. 5 MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, that's my fault. My screen is locked, and I can't get out. So if we could 6 7 unlock my screen, potentially I could get out to the correct exhibit. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 THE CLERK: I don't have control of your 11 screen. MS. KOENIG: There's a little lock button on 12 13 the screen, Your Honor, on the right-hand side, and I 14 was able to change exhibits before that lock appeared. 15 THE CLERK: Where it says "no stream 16 detected"? 17 MS. KOENIG: Right above where it says "no stream detected." 18 19 THE CLERK: That's been there all along. 20 THE COURT: I just want to be clear, this is 21 still part of Government's Exhibit 1. 22 MS. KOENIG: Correct. I wasn't intending to 23 go to this stage, Your Honor. I was trying to get to 24 the next exhibit, but it is frozen. There we go. All 25 right. Okay.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Coument 201: 01/20/2023 Pg: 46 of 286277tal Page 3: 1866 of 2164)

38 McINVAILLE - DIRECT MR. PRICE: All set? 1 2 MS. KOENIG: Yes. 3 MR. SIMON: Okay. Sorry about that, Your 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: That's okay. 6 MS. KOENIG: We're down to one paralegal in 7 the Federal Public Defender's Office. I'm serving double duty, and it is not my specialty. 8 9 THE COURT: Congratulations on having a 10 paralegal. 11 MS. KOENIG: Fair enough. 12 BY MR. PRICE: 13 Q Google has talked about something called a 14 confidence interval. Can you explain to us what a 15 confidence interval is? 16 A Yes. So they described it -- when they estimate 17 the point on earth, so the latitude and longitude, and once they draw that circle around that point, the 18 19 display radius, they have a rating or a goal of being 20 how confident they are that they made the correct 21 estimation, and that's 68 percent is their goal in 22 determining the location on earth. 23 Q So they're saying -- so just to clarify. They try 24 to be -- rephrase that. Is that a probability that somebody is going to be in there or just a certainty? 25

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

39 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT It's their goal to be correct 68 percent of the 1 А 2 time by estimating this latitude/longitude and drawing a circle around it, and that the phone should be 3 4 located or the device should be located within that 5 circle. 6 THE COURT: At 68 percent? 7 THE WITNESS: Correct. That's the goal. THE COURT: And you used a phrase "confidence 8 9 integral"? 10 MR. PRICE: Interval. 11 THE COURT: You've just got to be a little more clear because your witness hasn't said it. 12 13 MR. PRICE: Sorry. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. That was their 15 description of their confidence interval in the --16 THE COURT: Interval not integral? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, interval. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 18 19 BY MR. PRICE: 20 Q Can you tell us what this confidence interval has 21 to do with the geofence warrant? What does it mean 22 for how the results come in? 23 A As far as the results, we have to look at where 24 the point is referenced on earth, the maps display 2.5 radius, and just understand that even though it

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pp: 48 of 286 Total Pages: (480 of 2164)

Case	5.13 - C - 00130 - With Document 201 + lieu 03/23/21 + age 40 01 277 + age 1000
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 40
1	provides that radius where the device should be
2	located, it doesn't mean that it's absolutely within
3	that radius either.
4	Q So what does it do to the effective range of a
5	geofence warrant?
6	A It could make it larger.
7	Q I want to show you another slide from the CAST
8	report. This will be Slide No. 20, page 20, of that
9	PDF.
10	THE COURT: So that's Government's Exhibit 1,
11	page 20.
12	MR. PRICE: Thank you.
13	BY MR. PRICE:
14	Q Mr. McInvaille, what are we looking at here?
15	A So, again, the red circle is the original geofence
16	drawn. Each of the pin drops that you see, the blue
17	and the red, indicate that the two differences between
18	either GPS points that were located or Wi-Fi points
19	that were located for a user. I believe this is an
20	aggregation of all of the people for the Stage 1
21	return shown on the map.
22	And each of the blue circles that you see are
23	those display radiuses provided in the records for
24	each of those points.
25	Q So what do those those blue circles, that's the

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Coument 201: 01/20/2023 Pg: 49 01286279tal Page 2: (481 of 2164)

41 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT display radius? 1 2 A Yes. That's the estimation that Google has provided for where the device could be based on their 3 4 estimate for that record. 5 Q What do they tell us about the effective range of the geofence in this case? 6 7 А Sure. So if you were looking at one of these circles that extends outside of the geofence, so 8 9 there's a few that you see, you see the larger one, 10 and then you also see the others that encompass the 11 roadway to the right or to the north. Since the device could be anywhere within that 12 13 circle, if the estimated latitude and longitude is off 14 enough that it places the device inside the circle, 15 even though it was not, that device is now included in 16 this return even though the device never actually 17 traveled within the circle. Q So I want to take a look at that big blue circle 18 19 there. What is that? 20 A That's a -- I believe that was the largest display 21 radius provided for one of the records in the Stage 1 22 return. 23 THE COURT: Do you believe it or it is? 24 THE WITNESS: It is. 25 And what was the display radius for that point? Q

42 McINVAILLE - DIRECT 387 meters. 1 А 2 Q How does that compare to the size of the radius for the geofence as drawn? 3 4 A You can see from the map that it's roughly twice 5 if not just a little bit larger than the original red circle. So the geofence circle. 6 7 So the radius is about twice as large? 0 Yes, if not just a little more than twice. 8 А 9 Q What about the area covered by that? 10 As far as like the squared area? А 11 Q Yes. A It would be a much larger area when you talk about 12 13 area. Just expanding that circle greatly increases 14 the actual area that would be compassioned by that 15 circle. 16 Q So I promised I wouldn't make you do math on the 17 stand. THE COURT: You know, you're talking to him, 18 19 but we need to hear you. And so it is more formal --20 MR. PRICE: You can't hear me? 21 THE COURT: I can't hear you. 22 MR. PRICE: I said I promised Mr. McInvaille 23 I wouldn't make him do math on the stand on the fly. 24 BY MR. PRICE: 25 Q So I'm just going to say the area of the geofence

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Page 43-0f 277 Page 23-0f 277 Page 24-0f 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 43
1	as drawn, did you calculate that at some point?
2	A I did.
3	Q And was it approximately 71,000 meters squared?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q And the area of the large blue circle, did you
6	calculate that area at some point?
7	A I did. So the larger was, I recall, to be about
8	470,000 meters.
9	Q Thank you. And
10	THE COURT: Can you repeat the first one,
11	please. I'm sorry.
12	THE WITNESS: Ma'am?
13	THE COURT: The first, the regular geofence.
14	THE WITNESS: About 71,000 meters, I believe.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	BY MR. PRICE:
17	Q And how many times larger is 470,000 compared to
18	71,000?
19	A Roughly, I would say six times.
20	Q About six times?
21	A About six times.
22	Q So is it possible that these map display radiuses
23	could create a false positive?
24	A Yes, if you mean could someone be outside of the
25	original geofence and actually be returned as if they

J.A. 459

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pp. 52 of 2862 Total Page 2:(484 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 44
1	were inside the geofence.
2	Q How would that work?
3	A So if you're traveling by this geofence and the
4	estimation that is made is incorrect enough that
5	let's just say you're driving down the road here and
6	that your physical device is actually on
7	THE COURT: How about you name the road?
8	THE WITNESS: I believe it's Price Club
9	Drive.
10	A If you're on Price Club Drive driving past the
11	geofence and you don't actually cross into it, if
12	Google estimates your estimated latitude and longitude
13	to be within the circle, then you would have been
14	included in this return even though the device never
15	traveled within the geofence.
16	THE COURT: Within the blue circle?
17	THE WITNESS: Within the red circle.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	BY MR. PRICE:
20	Q So a false positive here would be putting somebody
21	inside the geofence that wasn't there. Is it possible
22	to have a false negative? How would that work?
23	A Sure. So if you if the opposite occurred, if
24	you were inside of the geofence, but the estimation
25	was made that your latitude and longitude fell outside

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

45 McINVAILLE - DIRECT of the circle, then you would have not been included. 1 2 THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait. So why don't you -- so you're saying that if you're inside the 3 4 original geofence and the estimate is larger than, 5 say, 150 meters, you wouldn't be reported? 6 THE WITNESS: So what causes you to be included is the estimated latitude and longitude. 7 Ιf 8 you kind of leave off the display radiuses, those blue 9 circles, at the moment and just think of the estimates of the point that's given, so the latitude and 10 11 longitude, if that estimate falls within the geofence, you are included. If that estimate falls outside of 12 13 the geofence, you are excluded. 14 So the false positive occurs when that 15 estimate is incorrect but actually falls within the fence even though you weren't in the fence. The 16 17 opposite, the false negative, occurs when the device is actually inside the geofence but the estimate made 18 19 falls outside the geofence. 20 THE COURT: So I'm looking at Column G in the 21 exhibit that's under seal. In order to return a false 22 negative, would G have to have a number above 150? 23 THE WITNESS: No, no. The false positives 24 and negatives only occur due to D and F. Excuse me, D 25 and E. The display radius is just the error radius

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹Document 201: 01/20/2023/21 Pp age 26-06-279tal Page 26-06-279tal Pag

McINVAILLE - DIRECT

46

drawn around each point. The estimated latitude and 1 2 longitude is what's critical in determining who will or will not be returned in the geofence originally. 3 4 THE COURT: So there's nothing in this 5 exhibit, Defense Exhibit 3, that shows you an estimate that could fall outside, a false negative? 6 7 THE WITNESS: So if the false negative 8 occurred, that means the device would have been inside 9 the geofence. But by the estimate made by Google it fell outside the fence, so they were not returned in 10 11 this, if that occurred. THE COURT: G reflects the -- tell me what G 12 13 reflects again. 14 THE WITNESS: G just reflects the blue circle 15 that's drawn around these points. And all that is is 16 the actual confidence that they place on the estimate. 17 So if you see a point on the map with a very small circle, then they're giving you a smaller area that 18 19 the device could have been in. A larger one, of 20 course, is a larger area the device could have been 21 in. 22 THE COURT: I thought it was reflecting the 23 meters with which the confidence was expressed. 24 That's not true? 2.5 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/2023 12 Pg: 55 of 286 Total Pages: (487 of 2164)

McINVAILLE - DIRECT

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 47
1	THE COURT: I thought it was expressing the
2	meters within which the confidence was expressed. So
3	if it said 50, that it was within 50 meters of the
4	longitude and latitude. That is not correct; is that
5	right?
6	THE WITNESS: That is the estimation. That's
7	what the display radius is for is to understand in
8	relation to the estimated point of how far away the
9	device should generally be from that estimate.
10	THE COURT: Right. So that maybe I'm
11	going too far in depth, but if it's more than 150, if
12	the estimate can't fall within 150 meters, it is not
13	going to be reported because the geofence only goes to
14	150 meters.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, but that reporting occurs
16	because of D and F, not because of G. G is just a
17	further piece of data that's given to us to understand
18	the individual points.
19	THE COURT: Okay. I get it. No one else
20	gets what I get, but I get it. So that's good.
21	MR. PRICE: I might give it one more try.
22	BY MR. PRICE:
23	Q Maybe we can run through a quick hypothetical
24	here. All the blue and red points on this exhibit are
25	inside that red line; right?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pp. 56 of 286 Total Page 286 of 2164)

Case	33:19-CI-00130-MHL DOCUMENT 201 FILED 03/29/21 Page 48 01 277 Page/D# 1876
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 48
1	A That's correct. So they report it as being within
2	the geofence, so they were returned.
3	Q If we suppose that there was somebody standing
4	right outside of that red circle, maybe at the Ruby
5	Tuesday Restaurant, and they had an error radius a
6	delay radius of, say, 100 meters. Would that display
7	radius intersect with the geofence warrant?
8	A It could, but that point wouldn't be returned. If
9	that point is outside of the red circle, then it will
10	not return within this stage of the request.
11	Q And it's also possible if we had somebody whose
12	actual location was inside that geofence, but their
13	radius extended outwards, it's possible that they
14	might be outside that geofence? That they wouldn't
15	actually be at their marker?
16	A It's possible. Like if you look at the point,
17	it's kind of a long point up to the top edge of the
18	red circle as you move north. As you see, that
19	display, the point is within the circle, but the
20	radius actually extends out just a touch over towards
21	the Hampton Inn.
22	The phone actually or the device could be to the
23	outer edge of that circle, which is outside of the
24	geofence.
25	MR. PRICE: Does Your Honor have any
	J.A. 464

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

49 McINVAILLE - DIRECT questions further on that point? 1 2 THE COURT: I will think about it, and I'll 3 ask questions. We have Google folks coming. 4 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 BY MR. PRICE: Q So if this big blue circle is the effective range 6 7 of the geofence, can you tell us which places were encompassed by it? 8 9 A For this specific device, if that's where the 10 device could be, you have -- again, you have the Price 11 Club Drive, the road, you have Hull Street included, the Mini Price Storage, looks to be a few sets of 12 13 apartments down here to the south and southeast side 14 of it. The A.M. Davis, Inc., again, that we spoke 15 about. Rockwood Village Apartments is the one I -- I can see it better now. So there's two sets of 16 17 apartments there, as well, that are included. 18 So this device could have been anywhere around 19 that location. 20 Q Thank you. I'm just going to switch gears here 21 for a second. The government likens the geofence 22 warrant to a tower dump in their briefing. Can you 23 tell us, what's a tower dump? And would it have been 24 useful here? 25 A tower dump is a similar request. You don't А

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 58 of 286 Total Pages: (490 of 2164)

MCINVAILLE - DIRECT

50

1	really know what you're asking for. You're making a
2	request to the cell phone carriers for users, their
3	subscribers, that are using towers in the area of,
4	like, for instance, here, in the area of the bank.
5	What they're going to return is spreadsheets that are
6	going to tell you phone numbers that were using those
7	towers that service that area.
8	The kind of point of all that is normally what you
9	have is maybe one or two or more locations where
10	incidents have happened over time. And what you're
11	looking for as a result of these tower dumps is a
12	common number or common numbers that show up in these
13	records.
14	As a result of that, based on the process of
15	elimination and the time of these incidents, if
16	they're far enough apart and unique enough in time
17	frames as far as small time frames, you would only
18	expect if several incidents occur over a three-day
19	period at different locations, that you if it's the
20	same person, that you should only see one or two or a
21	group of people working that specific thing. So it's
22	a process of elimination that lets you understand when
23	you don't have a suspect or an unknown group of
24	suspects, it's meant to help you identify those

25 people.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 59 of 286 Tot 277 Page D# 1879 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 51
1	So that's a it's a request similar to this. We
2	don't know what we're looking for. We just know that
3	people have phones. So we're hoping that our suspect
4	was using one and that he will be captured within
5	those requests.
6	Q So would it have been useful in this case?
7	A It's difficult to say how useful it would be. You
8	only have one location and date and time. So if you
9	return back a thousand records, and you end up with a
10	thousand phone numbers, you have nothing to compare it
11	to, to really understand who in that group belongs
12	there, lives there, works there, any of that, unless
13	you know the phone number you're looking for.
14	Q Okay. Thank you.
15	Let's go back to the beginning of Stage 1. I
16	think I asked you this earlier, but tell us how does
17	Google know which devices are there?
18	A So within that geofence, again, it's a location on
19	earth. They search the user's location history. So
20	that repository of data of location history for their
21	users and compare that latitude and longitude to see
22	which users fit into that location. So broadly look
23	at the data and pick out the ones that fall within
24	that group.
25	Q So how did you learn about this?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 60 of 286 Total Pages: (492 of 2164)

I	MOINVAILE - DIRECT 52
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 52
1	A Through the declarations submitted by Google.
2	MR. PRICE: I'd like to pull up the first
3	McGriff declaration, please. It's Defense Exhibit 21.
4	Q What is this document?
5	A It's the declaration of Marlo McGriff.
6	Q And did you review this in preparation for your
7	testimony today?
8	A I have.
9	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move this
10	into evidence, please.
11	THE COURT: Any objection?
12	MR. DUFFEY: No objection.
13	THE COURT: It will be entered.
14	(Defense Exhibit No. 21 is admitted into
15	evidence.)
16	BY MR. PRICE:
17	Q So Google has to search through everybody in the
18	Location History database. Do you know about how many
19	people that is?
20	A They state numerous tens of millions.
21	Q I'd like to draw your attention to paragraph 13,
22	please. Can you read for us paragraph 13?
23	A Yes. So, "In 2019, the majority of Google users
24	worldwide did not have Location History, LH, enabled
25	on their account. While a more precise percentage is

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

53 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT difficult to calculate in part due to fluctuating 1 2 numbers of users in 2019, roughly one-third of active Google users (i.e., numbers tens of millions of Google 3 4 users) had LH enabled on their accounts." 5 Q So to conduct the geofence search in this case, 6 the government had Google search through everyone with 7 Location History enabled and Google estimates that at numerous tens of millions of users? 8

10 Q In your experience, how does that number, numerous 11 tens of millions, how does that compare to other types 12 of warrants seeking location information? 13 A So, for -- you know, when we look at a request for 14 call detail records for a single user, of course,

9

А

Correct.

15 that's a single user, normal Location History request 16 for a specific account when they name the account 17 because we know who we're looking at. Again, that's 18 one user.

Probably the only thing comparable would be, say, the tower dump that you asked about earlier. But, again, as far as that number of people, it's not -- it wouldn't be close to that.

Q So, I want to turn your attention back to the CAST report. And this is Government's Exhibit 1 at page 13. Can you tell us what we're looking at here?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

54 McINVAILLE - DIRECT Yes. This is the FBI CAST report, and there is --1 А 2 you see the Sprint towers notated with the yellow kind of antenna sign. You have the Call Federal call out, 3 4 so showing you where the bank is. You see the red 5 circle for the geofence in there. And then a parameter is outlined with blue here, which they've --6 7 which they've indicated as the estimated tower dump area had they conducted one with Sprint here. 8 9 Q So there wasn't a tower dump in this case, just to 10 clarify? 11 A No. This looks to be a hypothetical of what that would look like if one was conducted. 12 13 Q How is this hypothetical set up? 14 A So it's showing you three towers that would --15 that are in proximity to the credit union. Then you 16 also see the geofence there and how it relates to 17 those towers. The blue appears to kind of indicate probably the 18 19 estimated coverage that you would get from those three 20 towers that are encompassed by the blue polygon. So what it's trying to show you is the area that would 21 22 likely be affected had that request been made.

23 Q Would the government -- well, let me rephrase. 24 Working with this hypothetical, in your experience, 25 about how many people, how many users, would have

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Page 55 of 277 Page D# 1883 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 55
1	their records searched from a tower dump of just one
2	of these towers?
3	A It's hard to say just because you're relying on
4	how many people are using their device at the time,
5	the number of people that you have in that area. I
6	don't I have seen in past tower dump data sets a
7	thousand users can be pulled for one of these towers.
8	Q So roughly a thousand for one tower?
9	A Possible.
10	Q And I guess if we're doing three, how many users
11	would that be?
12	A Sure. If you kept that estimate, you'd be looking
13	at, if you kept a thousand being what you think could
14	be encompassed, it could be 3,000 based on three
15	towers.
16	Q So 3,000 for the hypothetical here. How does that
17	number compare to the numerous tens of millions in a
18	geofence warrant?
19	A I don't know that it really does compare, but it's
20	much less than numerous tens of millions.
21	Q I won't make you do any more math.
22	A Thank you.
23	Q I'll change gears here slightly. I want to ask
24	you what this information tells you. What sort of
25	information can you get from Location History data?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Coument 201: 01/20/2023 Pg: 64 cf-286 279tal Page : (196 of 2164)

56 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT From location? 1 А 2 0 Yeah. So with location for a specific person, you can 3 А 4 learn a lot about a person. You can learn about their 5 movements, the places that they frequent, places that 6 they frequently travel or places they attend. So, for 7 instance, when people have schedules, where they go to church on Wednesday. You could see if someone 8 9 commonly goes to one of those particular locations, where they work, where they live, pretty much anything 10 11 about daily life if you have enough points to look at. Q How many is enough? 12 13 How many? А 14 Q How many is enough data points? 15 You wouldn't need too many. You don't need days А 16 and days' worth of records. I mean, you can learn a 17 little bit with just a small amount of data. You may not learn everything, but it doesn't take many data 18 19 points to pick out a way of, you know, just a few 20 locations, only one specific person could likely show 21 up to each of those locations if you know the date and 22 time that they were there. So it wouldn't take much 23 data. 24 Q And how many data points do you think you might 2.5 need to determine someone's identity?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 65 of 286 Total Pages: (497 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 57
1	A With just a handful, again, if you know if
2	somebody shows up at four or five places in any given
3	time, you know the date and time that they were there,
4	pretty much you could learn something about that
5	person.
6	Q So how do you know all this?
7	A I look at location data for a living. It's what I
8	do. It's what I did prior to this job. I was in law
9	enforcement and looked at data to try and get patterns
10	for people's movements.
11	Q Have there been any studies written about this?
12	A Sure. I researched a few studies about Location
13	History and how that information is gathered and used
14	for ads and personalizing stuff to particular people.
15	Q I'd like to turn your attention to what's been
16	marked as Defense Exhibit 9. Can you tell us what
17	this is, please?
18	A Yes. That was a report written. It's called
19	"Unique in the Crowd: The Privacy" I'm sorry. The
20	"no stream detected" is blocking. It's an article
21	about location and privacy. "The privacy bounds of
22	human mobility" is the rest of it.
23	Q And this is a report that you reviewed in
24	preparation for your testimony today?
25	A Yes, I've reviewed this.

58 McINVAILLE - DIRECT MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I move to admit this 1 2 into evidence. 3 THE COURT: Any objection? 4 MR. DUFFEY: Judge, I do object to this. Т 5 don't know what the relevance of moving an article in 6 that he didn't write. He can testify about it, that 7 he read it, and talk about it, I suppose. He's an expert. But to move the entire article into evidence 8 9 as if we all agree it's all factually correct, I have no idea if it's correct. I don't know who the author 10 11 is. I don't believe Mr. McInvaille knows the author. And so I object to it being moved wholesale into 12 13 evidence. I don't object to him talking about it if 14 he wants to talk about it. But that's my objection, 15 is to relevance. And it's also quite clearly hearsay. 16 I understand we're at a motion to suppress, and that's 17 the Court's discretion on that. But I just don't 18 understand the relevance of moving entire articles 19 into evidence just because he read them. That's my 20 objection.

21 MR. PRICE: Your Honor, Mr. McInvaille used 22 these reports in the preparation of his reports. They 23 are not being admitted for the truth of the matter 24 even though we are under relaxed rules during our 25 hearing here today. So we believe that it should be

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Coument 201: 01/20/2023 Pp age 59 01277 tal Page : (499 of 2164)

59 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT in evidence. This is information that our expert 1 2 relied on to draw his conclusions. 3 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule the 4 objection, but it's clear that we're not admitting it 5 for the truth of what is in the article. It is a basis for the expert's testimony, and it cannot be 6 7 admitted wholesale for the purpose of what the government is concerned about, which is that without 8 9 any other testimony, we don't know anything about the 10 study itself or how it was conducted. So it's really admitted as background information. 11 12 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 BY MR. PRICE: 14 Q Mr. McInvaille, can you tell us what your takeaway 15 was from this report? 16 A Sure. The idea here is that just a few data 17 points are revealing of a person's identity is the qist. 18 19 Q Do you remember how many were sufficient in this 20 case? 21 A I believe they say four data points can tell you 22 about a person. 23 Q So how long have you been working with location 24 data? 25 When I began working violent crimes. So probably А

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Coument 201: 01/20/2023 Pp age 60-06279 tal Page 5: 1588 of 2164)

60 McINVAILLE - DIRECT eight or nine years. 1 2 Q And in your experience, is that correct, the report's conclusion about the number of data points 3 4 necessary on average to find somebody? 5 A I mean, it's -- not always is four points 6 indicative of it, but yes, it can be. It depends on 7 those points and what they tell you, but yes. Q So, for instance, in this case, you previously 8 9 created a video visualizing the geofence data for three users; is that correct? 10 11 A Yes, that was the Stage 2 return. So that contextual data for some of those users. 12 13 I'd like to show you what's been marked as Defense 14 Exhibit 5. Is this the video you created? 15 Yes. Α 16 Can you tell us what you did to create it? 0 17 A Yes. So, just using the latitude and longitude 18 here so that you can understand the paths moving, 19 again, there are display radiuses that go along with 20 these, but this is more to just understand the general 21 movement of these three devices and how they related 22 from -- here what you see in the very beginning of 23 each is where they fell within the geofence. And then 24 as it moves along, it shows you where they were before, during, and then after the geofence for that 25

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/2023 Page 61286279tal Page 5: 1585 of 2164)

61 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT period of time. 1 2 Thank you. 0 3 MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move this 4 video into evidence. 5 THE COURT: No objection, is there? 6 MR. DUFFEY: No, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: It's admitted. (Defense Exhibit No. 5 is admitted into 8 9 evidence.) BY MR. PRICE: 10 11 Q Can we go ahead and play the video and have you describe slowly what is the happening here? 12 13 Sure. А 14 (Video is played.) 15 A So, again, you have the -- this is the initial return for this user. So the user ID is in the top 16 17 left corner, and it shows you where they began in the warrant return. 18 19 THE COURT: I'm going to put on the record 20 it's not identifying as to an individual; right? This 21 is the Google number? 22 MR. PRICE: No, Your Honor. We previously --23 that's a time stamp that you're looking at there. 24 That's the number that you see. And for identification purposes, we've been referring to this 25

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/2023 121 Pg: 70 of 286 Total Pages: (502 of 2164)

	l
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 62
1	user or we had in the past as Mr. Green.
2	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Green. Got it.
3	A So in answering that question, the user here
4	starts at this hospital here. And as you will see,
5	they leave that location and travel south towards the
6	geofence. You can see generally the path that they
7	take, and as they travel south, it continues down to a
8	residential area where it finally ends and the as
9	far as the data goes that we were provided ends there
10	in this residential area.
11	Q What does it tell you about what what do those
12	cluster of dots over a house tell you?
13	A Sure. So you notice that those dots end up
14	stopping at a given point. So here they kind of
15	cluster around a house or a few houses here in this
16	one area, meaning that the
17	THE COURT: You're going to have to use
18	phrases other than "in this one area," because we have
19	a written record.
20	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
21	THE COURT: Because we have a written record.
22	THE WITNESS: Understood.
23	A So you see that the path had traveled down to this
24	residential area here on the map. There is Decoy Lane
25	is shown. So you see that it's at an address on Decoy

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Page 63-0f 277 Page D# 1891 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 63
1	Lane or at least, you know, would be located very
2	close in proximity to a few of these houses here on
3	Decoy Lane.
4	Q Were you able to determine whose residence that
5	is?
6	A I think you could. I looked at tax records and
7	things like that for these houses in the area to take
8	a look and see if based on knowing just the location,
9	that you could possibly determine names for people
10	there in that specific location.
11	Q I won't ask you to put the name on the record, but
12	for Mr. Green, were you able to identify his likely
13	identity?
14	A I was able to find some names for people from that
15	residence for tax records. So, you know, I don't know
16	that they are positively identified, but yes, there's
17	information available for records for that area.
18	Q Would law enforcement have access to the same sort
19	of information?
20	A Yes, this is publicly available information. You
21	can search it on the internet.
22	Q Thank you. Can we resume the video and talk about
23	Mr. Blue?
24	A So, again, blue starting here, this would have
25	been the point that was returned for Stage 1 for that

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Comment 201: 01/20/2023 121 Pg: 72 of 286 Total Pages: (504 of 2164)

McINVAILLE - DIRECT

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 64
1	device, and then we will see it move into the Stage 2
2	portion. So before, during, and after the geofence.
3	So you'll see to the bottom left here underneath
4	the geofence there's the apartment complex just to the
5	south. I don't recall the specific name of it, but
6	it's just to the south off of Price Club Drive. So
7	you see that the user's device is located in that
8	complex and then begins to move outside of the complex
9	up north to Hull Street before traveling some more.
10	THE COURT: Can you identify where it starts?
11	You said it starts here in the geofence. What is
12	that?
13	THE WITNESS: So the first point that was
14	referenced from Stage 1 for that user, the point
15	given, the estimated latitude and longitude, was there
16	at the church, the Journey Christian Church.
17	Q Is that the first point in time or just the one
18	that you got first?
19	A I believe that's the point that was given for that
20	user for the Stage 1. And then now you're also seeing
21	the Stage 2 portion of that.
22	Q So this user starts where?
23	A At the apartment complex just to the south of the
24	geofence. So located along the southern side of Price
25	Club Drive just south of the geofence.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL 19-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL 19-3 of 2164) Of 20/29/21 Parage of 2862 79 tal Page 5: 19-5 of 2164)

65 McINVAILLE - DIRECT And then what happens after he leaves his house? 1 Q 2 It looks like there's a point right inside the church. Yes. So you see that at some point during the 3 4 video right here, it moves from several points that 5 fall in the apartment complex and then begins to move 6 outward as if it's moving from the apartments to Hull Street, which would, you know, the likely path would 7 take you past the -- down Price Club Drive and past 8 9 the geofence. 10 THE COURT: Past what? 11 THE WITNESS: The geofence. 12 BY MR. PRICE: 13 So, in other words, this may be an example of a 14 false positive? 15 It's possible. А 16 Could you explain why? 0 17 A So, of course, you see the point there on top of

the church which falls within the fence, which is how 18 19 you get included. If this user was passing by and the 20 estimate was incorrect, if we assume that they were 21 driving down Price Club Drive and didn't enter the 22 parking lot and only continued down the roadway, which 23 was not included, if the estimate was incorrect and 24 thought that the device actually did travel through the geofence, then this person was included even 25

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL 19-3 Cument 201: 01/20/2023 /21 Pp: 74 of 286277tal Page 5: 1506 of 2164)

66 McINVAILLE - DIRECT though they did not pass through the geofence. 1 2 Q How would that happen in terms of the location data? Was this a Wi-Fi or a GPS point? 3 4 A I'm not sure, but it would be based off of a --5 just due to the estimate, and its just inherent inability to perfectly place someone on earth. 6 7 Q So where does Mr. Blue wind up at the end of the day? 8 9 A Sure. So you see it travel south. It appears to 10 travel to another residence in this area. I'm not 11 sure of the road name here, but it ends up in this portion moving down to a more spread out residential 12 13 area just south of where the geofence was and clusters 14 around a single residence there before, I believe, 15 moving back north again.

16THE COURT: It's near the intersection of --17is it Alberta Road, counsel?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I see Albert Road. I was 19 going to try to annotate it. Yeah, they're just south 20 of where Alberta Road intersects with this other 21 street.

22 MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, Mr. McInvaille can 23 touch the screen and actually circle it so that it's 24 clear for everybody.

2.5

THE COURT: It's three dots. The more we can

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 75 of 2862 Total Page 5:(507 of 2164)

...

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 67
1	tie it to something, somebody else looking at it can
2	find it, we need to do that. All right.
3	BY MR. PRICE:
4	Q So this is where the cluster ends for the records
5	that we have?
6	A No, I believe it moves back north, but this is
7	where they cluster for just a moment.
8	Q And would law enforcement be able to do anything
9	with the information about the location of that
10	residence? Would they be able to identify the likely
11	residence?
12	A Yeah, it's possible this is clustered enough on
13	that location that you would believe that that device
14	did travel to that address. These are a little
15	more these homes are a little more disbursed than
16	the ones we spoke about on Decoy Lane. But, again,
17	you could assume based on what you see here that that
18	device traveled to that location. And, again, you can
19	use publicly available information as well as law
20	enforcement has other information at their disposal
21	that, yeah, you could likely determine who would
22	reside at that residence.
23	Q Thank you. All right. Can we resume play here
24	and talk about Ms. Yellow?
25	A Yes. This is just the ending of what you see for

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Comment 201: 01/20/2023 Pg: 76 of 286 Total Pages: (508 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 68
1	blue. Blue returns to the apartment complex located
2	along Price Club Drive. Looks like Mallard Landing
3	Circle, that area, is where it comes back to. That's
4	where it started before it traveled to that residence
5	we just spoke about and now it has returned there.
6	So you have yellow is displayed now. So yellow
7	shows you the points that were given in Stage 1.
8	Those points fall one falls just outside of the
9	bank. Others fall on top of the bank.
10	So now it's moved to the point in time before the
11	geofence. So we're again before everything, we're
12	here at another residential area. These points seem
13	to center around one residence, and then will
14	eventually move as the data plays through.
15	THE COURT: Can you say where the residence
16	is, what street?
17	THE WITNESS: Right around Buffalo Spring
18	Drive. There's an intersection there. It's a
19	residential home close to that intersection.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	BY MR. PRICE:
22	Q How many dots are sitting on top of one residence
23	there?
24	A I'm not sure. It's a few. And then it moves
25	north to a school that's up the road from the

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 77 of 286 Total Pages: (509 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 69
1	residence. The school is located along Bailey's
2	Bridge Road. So after what appears to be a stop at
3	the school, it continues north to where you finally
4	see this device inside the geofence.
5	After the geofence, it moves out of the geofence
6	up Hull Street to some of the business area there
7	before returning back to that same residential area
8	from where it started.
9	Q So based on this information, were you able to
10	identify Ms. Yellow?
11	A To an extent. I was able to see who owned the
12	home that those points clustered around. And also
13	just doing research into those names learned some more
14	information about those people that's consistent with
15	what you see in some of that contextual video.
16	Q Were you able to find social media about that
17	individual?
18	A Yes. So the looking at looking for just
19	publicly available social media stuff for the people
20	listed for that residence that the data clustered
21	around, you could also see that they had a school-aged
22	child, that they were just recently married. So you
23	could learn a little bit. You see that the school was
24	possibly you know, that a stop was made at the
25	school. So it coincides with just some of the readily

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/2023 121 Pg: 78 of 286 Total Pages: (510 of 2164)

McINVAILLE - DIRECT

70

1 available information.

2	Q And the key for you here was which dots?
3	A So, again, the residential dots, the residence
4	tells you, hey, there's, you know, that this may be
5	the specific location because they're so clustered in
6	that area, that that's the likely location, as well as
7	the school, the school being another reference point
8	just to understand something about someone. Of course
9	you saw the bank, as well. The bank's the center of
10	this.
11	So there was, you know, three locations there.
12	Then if you look, some of those other businesses could
13	have been traveled to as well in that area after the
14	bank before traveling back home. So there's a few
15	locations within that that could be telling of a
16	person.
17	Q So the most important ones for you, though, were
18	which in ascertaining Ms. Yellow's identity?
19	A If you were going to try and figure out who that
20	person is, the home, the bank, and the school would be
21	probably the most beneficial locations.
22	Q So three points?
23	A Sure.
24	Q And would this data be as identifying to you
25	identifiable to law enforcement as it was to you?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/23/23/21 Pp age 91286279tal Page 2: 1511 of 2164)

71 McINVAILLE - DIRECT Sure. I mean anybody that could take a look at 1 А 2 some of this data could learn something from it. They would have access to the same tax records 3 4 that you had access to? 5 Yes, that's publicly available. А And social media? 6 Q 7 А Yes. THE COURT: I'm going to interrupt you. I 8 9 know I asked you not to repeat too much, but is it the case that an earlier version of this video had lines 10 11 about where the folks went in realtime or not? THE WITNESS: No, I don't know the specific 12 13 path. You can kind of understand the path, but no, I 14 couldn't pick out the individual paths. 15 THE COURT: Fine. Just making sure. BY MR. PRICE: 16 17 Q That's all we have on this exhibit. I want to shift gears a little bit now and talk to you about the 18 19 opt-in method or Location History. 20 THE COURT: All right. So I'm actually going 21 to take a break. We've been here awhile. Folks need 22 to stretch. I have that it's 11:06. I'll give us 15 23 minutes. And so that would be 11:21. 24 You, sir, of course, will remain under oath, 25 and you can't talk to anybody about your testimony,

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 25-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 26-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

72 McINVAILLE - DIRECT nor can any other witness. And we'll come back and 1 2 hit this new topic in 15 minutes. All right? MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 (Recess taken from 11:06 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) THE COURT: All right, sir. Obviously, 6 7 you're still under oath, and we'll continue your 8 testimony. 9 I should probably do this every time in case 10 other folks have joined. If anybody is here on the 11 AT&T line, we welcome you. I need to remind you that our local rule, Criminal Rule 53, and our standing 12 13 order prohibits anybody from recording or broadcasting 14 or telecasting this proceeding in any way. We have a 15 court reporter here who is creating what will be the official court record. 16 17 BY MR. PRICE: Q All right. Mr. McInvaille, I want to talk with 18 19 you a little bit about the opt-in method for Location 20 History in this case. As a part of your work in this case, you reviewed the declarations of Marlo McGriff? 21 22 А That's correct. 23 Q I'd like to show you what's been marked as Defense 24 Exhibit 23. Can you tell us what this is? 2.5 Yes. This is labeled the "Third Declaration of Α

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) OSCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

```
73
                   McINVAILLE - DIRECT
    Marlo McGriff."
 1
 2
    Q And you reviewed it in preparation for your
 3
    testimony today?
 4
    A I have.
 5
             MR. PRICE: I would move to admit this into
 6
    evidence, Your Honor.
 7
             MR. DUFFEY: No objection.
             THE COURT: It will be admitted.
 8
 9
             (Defense Exhibit No. 23 is admitted into
10
    evidence.)
11
    BY MR. PRICE:
12
    Q So do you know when Location History was first
13
    enabled on Mr. Chatrie's account?
14
    A Based on this declaration, yes.
15
    Q When was that and how do you know?
16
    A It's indicated here. They provided the audit
17
   report from Google, which indicates when the -- when
    the activation of location or the enabling of Location
18
19
    History occurred. There are times listed on here.
20
    Q And do you remember what time it was enabled on
21
    his account? I know we're having an issue here.
22
    There we go.
23
            MS. KOENIG: Sorry. I'm getting there. Here
24
    we go.
2.5
       It was on July 9, 2018. And that was at 04:09
    А
```

```
J.A. 489
```

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pp. 82 of 2862 Total Page 2:(514 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 74
1	UTC. So in the a.m. for, of course, in UTC time zone.
2	Q What is UTC?
3	A UTC is a time standard. So it's just a thing that
4	we reference time off of. It's used for many
5	different types of records and everything, but, again,
6	it's just a concept of time that we can reference
7	local times off of.
8	Q So it was enabled at 4:09 UTC. I want to draw
9	your attention to a line on page 2 of this
10	declaration. It says can you read the highlighted
11	part for us?
12	A Yes. So on this same page, Section C, "The user
13	opted in to LH," Location History, "either through
14	device settings or through a Google application on the
15	Samsung device."
16	Q So can you translate that for us? What does that
17	mean?
18	A Yes. So to enable Location History for an account
19	to gather Location History for a device, you must
20	enable Location History. And there's two prescribed
21	ways of doing this. It's either through opening up
22	the settings application on the device, logging in,
23	and opting in to Location History through that method
24	or when prompted through a supported Google
25	application.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 83 of 2862 Total Page 2:(515 of 2164)

...

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 75
1	Q Could it have been enabled during the initial
2	setup of the phone?
3	A Based on the time that Google is giving us, no, it
4	would not have been enabled at setup.
5	Q Why not?
6	A Setup occurred July 2nd of 2018. This is, of
7	course, July 9, 2018. So it was after the phone is
8	setup.
9	Q Have you had an opportunity to examine Mr.
10	Chatrie's cell phone in this case?
11	A I have.
12	Q And you produced a report detailing that
13	examination; correct?
14	A I did.
15	Q I'd like to show you what's marked as Defense
16	Exhibit 6. What is this?
17	A This is my report of the examination of the
18	device.
19	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move this
20	into evidence.
21	THE COURT: Any objection?
22	MR. DUFFEY: No, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right. It will be entered.
24	MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	(Defense Exhibit No. 6 is admitted into

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 cument 201: 01/20/23/23/21 Pp age of 286279tal Page 1516 of 2164)

76 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT evidence.) 1 2 BY MR. PRICE: 3 Can you tell us, Mr. McInvaille, how you examined 4 the phone? What did you use to examine the phone? 5 A So I went to the FBI office and was given access to the device, and using Celebrite software I was able 6 7 to download or extract the data from the device so that it could be examined. 8 9 Q Were you able to determine anything from that extraction about how Location History was first 10 11 enabled? A I was able to. 12 13 What did your determine? Q 14 A So, in my analysis, what I was looking for was 15 activity that corresponded with the timing of what 16 Google indicated was the activation or enabling of 17 Location History. Through that, through my analysis of the data that I extracted, I was able to locate the 18 19 installation of Google Assistant, which happened 20 within just, I believe, a minute and a half or two 21 minutes of just prior to Location History being 22 enabled. 23 Q Can you tell us when exactly Assistant was 24 installed? You said it was a couple minutes. 2.5 Yes, I believe on UTC, it would have been 04:06 А

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 85 of 2862 Total Page 2:(517 of 2164)

Case	I
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 77
1	and some seconds, some milliseconds.
2	Q So it was about two minutes apart?
3	A Roughly, yes.
4	Q What else, if anything, was happening on the phone
5	at that time?
6	A Based on my analysis, I didn't see much occurring
7	during that time. If I recall correctly, the only
8	thing very close in proximity to that was Google
9	Assistant. I believe any activity prior to that was a
10	few hours before, and then the I believe the only
11	other Google application interaction that showed up
12	around that time frame was, I believe, 12 hours later,
13	if not more.
14	Q Did you I know this took you quite sometime.
15	Did you look at anything else on the phone? How did
16	you determine what else was going on on the phone at
17	that time?
18	A So, to ensure that I feel I had adequately looked
19	at all the data, Celebrite, what it does is it takes
20	the data that's extracted
21	THE COURT: Can you spell that for our court
22	reporter who's not looking at your report, please.
23	THE WITNESS: Cellebrite,
24	C-E-L-E-B-R-I-T-E.
25	A So using the physical analyzer software and

J.A. 493

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Cument 201: 01/20/2023 Pg: 86 of 286 Total Page 5: 19-01-20130-MHL¹⁹-0 of 2164)

McINVAILLE - DIRECT

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 78
1	reviewing the data extracted, that software, what it
2	attempts to do is take the data you have given it and
3	turn it into something that we all can read.
4	So it parses that information out. Not always is
5	every detail parsed because of changes in software
6	supporting certainly applications and the level of
7	detail. Oftentimes, you can look deeper into that
8	data into the databases to find other artifacts that
9	could be helpful. Dates and times, locations, just
10	bits of information that can give you a better
11	understanding of what it is you're looking at. So I
12	also try to look into that to see if I can locate
13	anything further that maybe wasn't readily available.
14	Again, my conclusion was that Google Assistant was
15	pretty much the only thing that I could find that was
16	occurring on the device during that time period.
17	Q You were able to find evidence of what were you
18	able to find evidence of during that time?
19	A That the application was installed to the device
20	at that time.
21	Q And you said you were able to draw a conclusion
22	about how Mr. Chatrie likely enabled Location History?
23	A That's correct. So based on my understanding of
24	Google Assistant, Location History, and this
25	extraction, with those items occurring, so the

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 87 of 286 Total Page 5:(519 of 2164)

McINVAILLE - DIRECT

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 79
1	installation of the application occurring, as well as
2	the activation of Location History just a minute or so
3	after that, knowing that through the initial setup of
4	certain applications through Google that they will
5	prompt you to enable Location History, it's my
6	conclusion that that is what would have activated or
7	been the method of activating Location History at that
8	time.
9	Q Thank you. So I want to talk about what that
10	opt-in screen would have looked like in Assistant.
11	And I'll turn your attention to the setup process for
12	Google Assistant. Can you explain in a little more
13	detail how that works?
14	A So when you when the application is on the
15	device, generally people will activate that
16	application by long pressing the home button. It's
17	meant to be a convenience feature. So it opens the
18	application.
19	During most applications, upon first use of really
20	any application, there are certain things you have to
21	do to set those applications up so that you can use
22	them; preferences, permissions, those types of things.
23	So those would be things that would be prompted to
24	the user as you begin to use your app for the first
25	time.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 88 of 286 Total Pages: (520 of 2164)

McINVAILLE - DIRECT

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 80
1	Q Why is that process important in this case?
2	A Well, in this case, the reason that it's important
3	is, of course, the only way that you are captured in
4	this warrant that we have here is to have Location
5	History enabled. So that function is critical in all
6	of this. So without it, you will not be found within
7	the warrant. So knowing if Location History is on or
8	off, when it was activated, those things are important
9	in this matter.
10	Q Did you attempt to determine what Mr. Chatrie
11	likely saw when he set up Google Assistant for the
12	first time?
13	A Yes, I've tried to understand that, you know, the
14	2018 method of opt-in procedures.
15	Q You actually prepared a supplemental report all
16	about this?
17	A Yes.
18	MR. PRICE: Can we bring up Defense Exhibit
19	7.
20	BY MR. PRICE:
21	Q What is this?
22	A This is the supplemental report that discusses the
23	opt-in.
24	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move this
25	into evidence.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹ Bocument 201: 01/20/2023 Page 81286279tal Page 8: 1909 of 2164)

81 McINVAILLE - DIRECT THE COURT: Any objection? 1 2 MR. DUFFEY: This is Exhibit 7? 3 THE COURT: Exhibit 7, yes. 4 MR. DUFFEY: No objection. 5 THE COURT: It will be entered. (Defense Exhibit No. 7 is admitted into 6 7 evidence.) 8 BY MR. PRICE: 9 Q So tell us, how did you learn about this process 10 and what did you do first? 11 A So I looked at Android devices to try and get an understanding of what that procedure would be. The 12 13 issue with trying to recreate some of these things is 14 that software changes over time. Those updates when 15 you're setting up these devices often automatically 16 happen if you have them connected to Wi-Fi, which is 17 kind of a critical piece of actually setting up the device as a normal person would. 18 19 So it kind of left me with the inability to see 20 the 2018 or a confident way of knowing that back in 21 2018 this is what it would have looked like. So 22 instead of being able to recreate, I turned to try and 23 find information contemporaneous to that time to help 24 me understand what that would have looked like at that 25 time.

82 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT So you tried to recreate it, but that didn't work? 1 Q 2 Yeah, they just -- it looks different. The setup А process is different than what it was. There's 3 4 features that are different. It's just not the --5 from what I see from research and then trying to recreate it, they don't look the same, and I didn't 6 7 feel it would be an accurate representation. Q Does it matter which phone you try and do this on? 8 9 A No. From everything that I know about this is 10 that the Android, and across the devices generally, 11 should be the same as far as this portion of the 12 setup. 13 So unable to re-create it, what did you do next? 0 14 A So that's when I turned to information that I 15 could find that was more in that time period of people setting up these devices and showing what that 16 17 information actually looked like in 2018 versus now. Q And did you, through defense investigation, become 18 19 aware of any other information? 20 Yes. So there were several articles or studies

20 A les. So there were several articles of studies 21 that covered, you know, screenshots and different 22 information that would help you understand what those 23 setup procedures looked like for the phone or 24 applications, things of that nature.

25 Q All right. So, let's talk about what you found.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL 19-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-64-64 Oct 20130-MHL 19-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-64-64 Oct 20130-MHL 19-3 of 2164)

83 McINVAILLE - DIRECT Were any of those particularly helpful to you? Which 1 2 ones? 3 A I referenced three of the items in my supplemental 4 report. There was an article and then two studies 5 that showed pictures of the screens as they set devices up. And based on the information that they 6 7 provided, you could see that these were Android devices with similar, from our understanding, similar 8 9 operating systems and things and also were around this 10 relevant time period. Q So what was the first article that you came cross 11 that you found to be helpful? 12 13 A I don't know that it's the first. It's the first 14 that I reference here. But it's from the Quartz. And 15 the article talks about Location History as one of 16 the -- as the topic of the article. 17 Q What is Quarts? 18 THE COURT: Spell it. 19 MR. PRICE: Q-u-a-r-t-z. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 They have editors and people who write articles. А 22 It seems to kind of pertain around technology and different items. 23 24 Q All right. I'd like to show you what's been marked as Defense Exhibit 48. What are we looking at 2.5

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

84 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT here? 1 2 A That appears to be that article. Yes, that's the 3 article. 4 Q So this is the article where you got the 5 screenshots from for your report? 6 A Yes, lower in the report, in the article. Yeah, 7 it was the Google Assistant permissions screen that I 8 found. 9 Q Thank you. 10 MR. PRICE: And I would like to move this 11 into evidence, as well, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Any objection? 13 MR. DUFFEY: Judge, same objection as the 14 other article. If they're moving in, I guess, not for 15 the truth, then I don't know what the relevance would 16 be. 17 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule it. It's the basis of his opinion. 18 19 MR. DUFFEY: For the record, our objection is 20 as to relevance. The point of the article doesn't seem to me to be the opt-in process. I understand 21 22 he's relied on some of it, and he's put that into his 23 report, and he can certainly talk about it, but we 24 object to the entire article. And I understand the 25 Court's ruling.

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 85 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to make essentially the same ruling, which is that you can argue the weight of the evidence, certainly, and cross-examine the expert with respect to it, but

5 because, at least in part, it served as the basis for6 his expertise, it is admissible to that degree.

7 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 (Government's Exhibit No. 48 is admitted into 9 evidence.)

10 BY MR. PRICE:

11 Q So in addition to the Quartz screenshots, did you 12 find any others? What was the next one that you cited 13 in your report?

THE COURT: Now, wait a minute. Now, he said there is the Quartz screenshot right there in that article. Would you like to identify for the record where it is?

18 MR. PRICE: We will certainly try, Your 19 Honor. I'm not sure that there are page numbers. It 20 is on page 6 of the PDF itself.

THE COURT: Why don't you identify what's on -- like, does it say, for instance, Google Maps, Google app? That are words and headings that help. MR. PRICE: There is a heading that says "Google Assistant." And there is a screenshot below

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Page 86 of 277 Page 25, 526 of 2164)

	MOINVAILLE - DIRECT 86
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 86
1	that which has a blue bar on top and says "Give your
2	new assistant permission to help you."
3	THE COURT: Perfect. That's great. Thanks.
4	BY MR. PRICE:
5	Q Mr. McInvaille, so this is one of the screenshots
6	that you believed might be similar to the one that the
7	user would have seen in July of 2018?
8	A Yes, it's similar.
9	THE COURT: Why don't you just put on the
10	record why. Is this article dated?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe January of 2018
12	was the date. The reason that it's similar is, again,
13	you see a similar layout, give permission for your
14	assistant to help you. Similar wording. There are
15	some differences in some of them as they move along,
16	but as far as the structure and the question that is
17	being posed to the user, they are similar.
18	THE COURT: Similar to what?
19	MR. PRICE: I think we're going to try and
20	compare the different screenshots here, Your Honor.
21	So I just want to have all three so that we can talk
22	about their similarities and differences.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	BY MR. PRICE:
25	Q But, Mr. McInvaille, can you please read what it
	J.A. 502
I	

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

87 McINVAILLE - DIRECT says there under "Location History," just so we have 1 2 it? Sure. So on "Give your new assistant permission 3 А 4 to help you" is the kind of header of this permission 5 screen. It tells you the -- it will tell you the 6 account that you're using as it's asking permission to 7 activate certain things for that account. And then you have Location History, device 8 9 information, Voice & Audio Activity are the 10 permissions that are being asked to be given. Each of 11 those topics have their own description and expansion arrows. 12 13 Location History indicates that you're giving 14 permission to -- it creates a private map of where you 15 go with your signed in device. 16 Device information. It includes contacts, 17 calendars, apps, music, battery life, and sensor 18 readings. 19 And then voice and audio activity. Records your 20 voice, audio input, to help recognize your voice and improve speech recognition. 21 22 Q Thank you. I'd like to move on and look at the 23 next screenshot that you found that you included in 24 your report. Which one was that? 2.5 Sure. This is from a study from Oracle. Oracle А

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Comment 201: 01/20/2023 Pg: 96 of 286 Total Pages: (528 of 2164)

McINVAILLE - DIRECT

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 88
1	is a technology company, a computer science company, I
2	believe. They show the Google Assistant process, but
3	they also show the previous screen to what you see
4	from the article that we just mentioned from Quartz.
5	So they're showing you both the initial screen
6	that you see when you open Google Assistant for the
7	first time to set it up, as well as the subsequent
8	permission screen that we just discussed.
9	The information contained with those, besides the
10	account, of course, because these are two different
11	people setting this up, the other substance is the
12	same here for these two screens.
13	So, first, you have "Meet your Google Assistant."
14	It asks how it can help. And then you have to either
15	skip that procedure and not completely set up or press
16	"Next" to completely set up.
17	You press "Next," that's when it takes you to the
18	permission screen that we just outlined prior to this.
19	And so then you have another piece there at the bottom
20	that was not covered because you couldn't read it, but
21	the options that you have are "No, thanks" or "Yes,
22	I'm in."
23	Q I'd like to show you
24	THE COURT: Wait. Did you identify what
25	document that came from, the Oracle?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Page 89-0f 277 Page 89-0f 277 Page 91 1917 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 89
1	MR. PRICE: That's what we're going to do
2	right now.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	BY MR. PRICE:
5	Q Can you tell us what we're looking at here?
6	A Sure. So this is the cover page of the Oracle
7	study. It's "Google's Advertising and" I believe that
8	says "Data Dominance."
9	Q Is this the document where you got those
10	screenshots from?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q You reviewed it in putting together your report?
13	A I did.
14	MR. PRICE: I'd like to move it into
15	evidence, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: What number is it?
17	MS. KOENIG: Sorry. This is Defense Exhibit
18	10.
19	MR. DUFFEY: Judge, same objection. I'd also
20	point out Oracle is in protracted litigation with
21	Google. They are adverse to Google. I guess that
22	goes to the weight, but I'm still objecting to
23	relevance, and I'm objecting to hearsay for the entire
24	report to come in.
25	THE COURT: All right. Well, for the same

J.A. 505

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 Comment 201: 01/20/2023 121 Pg: 98 of 286 Total Pages: (530 of 2164)

MCINVAILLE - DIRECT

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 90
1	limited purpose, I am going to admit the exhibit. And
2	you can argue the weight of the evidence, including
3	you can cross-examine about the fact that Oracle is
4	litigating against Google for perhaps a bias of the
5	report. All of that can be part of the record. And
6	then it will be introduced for that limited purpose.
7	(Defense Exhibit No. 10 is admitted into
8	evidence.)
9	THE COURT: So, Mr. Price, I'm going to tell
10	you, for us who don't know what you're doing, if
11	you're showing a screenshot, you're really having the
12	witness testify from something that's not in evidence
13	yet. So unless you refer to where the screenshot is
14	in the report, so we know where you got it from, it
15	would be not proper for him to be testifying from the
16	Oracle document yet.
17	So if you say what part of the page of the
18	report that you're using the screenshot, that helps
19	those of us who don't know in advance what you're
20	doing to understand what you're doing.
21	I was presuming, I'll tell you, that that
22	screenshot was from this report, and it wasn't in
23	evidence yet. So we just we're not with you. So
24	you have to be you have to go granular into where
25	these documents are coming from. Okay?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹ Bocument 201: 01/20/2023/21 Pp age 9128627912 Page 912867912 Page 912867

91 McINVAILLE - DIRECT MR. PRICE: I will, Your Honor. 1 2 Can we bring up the specific page in the 3 report, please. 4 BY MR. PRICE: 5 Q Mr. McInvaille, you don't happen to remember which 6 page it was on, do you? 7 A I don't. MR. PRICE: Apologies for the delay, Your 8 9 Honor. 10 We are going to move to withdraw Exhibit 10. And we'd like to show Mr. McInvaille Defense Exhibit 11 12 11. 13 THE COURT: So Exhibit 10 is withdrawn. I 14 want to be clear. Your exhibit list indicates that 15 that's a June 2018 Oracle submission. And so maybe also referring to it by date would be helpful. 16 17 MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor. We are referring to the September 2018 Oracle submission. 18 19 Apologies for the confusion. 20 BY MR. PRICE: 21 Q I'm now showing you what's been marked as Defense 22 Exhibit 11. Can you tell us what this is? A Yes. This is the correct exhibit for the 23 24 screenshot that I show in my report. 2.5 Q And what page of the Oracle submission does the

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

92 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT screenshot appear on? 1 2 A The page number that I have is four here. And it 3 is -- the paragraph surrounding it starts with 4 "Continuing through the Android smartphone setup 5 process." 6 Q And this is where you got the screenshot for your 7 report? A That's correct, the screenshot that we're 8 9 referring to in the report came from this section 10 here. 11 Q Thank you. 12 MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move this 13 into evidence, Exhibit 11. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Duffey. 15 MR. DUFFEY: Same objection as before, Judge. 16 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to admit it 17 for the limited purpose, not for the truth of the matter, with the government's concern for the weight, 18 19 but as a basis for this expert's opinion, it will be 20 admitted. 21 (Defense Exhibit No. 11 is admitted into 22 evidence.) BY MR. PRICE: 23 24 Q How does this screenshot compare to the one from 25 Quartz that we just talked about?

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 10195 286T 9tal Page 5:(533 of 2164)

McINVAILLE - DIRECT

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 93
1	A Yeah. So, again, this is it gives you the
2	prior screen to the permission screen. So the "Meet
3	your Google Assistant" screen where you can make two
4	options of either "Skip" or "Next," "Next" being the
5	one that takes you to the permission screen that we
6	outlined previously with the different paragraphs or
7	the different explanations.
8	Q Is there any difference in the text as far as
9	you're aware?
10	A No. The Quartz article screenshot and this
11	screenshot is consistent. They are consistent with
12	each other as far as wording.
13	Q Okay. Thank you. I want to move on to that third
14	set of screenshots that you found. And I want to show
15	you Defense Exhibit 27. Can we look at your report,
16	and can you show us that third set of screenshots?
17	Can you tell us what these are?
18	A Yes. So another set of screenshots from a
19	different study. This study is from the Norwegian
20	Consumer Council. Again, taking you through kind of
21	what the setup process looks like for Google
22	Assistant. These were from the June time period of
23	2018.
24	Q Where did you get these from?
25	A The Norwegian Consumer Council. I don't know if

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 102-of 286T of Page 24 of 277 Page 24 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 94
1	you consider it like Better Business Bureau. It's a
2	consumer reporting agency. It's funded by the
3	Norwegian Government to educate consumers on different
4	products.
5	Q What did they do relevant to this case?
6	A Sure. So they're showing Google features as far
7	as setup processes, requests, and permissions, and it
8	resolves around data collection.
9	Q Do they publish anything?
10	A Yes, they publish a study on their findings in
11	different data collected by Google.
12	Q So I would now like to show you what's been marked
13	as Defense Exhibit 27. Is this the well, what is
14	this?
15	A This is an article. It's titled "Every Step you
16	take." I can't actually say the name of the
17	Norwegian the name of their agency, but it's the
18	they call it the Norwegian Consumer Council.
19	THE COURT: How about you spell it? It's on
20	the diagram; right?
21	THE WITNESS: Bottom right of the page. It's
22	F-O-R-B-R-U-K-E-R-R-A-D-E-T.
23	Q And this is the document where you got those
24	screenshots from?
25	A Yes.
	J.A. 510

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 103-of 286T of Page 25 of 277 Page 25 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 95
1	Q Can you tell us which page you found them on?
2	A Working on it. There is an it's page 19 of 44.
3	It's titled or the header is "Enabling Google
4	Assistant," and you see screenshots there for Google
5	Assistant.
6	Q And you used these screenshots to prepare your
7	report?
8	A Yes, I believe there's actually a section with
9	more of those expanded.
10	THE COURT: Sir, did you say "I believe
11	there's more explained"? Are you looking for more
12	documents?
13	THE WITNESS: No. Inside the inside the
14	document there's another section with, I believe, more
15	screenshots just underlying the same thing. I pointed
16	out one of the sections that had some of those
17	screenshots in it. I was trying to make sure that I
18	referenced all of the places where the
19	THE COURT: So you haven't told us about the
20	other ones yet.
21	THE WITNESS: Ma'am?
22	THE COURT: You haven't told us about the
23	other ones yet?
24	THE WITNESS: No. I'm just trying to see
25	where they were in the document, what page they were

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 23-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

96 MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 1 on. 2 THE COURT: In the meantime, Mr. Price, are 3 you moving this into evidence? 4 MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Any objection? 6 MR. DUFFEY: Yes, Judge. Same objection as 7 before, irrelevance under hearsay. THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule 8 9 for the same reasons. (Government's Exhibit No. 27 is admitted into 10 11 evidence.) 12 THE COURT: Can we just put on the record the 13 date of this report? I think it's on the first page. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. November 27, 2018. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. BY MR. PRICE: 16 17 Q Did you receive any additional information from the --18 19 THE COURT: He's still looking for pages. 20 Right? THE WITNESS: That question will answer that 21 22 issue. 23 THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PRICE: 24 25 Q Have you reviewed any additional screenshots

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL 19-3 of 2164 Here 201 Filed 03/29/21 Page 97 6 2857 9tal Page 5: 1925 of 2164)

97 McINVAILLE - DIRECT provided by the Norwegian Consumer Council? 1 2 Yes. In their report, you see the page that I А referenced. I believe it was page 19. There were 3 4 more screenshots available than what is just displayed 5 on page 19. It expands upon some of these expansion 6 arrows and just gives some more detail. 7 Q And you've seen those? I have. 8 А 9 Q Where did they come from? 10 They were provided to me by counsel. А 11 And they were what in relation to this report? Q So, they were the underlying information that the 12 А 13 counsel used to create this. So it just -- it's more 14 of the screenshots, more of the detailed shots that go 15 along with this explanation. 16 Q And you reviewed those for your report? 17 A I did. MR. PRICE: Can we pull up the third set of 18 19 screenshots from your report? 20 BY MR. PRICE: 21 These are the screenshots you obtained directly 0 22 from the Norwegian Consumer Council? 23 A Yes, that's where they were obtained from. 24 THE COURT: Do you want to refer to a page in the report and what exhibit number it is? 25

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164) USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 130-MHL¹⁹-3 of 2164)

98 McINVAILLE - DIRECT MR. PRICE: We are on page 5 of the 1 2 supplemental report. So that would be Defense Exhibit 3 7. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 5 BY MR. PRICE: Can you tell us what these screenshots show us? 6 Q 7 А Sure. Again, these are more screenshots for the setup for Google Assistant. These were helpful 8 9 because they just give more information than those we saw in other articles. They expanded the expansion 10 11 arrows. They are also, from what we understand from Google's declaration, a more accurate version of what 12 13 we think would have been seen during the actual setup 14 of this device that we're talking about today. 15 Q When are these screenshots from? 16 A These screenshots were -- I believe it was July 2. 17 Yeah. Some were taken in August. Some were taken in 18 July. 19 Q So there are two sets here, two sets of 20 screenshots. One that we're looking at now on page 4? 21 Yes. Page 4 is the July 2 screenshots. А 22 And then we have one more set. When are those Q 23 from? 24 A August 9. And those are on page 5, Figure 4. 25 So I want to go up to the July one. Can you tell Q

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 Case 3:19-cr-00130-MHL¹⁹-3 Document 201: Filed 03/29/21 Pg: 107.0f 286T of Pager 5: (539 of 2164)

Case	
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 99
1	us how these screens differ from the Oracle and Quartz
2	screens that we just talked about?
3	A So they look very similar as far as structure.
4	Really, the only difference is some of the wording
5	that you see as far as the descriptions of what
6	permissions are being given. You're still provided
7	with the same permission. So Location History, device
8	information, Voice & Audio Activity, but the
9	explanation underneath each of those or the
10	explanations are different in these screenshots. And
11	as I understand from Google submissions, these are the
12	screens that the user would have seen during the setup
13	of this device.
14	Q Can you remind us, for the record, when Location
15	History was enabled?
16	A Location History in this case was July 9th of
17	2018. So a set of these were just before and another
18	set was just after.
19	Q How did that affect your confidence in determining
20	which one was the likely one that was in place at that
21	time?
22	A Again, these are close in time to the time that we
23	know Location History was enabled. And also Google
24	has submitted saying these are the screens that the
25	user would have seen at that time, as well. So that's

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/29/21 Page 100 of 277 Page 1928 of 2164)

Case	5.13-CI-00150-WITE DUCUMENT 201 FILEU 05/29/21 Faye 100 01 277 FayerD# 192
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 100
1	why I lend more confidence to these being the true
2	depiction of the opt-in process.
3	Q Is the language on these screens consistent with
4	the text in Mr. McGriff's affidavit?
5	A With Mr. McGriff's affidavit?
6	Q Yes.
7	THE COURT: Is it Mr. or Ms.? It's Marlo.
8	MR. PRICE: Mr.
9	THE COURT: Marlo is Mr.?
10	MR. PRICE: Yes.
11	THE COURT: My apologies. Okay. Thank you.
12	A Yes. So in Mr. McGriff's affidavit, he does show
13	a portion of these screens or he doesn't show a
14	screenshot, but the text from it. So the give your
15	permission, Location History, what it says under
16	Location History, which says "Saves where you go with
17	your devices." And then also the little footnote just
18	above the selections that you can make is included in
19	his affidavit or declaration.
20	Q So we have the Quartz and Oracle screenshots that
21	say one thing. And those are from when?
22	A As I recall, probably January of '18. That time
23	frame is my understanding.
24	Q The beginning of 2018?
25	A Beginning of 2018.

J.A. 516

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 101 of 27/14 Pages: (541.00 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 101
1	Q And these are when relative to that?
2	A Mid 2018. July, August area.
3	Q The language changed between the Norwegian
4	screenshots and the Oracle and Quartz screenshots.
5	What does that tell you?
6	A That just tells me that Google made a change in
7	how they display this information to the user.
8	Q Can we scroll down to the August screenshots. So
9	there's a couple of buttons at the end there. What do
10	those say?
11	A So, again, this screenshot is asking for
12	permission. It says "Give your new assistant
13	permission to help you." And then there are the three
14	categories that you're providing permission for. And
15	then at the very bottom you have the choices of either
16	"No, thanks" or "Turn on."
17	Q Are the "No, thanks" and "Turn on," are those the
18	same choices available in the Quartz and Oracle
19	screenshots?
20	A No, I don't believe they're the same questions.
21	Q Instead it says looking at the Oracle one, what
22	does it say?
23	A "No, thanks" is one, and "Yes, I'm in" is the
24	other.
25	Q So that language there is different, as well?

J.A. 517

USCA4 Appeal: 19-cf-00130-MHL 19-3cument 201 Filed: 61/20/29/29/21 Page 2012 77 Pag

102 McINVAILLE - DIRECT А It is. 1 2 Tell me about the long press on an Android phone. 0 What does that do? 3 4 Sure. So the buttons on the front lower portion Α 5 of the phone down where your thumb would normally be if you were holding the phone, kind of where you would 6 7 speak into the phone, down there our phones now really 8 don't have buttons on the screen anymore. Everything 9 is touch screen. But in the center of an Android, or most Androids, you have a home button. What you can 10 11 do is press, and it's called a long press. It's kind of a press and hold of that button to activate Google 12 13 Assistant. So it will launch the application from 14 that long press. 15 So if you press and hold the home button? Q 16 Α Yes. It pops it up on the screen. 17 So, in your opinion, which set of screenshots is 0 18 the likely one that Mr. Chatrie would have seen? 19 Those that we see, as in Figure 4, here in the А 20 report from August, that or the others in the previous 21 figure. Both of those are confirmed by Google as 22 being the most likely screens that would have been 23 seen by the user during this time period. 24 Q Did you have an opportunity to compare these 25 screenshots with the screenshots that Mr. McGriff

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Filed: Pil/20/2023/21 Page 103 of 2774 Age D# 1931 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 103
1	provided in his declaration?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Those weren't for Google Assistant, though, were
4	they?
5	A No, it was just a Location History permission
6	request. I guess an opt-in screen for Location
7	History.
8	Q So, can you tell us how these screenshots differ
9	from the ones that Mr. McGriff provided in his
10	affidavit?
11	A So, Mr. McGriff's is I wouldn't call it so much
12	as a screenshot as it's just the plain text from what
13	you would see in the screen. So, again, in the
14	screenshots that we have here from these articles,
15	they kind of show you what the user would see in kind
16	of the way they would see as far as the screens.
17	Mr. McGriff's just holds the text.
18	THE COURT: Mr. McGriff just what?
19	THE WITNESS: Just the text.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	THE WITNESS: Instead of the actual screen,
22	like pictures, he's showing more of just the words
23	that would have been displayed.
24	They're different just because in Mr.
25	McGriff's, he doesn't show you each of the options

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 104 of 277 Page 2: (544 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 104
1	that are being given in some of these screenshots.
2	He's only showing Location History and then that
3	footnote that's provided underneath it, as well as
4	what options there are for either "No, thanks" or
5	"Turn on."
6	MR. PRICE: Perhaps we can pull up
7	Mr. McGriff's affidavit.
8	Q This is the third affidavit, and it has previously
9	been marked as Exhibit
10	THE COURT: 23.
11	MR. PRICE: 23. Thank you.
12	Can we scroll down? All right.
13	BY MR. PRICE:
14	Q This is the text. What are we looking at here?
15	A Sure. So it shows that the opt-in screen would
16	contain the following text: Location History.
17	It has the "Saves where you go with your devices"
18	text that is consistent with the screenshots that we
19	were just looking at. And then it also has, like, the
20	kind of footnote paragraph that's just above the
21	
	options that you have. So "This data may be saved and
22	options that you have. So "This data may be saved and used in your Google service where you were signed in
22 23	
	used in your Google service where you were signed in

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 105 01 277 Page 2: 19-57 19-30 2164)

Cusc	5.15 cl 00150 Wite Document 201 The 05/25/21 Tage 105 01 277 Tage 105
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 105
1	thanks" and "Turn on" options there.
2	Q So in the actual screenshot, though, does the
3	language appear that way visually?
4	A No. There's more in the screenshots of what the
5	user would see. So, again, they're asking permission
6	for Location History, as well as device information,
7	Voice & Audio Activity. There are other descriptions
8	and expansion areas. There's just more in the
9	screenshots that the user sees than what's displayed
10	there.
11	Q And that language you just read from Mr. McGriff's
12	affidavit about how the data may be saved and used in
13	any Google service, where does that appear relative
14	the Location History prompt?
15	A It's down the page. It's at the very bottom of
16	the screen where the options for the selections are.
17	Q How does it appear visually? Is it the same
18	darkness as the other language on the page?
19	A Is it the same I'm sorry?
20	Q Font.
21	A I'm not sure. It does again, it's just the
22	words from the page. It's not the actual screenshots.
23	The screenshots that the user sees has different icon
24	descriptions. It's visually different and has some
25	content in the screenshots that's not in the

USCA4 Appeal: 19-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 03/29/21 Page 106 01 27/14 age D# (546 of 2164)

106 McINVAILLE - DIRECT affidavit. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. You're referring to the language in the affidavit, paragraph 7; correct? And 3 4 now you've turned back to page 4 of Exhibit 7, which 5 is your own report. Are you talking about Figure 4? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, Figure 4, the picture to 7 the right. What I'm referring to is that it contains more information than what's put into the bottom page 8 of Mr. McGriff's declaration. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 BY MR. PRICE: Q What do you mean by "more information" here? 12 13 Well, there is a request for more than just А 14 Location History happening. There are more 15 descriptions of those other permissions that are being requested. There are -- as well as expansion arrows 16 17 to open up and see what else is available to read. 18 It's just a little bit different than what you see in 19 his affidavit. 20 THE COURT: So, specifically, it says -- on 21 the right-hand part of the screen, it has -- it says 22 "Location History," and it has an icon next to it, and a line under it; "Device information," and an icon 23

25 Activity, " and an icon next to it and a line under it.

next to it and a line under it; "Voice & Audio

24

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled: 91/20/2923/21 Page 167 81277 Page D# (547-of 2164)

107 McINVAILLE - DIRECT And then it has, not as a footnote, it says, "This 1 2 data may be saved and used in any Google service where 3 you are signed in to give you more personalized 4 experiences. You can see your data, delete it and 5 change your settings at account.google.com"; correct? 6 That's what you're testifying to. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: So there are two more subsections 8 9 than what is reflected in paragraph 7 of the third McGriff declaration? 10 11 THE WITNESS: That's right. There's two other permissions that you're being asked to provide 12 13 permission to. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. PRICE: Thank you. 16 Can we bring up Mr. McGriff's affidavit one 17 more time? I'd like to see the screenshots that he 18 provides or maps. BY MR. PRICE: 19 20 What are we looking at here? 0 21 А This is Mr. McGriff's declaration, page 7. These 22 are screenshots from Google Maps. 23 THE COURT: This is Exhibit 23, McGriff 24 Declaration 3, since there are three of them. We're looking at Exhibit 23; am I correct? 2.5

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 108 01 277 Page 1936 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 108
1	MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor. Twenty-three,
2	page 7.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	BY MR. PRICE:
5	Q So, by comparison, comparing the Assistant setup
6	screen and the Map setup screen, can you tell us along
7	the lines of what you're saying, what is different
8	between these two?
9	A These, again, appear differently. They're asking
10	for similar permissions but appear differently. They
11	actually have less permissions than what you're being
12	requested from for Google Assistant. Location History
13	is one of those. It has the drop down. It also has
14	the line underneath it for "saves where you go with
15	your devices." It also has the paragraph underneath
16	it that's just above the "No, thanks" and "Turn on"
17	buttons that you saw from previous requests but here
18	now for Google Maps.
19	Q So there's one screen for maps, one set of
20	permissions for Location History, and two options,
21	"Turn on" or "No, thanks"?
22	A Correct.
23	MR. PRICE: Can we go back to
24	Mr. McInvaille's report, supplemental report, Exhibit
25	7, page 5.
	J.A. 524

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 109 of 2774 Page 2: 19-57 Page 2:

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 109
1	BY MR. PRICE:
2	Q By contrast here, how many permissions is Google
3	asking for?
4	A For Assistant, it's askings for three permissions.
5	Q What are the options at the bottom?
6	A "No, thanks" and "Turn on."
7	Q Does that apply to Location History?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Does it apply to device information?
10	A It applies there, too.
11	Q Does it apply to Voice & Audio Activity?
12	A Yes. It applies to everything you see on the
13	screen. The request is for all three of those items
14	at once.
15	Q So what are the users options at that juncture?
16	A Either to turn it on or not turn it on.
17	Q Turn what on?
18	A Location History, device information, Voice &
19	Audio Activity.
20	Q So either turn all three on or don't?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Those little upside down triangles, what are those
23	on the screen there that we're talking about? There
24	are three of them. One is next to Location History.
25	One is next to device information. One is next to

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 110 of 27/74 age 1(550 of 2164)

1	l
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 110
1	Voice & Audio Activity.
2	A They were referred to in some of the in the
3	declarations as expansion arrows. It just expands the
4	area underneath each of those topics. And there's
5	more information contained under each of those tabs.
6	Q I think we have that on the screen. If we can
7	show the language.
8	We're on page 4 of Exhibit 7. Can you tell us
9	what we're looking at now?
10	A Sure. These were included due to the expansion
11	arrows actually being selected so that you can see the
12	information underneath each of the permissions being
13	given.
14	Q But that information is not visible from that
15	first screen?
16	A No. Unless you click the arrow, you can't see all
17	of the data.
18	Q Do you have to click the arrow?
19	A No, you don't have to click the arrow to make a
20	determination of on or off.
21	Q So, I could enable Location History without ever
22	clicking on that expansion arrow?
23	A Right. You don't have to see this to make a
24	selection.
25	Q Does it say "learn more" or "more info" here?

111 McINVAILLE - DIRECT A I don't recall any of these having that option. 1 2 Q What does Google say in the screenshot about 3 whether Location History is necessary for Assistant to 4 work? 5 A So the kind of, I guess, characterization that's put at the top is Assistant depends on these settings 6 7 in order to work correctly. Turn these settings on for this account. It specifies the account that 8 9 you're making that selection for. And then tells you 10 what permissions you're acknowledging to make 11 Assistant work correctly. Q Can you set up Assistant this way? 12 13 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Where are you 14 reading from? 15 MR. PRICE: Right under "Give your new 16 Assistant permission to help you." It says -- it's 17 the middle screenshot. 18 THE COURT: So you're on page 3. You moved 19 to page 3? 20 MR. PRICE: I believe we're still on page 4. 21 Three screenshots in a row. We're looking at the 22 middle one. 23 MR. DUFFEY: Judge, I have page 3. So I'm a 24 little confused. 2.5 THE COURT: Right. My page 3 has on the top

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: Pil/20/2023/29/21 Page 12 01 277 Page 2: (552 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 112
1	of it "Device Information" and on the bottom "Voice $\&$
2	Audio Activity." And my page 3 has "Location History.
3	Saves where you go with your devices." And that's the
4	one that has the "Meet your Google Assistant."
5	MR. PRICE: One moment, Your Honor. Your
6	Honor, are you looking at Exhibit 7?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. PRICE: Page 4?
9	THE COURT: I'm looking at page 3 and page 4.
10	MR. PRICE: We have it on the screen now.
11	I'm not sure why your version page 3 has the Oracle
12	screenshot. Page 4 has the one that we are looking at
13	from the Norwegian Consumer Council.
14	THE WITNESS: The page number at the bottom
15	is different than the PDF page number is what it is.
16	Q Okay. What page?
17	A Scroll down just a touch. So, the page number at
18	the bottom right is 3.
19	Q Okay. My apologies.
20	So we're looking at page 3 of Exhibit 7, the
21	Norwegian Consumer Council screenshots from July 2,
22	2018. And in the middle screen it says, "Give your
23	new Assistant permission to help you." And then,
24	sorry, can you read that language one more time?
25	A Yes. So, in that middle screenshot, "Give your

USCA4 Appeal: 22-4489 30-Dog: 19-3 cument Eiled: pil/20/2023/23/21 Page 123 of 27/7 Page 19-30 2164)

Case	3:19-cr-00130-MHL 'Document'201' Filed 03/29/21 'Page 113 of 277 PageD# 1941
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 113
1	new Assistant permission to help you." Just
2	underneath that, "The Assistant depends on these
3	settings in order to work correctly. Turn on these
4	settings for," and that's referring to what account
5	you're turning the setting on for. And then it
6	indicates what settings you are either turning on or
7	not turning on.
8	Q Thank you. And if we're setting up Google
9	Assistant in this way, and you want to turn it on,
10	Assistant, what do you have to do?
11	A As they indicate for it to work correctly, you
12	need to give permission to these to the permissions
13	shown to the user.
14	Q You need to give permission for all three?
15	A Yes. You don't get to pick individually. It's
16	all three.
17	Q So let me ask you, what would happen to Google
18	Assistant if you disabled Location History later on?
19	A You could still use it.
20	Q It works?
21	A Yeah.
22	Q Even if Location History is not enabled?
23	A Yes. You don't need Location History for it to
24	work.
25	Q So why would Google make it a requirement to set

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 124 01 277 Page 2: (554 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - DIRECT 114
1	up Assistant?
2	A I'm sorry?
3	Q Why would Google make it a requirement to start
4	Google Assistant?
5	A I think they're asking for permission to make it
6	better. Again, you don't have to use it, but it's a,
7	as they say, for it to work correctly or as intended,
8	the permissions help in that way.
9	Q But it works without Location History enabled?
10	A You can use it without Location History.
11	Q And where on here does Google tell us that?
12	A I'm not sure they do. I just know that you can
13	use it without Location History being enabled.
14	Q Thank you. Switching gears slightly. I want to
15	talk about Location History collection more generally.
16	When does Google collect location history information?
17	A When the user if it's enabled by the user from
18	everything that I have seen as far as data outputted
19	from Location History, it's always collecting.
20	Q Always?
21	A Very consistently throughout the day.
22	Q What if the user is not using Assistant?
23	A It again, from seeing the times of day and
24	things that are referenced in these records that we
25	see from Location History, it appears to happen all

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 of 2164: Filed: Filed: 91/20/2023/21 Page 125 of 2774 Page 2: (555 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 115
1	times of the day. So when the user is sleeping, not
2	sleeping. It's a lot of information that's being
3	gathered as far as just location is concerned.
4	Q What if a person isn't using an app at all on
5	their phone?
6	A I think it would still collect location
7	information.
8	Q What if the person is not doing anything at all
9	with their phone?
10	A It still could be collecting.
11	THE COURT: Let me clarify that. Do you mean
12	by not using the app at all that the app is open or
13	closed? It doesn't matter?
14	THE WITNESS: So the application is not
15	important here once it's enabled. Just the phone
16	being on, not in use, or any specific application
17	being launched or not launched. Once enabled, you are
18	now collecting your location history all the time.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	BY MR. PRICE:
21	Q What about right now? What if somebody in this
22	courtroom had an Android phone? Would it be
23	collecting their location data?
24	A It very well could be even if they're not using
25	it.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 126 286Total Pages: (556 of 2164)

McINVAILLE - DIRECT

116

1	Q How do you know this?
2	A Again, based on looking at a lot of these records
3	from Location History accounts, not just geofence. Of
4	course, you could get this data just at the account
5	level. The time that it spans when you look at the
6	records, it consistently covers just about every hour
7	of the day, most of the time. So, just looking at it,
8	I would assume somebody's got to sleep at some point
9	or, you know, just not using their device all the
10	time. So it's constantly recording information.
11	Q And did you review any of those types of records
12	in this case?
13	A Yeah. So the account that we're talking about
14	here was gathered by law enforcement. So once the
15	particular account was identified, they actually did
16	another request to get the full account. So the
17	location history associated with that account and all
18	the other data that you can normally get through that
19	type of request.
20	So, in this instance, I looked at that data. So I
21	believe there was a 35-day period of data that was
22	provided in that request.
23	Q Let's take a look. I'd like to show you Defense
24	Exhibit 8, please.
25	MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, this exhibit details

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 125 17 8 26 Fotal Page 3: 19-57 19-36 Cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 125 17 8 26 Fotal Page 3: 19-57 19-36 Cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 3: 19-57 19-58 Cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 3: 19-58 Cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 3/21 Filed 3/29/21 Filed 3/29/21

117 McINVAILLE - DIRECT very detailed specific location information for an 1 2 individual, Mr. Chatrie. And so we're going to look at the paper copy of this. This is Defense Exhibit 8, 3 4 and we would ask that this be put in under seal. 5 THE COURT: All right. There's no objection 6 to that being under seal; is that right? 7 MR. DUFFEY: No objection, Judge. THE COURT: All right. So we'll look at the 8 9 sealed version, which I don't have a copy of. THE WITNESS: I don't either. 10 11 MS. KOENIG: I hadn't anticipated -- we have a digital copy that had been provided to the Court. I 12 13 hadn't thought ahead about how to present this since 14 we have broadcasting. 15 THE COURT: Since we have what? 16 MS. KOENIG: The broadcasting that is being 17 shown to another courtroom. There's no issue with 18 showing it to everybody in this room if it's up on the 19 screens. I don't know if it's just that I could show 20 it to the witness and the lawyer screens and the Court screen instead of broadcasting it to the other 21 22 courtroom. 23 THE COURT: Is there anybody in the other 24 courtroom? 2.5 THE CLERK: It doesn't appear that there's

USCA4 Appeal: 19-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3729/21 Page 126 15 36 277 Page 1946 of 2164)

118 McINVAILLE - DIRECT anyone in there. 1 2 THE COURT: Can we talk to the CSO and close 3 the courtroom? 4 MR. DUFFEY: Judge, I guess I'll raise my 5 objection. Why are we talking about search warrant No. 2? I object to relevance if we're going to get 6 7 into a sealed second search warrant. It's not the 8 subject of the motion today. 9 MR. PRICE: I'm happy to explain. Your Honor, the data obtained through that 10 11 search warrant was Mr. Chatrie's location information over a, let's see, 35-day period. What it allows us 12 13 to do is to determine how frequently Google was 14 actually collecting Mr. Chatrie's location 15 information. So that point we believe is very 16 relevant, and this goes to show that directly in this 17 case. THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the 18 19 objection. 20 Is the courtroom closed? Do we have an issue 21 with the folks on the phone? Is there anybody on the 22 phone? 23 THE CLERK: Yes. 24 MR. PRICE: They won't be able to see it, Your Honor, so it's okay for them to hear the 25

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 127 15 2867 Page 2: (559 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 119
1	testimony about it. We just don't want to have the
2	longitude and latitude coordinates being captured or
3	somebody being able to write them down.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	BY MR. PRICE:
6	Q All right. So this is Defense Exhibit 8. Can you
7	tell us what we are looking at here?
8	A Yes. So very similarly to what we have seen when
9	we refer to like the Stage 1, Stage 2 requests. This
10	is account specific location history rather than a
11	group of people's location history. But you'll see
12	very similar items throughout. It will look very
13	similar besides just a few extra pieces of
14	information.
15	THE COURT: I don't have this document.
16	MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, it's a very large
17	file. It would have taken hundreds of pages to print
18	off. So we provided it digitally to the Court. It's
19	in the box.com account that we had provided to the
20	Court.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	BY MR. PRICE:
23	Q Is this the CSV Google data file that you
24	reviewed?
25	A Yes.
	J.A. 535

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHi: 19-3 cument Elled: Filed: 91/20/29/23/21 Page 26 28 27 74 age D# (1948 of 2164)

120 McINVAILLE - DIRECT THE COURT: The what? 1 2 MR. PRICE: CSV. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. PRICE: It's just a type of file, Your 5 Honor. It's a database file. BY MR. PRICE: 6 7 This is the file that you reviewed? 0 A Yes, it's a comma separated value spreadsheet. 8 9 MR. PRICE: I'd like to introduce this into evidence, as well, Your Honor. 10 11 MR. DUFFEY: Same objection, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm overruling 12 13 on the same basis. 14 (Defense Exhibit No. 8 is admitted into 15 evidence.) BY MR. PRICE: 16 17 Q So when you reviewed this file, can you tell us what you found? 18 19 Yes. So, again, this is account specific А 20 information for one account rather than a group of 21 accounts. The information contained spans, again, I 22 believe, a 35-day period. And so you'll see that, 23 throughout this document, you'll see dates and times, 24 estimated latitudes and longitudes, those sources that we talked about earlier, Wi-Fi, GPS, as well as those 25

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 121 01 277 Page 2: (561-00130-MFile 19-3 cument 201 Filed 03/29/21 Page 121 01 277 Page D# 1949 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 121
1	display radiuses. All of that information is given.
2	This is where the Stage 1 and Stage 2 information
3	actually comes from for each user.
4	Q So what were the beginning and end dates here, if
5	you recall?
6	A I don't. From looking at the bottom date here
7	is May 1st of 2019.
8	Q And that's the start date and the end date?
9	A At the very top it is June 4th of 2019.
10	Q So it's 35 days?
11	A I believe so.
12	Q And could you tell us how many records, how many
13	lines of information are in this file?
14	A Sure. Can you click on the A column, please?
15	8,349. And that may include a few rows at the top. I
16	believe there's three rows at the top. So it's 8,346
17	individual records, I believe. Yes.
18	Q And were you able to determine about how many
19	records per day that is?
20	A Yes. So I just took, you know, how many days that
21	was just to try and understand about how many times
22	per day on average that record got entered. I believe
23	it's around 238 times.
24	Q 228?
25	A Somewhere around in there. It's an estimate.

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 122 of 2774 Page 2: (562 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 122
1	Q Did you work out how many times an hour that is?
2	A Sure. So if you broke that down just by a 24-hour
3	period, that's, I believe, almost 10 records per hour.
4	Q Or once every how many minutes?
5	A Once every 10 minutes.
6	Q Six?
7	A Sure. It's a lot of data.
8	Q So 10 times an hour, once every six minutes?
9	A Roughly. I mean, that's the average. Again, it's
10	not on a specific interval. That's just an average.
11	Q Were there any times that Google wasn't collecting
12	data? Like, did it only collect data during business
13	hours?
14	A No. So I looked to try and understand, like, how
15	often per hour, but like the actual hour ranges of
16	when data was collected. And what I did when I looked
17	at that was just try to understand, you know, at, say,
18	12 a.m. to 12:59 a.m. of every day throughout that
19	period, like, how often it gathered records. And what
20	I noticed was that it was consistently gathering data
21	24-hours a day. Sometimes some areas had higher
22	rates, some had lower, but regardless, there were no
23	periods of data not being collected. It was a
24	consistent collection of data across the date, if you
25	look at it just on average for that time.

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 123 01 277 Page 2: (563 of 2164)

1	
	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 123
1	Q There was no hour of the day when Google was not
2	collecting data?
3	A There could be in certain days. There could have
4	been a day where a particular but for the, again,
5	the average of across that time, you could see that it
6	generally always would collect data during hours of
7	the day.
8	Q So even as you're sitting here, Google is
9	collecting your data?
10	A Could be, if I had those options turned on.
11	Q And if you did, how many times would they have
12	collected your data since you've been sitting on the
13	witness stand?
14	A Quite a few times. I've been here a few hours
15	now.
16	Q So 20 or so?
17	A It could be, yeah.
18	Q Just one last thing. What happens to Location
19	History, the setting, if the user deletes the
20	application that was used to enable it?
21	A So you're saying in this instance, if Google
22	Assistant was used to opt in to Location History, but
23	then the application is then deleted?
24	Q Uh-huh.
25	A So if you delete that, your permissions are still

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 124 of 277 Page 2: (564 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - DIRECT 124
1	there. You've enabled that permission on your account
2	even though the application that you used to do so is
3	no longer there. It's not application based. You're
4	activating it for your account.
5	Q Let me make sure I understand. Even if you delete
6	Google Assistant or even if Mr. Chatrie had deleted
7	Google Assistant, it wouldn't have affected whether
8	Google was getting his location history data?
9	A No, because other applications are also
10	submitting it's still collecting because it's
11	activated for the account, not that specific app. It
12	was just facilitated through an application.
13	Q So it's a permission for the entire account?
14	A It is, yes. For that device for that account,
15	yes.
16	Q Even though it was enabled through Assistant, if
17	you delete Assistant
18	A It's still going to collect.
19	Q It's still collecting?
20	A Yes.
21	MR. PRICE: That's all I have, Your Honor.
22	Thank you very much.
23	THE COURT: We probably should take a break
24	now before cross. It's a natural breaking point, a
25	little earlier than when I normally do it. Do you all

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHi: 19-3 cument Elled: Filed: 63/29/21 Page 26 Cf 27/14 Age Date: 19-5 of 2164)

125 McINVAILLE - DIRECT want to break for lunch? It's 12:30. 1 2 MR. DUFFEY: Fine with the government, Judge. MR. PRICE: That's fine with us, Your Honor. 3 4 THE COURT: Do you need a full hour? No. 5 Half an hour? 6 MR. DUFFEY: Yes, ma'am. 7 MR. PRICE: That will be sufficient. Thank 8 you. 9 THE COURT: All right. So I'll give a little 10 more than half an hour. I have it as 12:35. We'll 11 turn at 1:15. That will give time for folks to move back and forth. All right? 12 13 MR. DUFFEY: That's fine. 14 THE COURT: Again, sir, you're still under 15 oath. Please don't speak to anybody about your 16 testimony. Don't speak to your witnesses about 17 testimony. And please wait for our CSOs to move you 18 around as you're moving in our hallways. All right? 19 So we will take a recess, please. 20 (Luncheon recess at 12:30 until 1:17 p.m.) 21 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to do our 22 reminders, which is, obviously, that our witness is 23 still under oath. Do we have anybody on the AT&T 24 line? 2.5 THE CLERK: Yes.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 2126 3/29/21 Page 2

126 McINVAILLE - DIRECT THE COURT: Anybody who is on our telephone 1 2 conference, welcome. And I need to remind you that 3 our local rule, Criminal Rule 53, and our standing 4 order prohibits anybody recording, transmitting or 5 broadcasting this hearing. 6 We have a court reporter here who's making 7 the official record, and that's all we will have of 8 this proceeding. 9 All right. Thank you. Mr. Duffey. 10 MR. DUFFEY: All right. Thank you, Judge. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 13 BY MR. DUFFEY: 14 So, good afternoon, sir. Q 15 A Good afternoon. 16 Q I'm Peter Duffey. I'm with the U.S. Attorney's 17 Office. Nice to meet you. Let's start -- so we're going to talk about the 18 19 search warrant first. Phase 1 you've already 20 testified to, but let's just clarify. That's one 21 hour; right? And a fence, 150-meter fence, around the 22 area where we say a crime was committed; right? 23 A That's correct. 24 Q And we got back multiple points of data from 25 Google; right?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHL 19-3cument 201 Filed 3729/21 Page 25 77 Page 277 Page 267 77 77 Page 267 77 77 Page 267 77 77 77 Page 267 77 77 77 77 77

127 MCINVAILLE - CROSS 1 In that return, yes. А 2 In Phase 1? Q 3 А Yes. 4 In fact, the multiple points of data applied to 19 Q different devices? 5 6 A Correct. 7 Q All right. And we talked about Defense 8 Exhibit 21, which is Mr. McGriff's affidavit, 9 paragraph 13. 10 MR. DUFFEY: I'm sorry. I should have warned 11 you. 12 THE COURT: That's okay. 13 BY MR. DUFFEY: 14 Q That's where we got the tens of millions of 15 data -- tens of millions number about their location 16 history data; right? 17 A Correct. Q And that came from McGriff who works for Google. 18 19 That's right. А 20 THE COURT: Can you repeat the exhibit 21 number, please? 22 MR. DUFFEY: It's Defense Exhibit 21. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. DUFFEY: And I'm talking about paragraph 25 13.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled 3729/21 Page 28 61 277 Pag

128 MCINVAILLE - CROSS BY MR. DUFFEY: 1 2 Q So let me just ask this: You characterize that, or maybe it was Mr. Price, characterized that as a 3 4 search of tens of millions of people's location 5 history data; right? 6 А Correct. 7 Q Mr. McGriff didn't call that a search, did he? I don't recall. If we can pull it up. 8 Α 9 Q We'll pull it up. But I think you know the answer to this. Let me ask this. This is one database that 10 11 Google has of location history that they run the parameters that we give them in a search warrant, that 12 13 is one hour, and longitude and latitude parameters, 14 and ask them to give us location history from those 15 parameters; right? 16 Correct. А 17 Q Okay. And it's one database? THE COURT: I'm sorry. I know the timing of 18 19 cross is important, but I think -- are we having 20 trouble with accessing the exhibits? 21 THE CLERK: Laura, it's not coming up on the 22 screen. Is your plug pushed in all the way or 23 whatever they did last time? 24 MS. KOENIG: I think I got it. 25 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you, Judge. It's a fairly USCA4 Appeal: 19-24489130-MHL 19-3cument 2011 Filed 3729/21 Page 286794 Page 26794 Age D# 1957 of 2164)

129 MCINVAILLE - CROSS 1 minor point. 2 THE COURT: We're probably going to be using 3 this further. So I want to start off --4 BY MR. DUFFEY: 5 Q So it's paragraph 13 that I was interested in. So 6 maybe if we could just scroll up a little bit to get 7 by that, because I want to see all the words. There 8 we go. 9 So paragraph 13, they're talking about the 10 majority of Google users worldwide did not have 11 Location History enabled on their account. They concede that it's difficult to come up with an exact 12 13 number, but one-third of active Google users, tens of 14 millions of Google users worldwide, had Location 15 History enabled on their accounts; right? 16 A Correct. 17 Q Now, that's where you get the tens of millions of 18 people's accounts that were searched; right? 19 Correct. А 20 Q Nowhere does McGriff refer to that as a search; 21 right? 22 No, he's telling you how many people they estimate А 23 would use -- that use the Location History service 24 after he discusses that they have to search that database for the people located within the fence. 2.5

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 130 of 277 Page 5: (570 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 130
1	Q And you would agree with me, we're talking about
2	one database; right?
3	A The Location History database.
4	Q Right. That's called Sensorvault, I think?
5	A I believe that's where they keep it, yes.
6	Q And when you're talking about searching that,
7	you're really talking about a computer and you're
8	putting in the parameters that you want it to look
9	for; right?
10	A That's correct. They're using computers to do
11	that work.
12	Q Also to be clear, the government doesn't have
13	access to the Sensorvault. The government gets the
14	results, that is the 19 devices that Google tells us
15	complied with the parameters that we gave them; right?
16	A That's right.
17	Q Okay. So to be clear, when you compared our
18	search here, our geofence search, to a tower dump, the
19	tower dump example we gave you said probably would
20	give 3,000 numbers to the government?
21	A It's possible.
22	Q And so we're clear on a tower dump, one, you're
23	getting 3,000 numbers; right?
24	A Correct.
25	Q And you're getting phone numbers; right?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHL 19-3cument 201 Filed 3729/21 Page 3: 13-cf-00130-MHL 19-3cument 201 Filed 3729/21 File

131 MCINVAILLE - CROSS Correct. 1 А 2 Phone numbers to people's accounts; right? 0 3 Α Correct. 4 That is not true in the geofence; right? We're Q 5 getting reference numbers. 6 Correct. You're getting the device ID. А 7 Right. But it's a device ID that we, even 0 8 standing here today after over a year, we can't 9 connect these device ID reference numbers to any individual cell phone numbers; right? At least not 10 11 through the device number. A Directly to the phone number? No. 12 13 Right. And so when you compare 19 devices, and 0 14 then your Defense Exhibit 3, and that's under seal. 15 So you should have that in front of you; right? 16 You said three? Α 17 Three. That's the return that we got on Phase 1. 0 18 А Okay. 19 Q Actually, I think it also contains Phase 2, but I 20 want to talk to you about Phase 1. 21 Well, it's not numbered. So if you can click to 22 the very beginning of the actual spreadsheet, and it 23 begins in the upper left-hand corner with the No. 24 No. 1. And that No. 1. 2.5 THE COURT: Are you in Exhibit 3?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MFhi: 12-3cument 201 Filed: 61/20/29/23/21 Page 26 27/14 age 5: 12-cf-00130-MFhi: 12-cf-00130-

132 McINVAILLE - CROSS MR. DUFFEY: Yes, ma'am. 1 2 THE COURT: So your face is away from the 3 microphone. So we're not hearing everything. 4 MR. DUFFEY: Let me move it over here. 5 BY MR. DUFFEY: 6 Q So if we can go to the beginning of the 7 spreadsheet that begins with 1 and ends three or four pages later at 210; right? 8 9 Correct. А Q And it's only 19 different devices, but it's 10 11 actually 209 location plots? 12 A That's correct. 13 That's what the government got from the search 0 14 warrant, at least Phase 1; correct? 15 Correct, in one. А 16 Q Compared to 3,000 -- possibly 3,000 phone numbers 17 that are identified by their phone numbers in a tower dump; right? 18 19 Correct. А 20 Q Okay. And you testified, I think, on direct that 21 Google calculates to the best that they can that if 22 they are in our 150-meter radius, they're in, and we 23 get coordinates, and if they're out of the 150-meter 24 radius, we don't get anything from them; right? 25 Yes. If that latitude and longitude falls А

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 133 of 277 Page 195 (573 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 133
1	outside, it won't.
2	Q In, we get it; out, we don't get it?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And the latitude, longitude, and that's Column C
5	in excuse me D and E in this, those are pretty
6	precise. Those are the points that we see you used, I
7	think, in your Mr. Blue, Mr. Green, Mr. Yellow. We've
8	used in some of these where we're pointing; right? So
9	that's the exact point. And then as you move over to
10	G, maps display radius in meters, that's where they
11	say some of these we're very confident on, and they
12	give you a low number in meters; right? And some of
13	these we're not, and they give you a slightly higher
14	number; right?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q So that's like their margin of error, say, in a, I
17	don't know, a political poll, they give you a margin
18	of error. This is like Google's margin of error.
19	They're telling you how confident they are, and how
20	close this phone is likely to be to this to those
21	longitude and latitude marks?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q And some they're pretty confident in, and some
24	they tell you we're not so confident in; right?
25	A Based on radius, right.

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 142 34 of 277 Page 2: (574 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 134
1	THE COURT: Based on what?
2	THE WITNESS: The radius, how big or small it
3	is.
4	BY MR. DUFFEY:
5	Q If we could go to Government's Exhibit 1, page 20.
6	And that's with the big blue circle. On direct, you
7	talk a lot about this because this and you're
8	accurate. This one big blue circle included
9	businesses, and streets, and apartments, and all kinds
10	of things; right?
11	A Correct.
12	Q All right. And I think you testified that the
13	margin of error, so to speak, the map display radius
14	on that was 384 meters.
15	A Somewhere around there, yes.
16	Q That's pretty high?
17	A Yes.
18	Q In fact, if I can get you to look through the
19	Stage 1 returns, so let's start with the page at the
20	very beginning of the returns. In the upper left-hand
21	corner, that's a one, and it goes down to line 33;
22	right? Are you with me?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Looking at map display radius, do you see any
25	numbers in that that are even close to 384?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3729/21 Page 3: 143 st 2867 ptal Page 3: 19-50 277 Page 5: 19-50 277

135 MCINVAILLE - CROSS 1 А No. 2 Q In fact, I think it's lines two, three, four, and five, maybe the first six or seven are over 50, but 3 4 none are more -- there's two that are 100 even, and 5 then the rest are less than 50 meters; right? 6 A That's fair, yes. 7 Q Is that right? 8 Yeah, it is. А 9 Q Okay. Second page, likewise. That's line 34 10 through 66. There's not a single map radius that's 11 over 50 in that; right? A Correct. 12 13 THE COURT: Wait. Where are you? 14 MR. DUFFEY: Going to the next page. 15 Q And that has line 67 through 99. I think there's 16 only two, which is line 83 and 86, are slightly over 17 50. The rest of the display radiuses are under 50; right? 18 19 THE COURT: Can you remind me which exhibit 20 we are? 21 MR. DUFFEY: We are Exhibit 3. And then 22 we're at the third page of the spreadsheet. And that has lines 67 through 99. 23 24 THE COURT: Got it. 25 BY MR. DUFFEY:

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 144 35 286Total Pages: (576 of 2164)

Case	5.13-CI-00150-WITE DOCUMENT 201 Flied 05/23/21 Page 150 01 277 PageD# 190-
	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 136
1	Q So, I'm asking you, with the exception of line 83
2	and 86, which are slightly over 50, the rest of those
3	map radius numbers are all under 50 meters; right?
4	A Correct.
5	Q We go to the next one, that's line No. 100 to 132,
6	the entire sheet's well under 50; right?
7	A They're under 50, yes.
8	Q Not a single one's over 50?
9	A It's not
10	Q Much least 384?
11	A That's right.
12	Q Similarly, line 133 to 166, that page, I think
13	there's two. One's 104 and one's 122. Well, let me
14	be precise. Line 137, slightly over 100. And line
15	150, a little over 100. The rest significantly lower.
16	In fact, some of them are down to 3 meters; right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q In your training and experience, being down to
19	3 meters is probably a GPS point; right?
20	A It is. A reference is GPS for those points.
21	Q All right. Very similarly, on the next page, line
22	166 to line 198, lots of GPS points. Nothing
23	excuse me. One over 50. Line 186 shows 73. The rest
24	well under 50; right?
25	A Correct.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 137 2867 ptal Pages: (577 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 137
1	Q So, finally, the last page.
2	MR. DUFFEY: I'm getting to it, Judge.
3	Q 199 to 210, the very end of Phase 1. The second
4	to the last one there, line 209, that's the three
5	excuse me, 387, I think it is. That's the blue
6	circle; right?
7	A The largest one, yes.
8	Q Right. Now, if you look right above that also at
9	line 208, that's the same reference number; right?
10	A Correct.
11	Q So that's the same device; right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And only about 30 seconds earlier, but their
14	radius map display radius on that is 84?
15	A Correct.
16	Q So they have the same device on here. It has a
17	map radius of only 84, but the next one for some
18	reason goes to 387.
19	A Correct.
20	Q Do you know why it jumped to 387?
21	A I don't.
22	Q Do you have any idea?
23	A I don't.
24	Q Okay. But you would agree with me, if we take
25	away that one anomaly, which is the only one in this

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 138 01 277 Page 2: (578 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 138
1	entire Phase 1 that's even close to 387, if you remove
2	that one anomaly, what we're left with is a fairly
3	concise circle. Granted, some of them are slightly
4	outside the geofence radius. But it's fair to say
5	this one, the one big blue circle, is pretty much an
6	anomaly here; right?
7	A Again, it's larger than the others, and it's the
8	only one.
9	Q Well, it's a lot larger than the others; right?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. And, in fact, the coordinates just 30
12	seconds earlier on that same device was only 84;
13	right?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Okay. So, now, we've got as I said, Phase 1
16	was 19. I'm calling it anonymous numbers. I know you
17	don't agree with that, but these were 19 devices. So
18	let's talk a little bit about the anonymity of these.
19	The reference numbers here, and those are all those
20	reference numbers in Column A from the Phase 1 thing;
21	right? You've been looking at this for over a year;
22	right?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Is there some secret code to those reference
25	numbers that you've cracked that can tell you what the

J.A. 554

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 139 of 279 Page 1957 of 2164)

1	l
	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 139
1	cell number is that's associated with those reference
2	numbers?
3	A No.
4	Q And so to your knowledge, I mean, when we're
5	talking about anonymity, those reference numbers are
6	anonymous as far as identifying any particular phone
7	number; right?
8	A Correct. They don't associate to a phone number.
9	Q So we can take out the reference number. That's
10	not what you're talking about when you say the returns
11	aren't anonymous; right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Okay. Now, as we talked about the map radius, the
14	location is not precise, and Google gives you
15	different margins of error; right?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Some very, very small and some larger. But every
18	single one of these plots that we got back, Google
19	reasonably believes, at least in their mind, that
20	every single one of these by longitude and latitude
21	should be plotted within our 150-meter radius; right?
22	A That's how they returned it, right.
23	Q And if they found a longitude and latitude outside
24	of our radius, we don't get it; right?
25	A Right.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 140 of 2774 Page 3: 19-57 Page 2: 1

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 140
1	Q So there's no search as far as the government is
2	concerned. We don't get any information on that
3	device; right? Is that right?
4	A If the point falls outside of the geofence, you
5	don't get it.
6	Q Okay. They're not guessing at this. They're
7	not it's not their discretion. They have a set way
8	of doing this; right?
9	A They certainly do.
10	Q And they calculated longitude and latitude; right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And they comply with the search warrant and the
13	parameters that we give them; right?
14	A Correct.
15	Q So at this point Phase 1, in your mind, is
16	anonymous; right?
17	A Sure. You only know who's inside you only know
18	the numbers for the people inside the circle.
19	Q And you have these anonymous reference numbers;
20	right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q They don't give you any clue; right?
23	A No, they just associate
24	Q So Phase 1 is anonymous just like everyone says;
25	right?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489130-MHL 19-3cument 2011 Filed 03/29/21 Page 141 01 27/14 age 5/149 of 2164)

141 MCINVAILLE - CROSS Sure. 1 А 2 Okay. Phase 2 is where you begin to diverge a 0 3 little bit; right? 4 А Correct. 5 Q Okay. So let's talk about that. So -- well, 6 first, let me ask you, you said government -- the 7 government asked in Phase 2 for all 19; right? 8 Correct. Α 9 Q From Phase 1. And I think you said, but I'm not 10 sure, was it your testimony that then Google decided 11 to give us only nine? A No. 12 13 Q What did you say? 14 A It was that 19 were requested and that Google 15 asked for them to be -- for that number to be reduced. 16 Q Right. Well, I think they just didn't respond. 17 But --MS. KOENIG: Judge, objection that Mr. Duffey 18 19 is, I think, testifying about that point instead of 20 the witness. MR. DUFFEY: Well, it was a question. 21 22 THE COURT: The question is, what you think? 23 How does he know what you think? Just rephrase it. 24 MR. DUFFEY: All right. 25 BY MR. DUFFEY:

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 150 of 286Total Pages: (582 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 142
1	Q The point is, is that Google didn't respond to the
2	initial request. I mean, you reviewed the emails;
3	right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Google didn't respond to the government's first
6	request that we get all 19 back; right?
7	A I think that's right, yes.
8	Q And, in fact, a couple of times when we asked,
9	Google just didn't respond?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And it's also true, then, that and this is
12	Detective Hylton's email, I think. He added in, in
13	the alternative, here's our nine; right?
14	A Correct.
15	Q And so those nine numbers weren't chosen by
16	Google. They were chosen by Detective Hylton?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Okay. Just so we're clear on that. And all
19	nine did you do the plot, all nine videos, too?
20	Did you plot all nine of those?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And, in fact, all nine of those, if you recall,
23	all the radiuses, with, I think, the exception of one,
24	all fell not only the point, but the radiuses, too,
25	the map display radiuses, all fell within the

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 143 of 2774 Page 2: (583 of 2164)

1	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 143
1	150-meter circle. Does that sound right?
2	A I think that's correct.
3	Q Now, so let's talk about that. So then it's your
4	contention, then, though, that once we in Phase 2,
5	we drop the fence. We add a half hour to each end.
6	That's what we did. That's what the government asked
7	for in the search warrant; right?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And so now we're talking about two hours on one
10	particular day with no geographical restriction and
11	just on these nine phones; right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q So, basically, we already know some of this
14	because we've had them in Phase 1; right? But now
15	we're going outside the circle; right?
16	A Correct.
17	Q So, in some of these, your contention is it's not
18	really anonymous because they go I think you really
19	center on the fact that they travel they appear to
20	travel to a single family residence; right?
21	A That's part of it, yes.
22	Q Well, we'll talk about that, then. So, when they
23	go to the residence, would you dispute that not a
24	single one of these in Phase 2 of these nine phones
25	stay at any single family residence more than an hour?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 144 of 2774 age 2:(584 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 144
1	A Do they stay there for more than an hour?
2	Q Right.
3	A We've only got two hours of data. So none stayed
4	for more no, none stayed for an hour.
5	Q Less than an hour?
6	A Sure.
7	Q Okay. All nine phones, when you mapped them out,
8	none of them stayed at the I realize you're
9	tracking them. They're going down roads. At some
10	point, they're all going into the circle; right? At
11	least that's what we say; right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And then some of them go back. But none of them
14	stay at any single family residence for more than an
15	hour; right?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And many of them don't even stay very long at all;
18	right?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Okay. Now, you would agree with me that I
21	mean, how old are you?
22	A Thirty-three now.
23	Q All right. You've been to people's homes and
24	stayed more than an hour; right?
25	A Sure.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 of 2164: Filed: Filed: 91/20/2023/21 Page 2867 Page 28

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 145
1	Q You didn't live there; right?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q In fact, people get guests at their homes all the
4	time; right?
5	A That's right.
6	Q So you're not saying, as an expert, because you
7	can track a cell phone to at or near a residence, that
8	that means they have to live there?
9	A That's right. That doesn't mean they have to live
10	there, you're right.
11	Q I understand you say it's possible, and I would
12	agree with you it's possible. Anything's possible.
13	But that doesn't mean that they live there; right?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q And I think when we're talking about I notice
16	when you plotted on your three plot let me get the
17	number. Defense Exhibit 5. We don't have to play it,
18	but in that you plot specific points, and you show
19	them hitting at or near a single family residence.
20	And that's for Mr. Green, and Mr. Yellow, and Mr.
21	Blue; right?
22	A Correct.
23	Q So, I notice we don't have the map display radius
24	around those points; right?
25	A That's correct.

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Eiled: 01/20/2023/21 Page 146 01 277 Page 2: 19-4 of 2164)

Case	5.19-CI-00130-MIRE DOCUMENT 201 Flied 05/29/21 Page 140 01 217 PageD# 1974
	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 146
1	Q Isn't it fair to say that many of those, if you
2	put up the map display radiuses, would probably
3	include the house next to it?
4	A That's right.
5	Q In fact, I think you said that on direct.
6	A I did.
7	Q In fact, it might include a third house; right?
8	A It could include more than one, yes.
9	Q Okay. So, now, you don't know whether they're a
10	guess or the actual person who lives there; right?
11	And for most of these, if not all of these, you're
12	going to have to look, if you want to figure out their
13	identity, now you're looking at two, maybe three
14	houses; right?
15	A Correct.
16	Q And you would agree with me that if, in fact, the
17	holder of that phone was a guest, then doing the
18	things that you talked about doing, the open source
19	thing and looking at tax records or deeds or I'm not
20	sure what, you weren't real specific on what you were
21	looking at, but looking at those kinds of things, like
22	deeds, tax records, open source data, for that house,
23	if they were a guest, then you're not going to get
24	that; right?
25	A Right. You're going to see the person they're

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled: 91/20/2923/21 Page 147 81277 Page 547 8

147 MCINVAILLE - CROSS associated with. 1 2 Right. And nobody on their tax record or maybe 0 you get, I don't know, their power bill, no one says 3 4 here's Peter Duffey's power bill, and, by the way, 5 here's 10 of his closest friends; right? You're not 6 going to get that off the power bill; right? 7 А Correct. Q So I think you would agree with me that if, in 8 9 fact, these people were a guest in the home that 10 you're looking at, then you're not going to be able to 11 find their name from any of this open source data that we talked about; right? 12 13 Probably not. А 14 Q So now we're talking about you're having to limit 15 this, and you're having to assume, I guess, or look 16 for them actually living in the house before you even 17 have really a prayer of figuring out their identity; right? 18 For associating with the house, then, yes, you 19 А 20 would need something. 21 Q Well, the phone is the only thing that associates 22 it to the house; right? A Correct. 23 24 Q And so what we're talking about is identifying the 2.5 person holding the phone; right?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled: 91/20/2923/21 Page 145 of 2774 Age D# (588 of 2164)

148 MCINVAILLE - CROSS Correct. 1 А 2 And when I say "identify," and you tell me if you 0 agree with me, when we're talking about identifying a 3 4 human being, you're talking about their name; right? 5 Sure. А 6 Okay. So all of these, I guess, you could go to 7 the courthouse, if you had an address, and look at the deed; right? You could get other open source data, 8 9 figure out who paid the taxes on the house; right? 10 Yes. Α 11 That would give you the owner? Q 12 Correct. А 13 But you'd agree with me, if the owner was leasing 0 14 the house, then you're back in the dark again because 15 now there's lessees in the house, and you don't know who they are; right? 16 17 A That's right. 18 Q And you won't get that from any of this open 19 source data? 20 Right. А 21 And, of course, there's Facebook; right? I think Q 22 you looked on Facebook. 23 А Correct. 24 Q Other tax records. All of this, you would agree 25 with me, requires fairly significant investigative

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 149 of 27/74 age 2: 1977 Page 1977 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 149
1	work on your part; right?
2	A Yeah, you have to look into the data.
3	Q None of it come from Google; right?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And none of it certainly came from Google pursuant
6	to this search warrant; right?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Okay. So let me ask you this: Ultimately, if you
9	were to get, say, a person's even if you were able
10	to figure out what their phone number was living in a
11	house, and then you had one of our phones from Phase 2
12	going to that house, you'd still have to be able to
13	match up their phone number with this anonymous
14	reference number from our Phase 2 data; right?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Because there's nothing in the reference number
17	that we got pursuant to this warrant that tells you
18	what their phone number is, at least until you get to
19	Phase 3; right?
20	A Correct.
21	Q So even then, in order to match up that phone to
22	that person, you're going to have to probably have a
23	friendly prosecutor, and you're probably going to have
24	to do court process; right?
25	A Yes.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 150 of 2774 Age 2: 19-67 Def: 19-8 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - CROSS 150
1	Q You're going to have to get a search warrant;
2	right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q At least grand jury subpoenas to figure out who
5	the subscriber to the phone was?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Maybe. And even and you were in law
8	enforcement for eight and a half years, so I think
9	you're going to know the answer to this. Criminals
10	often use other people's names on their cell phones;
11	right?
12	A People in general do that, yes.
13	Q Okay. And especially, say, drug dealers; right?
14	A They can, yes, or false names more often than not.
15	Q They do it all the time; right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Or they use a girlfriend's name; right?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Because they don't want their name associated with
20	the phone.
21	A Right.
22	Q So in your, I guess, scenario of saying you can
23	maybe uncover the identity of these people, even if
24	you got a person's cell phone at this house and got
25	the subscriber information and figured out their name,

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 61/20/2023/21 Page 151 of 2774 Page 3: 19-57 Page 2: 1

Case	5.13-CI-00130-WITE DOCUMENT 201 Flied 05/23/21 Page 131 01 277 Page D# 1973
	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 151
1	that still doesn't get you there; right? Because
2	you're not sure, one, whether or not they were the
3	ones holding the phone on May 20th at 5 p.m., or
4	whatever the time is, of 2019; right?
5	A Correct.
6	Q The time of this search warrant.
7	A Right.
8	Q All right. So when I guess maybe it's a
9	question of semantics, but I just want to ask you, so
10	it's your expert opinion that the information that we
11	got from Google in Phase 2 is not anonymous?
12	A It's not that it's identifying in terms of names,
13	but, yes, it can lead you to know who that person is
14	based on being able to track where they have been.
15	Q Okay. In two hours of one day; right?
16	A Correct.
17	Q You think you can figure out who these people are?
18	A Sure.
19	Q All right. Have you figured out who anyone is?
20	A I haven't, no.
21	Q Well, you've had over a year. You haven't figured
22	out the identity of anyone in Phase 2?
23	A I wasn't actually here to investigate who the
24	people were. I was trying to determine if it would be
25	possible to do so.

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 160 of 286Total Pages: (592 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 152
1	
1	Q Okay. But you haven't done it yet?
2	A No, I have not.
3	Q Okay. So when you say it's not anonymous, it's
4	because it could lead you, with extra work, extra
5	investigative activity, maybe lead you to possibly a
6	group of likely suspects; right?
7	A Correct.
8	Q And in your mind, that means that's not anonymous?
9	A Right.
10	Q All right. So let's talk about, if we could, your
11	supplemental report. And that's Defense Exhibit 7;
12	Right?
13	MR. DUFFEY: Could we get that up? Do you
14	mind?
15	MS. KOENIG: Sure.
16	BY MR. DUFFEY:
17	Q So you would agree with me, looking at your
18	report, right off the bat, you state, and I think
19	everyone appreciates your candor, that you can't
20	replicate the opt-in process that the defendant would
21	have seen. That's on page 1 of your report.
22	A That's right.
23	Q So you can't be sure exactly which of these
24	screens that he saw, if any, from your report; right?
25	A Can't be 100 percent certain.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled 3/29/21 Page 161 ef 286F ptal Pages; (593 of 2164)

153 MCINVAILLE - CROSS 1 Sure. Q 2 А Right. 3 And so the Quartz article, which is Defense 0 4 Exhibit 48, and we don't have to get that up, but 5 that's what it is, you show the screenshot in your report, Defense Exhibit 7, as Figure 1; right? 6 7 А Correct. Q And I think you said this, but let's make it 8 9 clear, this article was published January 24th of 2018; right? 10 11 A Right. 12 Q And I think we've established that Location 13 History on our phone -- I say "our phone" -- the 14 target cell phone here, Mr. Chatrie's phone, was 15 enabled on July 9th of 2018; right? 16 А Right. 17 Q So you would agree with me that the Quartz article 18 showing various screenshots was published seven or 19 eight months prior to the enabling on Mr. Chatrie's 20 phone; right? 21 Correct. А 22 Okay. And we'll get to the Norwegian test, but Q 23 that shows a different -- that's from July, and that 24 shows a different screenshot; right? 25 A The Norwegian?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3729/21 Page 162 of 286Tetal Pages; (594 of 2164)

154 MCINVAILLE - CROSS Yes. 1 Q 2 Yes, correct. Α 3 0 So either Quartz is just wrong or something 4 changed in between January and July; right? 5 Correct, the language changed. Α 6 Okay. So when we look at Figure 1, and this is 7 Figure 1 on Government's 7, this is the Quartz 8 screenshot; right? 9 THE COURT: Just to be clear, it's Defense 7. 10 MR. DUFFEY: Oh, I'm sorry. Defense Exhibit 11 7. 12 BY MR. DUFFEY: 13 Figure 1, this is the Quartz screenshot; right? Q 14 Correct. А 15 And you would agree with me, this is not entirely Q 16 accurate because it cuts off "No, thanks" or "Yes, I'm 17 in" at the bottom of the screenshot; right? 18 Yeah, it has to be scrolled down to get to those. А 19 Okay. So we can assume that at the bottom there Q 20 is a "No, thanks" or "Yes, I'm in"; right? 21 Correct. А 22 Okay. And can you preclude the possibility that Q 23 if you click "No, thanks," that there's a second 24 opt-in page that follows up this to say, like, are you 25 sure, or this is what happens if you do this?

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 163 of 286Total Pages: (595 of 2164)

1	
	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 155
1	A I'm not sure if there is.
2	Q You don't know?
3	A No, I don't.
4	Q In fact, the Quartz article, it wasn't really the
5	point of the Quartz article to document the opt-in
6	process; right?
7	A Right.
8	Q They had a different subject; right?
9	A Correct.
10	Q It wasn't about whether or not this is truly an
11	opt-in process; right?
12	A No. I used the screenshot because it showed one
13	of the permission screens.
14	Q Oh, I'm not attacking you. I'm just pointing out
15	the point of the article wasn't about opt-in. It just
16	happened to have screenshots in it; right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Okay. The second source you went to, the Oracle
19	report for the record, that's Defense Exhibit 11.
20	So if we go to page 2 of your report, Exhibit 7, so
21	Figure 3, in this screenshot that you document
22	excuse me, Figure 2. This is page 2.
23	MS. KOENIG: It's page 2 of Exhibit 7.
24	MR. DUFFEY: All right.
25	BY MR. DUFFEY:

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 164 of 286Total Pages: (596 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 156
1	Q This doesn't document at all when this screenshot
2	would have been taken; right? Or does it? You tell
3	me.
4	A I think in another portion they do reference
5	when I know they were 2018. I'm trying to recall.
6	I think it was I think it was actually closer to
7	the time frame of Quartz, if I'm
8	Q Before July 2018?
9	A I do believe it was before July.
10	Q But looking at this, you're not sure because it
11	doesn't document it in your report?
12	A Not right here, no.
13	Q Okay. And, again, much like the Quartz figure,
14	the Quartz screenshot, this does not document if
15	there's any further opt-in or out-out process after
16	clicking either "No, thanks" or "Yes, I'm in"?
17	A It does not.
18	Q It doesn't tell you what happens next?
19	A No, there's no screenshots for that.
20	Q Okay. Now, then we go to the Norwegian report,
21	and the Norwegian report itself was Defense 27, but
22	this is on page 3; right? And the difference here, I
23	take it, is that you have to opt-in, I think you
24	testified. It now has three things in the opt-in
25	list, I guess you would call it, that has Location

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 165 of 286Total Pages: (597 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 157
1	History. And this is Figure 3, "Location History,
2	Device information, Voice & Audio Activity"; right?
3	And those all three are on the list; right.
4	A Yes, they were on the others, as well.
5	Q Then you also show the expanded view of Location
6	History. That, I guess, is that you clicked on the
7	down arrow, and that gives you an explanation of what
8	Location History is; right?
9	A That's what they did, yes.
10	Q Okay. And that says "Location History saves where
11	you go with your devices"; right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q To save this data, Google regularly obtains
14	location data from your devices. This data is saved
15	even when you aren't using a specific Google service,
16	such as Google Maps or Search. That's on Figure 3;
17	right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q You're not contesting that a normal consumer
20	reading that could not figure out that Google is
21	saving their location history, are you?
22	A No.
23	Q Okay. So it's clear, pretty much to anyone who
24	can read, that they're telling you Google is going to
25	save where you go; right?

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Eiled: Pil/20/2023/21 Page 166 of 286Total Pages: (598 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 158
1	A Correct.
2	Q It also says "If you use the device without an
3	internet connection, your data may be saved to your
4	account once you return online"; right?
5	A Correct.
6	Q I think that goes to your point that once you
7	enable Location History, it's tracking your phone all
8	the time; right?
9	A Right.
10	Q Okay. It also says, I think, that this data may
11	be saved and used in any Google service where you were
12	signed in to give you more personalized experiences;
13	right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And it tells you, you can see your data, you can
16	delete it, and you can change your settings at
17	account.google.com; right?
18	A Correct.
19	Q That's the same language that Mr. McGriff has in
20	his affidavit; right?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. So there's nothing shady about that; right?
23	About McGriff's affidavit, at least to that point;
24	right?
25	A No.
	J.A. 574

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 167 of 286Total Pages: (599 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 159
1	Q Same language. All right. And then at the
2	bottom, again, there's "No, thanks" or there's "Turn
3	on"; right?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Do you think there's any ambiguity there that you
6	are turning on Location History?
7	A No, it's specifically asking for those three
8	permissions.
9	Q All right. And, essentially, and I think this is
10	Defense Exhibit 23, is McGriff's affidavit, the
11	difference really with McGriff's affidavit is that
12	in his affidavit and his screenshot, Location History
13	stands alone; right?
14	A Correct.
15	Q That's really the only difference; right?
16	A Correct.
17	Q He doesn't define Location History any
18	differently; right?
19	A No.
20	Q Okay. And there's still a "No, thanks" or "Turn
21	on" at the end of it?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Right. So is there any doubt also that consumers
24	are told and this is true, that they can always
25	delete Location History any time they want; right?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHi 19-3 cument 201 Filed: 61/20/29/29/21 Page 168 66 2867-74 Age D# (1988 of 2164)

160 McINVAILLE - CROSS 1 They can, yes. А 2 So I know Mr. Price asked you about turning off 0 3 or, I quess, deleting Google services or Google 4 Assistant doesn't turn off Location History? 5 Right, the application. А 6 Right. My question is, though, regardless of 0 7 that, any time a consumer wants, they can go on their 8 phone and they can say stop taking my -- stop saving 9 my location history; right? 10 Correct. А 11 And then Google will stop doing it? Q 12 Correct. А 13 In fact, they can delete it, and then Google won't 0 14 have it in the Sensorvault anymore; right? 15 Correct. Α 16 And if Google doesn't have it in the Sensorvault, 0 17 the government's not getting it even with a search warrant; right? 18 19 The way I understand it, yes. А 20 Because it's just not there. Q 21 А Right. 22 So that can come either because the person never Q 23 turns on Location History; right? 24 I'm sorry? А 25 That can happen -- the government can, I guess, 0

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 169 of 286Total Pages: (601 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 161
1	get thwarted on the search warrant by Google where
1 2	
	Google says "We don't have any location history."
3	That can happen for two reasons. One is the person,
4	the holder of the phone, can have never turned on
5	Location History; right?
6	A Right.
7	Q Or they can at any time go back on and delete it?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Any time before the search warrant comes; right?
10	A Correct.
11	Q In which case, in both cases, Google, in response
12	to a government search warrant, would say, Sorry, we
13	don't have anything; right?
14	A I would think so, yes.
15	Q Okay. And I think you've said this, again, but
16	let's make it clear. There is no way that Google
17	saves this data without the customer in some form or
18	fashion clicking either "Yes, I'm in" or "Turn on" and
19	Location History is at least one of the items up above
20	that choice; right?
21	A Right.
22	Q A customer has to agree to Location History or
23	Google is not saving their location data; correct?
24	A Yes, their location history. It doesn't have
25	that.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 170 65 2867 ptal Pages: (602 of 2164)

Case	3.13-CI-00130-WHL DOCUMENT 201 Flieu 03/23/21 Page 102 01 277 PageD# 1930
	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 162
1	Q From Mr. McGriff's affidavit, do you have any
2	reason to doubt his one-third of Google users
3	worldwide have Location History enabled? That means
4	two-thirds do not; right?
5	A Yeah, there's no way for me to know.
6	Q Do you have any reason to doubt that?
7	A No.
8	Q I mean, you talked about the tens of millions
9	number on direct; right? You got that from the
10	one-third of Google users worldwide having Location
11	History enabled; right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q That means three times that number of Google users
14	don't have Location History enabled; right?
15	A That's right.
16	Q Which means they're not Google has none of
17	their location data; right?
18	A They don't have location history.
19	Q Location history.
20	A Yes.
21	Q We'll get to that.
22	So, it's fair to say that tens of millions of
23	Google users have somehow figured out how to use their
24	phones without Location History enabled; right?
25	A Right.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 163 01 277 Page 2: (603 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 163
1	Q There's millions of people using their phones
2	without Location History enabled?
3	A Right.
4	Q You're not saying in your opinion these people, I
5	don't know, are just stupid because they don't know
6	how to turn it on?
7	A I'm not saying anything about those people.
8	Q Does that seem reasonable to you that tens of
9	millions of people would be using a phone without
10	Location History when they really wanted Location
11	History on?
12	A I don't know why you would make the choice one way
13	or another. That's completely up to the user.
14	Q All right. Okay. Well, let me ask you this:
15	Location Services is clearly not the same thing as
16	Location History; right?
17	A Right.
18	Q So Location Services, enabling that, that's what
19	really gets you, I guess, according to Google, kind of
20	the fun stuff of the phone; right? That allows the
21	phone to know where it is at all times; right?
22	A Right.
23	Q Realtime?
24	A Right.
25	Q And so if you wanted to you have Location

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 172 6f 286Total Pages: (604 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 164
1	Services enabled, you can turn on Google Maps and say,
2	Take me to the nearest Chick-fil-A, and it will tell
3	you right then, Go down the road, take a right, and it
4	will direct you there; right?
5	A Right.
6	Q Because it's tracking you realtime because you
7	have Location Services enabled; right?
8	A Right.
9	Q And you have Google Maps enabled and all the other
10	things; right?
11	A Right.
12	Q But that is not the same thing as Location
13	History; right?
14	A No.
15	Q Because if you have Location Services enabled, you
16	can do all the fun stuff that I call it, but without
17	Location History enabled, Google's not saving any of
18	that; right?
19	A Not that I'm aware of, no.
20	Q It doesn't go into the vault; right?
21	A I'm not sure. I don't know for sure. That would
22	be Google, but
23	Q Well, I'm asking you, in your expert experience,
24	if you don't have Location History enabled, Google's
25	not saving the data; right?

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 165 of 2774 Page 2(605 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 165
1	A It's not saving Location History. I don't know if
2	they're still saving some location information is all
3	I'm saying.
4	Q Well, do you think they're saving your Location
5	Services data when you've told them not to enable
6	Location History?
7	A So, look yeah, Location History is you creating
8	that data that's being stored to you. There's still
9	advertising data and things being collected. I just
10	was trying to say, as far as Location History goes,
11	you can have Location Services running and either have
12	Location History either running or not. Two different
13	things away from whether or not they still collect
14	some location data in other ways. But as far as
15	Location History goes
16	Q They do not?
17	A Right.
18	Q So if Google tells you that the only thing the
19	government gets from a search warrant is Location
20	History, do you have any reason to doubt that?
21	A No.
22	Q And, therefore, I'm asking you if Location History
23	is not enabled, the government's not getting any
24	location data out of Google; right?
25	A Correct.

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: Pil/20/2023/29/21 Page 166 01 277 Page 2: (606 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 166
1	Q We've talked real quick about our second search
2	warrant, and that's Defense Exhibit 8. And that had a
3	whole bunch of plots; right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q That's the second government's search warrant that
6	focused just on Mr. Chatrie's account; right?
7	A Correct.
8	Q It had a whole bunch because we covered, I think,
9	a little over 30 days of him traveling around; right?
10	A Correct.
11	Q But you're aware that that came after he was ID'd
12	as the likely suspect of this crime; right?
13	A I understand that.
14	Q I mean, Google didn't pick him; right? We picked
15	him; right?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And as I think I just said, this was a search
18	warrant; right?
19	A Right.
20	Q It was issued to Google; right?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Signed by a judge or a magistrate; right?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Okay. And I think you were asked, but if we could
25	go back to Government's 1, page 24. This is, in fact,

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 175 67 2867 ptal Pages: (607 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 167
1	the device ID ending in 5659; right?
2	A Correct.
3	Q This is Mr. Chatrie's phone?
4	A Correct.
5	Q That's what we said. You would agree with me that
6	this is pretty precise information about Mr. Chatrie's
7	phone; right?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q In fact, all the red dots on the corner there are
10	GPS marks; right?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q And all of the map radiuses are completely within
13	the 150-meter geofence with the exception of one;
14	right?
15	A Correct.
16	Q And it's multiple hits both around that large
17	church and also around the bank; right?
18	A Right.
19	Q In your law enforcement experience, do you
20	question why the government would have gone after a
21	second search warrant on this phone?
22	A No.
23	MR. DUFFEY: Judge, if I could just have one
24	second, I think I'm about done.
25	All right, Judge. Thank you. I think I'm

USCA4 Appeal: 19-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 03/29/21 Page 65 87 27/14 age 54 (1996 of 2164)

168 MCINVAILLE - CROSS 1 done. 2 THE COURT: I do have one question. 3 Sir, do you know how towers store 4 information? Do they store it by tower? So if you're 5 doing a tower dump, what are you downloading? THE WITNESS: So the carriers will look for 6 7 how they store it. I don't know if they store it, necessarily, tower per tower, but when they do that 8 9 search, they are searching based on the tower 10 location. So they will query for, you know, in the 11 tower dump instance, a period of time for a specific tower that the location referenced. It's searched 12 13 based on usage of the tower. 14 THE COURT: So you don't know if the download 15 comes from just the tower or from a bigger database? 16 THE WITNESS: Likely going to come from a 17 larger database. I doubt that the tower location is holding all of the records. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 MR. DUFFEY: May I ask two follow-ups to 21 that? 22 THE COURT: Of course. BY MR. DUFFEY: 23 24 Q So, first, to be clear, when you're talking tower dump, you're getting that information not from Google, 25

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 169 01 277 Page 286T Ptage 2010 01 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - CROSS 169
1	you're getting that from a cell phone provider; right?
2	A Correct.
3	Q So that's Verizon or AT&T or T-Mobile or some of
4	those people?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And I think the Judge's question about what
7	database it comes from, tower dumps, we give them or
8	law enforcement gives them an address; right? And
9	they say, Here are the likely towers that if that
10	person was near that location, here are the likely
11	towers that they were hitting off of; right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q That a phone would have been connecting to; right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And then they get I guess, to clarify, the data
16	is not stored at the tower, but the data is stored and
17	saved, I guess, through each tower; right? Meaning
18	once Verizon figures out, Okay, there's two towers
19	that are at issue here, they go back. They're
20	searching their database just for those two towers;
21	right?
22	A Right.
23	Q To figure out which phones likely were hitting off
24	of those towers; right?
25	A Correct.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHi: 19-3 cument Elled: Filed: 91/20/29/23/21 Page 76 86 79 4 Age D# (fg 98 of 2164)

170 MCINVAILLE - CROSS Okay. And, again, then they give up actual phone 1 Q 2 numbers, not anonymous reference numbers; right? 3 А That's right. 4 Q Okay. 5 MR. DUFFEY: That's all I have, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 6 7 MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, I'm going to try to 8 do this myself up here at the podium, but I'll need to 9 have the screen switched to the podium monitor, 10 please. Perfect. 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KOENIG: 12 13 Q All right, Mr. McInvaille. Before I lose track of 14 my last thought, in terms of the tower dump, so 15 when -- is it -- how does a phone -- when we have a 16 tower dump, what is the number doing? Like, the phone 17 that is received in the tower dump, what does it mean that that phone has done with that tower? 18 19 A Generally, it's because a call or a text -- in 20 most cases, it's because a call or a text has 21 occurred. 22 Q Like, did it connect -- did that particular device 23 connect with that tower? 24 А Yes. 25 And so when the company is searching for all the Q

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 171 01 2774 Page 2: (611901 2: 161

Cusc	
	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 171
1	devices that connected with that tower, is it just
2	looking for the phones that connected with that tower?
3	A Yes, it's the ones that they actually have records
4	for. So, again, kind of in that scenario of earlier
5	when you asked or when it was asked if a phone is just
6	sitting idle, while it will communicate or at least
7	interact with the network, that's not information
8	that's generally, it can be in some cases, recorded.
9	Most of what you see in the tower dump is due to
10	calls or texts. There are other instances, but that's
11	generally what's being requested.
12	Q If I'm a judge, and I'm issuing a warrant for,
13	like, a tower dump of Tower A, does that require the
14	phone company to look through the data for the phones
15	that connected to Tower B?
16	A I don't think so. I would think they would be
17	able to narrow it down just by the tower that they are
18	actually looking for.
19	Q Because it's specific to, like, a they record
20	the data as to which tower it connected with; right?
21	A Right.
22	Q Okay. Let's go way back to the Google account
23	itself. And so we've talked about a Google account
24	that's at issue in this case. Is the Google account
25	in this case a Gmail account?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 180 95 2867 Page 2: 19-57 Page 2: 1

	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 172
1	A Right, it is.
2	Q So when we say "Google account," we're meaning
3	that Mr. Chatrie had a Gmail email address?
4	A Yes. You have to create one for an account.
5	Q Okay. What type of phone did Mr. Chatrie have?
6	A It was a Samsung S9.
7	Q Is that an Android phone?
8	A It is.
9	Q Who makes Android?
10	A The Android operating system is a Google product.
11	Q Okay. When we go back to Mr. Duffey's questions
12	about the search that's run in the Stage 1 returns, do
13	we know how Google runs the return?
14	A Other than looking inside of the Location History
15	database and drawing, you know, actually using the
16	latitude and longitude to figure out where at you
17	know, if this data would actually fall within there.
18	That's all I really know about how they conduct that
19	search through that database with the latitude and
20	longitude.
21	Q So let's go back to that latitude and longitude
22	point, and let's talk about it in context of Wi-Fi and
23	GPS. When we looked at Defense Exhibit 3, what are
24	the two types of sources of data, the location data,
25	that are in that Stage 1 return?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 181 95 2867 Page 2: (613 of 2164)

I	
	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 173
1	A And you're referring to the Wi-Fi and GPS portion?
2	Q Yes.
3	A Yes, those are two of the sources that are in
4	there.
5	Q The GPS and Wi-Fi?
6	A Yes.
7	Q So what is GPS? I know you said it was Global
8	Positioning System, but what does that mean?
9	A So that's using satellites to locate a GPS-enabled
10	device.
11	Q So I've got my phone in the courtroom. If I'm
12	connecting to a GPS satellite, how does that work?
13	A So, you don't really connect to it. The
14	satellites are broadcasting information down that can
15	be used. So GPS, you don't have the issues of loading
16	up the GPS system. It's because you're just receiving
17	the information. Your device is resolving where it is
18	based on the information it's receiving.
19	Q And that's very accurate information?
20	A It can be.
21	Q And then with Wi-Fi, how do we generate Wi-Fi
22	location data if a phone connects to a router?
23	A So, very basically, as far as how Google does it,
24	it is based on generally knowing where the access
25	point is, because they don't know the exact place

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 182 of 286Total Pages: (614 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 174
1	within, say, your home that your access point is, but
2	they're able to figure out that, hey, this access
3	point is generally here at Laura's house.
4	Based on signal strengths, they can measure to and
5	from that device. Then you can, with a few of those,
6	resolve if I know where points A, B, and C are, and
7	the phone is getting signals from A, B, and C based on
8	signal strength, and probably some other information
9	that they put along with that, they're able to resolve
10	a location.
11	Q So I want to make sure because this is, I think, a
12	compact issue. Let me make sure I understand each
13	point.
14	So, somehow Google has determined where all these
15	Wi-Fi routers are?
16	A We, or Google users, share that information.
17	Q How do we do that?
18	A Your phone one of the things that you'll set up
19	in the initial setup of a device is whether or not you
20	want to share that type of information with Google. I
21	don't recall the specific wording of it, but there is
22	a place in here, Would you like to share that type of
23	information? So being able to, say, share with
24	Google, this is a you don't specifically tell them
25	this, but your phone will tell it, like, hey, I'm

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 183 of 286Total Pages: (615 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - REDIRECT 175
1	here. And this is also what I see at the time, so
2	that it can be used later to make those type of
3	requests.
4	Q And so when we see in Defense Exhibit 3 in the
5	column that is regarding the sources, when we see a
6	Wi-Fi connection, does it mean that that phone has
7	actually connected to that router?
8	A No, it's not like going somewhere to, like, your
9	friend's house and connecting to the Wi-Fi. It just
10	simply sees the identifiers for that access point that
11	it's broadcasting. A connection between those doesn't
12	have to be, like, user name/password kind of
13	connection.
14	Q So if I'm at my house and I have a Wi-Fi router,
15	and I have shared somehow this information with Google
16	that I have a Wi-Fi router, do they keep that
17	information?
18	A Yes. You don't have to share it. It could be
19	your neighbors that your neighbor's device or
20	something picked this up and shared it. It's a
21	community effort, pretty much, through Google.
22	Q And so if you happen to be driving past my house,
23	can your phone see my Wi-Fi router?
24	A It's possible, depending on how far away it is,
25	things like that. But, yes, in general, your phone

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 184 95 286 Fotal Pages: (616 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 176
1	would you know, say it's in close proximity to the
2	road, you could see yours and your neighbor's, as
3	well.
4	Q So if you are if in the points of data that
5	list out Wi-Fi, it doesn't mean that the person was at
6	the like, in the building or in any way associated
7	with the router from the place that the Wi-Fi is
8	indicating there's a longitude and latitude?
9	A Right. It's not a user name/password interaction.
10	This is just that it sees the identifiers for that
11	specific point.
12	Q What do you know about the ranges of Wi-Fi
13	routers?
14	A Generally, probably looking at 150 feet or so for
15	a normal router. I'm sure you could you know,
16	different sets could be bigger or smaller, but that's
17	kind of generally what people look at.
18	Q So when Google is estimating the longitude and
19	latitude that's listed in Columns D and E of Defense
20	Exhibit 3, how are they estimating that longitude and
21	latitude?
22	A Again, with Wi-Fi, it's because they're using
23	those the kind of mostly known location of access
24	points, signal strength values, to resolve a location.
25	Q So if a Wi-Fi point, the longitude and latitude is

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 185 97 2867 914 Pages: (61750f 2164)

	McINVAILLE - REDIRECT 177
1	based on where Google thinks the Wi-Fi router itself
2	is?
3	A That's a piece of it, yes.
4	Q And so when you're looking at the Wi-Fi data point
5	that's plotted, the longitude and latitude, we're not
6	talking or are we talking about the longitude and
7	latitude that Google thinks the device was at or where
8	the connection to the Wi-Fi router is?
9	A That's where it thinks the device was at that
10	given time.
11	Q But within this larger radius?
12	A Correct.
13	Q So the phone or the device could be anywhere
14	within that blue circle?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Okay. I want to turn to Defense Exhibit 3. And
17	if you'll look we've been talking a lot about the
18	Stage 1 returns, which I think begin at page 6 and
19	maybe end around page 12, and I want you to look at
20	the Stage 2 returns.
21	A Is that in the same
22	Q In the same exhibit. The Stage 2 portion of
23	Exhibit 3, which is the second spreadsheet.
24	A Okay.
25	Q Does that portion of Exhibit 3, the Stage 2 data

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 186 95 286 Potal Pages: (618 of 2164)

	McINVAILLE - REDIRECT 178
1	returns, does that also have a maps display radius?
2	A Yes, all of the Location History data does.
3	Q Can you look through those map display radiuses
4	and tell us some of the larger numbers that you see?
5	A On the first page, the largest is 179. The
6	smallest, I believe, is 16, it looks like.
7	Q Let's go to the next page.
8	A This page, the largest is 413, it looks like.
9	That's on line 42.
10	Q Let's go to the third page.
11	A Line, it looks like, 75 is 164 meters.
12	Q Then let's go to the next page.
13	A 100 meters is line 104.
14	Q Okay. The next page.
15	A Line 157 is 1,797.
16	Q And let's go to the next page after that.
17	A Line 170 is 64.
18	Q What's the next page after that?
19	A 156 meters, line 231.
20	Q Next page after that.
21	A Looks like 55, which is line 264, 55 meters.
22	Q Can you go to the next page?
23	A 210. It is line 292.
24	Q Let's go to the next page after that.
25	A Line 322 is 1,573.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 187.95 2867 Page 2: 19-51-00130-MHL 10 Cument Filed: 03/29/21 Page 179 of 277 Page D# 2007 of 2164)

Cusc	
	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 179
1	Q Let's just have you kind of more quickly flip
2	through and tell us if you see any other large numbers
3	that are over a thousand.
4	A It's the page that begins on the Column 397 or Row
5	397 through 429. Row 420 is 1,026. The final page
6	begins with Row 661, ends in 681. The Row 681 has
7	1,838 meters.
8	Q Okay. So is it fair to say that the map display
9	radius varies depending on the longitude and latitude
10	point, the individual data point?
11	A Each point has their own display radius.
12	Q Had the geofence swept in one of these data points
13	that had a map display radius of over a thousand feet,
14	the effective radius of the geofence would have been
15	multiple thousands of feet just in the radius, in the
16	diameter?
17	A If you look at it as if the if that point had
18	fallen within the fence and that circle extended, and
19	then if the phone could be anywhere within that
20	circle, then I guess you could look at it that way.
21	Q So is there any way for a judge or law enforcement
22	to know ahead of time what the effective radius of the
23	geofence is going to be?
24	A I guess knowing what I know is that the only thing
25	that you can, as far as that search goes, is knowing

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 186 286 Total Pages: (620 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 180
1	that the estimated latitude and longitude would have
2	to fall within the circle for it to be captured.
3	Q But the effective radius could end up being
4	thousands of feet or meters larger than that; right?
5	A In that scenario, I guess, yes.
6	Q I want to talk about the device ID that's listed
7	in Column A of Defense Exhibit 3. The government
8	asked you a number of questions about whether that's
9	tied to a phone number, and you've indicated that you
10	don't have any information that it is. Is that right?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Have you reviewed Ms. Rodriguez's declaration,
13	which is Defense Exhibit 24?
14	A Yes.
15	Q By Ms. Rodriguez, I mean Sarah Rodriguez from
16	Google.
17	A I have.
18	Q And in reviewing that, do you have any indication
19	that the device ID remains the same from one geofence
20	search to another?
21	A Say that again.
22	Q In reviewing Ms. Rodriguez's affidavit, does it
23	indicate to you whether the device ID, that number in
24	Column A, remains the same for each device from
25	geofence search to geofence search?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 181 01 277 Page 2: 19-51 00 2009 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 181
1	A The way it's described, it appears that the kind
2	of global identifier that would face out through the
3	accounts is stripped, but that that number that you
4	see within these requests is an identifier that stays
5	with that particular device, but only within the
6	Location History database. It does not reach outside
7	of that database.
8	Q So if I have a device ID of 123, I think they're
9	more complicated than that, but if there's a device ID
10	number of 123, and device ID No. 123 is swept up in
11	geofence warrant one, if I see device ID No. 123 in
12	geofence warrant No. 2, does that mean that that is
13	the same device?
14	A Based on reading her declaration, I believe so.
15	Q Is there any obligation that the law enforcement
16	officers who obtain the returns from Google have to
17	return the data after they have used it in any way?
18	A I'm not aware of any.
19	Q Okay. Let's talk now about some of the questions
20	that Mr. Duffey was asking you about your follow-up
21	investigation. As a former law enforcement officer,
22	were you a detective?
23	A I was.
24	Q And as a detective, is it your job to do police
25	work and follow-up work?

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 182 01 277 Page 2010 f 2164)

	McINVAILLE - REDIRECT 182
1	A That's what I did.
2	Q Such as get search warrants?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And do surveillance?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And try to track people down from various location
7	data points?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Were you successful in doing that?
10	A I believe so.
11	Q Is that the nature of detective work is you have
12	to actually do the work?
13	A It is. I mean, connecting the dots is what you
14	do.
15	Q Obviously, law enforcement officers would like
16	their jobs to be easier; right?
17	A Sure.
18	Q But there are sometimes stumbling blocks?
19	A There's work to be done.
20	Q But you still have to work around that?
21	A Yes.
22	Q When we were talking in advance of today, do you
23	have an example of two data points that would apply to
24	you today but nobody else probably?
25	A Yeah. I recall you asking me this before, and I

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 183 of 277 Page 2010 (623 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 183
1	kind of used the example of me coming here today.
2	Q How would that work?
3	A I think I'm the only person. I haven't seen any
4	of my neighbors, but I think I'm the only person from
5	my cul-de-sac who traveled from Holly Springs, North
6	Carolina, to the federal courthouse today. I don't
7	think there's anybody else. So those two points would
8	be
9	Q Sufficient to identify you?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. And, obviously, the more points of data you
12	have, is it more likely that you're going to get a
13	precise narrowing down of who the identity is of that
14	person that's carrying that device?
15	A Can be. With what I do, more data is we always
16	want more data. It helps everything when you can
17	the more you know.
18	Q I want to turn now to the screens that we were
19	talking about. So if we go to Mr. McGriff's
20	affidavit. And so this is Defense Exhibit 23 at page
21	3. If you can turn to that exhibit, please.
22	A Say that again.
23	Q Defense Exhibit 23 at page 3.
24	I'm sorry. So on Footnote 2 of page 3, Mr.
25	McGriff refers to, like, a second screen; right?

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled: 91/20/2923/21 Page 184 012774 age D# (624 of 2164)

184 McINVAILLE - REDIRECT Yes. 1 А 2 And have you been able to determine that -- and if 0 3 we look up, we see on that above, that page flips into 4 paragraph 8 of Defense Exhibit 23. And that portion 5 has the Location History in bold, and then under that 6 it says "Saves where you go with your devices"? 7 А Yes. Q And then under that it has "Location History. 8 9 Saves where you go with your devices"? 10 Yes. А 11 Q And so when Mr. McGriff seems to be talking about the second screen, we've been able to determine, is 12 13 that really just the language that's under the drop 14 down arrow? 15 A That's what it looks like. This language that you 16 see in this under No. 8 is the same that we saw in 17 most of the other screenshots that actually show the 18 expansion arrow selected. 19 Q Because when Mr. McGriff is writing this, he's 20 indicating I don't have the screenshots -- right? --21 of activating this? And so I'm describing what 22 language would have been presented? 23 A I don't believe so. I don't think he turned 24 over -- I think that's correct. 2.5 And so when we see the "Saves where you go with 0

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 185 of 2774 Page 2013 of 2164)

1	l
	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 185
1	your devices" language in the examples that you have
2	put forth in Defendant's Exhibit 7, all the user has
3	to see under Location History is "Saves where you go
4	with your devices," in the Norwegian example,
5	specifically, and then they can click yes, and that
6	will turn on?
7	A Yes, you can.
8	Q And that's it? You don't have to look at any of
9	that other expansion arrow?
10	A You don't have to, no.
11	Q And going back to I just want to make sure I'm,
12	again, clear about turning on Google Assistant. So if
13	I have my phone. I just push that little circle
14	button at the bottom; right? That's the home button?
15	A Yeah, I believe that's the icon that's used.
16	Q And you just hold it and press it for a couple of
17	seconds?
18	A It's not even really a couple of seconds. It's
19	more of just, you know, if you were clicking on
20	something on a website, how you normally just tap the
21	screen. This is more of just a press, a longer
22	version of that touch.
23	Q And so if you're doing that for the first time,
24	and you haven't already activated Google Assistant,
25	it's going to take you to the setup process; right?

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 186 01 277 Page 2014 of 2164)

1	
	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 186
1	A From what I've seen, yes.
2	Q And then when you're doing that, you either have
3	to choose yes, I'm going to do it or no, I'm not going
4	to do it to turn on Google Assistant?
5	A Right. You either "Skip" the setup of Google
6	Assistant or you go "Next," and have to choose
7	permissions.
8	Q So you just have to do two clicks; right? The
9	long press of the home button and then the "Yes, I'm
10	in"; right? You just have to do two clicks; right?
11	Or two presses or two movements of your hand?
12	A I guess it would actually be three.
13	Q What would the movements be?
14	A You're long pressing to launch the app. When that
15	comes up, then you see the "Meet your Google
16	Assistant" screen. You can select "Skip" or "Next."
17	If you select "Next," it takes you to the permission
18	screen where you have to make the selection of "Turn
19	on" or "No, thanks."
20	Q So, thank you for correcting me. So it's three
21	presses, and that could happen within probably less
22	than a second; right?
23	A I guess, yeah, you could.
24	Q Okay. Is it pretty easy to turn on Google
25	Assistant, then?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489130-MHi 19-3 cument Elled: Piled: 91/20/2923/21 Page 187 812774 age 5: (627.0f 2164)

187 McINVAILLE - REDIRECT Yeah, it can be. 1 А 2 And anywhere in the screens that have you found in 0 the research of what the screens themselves would have 3 4 looked like to a user setting up Google Assistant on 5 July 9th of 2018, does it indicate that deleting information, that if you accept Location History, does 6 7 it ever indicate that deleting your information doesn't stop you from tracking information in the 8 9 future? 10 A I'm not sure. Ask that again. 11 Q So if we go down to the drop down menu, your location history. And the bottom says -- I'm sorry. 12 13 Not that. Not that portion. The -- so the paragraph 14 that's right above the boxes that say either "No, 15 thanks" or "Turn on." So this is on page 4 of 16 Exhibit 7, which is the second set of the July 2, 2018 17 screenshots from the Norwegian Consumer Council. Yes. 18 Α 19 That paragraph that begins "This data may be saved 0 20 and used in any Google service." And the second sentence says that you can delete the Location History 21 22 data; right? 23 A Yes. Q Does that in any way indicate that if you delete 24 2.5 the Location History data, that it will still keep

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Eiled: 01/20/2023/21 Page 188 01 277 Page 2016 of 2164)

	MCINVAILLE - REDIRECT 188
1	tracking Location History data in the future?
2	A No, I don't think it indicates that it won't. If
3	just by simply deleting your old location history, no,
4	I don't think that indicates that it will stop
5	collecting more information.
6	Q But if I were like, if I had Location History
7	enabled, and I deleted at this time my location
8	history, would it still keep tracking my location
9	history even if I deleted the old information?
10	A If you allow it to continue to be enabled, then,
11	yes, it would.
12	Q But there's no when you delete it, is there a
13	portion of the deletion information that tells you
14	that you are not going that location history
15	information will still continue to be gathered from
16	you?
17	A I'm not aware that that's the way that it's
18	displayed, but deleting it is not going to stop it
19	from deleting your old history is not going to stop
20	it from collecting.
21	MS. KOENIG: If I can have just a moment,
22	Your Honor.
23	No further questions, Your Honor. Thank you.
24	THE COURT: All right. Can this witness be
25	
ζЭ	excused?

USCA4 Appeal: 19-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 789 51 27/74 age D# (629-of 2164)

189 MS. KOENIG: He may, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Excused excused or 3 subject to recall? 4 MS. KOENIG: Subject to recall, Your Honor, 5 by the defense. 6 THE COURT: All right, sir. You may stand 7 down. Thank you for your testimony. Because you might be subject to recall, it's 8 9 still as if you're testifying. You can't talk to 10 anybody about what you've testified to or what anybody 11 else has testified to. Thank you. (The witness was excused from the witness 12 13 stand.) 14 MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, if we could take a 15 brief break before we begin the next witness. 16 THE COURT: Yes, I think it's a good time to 17 do that. So we could go -- we'll go until just five minutes of three. That's a little more than 15 18 19 minutes. 20 MS. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: So we'll take a recess. Again, 22 nobody violate our sequester order that continues to 23 be in place. All right? Thank you. 24 (Recess at 2:35 p.m. to 2:55 p.m.) 25 THE COURT: All right. So we're returning

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 190 of 2774 Page 2018 of 2164)

	190
1	from our break. I need to, if we have folks online to
2	remind them that our Local Rule Criminal Rule 53
3	prohibits, and our standing order, prohibits anybody
4	from recording or broadcasting or telecasting these
5	proceedings. It is as if you are in court with us.
6	And we have one court reporter making our official
7	record.
8	So I understand we have a new witness, who is
9	actually in the witness box, but who needs to be
10	called and sworn. Right?
11	MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. The
12	defense calls Marlo McGriff to the stand.
13	
14	MARLO MCGRIFF, called by the Defendant, first
15	being duly sworn, testified as follows:
16	
17	THE COURT: All right. Mr. McGriff, we are
18	adhering to COVID protocol here. Obviously, you can
19	see we have plastic barriers. We're not within 6 feet
20	of each other unless folks have taken necessary
21	precautions. You have sanitizer there and hand
22	sanitizer.
23	When you're testifying, the only way that my
24	court reporter can hear you is through the microphone.
25	So you can either testify with or without a mask. I

J.A. 606

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489130-MHL 19-3cument 2011 Filed 03/29/21 Page 91 01 27/74 agen 2010 2164)

191 McGRIFF - DIRECT just want to be sure that it is going through the 1 2 microphone so we can hear you clearly. All right, 3 sir? THE WITNESS: Okay. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 6 MR. PRICE: And, Your Honor, I just wanted to 7 remind the Court that we have agreed to treat Google's 8 witnesses, including Mr. McGriff, as adverse in this 9 case. 10 THE COURT: All right. I do need to remind 11 you all of one thing. On the break, I was notified that I have to attend an important conference call at 12 13 5 o'clock. And so we're going to have to break before 14 5 o'clock. Otherwise, I wouldn't do it, but it is 15 apparently enough that we have to take a break. So we 16 will be doing that, just to give you the advance 17 notice. 18 MR. PRICE: Understood. Thank you, Your 19 Honor. 20 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PRICE: 22 23 Q Mr. McGriff, hi. Good afternoon. I'm Michael 24 Price. I'm an attorney with Mr. Chatrie. Thank you 25 for being here today.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 192 of 2774 Age D# 2020 of 2164)

1	
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 192
1	You are a Location History Product Manager for
2	Google?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q And that means you're responsible for the Location
5	History product?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q And you've had that position since 2016?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q And you joined Google in 2011?
10	A Yes.
11	Q So you're very familiar with Google?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q And you're very, very familiar with Location
14	History in particular?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So you've helped develop it for the last five
17	years?
18	A That's right.
19	Q And now you lead the cross functional location
20	history team?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q So that means you're not just familiar with how
23	Location History works, but how it works with Google's
24	other services?
25	A That's correct.

J.A. 608

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 193 of 277 Page 1/2021 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 193
1	Q And does that include Google Assistant?
2	A Some aspects of Assistant, yes.
3	Q And you filed three declarations in this case;
4	correct?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q Your first on March 11th, 2020?
7	A I believe so, yes.
8	MR. PRICE: Can we bring up Defense Exhibit
9	1.
10	Q This is the first declaration that you filed in
11	this case?
12	A Yes. You said this is Exhibit 1?
13	Q Yes. It has previously been admitted, and it's
14	Defense Exhibit 1.
15	MS. KOENIG: 21.
16	THE COURT: 21.
17	MR. PRICE: 21, I'm sorry.
18	Q Okay.
19	A Yes.
20	Q Great. So Location History was not initially
21	designed to assist law enforcement investigations, was
22	it?
23	A That's correct.
24	Q It was designed to support Google's Timeline
25	feature as you explain on page 9?

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled: 91/20/2923/21 Page 202 of 286Total Pages; (634 of 2164)

194 McGRIFF - DIRECT THE COURT: Are we entering this into 1 2 evidence? 3 MR. PRICE: It is already in evidence, Your 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: No, it's not. No. 3 is in 6 evidence. 7 MS. KOENIG: I have Exhibit 21 is admitted 8 into evidence with Mr. McInvaille, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Oh, it sure is. My apologies. 10 It's 22 that isn't. My apologies. 11 A That's correct. It mentions, if I'm looking at page 9, it mentions Timeline as a feature, yes. 12 13 THE COURT: So, sir, I didn't hear a word of 14 that. So I think the microphone needs to be a little 15 closer to you. And it's natural when you're sort of looking away from the document at the document that 16 17 you also turn away from the microphone. It's an unnatural way to speak, but we want to be sure that we 18 get it accurately. All right? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry about that. 21 BY MR. PRICE: 22 Q So could you repeat that answer? Location History 23 was designed to support Google's Timeline feature; 24 correct? 25 A That's correct.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 195 of 2774 Page 2023 of 2164)

I	j v v
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 195
1	Q And as you wrote in your first declaration, also
2	on page 9, "The purposes for which Google designed
3	Location History do not depend on any individual
4	stored Location History data points"?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q In other words, Google can infer where a person is
7	heading with their device even with a few points
8	registering along their path?
9	A I'm not sure that I follow that question.
10	Q Google uses Location History to infer a user's
11	location; correct?
12	A I wouldn't use it I wouldn't frame it in that
13	way, but various signals are used to infer where a
14	user is. That's how we infer a user's location. And
15	then those inferences are stored, which create the
16	history.
17	Q Sure. And if somebody is traveling along a path,
18	and one dot is kind of off out of the way, Location
19	History will snap that point right back onto the path;
20	correct?
21	A Only if it makes sense. We do filter out like an
22	outlier because that sort of teleportation can happen.
23	THE COURT: That sort of what?
24	THE WITNESS: Sorry. There can be an outlier
25	point. But, logically, if I was here, and then

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 196 01 277 Page D# 2024 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 196
1	there's one point I'm sitting in let's say I was
2	sitting here for an hour. And there's one point
3	that's not where I've been sitting for the hour.
4	That's an outlier point, but yes.
5	Q My point is, it's precise enough for what it was
6	designed to do for Timeline?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q And that's why you wrote, "Location History"
9	this is also on page 9, Slide 2, this is why you
10	wrote, "Location History is sufficiently precise and
11	reliable for these purposes for which Google designed
12	Location History"; correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q That indicates, though, that there's maybe more
15	than one purpose for Location History; correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Another purpose of Location History, as you wrote
18	in the same paragraph, is to serve ads based on user
19	location?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And for some advertisers you also provide
22	information about store visit conversions; is that
23	true?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q Could you explain what store conversions are?

J.A. 612

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Filed: 91/20/2023/21 Page 197 81 277 Page B# (637 of 2164)

197 McGRIFF - DIRECT So, for Location History, we never share anyone's 1 А 2 location history with a third party. So there's no instance where you would share with a third party that 3 4 I went into a particular store. What Location History 5 is used for in terms of advertising is very specifically ads measurement. And so that is for a 6 7 particular campaign, how many users who saw a 8 particular ad actually went to one of those stores. 9 And that's the store visit conversion or ads 10 measurement you're referring to. 11 THE COURT: Okay. You're just talking too 12 quickly. I'm so sorry. 13 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 14 THE COURT: It sounds as if that's the way 15 you normally talk, but pretend like I'm three, and slow down a little, if you don't mind. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, absolutely. BY MR. PRICE: 18 19 So Google is doing this in a privacy protective Q 20 way; correct? 21 That's correct. Α 22 It's not giving user location data over to stores Q 23 about who was around? 24 No. А 2.5 And businesses can also use Google to target ads 0

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 198 of 2774 Page 206 of 2164)

I	
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 198
1	based on a device's location?
2	A Not using Location History, though.
3	Q Right. They're not using the user's thing.
4	They're going to Google, and they're attempting to
5	target ads based on geography?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. In fact, it's possible to do something
8	called radius targeting; is that true?
9	A Yes, but I'm not totally familiar on the full ad
10	suite of products.
11	Q My understanding is that it allows a business to
12	target ads to users that are within a certain distance
13	of that business.
14	A That sounds correct, yes.
15	Q And there's a minimum radius that advertisers can
16	select when doing that; right? You can't make your
17	radius 4 meters or something like that?
18	THE COURT: Okay. Now you're also fading
19	out.
20	There you go.
21	MR. PRICE: Sorry.
22	BY MR. PRICE:
23	Q There's a minimum radius that advertisers must
24	adhere to. They can't select I believe it's less than
25	a kilometer or less than a mile radius?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 199 of 279 Page 2027 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 199
1	A That sounds correct.
2	Q And those businesses don't actually get to see
3	which devices are in the area; correct?
4	A Not that I'm aware of, no.
5	Q And the businesses can't go back to Google and ask
6	for more information about where a particular user was
7	half an hour before or half an hour later?
8	A No.
9	Q They can't get any information at all about
10	individual users; correct?
11	A Not that I'm aware of, no.
12	Q And that's true even when you're tracking store
13	visit conversions, no exceptions?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q So Google filed an amicus brief in this case. Are
16	you aware of that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q You're probably familiar with it. You probably
19	helped put it together?
20	A Yes.
21	MR. PRICE: I'd like to bring up Defense
22	Exhibit 2.
23	Q Is this the amicus brief?
24	A Which
25	Q It's also on your screen as Defense Exhibit 2.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled: 91/20/2923/21 Page 200 of 2774 age D# (640 of 2164)

200 McGRIFF - DIRECT A I don't think my screen is actually updating. 1 2 It's been static on the same --3 MS. KOENIG: It is different, but they are 4 white papers with letters on them. 5 THE WITNESS: Maybe it just looks the same. BY MR. PRICE: 6 7 It should say "Brief of Amicus Curiae Google LLC." Q A I see it. Thank you. 8 9 Q So that's the amicus brief that Google filed that 10 you helped prepare? 11 A Yes. 12 MR. PRICE: I'd like to admit that into 13 evidence, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: No objection, right? 15 MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge. 16 THE COURT: It will be admitted for purposes 17 of the hearing, too. 18 MR. PRICE: Thank you. 19 (Defense Exhibit No 2 is admitted into 20 evidence.) 21 BY MR. PRICE: 22 Q So on pages 5 to 6, Slide 4, the brief goes out of 23 its way to correct a misconception in this case; 24 correct? 25 A That's correct.

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 201
1	Q Google says that a geofence warrant is not really
2	analogous to a so-called tower dump?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And the brief states, "In fact, while Google
5	Location History information bears some similarities
6	to those types of data in some respects, it is
7	different in important ways that are highly relevant";
8	right?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And it goes on to explain, with respect to cell
11	site location information, which is how tower dumps
12	work, "When law enforcement seeks access to CSLI,"
13	cell site location information, "it is thus asking the
14	wireless carriers to produce its own business records
15	showing when a particular device connected to a cell
16	site within a particular period of time. A request
17	for a tower dump likewise seeks the wireless carrier's
18	own business records. In that case, identifying every
19	phone that connected to a particular cell site or
20	tower in a particular period"; correct?
21	A Yes.
22	THE COURT: What page are you on?
23	MR. PRICE: That is page 9, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	BY MR. PRICE:

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 202 of 277 Page 2030 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 202
1	Q And there are only so many people that can connect
2	to one cell tower at a time; right?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q And so there's sort of an upper limit on the
5	number of users that can be searched as a result of a
6	tower dump?
7	A That I don't know.
8	Q Well, if you're choosing, say, three towers to
9	search, and there's a maximum number of people that
10	can be on one tower at a time, would you agree there's
11	a maximum, there's a cap?
12	A That has to be some cap, yes.
13	Q There's a natural limit?
14	A Yeah.
15	Q And that would be true even if the tower dump
16	involved more than one tower. There would still be
17	that sort of upper limit. And that differs from how
18	geofence searches work; right?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q That's because and this is on page 8 of your
21	first declaration, which is Exhibit 21, Defense
22	Exhibit 21. You explain that's because, unlike tower
23	dumps, Google does not know which users may have saved
24	Location History data before conducting the search and
25	running computations; correct?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 203 01 277 Page 203 01 277 Page 203 01 277 Page 203 10 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 203
1	A That's correct.
2	Q And going back to the amicus, and I apologize for
3	the this is Slide 7 at page 12. Google says it
4	"has no way to know ex ante which users may have
5	Location History data indicating their potential
6	presence in particular areas at particular times"; is
7	that correct?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q So, unlike a tower dump, there is no way of just
10	searching Location History records for people in one
11	area in the way that you do with a tower dump by
12	looking at a tower?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q You have to search all of the records for every
15	user with Location History enabled; right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And this is at your first declaration, page 8,
18	Slide 8. You say in your first declaration, To
19	conduct a geofence search, Google must search across
20	all Location History data to identify users with
21	Location History data during the relevant time frame;
22	correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And you then have to run a computation against
25	every set of stored Location History coordinates to
	J.A. 619

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 204 of 277 Page 204 of 277 Page 204 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 204
1	determine which records match the geographic
2	parameters in the warrant?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And then, as Google explains in its amicus,
5	similarly, page 12, "In order to comply with the first
6	step of the geofence protocol, therefore, Google must
7	search across all Location History journal entries to
8	identify users with potentially responsive Location
9	History data, and then run a computation against every
10	set of coordinates to determine which Location History
11	records match the time and space parameters in the
12	warrant"?
13	A Yes.
14	Q So for every geofence warrant, Google has to
15	search across all Location History journal entries,
16	and then it has to run a computation against every set
17	of coordinates; correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q In other words, you had to search everybody with
20	Location History enabled?
21	A Yes.
22	Q That's what happened in this case?
23	A Yes.
24	Q To look for users in the geofence provided by the
25	warrant, Google had to search literally everybody with

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 205 of 2774 Page 205 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 205
1	Location History enabled?
2	A Yes.
3	Q I want to try and figure out just how many people
4	had their data searched in this case. So Google
5	searched all accounts with Location History enabled,
6	and in your first declaration this would be the
7	fourth page, paragraph 13 you say, In 2019, roughly
8	one-third of active Google users, i.e., numerous tens
9	of millions of Google users, had their Location
10	History enabled on their accounts?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Numerous tens of millions. That's a lot.
13	A Yes.
14	Q Can you tell us precisely how many?
15	A At that point in time, I cannot.
16	Q Let's try it a different way. Google owns
17	Android; right?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q And you know that Android has a Twitter account?
20	A I'm certain they do, yes.
21	Q And occasionally it tweets updates about Android.
22	Yes?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And in May 2019, Android said they had 2.5 billion
25	active users; is that accurate?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHi: 19-3 cument Elled: Filed: 91/20/29/23/21 Page 206 01 277 Page B# (646 of 2164)

206 McGRIFF - DIRECT I have not seen that tweet. 1 А 2 Q Well, I believe we can show it to you. It's 3 Defense Exhibit 55. 4 A The tweet says 2.5 billion active devices. It 5 doesn't speak to accounts. 6 Q As a rough estimate, would that differ 7 significantly from the number of users? MR. SIMON: Judge, I'm going to object. He's 8 9 answered the question. He said he doesn't know. The 10 pressing on, I think particularly given the time 11 constraints, the witness had answered the question to the extent he has personal knowledge. 12 13 THE COURT: Just finish answering that 14 question and we will move on. 15 A I wouldn't be able to say. 16 Q Okay. 17 THE COURT: Are you moving that into evidence 18 or not? Is it just demonstrative? 19 MR. PRICE: It was just demonstrative, Your 20 Honor. 21 BY MR. PRICE: 22 Q If you had to take a rough estimate at the number of active users in 2019, do you think it would be much 23 24 different than 2.5 billion? 2.5 I would not even know where to begin to make that А

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled 3/29/21 Page 207 81277 Page 207 81277 Page D# 2035 of 2164)

207 McGRIFF - DIRECT assessment. 1 2 Q So you don't know how many people have Location History enabled? I mean, presumably, you said you're 3 4 in charge of the Location History product. 5 A Yes. If the question is how many users were opted in to Location History in 2019, I do not know that 6 7 number off the top of my head. My clarifying questions would be at a particular point in 2019, 8 9 because it's not a static number? Or were you just 10 looking for a rough range or average? But, again, I 11 wouldn't know that off the top of my head. I remember at the time of preparing this that it 12 13 was roughly a third, the Android number, but I do not 14 know the Android number again off the top of my head. 15 Q And if we take, for example, if we say 2.5 billion as the Android number, a third of that would translate 16 17 into about 800 million, just generally. A The Android number here was referencing devices, 18 19 though. I, for example, have multiple devices, but 20 only one account that I'm using across all those 21 devices. 22 Q In your estimation as the Location History Product 23 Manager, would you estimate that there were more or 24 less than 800 million users with Location History enabled at some point in 2019? 25

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 208 01 277 01 277 01 277 01 277 01 277 01 277 01 277 01 277 0

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 208
1	A Users as in they have the account on, Location
2	History on, or Location History on and actively
3	reporting?
4	Q Enabled on their accounts.
5	A That I couldn't say. That I couldn't say.
6	Q Okay. In any case all right. We'll move on.
7	I want to talk about how Google processes Location
8	History geofence warrants. When Google receives a
9	geofence warrant, what happens? What is the process?
10	A I'm not involved in the processing of the warrants
11	in any way.
12	Q Do you know if there are any rules that Google has
13	about the size of a geofence warrant?
14	A I know at a high level the team works to be
15	sure at a high level I know that there's some back
16	and forth in terms of the refinement of the request,
17	but I'm not involved in the details of that
18	refinement.
19	Q What do you mean, back and forth about the
20	refinement of a request?
21	A Just clarification. Do we have the right details?
22	There's some process. I believe there's someone else
23	who's a witness who can speak to it in detail, but I'm
24	not involved in that process at all.
25	Q So you don't know if there's an upper limit on the

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 209 of 2774 Page 2037 of 2164)

Cusc	
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 209
1	size of a geofence that Google would respond for?
2	A I am not involved in that at all day-to-day.
3	Q If we asked for all the data in a city over a
4	two-week period, would Google comply with that?
5	A I can say with certainty they likely wouldn't, but
6	I have no idea what their parameters are for that.
7	Q Do you know what the rules are for narrowing
8	things down at each stage of the process?
9	A I do not.
10	Q So when the government comes back in Stage 2 and
11	says, Well, you know, we want all of them, do you know
12	if that's okay or not?
13	A Again, my knowledge and involvement with that
14	process is limited to something is wrong in their
15	processing, and there's an ask to understand why some
16	particular aspect of retrieving whatever they've
17	decided fits within scope is not retrieving in the way
18	that it should.
19	Q What do you mean "not retrieving in the way that
20	it should"?
21	A That there's some delay or some sort of just
22	general process breakdown. I'm often engaged to
23	not often, but when it happens, I'm engaged to assist
24	with looking into the issue, but, again, I'm not
25	involved in either the receipt of or the processing of

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 210 of 2774 Age 216 of 2774 A

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 210
1	or the response to.
2	Q Okay. You're aware that Google has notified some
3	users when they've been the subject of a geofence
4	warrant; right?
5	A That's correct, yes.
6	Q You're aware that just recently Google notified a
7	Minneapolis user who is the subject of a geofence
8	warrant targeting protesters following the death of
9	George Floyd?
10	A I was not aware of that, no.
11	Q Were you aware that Google notified a user in
12	Florida who is the subject of a geofence warrant from
13	the Gainesville Police Department?
14	A I was not aware of that, no.
15	Q In which cases are you aware of Google notifying
16	users of a geofence warrant?
17	A I am not involved in any way in the day-to-day
18	processing of geofence warrants, their receipt, any
19	responses. That is not involved in my day-to-day
20	whatsoever.
21	Q Are you aware that Google never notified
22	Mr. Chatrie that he was the subject of a geofence
23	warrant in this case?
24	A I would not be able to comment on that.
25	Q I assume you don't know, then, the rules for when

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 211 01 277 Page 2:051 of 2164)

1	l de la companya de l
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 211
1	Google will notify users that they are the subject of
2	a geofence warrant?
3	A I do not know that, I'm sorry.
4	Q Okay. I want to go back to your first
5	declaration, page 7. It says, "Location History is
6	the only form of location data that Google maintains
7	that Google believes to be responsive to a geofence
8	request"?
9	A That is correct, yes.
10	Q And Location History is the only form of location
11	data that was produced to the government in this case?
12	A To my knowledge, yes.
13	Q So no Google Location Accuracy data, no Web & App
14	Activity data?
15	A No.
16	Q And the reason for that is because only Location
17	History well, let me take a step back. When the
18	government makes a geofence request, does it specify
19	that it wants to search only Location History?
20	A I don't believe so.
21	Q And Google does actually maintain location data
22	apart from Location History in Web & App Activity, for
23	example?
24	A Some location information can be captured in Web &
25	App Activity, yes.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 212 of 2774 age 21652 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 212
1	Q But Location History was the only repository of
2	location data that Google searched in this case?
3	A Yes.
4	Q That's because only Location History is
5	sufficiently granular to be responsive and searchable?
6	A That is my understanding, yes.
7	Q And only Location History is able to pinpoint a
8	user's estimated location with enough precision?
9	A That is correct.
10	Q So Google decided that only Location History was
11	precise enough to be searched in response to a
12	geofence warrant?
13	A That is my understanding, yes.
14	Q Okay. Now, even though you said pinpoint, and
15	this is page 8 of your declaration, the location data
16	points reflected in Location History are really
17	estimates; is that right?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q A user's actual location doesn't necessarily align
20	perfectly with any one isolated data point?
21	A Yes.
22	Q There's a confidence interval, a number associated
23	with each set of Location History coordinates that
24	reflects Google's confidence in those coordinates?
25	A Yes.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 213 of 277 Page 2:0653 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 213
1	Q And this number is expressed in meters as a
2	radius?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And it's called the display radius; is that
5	correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q So it can be visualized as a shaded circle around
8	the coordinates?
9	A Yes.
10	Q The magic blue circle around the blue dot?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And on pages 8 to 9, you say Google aims to
13	accurately capture roughly 68 percent of users with
14	this method?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Or, in other words, there's a 68 percent
17	likelihood that a user is somewhere inside of that
18	shaded circle, or at least that's Google's goal?
19	A Yes.
20	Q That means there's a 32 percent chance that
21	they're outside of that circle altogether?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Not necessarily at the blue dot?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Is it just as likely that the user's actual

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 214 of 277 Page 2:40 Appeal: 19-56 Def: 19-3 Cument 201 Piled: 03/29/21 Page 2:14 of 277 Page D# 2042 of 2164)

I	l
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 214
1	location would be near the edge of that circle as
2	opposed to smack dab in the middle?
3	A Yes.
4	Q So you're equally confident you're confident
5	that the user is in that circle, 68 percent, but where
6	you put those coordinates doesn't necessarily
7	translate into that same amount of confidence. You're
8	still only 68 percent confident?
9	A That the device is within those coordinates, yes.
10	Q Okay. So, moving on to page 9, you stated that if
11	the estimated location, the stored coordinates in
12	Location History, falls within the radius of the
13	geofence request, then Google treats that user as
14	falling within the scope of the request; correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So, in other words, if the blue dot is inside of
17	that geofence, inside of that radius, then Google will
18	consider it responsive to the warrant?
19	A Yes.
20	Q You consider it responsive even if that shaded
21	circle, the confidence interval display radius, falls
22	partly outside the radius of the geofence request?
23	A That is my understanding, yes.
24	Q So you can have a little blue dot right close to
25	the edge of that geofence with a big display radius

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 215 of 2774 Age 2164 2043 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 215
1	that goes way beyond it, and that user is still going
2	to be recorded in the geofence return?
3	A Yes.
4	Q So you consider it responsive even if the shaded
5	circle falls partly outside? And even then, you can't
6	say where inside that circle the user was?
7	A No.
8	THE COURT: What question did you answer? He
9	asked two questions. Do you think if it's outside the
10	circle, it's responsive?
11	Why don't you rephrase the question.
12	BY MR. PRICE:
13	Q So even if part of that display radius falls
14	outside of the geofence, it's still considered
15	responsive?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Even though there's a 68 percent chance that that
18	person is somewhere outside the actual geofence within
19	that display radius?
20	A Yes, that would still be considered responsive.
21	Q Even though there's a 32 percent chance that
22	they're not even there and somewhere else?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. So there's a significant likelihood that at
25	least some of the users identified as being inside the

J.A. 631

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 216 01 277 Page 2: 656 of 2164)

	21.6
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 216
1	geofence might have been outside of that geofence?
2	A There is a possibility, yes.
3	Q Google has to draw the line somewhere; right? And
4	this practice makes sense from Google's perspective?
5	A This is the process, yes.
6	Q The warrant didn't tell you to do it this way;
7	right?
8	A This is the process by which we respond to these,
9	yes.
10	Q It's Google's process. It didn't tell you to do
11	this in the warrant?
12	A (Nodded head affirmatively.)
13	Q And no court told you to do that; right?
14	A This particular process of identification?
15	Q Yeah, to draw the line and say, well, we're going
16	to report people whose blue dots are inside, and
17	that's the way it's going to work.
18	A This is the process that we use to respond to
19	these, yes.
20	Q Okay. So false positives are possible here?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And you say this, page 9 of your first
23	declaration, 17. You said, "As a result, it is
24	possible that when Google is compelled to return data
25	in response to a geofence warrant, some of the users

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 217 of 2777 Page 2:057 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 217
	HEGRITI DIRLET
1	whose locations are estimated to be within the radius
2	described in the warrant, and whose data is therefore
3	included in data production, were in fact located
4	outside the radius"?
5	A Yes.
6	Q False negatives are possible, too; right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q So if somebody was standing if somebody was
9	actually right outside that geofence radius, but
10	Google estimated their location as being inside of it
11	or, I'm sorry, other way around. If the blue dot
12	falls outside the geofence, you don't include it even
13	though the person could have been inside of that
14	geofence?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q Okay. Even if part of the shaded circle falls
17	within the geofence, if that blue dot is outside,
18	nothing?
19	A Yes.
20	Q The warrant, once again, didn't tell you to do it
21	that way?
22	A This is our process, yes.
23	Q The Court didn't tell you to do it that way?
24	A This is our process, yes.
25	Q It's just your process. Great.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 218 01 277 Page 216 01 2046 of 2164)

I	
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 218
1	All right. I want to switch gears a little bit
2	here and talk about some of the feedback that Google
3	has received about Location History. You published a
4	blog for Google on December 9, 2019; correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q It was titled "Updates to Incognito Mode and Your
7	Timeline in Maps"?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Let me show you Exhibit 47. Is this the blog
10	post?
11	A Yes.
12	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce
13	this into evidence, please?
14	THE COURT: Any objection?
15	MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge.
16	THE COURT: It will be entered.
17	MR. PRICE: Thank you.
18	(Defense Exhibit No. 47 is admitted into
19	evidence.)
20	BY MR. PRICE:
21	Q So you wrote
22	MR. PRICE: This is on 19, Laura.
23	Q You wrote that throughout this year, we've focused
24	on making it easier to control, manage, and delete
25	your Location History information; correct?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Filed 03/29/21 Page 219 01 27/14 age D# (659-of 2164)

219 McGRIFF - DIRECT 1 А Yes. 2 Q And earlier that year, same year, you published 3 another blog post for Google. This one on May 1, 4 2019. 5 A Yes. 6 Q It was titled "Introducing Auto Delete Controls 7 for Your Location History and Activity Data"? 8 Yes. Α 9 Q Is this the blog post? 10 A Yes. 11 MR. PRICE: Your Honor, this is Defense 12 Exhibit 46. We'd like to move it into evidence, as 13 well. 14 THE COURT: Any objection? 15 MR. SIMON: No objection. THE COURT: It will be entered. 16 17 (Defense Exhibit No. 46 is admitted into evidence.) 18 19 Q So you wrote, "We work to keep your data private 20 and secure, and we've heard your feedback that we need 21 to provide simpler ways for you to manage or delete 22 it"; correct? 23 A Yes. 24 Q I would like to talk about some of that feedback 25 for a second that you received. In fact, Location

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 226 01 277 Page 2(660 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 220
1	History has received some significant media attention
2	and received significant media attention in 2018; is
3	that correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And that attention was pretty negative?
6	A It was mixed, yes.
7	Q In January 2018, there's an online type magazine
8	called "Quartz," and they published an article
9	discussing Location History. Do you mean that?
10	A Yes.
11	Q It was titled, "If You're Using An Android Phone,
12	Google May Be Tracking Every Move You Make." This is
13	the article?
14	A Yes.
15	MR. PRICE: This is Defense Exhibit 48, Your
16	Honor. And I would like to move it into evidence.
17	MS. KOENIG: It already is in evidence.
18	MR. PRICE: It already is in evidence. Thank
19	you.
20	Q So speaking of Location History, it says,
21	"Although the product behind those transmissions is
22	opt-in, for Android users it can be hard to avoid and
23	even harder to understand"; correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And it goes on to say, "While it is not enabled on

J.A. 636

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 221 01 277 Page 2: (661 of 2164)

Cuoc	
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 221
1	an Android phone by default, or even suggested to be
2	turned on when setting up a new phone, activating
3	Location History is subtly baked into setup for apps
4	like Google Maps, Photos, the Google Assistant, and
5	the primary Google app"; correct?
6	A Yes, that's what the article says.
7	Q And then it adds
8	THE COURT: There's an objection.
9	MR. SIMON: Judge, I just object to the way
10	in which this is being entered into evidence,
11	particularly that first sentence. I didn't object at
12	the time, but the way the record is going to read is
13	that Mr. McGriff is saying that he agrees with this.
14	I would prefer, Judge, and I think the record would be
15	clearer, if he's going to put these assertions in
16	front of the witness, ask him to assess them.
17	THE COURT: At the very least
18	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I am not sorry.
19	THE COURT: Okay. You can respond.
20	MR. PRICE: We are not introducing these
21	articles for the truth of the matter. We are
22	introducing them because they constitute feedback
23	which Google received.
24	THE COURT: I know, but what you're doing is
25	saying that the declarative statements, and you're

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 222 of 27/74 age D# (662 of 2164)

222 McGRIFF - DIRECT starting it by saying "It says this. Is that right?" 1 2 He says yes. It's going to create a record where you can cut off the front where you say it says this, 3 4 quote it, and then say yes. 5 So what we're trying to do is create a fair 6 record here. You are getting him to indicate that 7 there are statements in this article and whether or not he knew them. And so that is really the point. 8 9 I would agree that this way it's being asked, 10 it sounds as if you're trying to get him to, although 11 you're not doing it that way, but it does sound as if you're trying to get him to sound as if he's agreeing 12 13 with a declaration. 14 So it's sustained to that degree. And I'll 15 just ask you to use the nuances. You're still making your point. But do it in a different way. 16 17 MR. PRICE: Okay. BY MR. PRICE: 18 19 Q The article here criticized Location History; 20 correct? 21 А Yes. 22 And the article looked at testing multiple phones Q 23 to see what this process was like; correct? 24 A Yes, it did. 25 And it faulted Google, didn't it? Q

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489130-MHi 19-3 cument Elled: Pil/20/2023/21 Page 223 of 2774 age D# 2051 of 2164)

223 McGRIFF - DIRECT The article did, yes. 1 А 2 And it faulted it because it said that none of the 0 apps used the same language to describe what happens 3 4 when Location History is enabled; correct? 5 That is one of the things they cited, yes. A 6 Q And it also criticized Google for not explicitly 7 indicating that activation will allow every Google app, not just one seeking permission, to access 8 9 Location History data. So they're complaining about account level nature of the setting. 10 11 THE COURT: The what? MR. PRICE: Account level nature of the 12 13 setting. 14 THE COURT: You need to look at the 15 microphone. 16 Yes, they are in the article. А 17 Okay. And that account level setting, that means 0 that when you turn on Location History through one 18 19 app, it's on for the entire account; right? 20 When you opt in to Location History, you are А 21 opting in for your account, yes. Q Thank you. 22 23 So the press didn't stop with the Quartz article. 24 I imagine you're aware that the Associated Press also 2.5 published an article about Location History in 2018?

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 224 01 277 Page 2(664 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 224
1	A Yes, I am aware of that article.
2	Q Is this the article?
3	A That is the article, yes.
4	Q It's titled "Google Tracks Your Movements, Like It
5	or Not"?
6	A Yes, that's the title of the article.
7	Q Thank you.
8	MR. PRICE: And this is Defense Exhibit 49,
9	Your Honor. And we would ask to move this into
10	evidence, as well.
11	THE COURT: Any objection?
12	MR. SIMON: Judge, we just reiterate our
13	earlier objections. We think it lacks relevance,
14	particularly when you have a Google witness here to
15	address the issue. It's obviously hearsay.
16	Understanding that the rules of evidence wouldn't
17	strictly apply here, but I think the best route here
18	is to question the witness about, like, the Quartz
19	article assertions. But I know the Court has
20	previously ruled, but we'd object to it being entered
21	into evidence.
22	THE COURT: Right. He's allowed to make his
23	case. I will allow it for the limited purpose, not
24	for the truth of the matter, but to the extent it
25	has the witness has already testified that he was

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 225 of 277 Page 2053 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 225
1	aware of the article. And so I think it is relevant
2	for background information. And you all can
3	cross-examine with respect to weight. All right?
4	MR. SIMON: Understood.
5	MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	Sorry. I did move to have the article
7	introduced. I'm not sure if there was a ruling on
8	that, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Right. I overruled the
10	objection, and so it will go in.
11	MR. PRICE: Thank you.
12	(Defense Exhibit No. 49 is admitted into
13	evidence.)
14	BY MR. PRICE:
15	Q So the article's main complaint here is that it
16	says, "Even with Location History paused, some Google
17	apps automatically store time-stamped location data
18	without asking." That's just the complaint in the
19	article; correct?
20	A That's the complaint in the article, yes.
21	Q But it was a complaint that Google ended up taking
22	pretty seriously, especially given the interest from
23	members of the Senate, for example?
24	A We take all complaints seriously, yes.
25	Q Here the article actually quoted United States

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 226 01 277 Page 2064 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 226
1	Senator Mark Warner; correct?
2	A Yes, the article does quote the Senator.
3	Q And he complained that it's frustratingly common
4	for technology companies to have corporate practices
5	that diverge wildly from the reasonable expectations
6	of their users. Was that his statement?
7	A That was his statement, yes.
8	Q You were aware that he made that statement;
9	correct?
10	A I was aware that he made that statement, yes.
11	Q And at the end, it quotes a Yale researcher, Sean
12	O'Brien, and he called this practice disingenuous;
13	right?
14	A That is what he said, yes.
15	Q So this was a pretty negative report about
16	Location History from Google's perspective; correct?
17	A It was not a flattering report, that's correct.
18	Q Google actually tracked the media coverage of this
19	report; correct?
20	A As we do often for all media reports, yes.
21	Q And Google prepared what's called an issue
22	coverage report for this article for four days?
23	A That's correct, yes.
24	Q I'd like to show you Defense Exhibit 38, please.
25	Are these the issue coverage reports that you were

J.A. 642

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-489130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 227 of 2774 age D# 2055 of 2164)

227 McGRIFF - DIRECT referring to? 1 2 That's correct, yes. А 3 And it makes it clear that this story was pretty 0 4 widely covered; correct? 5 A That's correct, yes. Q If we check out one of those reports --6 7 THE COURT: What exhibit are you on? 8 MR. PRICE: Sorry. Excuse me? 9 THE COURT: Which exhibit? MR. PRICE: Sorry. This is Defense Exhibit 10 11 38, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: 38? 13 MR. PRICE: Yes. And I forgot to move it 14 into evidence. I would move for this to be admitted 15 into evidence, as well. THE COURT: Is there any objection to Defense 16 17 38? MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. It will enter. 20 (Defense Exhibit No. 38 is admitted into 21 evidence.) BY MR. PRICE: 22 23 Q So if we look at the issue coverage reports, we 24 see that Google wrote, the AP tweeted the story out, 2.5 which created a surge of social chatter, approximately USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: p1/20/2023/21 Page 28 61 277 Page 28 61 277 Page 26 668 of 2164)

228 McGRIFF - DIRECT 8,000 re-tweets. And the story was picked up by 60 1 2 plus outlets, including the New York Times, U.S. News and World Report, and the Washington Post; is that 3 4 accurate? 5 A That's accurate. THE COURT: It's accurate that it's in there. 6 7 You're continuing to ask the questions in the same way. And so, you know, you're making points, but you 8 9 are making the points. It's the witness who needs to 10 be able to talk about what it is that is or is not in 11 an exhibit. 12 That is what is in the exhibit. 13 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 BY MR. PRICE: 15 Q Google's report explained the coverage themes for 16 these stories; is that correct? 17 A That's what's in the report, yes. 18 Q And the report noted the top two themes for this 19 article? It said, the report said, that 69 percent of 20 the coverage mentioned the lack of user consent/creepy 21 factor? 22 That's what is in the report, yes. А 23 Q And the report also noted that a third of the 24 coverage was about misleading controls? 25 That's in the report, yes. А

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 229 of 279 Page 2057 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 229
1	Q Just a few days later, Google changed the language
2	on its help page. On its Location History help page.
3	You're aware of that, I assume?
4	A Yes.
5	Q It was in response to this article; is that
6	correct?
7	A Which update are you referring to specifically?
8	Is it an exhibit here?
9	Q It was three days later. And that would have been
10	on August 17, 2018.
11	A Is there an exhibit here I can look at?
12	Q Yes. We'll get there.
13	So three days later sorry three days later
14	it was reported that Google changed its Location
15	History help page, as well; is that correct?
16	A I can't say that we made an update three days
17	later.
18	Q The Associated Press published an article just a
19	few days later about that change. Are you aware of
20	that?
21	A Is that in this book?
22	Q We can show you the article if you'd like. Would
23	that help?
24	A Well, I guess, the Associate Press reported that
25	we made that Google made a change three days later.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MPfi: 19-3 cument Elled: Filed: 63/29/21 Page 230 of 27/74 age 5: (670 of 2164)

```
230
                     McGRIFF - DIRECT
 1
        Yes.
    Q
 2
        So if that's in there, yes, then that is what they
    А
 3
    reported.
 4
    Q And you do recall Google making a change to its
 5
    Location History help page shortly after this article?
    A I recall in some period of time, yes, we made
 6
 7
    updates to our pages.
    Q Okay. That's fine. The bad press, so to speak,
 8
 9
    here had some ramifications for Google in terms of
    oversight from the federal government; is that
10
11
    correct?
12
    A It did.
13
        In May 2018, are you aware that two United States
    Q
14
    Senators wrote a letter to the Federal Trade
15
    Commission about Location History?
16
    A Yes.
17
             MR. PRICE: And this is 36, Laura.
    Q Is this the letter?
18
             THE COURT: Okay. Is this Defense Exhibit
19
20
    36?
21
             MR. PRICE: This is Defense Exhibit 53, Your
22
    Honor.
23
             THE COURT: Okay.
24
    Q I'd like to show you what's marked as Defense
25
    Exhibit 53. It's a letter from two United States
```

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-489130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3729/21 Page 231 of 277 Page 265 of 2164)

231 McGRIFF - DIRECT Senators; correct? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I would like to move 4 this letter into evidence. 5 THE COURT: Any objection? MR. SIMON: Judge, I think there are multiple 6 7 letters in Exhibit 3 (sic), including Google's 8 response on January 12, 2018. We'd obviously object 9 generally based on what we've talked about before, but I understand the Court will admit it not for the truth 10 11 of the matter asserted, but for -- just to allow the question. 12 13 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to allow 14 this for the limited purpose that we have been looking 15 at these documents. 16 So, to make a record, this is Defense Exhibit 17 53, which is a letter dated May 11, 2018, that has a signature of Richard Blumenthal from the United States 18 19 Senate. 20 It then has something marked an attachment. 21 And the attachment says "Letter from Susan Molinari. 22 Received by Senators Blumenthal and Markey." And that 23 is then followed by a January 12, 2018 document on 24 Google letterhead. So it predates this May 18th 25 letter.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 232 of 277 Page 2(672 of 2164)

0000	
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 232
1	And then there is something that has an
2	Attachment 4. So you have to be clear about what
3	you're admitting and under what circumstances.
4	The United States is correct that it's
5	confusing to say we're admitting just one letter.
6	There's actually a series of documents here.
7	So you can ask questions to establish why
8	they're there, but the whole what so far we have
9	admitted is part of 53, the May 11, 2018 letter.
10	MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. And
11	the exhibits that go along with it include previous
12	correspondence. There's one in particular that we
13	have an interest in. It's Attachment 4, which
14	includes a screenshot of the Google Assistant setup.
15	THE COURT: There is no Attachment 2, letter
16	from Susan Molinari?
17	MR. PRICE: No, Your Honor. It's Attachment
18	4.
19	THE COURT: No, no, no. I'm in your exhibit
20	that you put in front of the Court. Right after
21	Senator Blumenthal's letter, there is a page that says
22	Attachment 2, letter from Susan Molinari. Received.
23	MR. PRICE: We do not need to introduce that
24	into evidence.
25	THE COURT: Because it's not there, right?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled: 91/20/2923/21 Page 233 01 277 Page D# (673 of 2164)

233 McGRIFF - DIRECT MR. PRICE: I have not seen it. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, it's your exhibit. Then 3 there is -- yes. 4 MR. SIMON: Judge, it's a part of our book, 5 and it's what we've seen. And certainly if we're going to introduce the letter from the senators, we'd 6 7 certainly want Google's statement to the Quartz article and the like. 8 THE COURT: All right. So there are four 9 10 attachments, and you're not objecting that the exhibit 11 goes in as presented because it will be the full exhibit. Is that correct, Mr. Simon? 12 13 MR. SIMON: Correct, Judge. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: It will be admitted, then. 15 (Defense Exhibit No. 53 is admitted into 16 evidence.) 17 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. PRICE: 18 19 Q I just want to turn to the body of the letter here 20 because it seems to put Google on notice that Location 21 History has some -- that the Senate had some concerns 22 with Location History; is that correct? 23 A Just one point. Where this started was a walking 24 away from the AP article which came out after this 25 letter. This letter was sent on May 11. The AP

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 234 of 277 Page 2:40 Def: 10-2014)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 234
1	article was from August, I believe, later that year.
2	Q The Quartz article, I believe, preceded this and
3	is cited in the letter
4	A That's correct.
5	THE COURT: He's correct about the AP
6	article; right?
7	MR. PRICE: Pardon me?
8	THE COURT: He's correct about the AP
9	article.
10	BY MR. PRICE:
11	Q You are correct about the AP article.
12	A Okay. Because I just wanted to be clear. When
13	you had previously asked me was I aware of additional
14	scrutiny, I said yes. Then you referenced this
15	letter, but this letter was written before that
16	article.
17	So this letter was in response to the Quartz
18	article, not the additional scrutiny that came as a
19	result of the AP article.
20	Q Yes.
21	A Okay.
22	Q The letter criticized Google's Location History
23	practices for this is 38 frequently
24	mischaracterizing the service and degrades the
25	functionality of products in order to push users into

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 235 of 277 Page 2(675 of 2164)

	MCCRIFE DIRECT 235
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 233
1	providing permission, so says the letter.
2	Would you agree that's what it says?
3	A That's what it says in the letter, yes.
4	Q And the letter also says that these factors raise
5	serious concerns raise serious questions about
6	whether users are able to provide informed consent.
7	Were you aware of that criticism, as well?
8	A That's what is in the letter, yes.
9	Q And I don't mean to be belabor this, but it does
10	go on to say that Google's policies and explanations
11	raise questions about their characterization of basic
12	consumer protection terms, such as opt-in, opt-out,
13	notice, consent, and anonymization according to the
14	letter?
15	A That is what's in the letter, yes.
16	Q The letter calls the consent process confusing,
17	42?
18	A That is what's in the letter, yes.
19	Q And it cites Attachment 4. Attachment 4 is
20	that correct? It cites Attachment 4?
21	A That's correct, yes.
22	Q And is this Attachment 4?
23	A I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question.
24	Q Is this Attachment 4?
25	A Yes, that is Attachment 4.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 236 01 277 Page 2: (676 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 236
1	Q What does it show?
2	A This is one of the Google Assistant permission
3	prompts.
4	Q And that includes Location History; correct?
5	A At that time it included Location History, yes.
6	Q The letter goes on to add that most consumers do
7	not understand the level of granularity and reach of
8	Google's data collection, and that there's serious
9	questions about whether they have provided informed
10	consent and maintain reasonable ability to avoid
11	participating in this collection. Are you aware of
12	that criticism, as well?
13	A That is what's in the letter, yes.
14	Q And the letter concludes by asking the FTC to open
15	an investigation into the potential deceptive acts and
16	practices used by Google to track and commoditize
17	American consumers. Are you aware that the letter
18	called for an investigation?
19	A I am aware that that is what's in the letter, yes.
20	Q So in addition to the news articles, and the
21	Senate inquiry, Google got sued over Location History
22	in 2018. Are you aware of that?
23	A Which particular case are you referring to?
24	Q The case is called In re: Google, Location
25	History Litigation. It's a class action out of the

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 237 of 277 Page 2(677 of 2164)

1	
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 237
1	Northern District of California.
2	A Yes.
3	Q I'd like to show you Defense Exhibit 26. Is this
4	the complaint in this case?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And you're aware of the complaint in the lawsuit?
7	A I am aware of this complaint, yes.
8	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I would move to
9	introduce the amended complaint for not for the
10	truth of the matter, but for the fact that it exists.
11	THE COURT: Is there an objection?
12	MR. SIMON: Judge, I'd object to relevance.
13	Obviously, it's hearsay through and through. But
14	moreover, what we have, and the United States wouldn't
15	object to things like the exhibit emails that are
16	going to come from <i>Google v. Arizona</i> that shows
17	communications between Google as a part of that
18	litigation internal discussions. This is literally
19	just some class action lawyer, presumably in
20	California, that decided to go after Google.
21	The fact that it exists, the witness just
22	admitted it. Putting it in the record, I think, does
23	nothing more than continue sort of a broadside against
24	Google more than just the article. This is just
25	accusing Google of all kinds of things. And I don't

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 28 of 27/14 age D# 206 of 2164)

238 McGRIFF - DIRECT see any relevance to this being in the record. 1 2 THE COURT: These are just allegations. Why 3 do we need to know -- has this class action completed? 4 MR. PRICE: It hasn't, Your Honor. The 5 reason that we are talking about these things is 6 because things like the newspaper articles, the 7 congressional inquiries, and the lawsuits appear to have changed Google's behavior, changed Google's 8 9 policies, and, in particular, have something to do with the relevant changes here to the Location History 10 11 language. So we're trying to establish what happened, 12 13 what changed, why, and whether it was sufficient. 14 THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to admit this 15 yet until you establish some fact that Google did that 16 somehow you can say comports with something in the 17 complaint. And even then, I'm not sure. These are 18 just allegations. This is not -- this is not relevant 19 to facts of what we need to consider in this case 20 except that Google knew that the suit was ongoing and that it was about Location History. 21 22 So I'm going to sustain that objection. 23 MR. PRICE: Okay. 24 BY MR. PRICE: 25 Mr. McGriff, you're still aware of the lawsuit; 0

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3729/21 Page 285 01277 Page 2667 of 2164)

239 McGRIFF - DIRECT correct? 1 2 I am aware of this lawsuit, yes. А It was filed just three days after that Associated 3 0 4 Press story on August 17? 5 A I don't know how I would know. 6 It's right at the top of the page. Q 7 А If the date is there, then that's when it was filed, yes. 8 9 Q The main allegation here, and I don't mean to belabor this point either, is that Google "retains and 10 11 continues to collect location data" --THE COURT: Are you quoting from the 12 13 complaint? Because I just said it's not admissible. 14 MR. PRICE: I'm not admitting it, Your Honor, 15 I'm just trying to establish --16 THE COURT: You're quoting it. You're 17 admitting it by saying it. 18 MR. PRICE: Okay. I'll move on. 19 Your Honor, the allegations in this case, we 20 understand that they are allegations. 21 THE COURT: What I said is, if you can show 22 something that happened later that Google did that 23 then you can show was alleged in this complaint, and 24 there's some temporal proximity, then it is possible 25 that this would be admissible. If you don't show yet

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 240 of 277 Page 2(680 of 2164)

	la na ser a se I
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 240
1	that there has been a change, no, it's not admissible.
2	MR. PRICE: Okay.
3	BY MR. PRICE:
4	Q There has been some discussion at Google since at
5	least 2017 about changing the Location History
6	language on the opt-in screen; is that correct?
7	A Are you referring to the consent or are you just
8	referring to what language are you referring to
9	specifically?
10	Q The language for opt-in to Location History
11	through apps in particular or at setup where it says
12	"Saves a private map of where you go." That language
13	changed; correct?
14	A There has been discussion for the life of the
15	product about what is the best copy to relay what the
16	feature does, yes.
17	Q And you're aware that there are hundreds of pages
18	of emails and documents that have been submitted to
19	the Attorney General in Arizona discussing these sorts
20	of changes?
21	A Yes, I am aware.
22	Q Specifically, on February 2, 2017, there's an
23	email in which some Google engineers called Location
24	History a mess. Does that sound familiar to you?
25	A I can't recall that specific copy, but if it's in

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 241 01 277 Page 2(681 of 2164)

Case	5.19-CI-00130-MITE DOCUMENT 201 Flieu 03/29/21 Faye 241 01 2/7 FayeD# 200
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 241
1	an email or document somewhere
2	Q Perhaps I can refresh your recollection. Can I
3	show you Defense Exhibit 36, please. It's up on your
4	screen, as well.
5	A Just one clarification point. The screen has a
6	black box on the side, so I can't see the text on the
7	side, which is why I keep looking in the book.
8	I do see it on there as well, though.
9	Q I don't know how to
10	A It's fine. I follow along with the book, but
11	that's why I keep looking to the book and not the
12	screen. It cuts it off.
13	Q Okay. So you can see there in the book?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And you can see that a little bit later on in that
16	chain another Google employee described the location
17	products as a "work in progress," and that Google was
18	"trying to rein in the overall mess that we have with
19	regards to data collection, consent, and storage"?
20	A That is what's mentioned in this, I guess, email
21	exchange, yes.
22	Q And you have another Google employee who says,
23	"How can we do a great job of respecting people's
24	privacy when they don't want to share their location?"
25	A That is what's written in the exchange, yes.

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 242 of 270 Page 2(682 of 2164)

	MCGRIFE - DIRECT 242
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 242
1	Q And the same person says, "Can we have a
2	foreground only model? Lots of users don't care about
3	Location History."
4	A That is what's mentioned in the exchange, yes.
5	Q What's a foreground only model?
6	A I cannot even feign to understand what this person
7	is referring to.
8	Q Does it refer to apps that are actively running as
9	opposed to passively in the background?
10	A I believe what well, it's conflating several
11	points. It's speaking to foreground only collection,
12	which would be app specific, which is inherently not
13	the nature of what Location History is or how its
14	collection works. So this person is offering
15	suggestions.
16	Q Okay. Thank you. A little bit later in that
17	exchange, you can see at the bottom of your screen, it
18	says there's another Google employee who wrote, "Do
19	users with significant privacy concerns understand
20	what data we are saving? Do they know how to control
21	when we store location information?"
22	A That is a question asked in the exchange, yes.
23	Q And then, finally, you have a Google employee
24	writing, "We have Location as a product umbrella that
25	includes Location History and a bunch of other stuff

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 243 of 277 Page 243 of 277 Page 243 of 2710 Page 243 of 277 Page D# 2071 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 243
1	that's super messy. And it's a Critical User Journey
2	to make sense out of this mess"?
3	A That is what's in the article or exchange, yes.
4	Q This is what Google employees were discussing in
5	2017 or is a recognition that there was a problem?
6	A There was some discussion about Location History,
7	yes.
8	MR. PRICE: Sorry, Your Honor. Can I have
9	one second?
10	THE COURT: Pardon me?
11	MR. PRICE: May I have one second?
12	THE COURT: Sure.
13	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I would move to admit
14	Defense Exhibit 36 into evidence, please.
15	THE COURT: Objection?
16	MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Okay, it will be entered.
18	(Defense Exhibit No. 36 is admitted into
19	evidence.)
20	BY MR. PRICE:
21	Q I'd like to turn your attention to Defense Exhibit
22	40. This is another February 2017 thread where a
23	Googler says, "Personally, I can't think of a world
24	where we do a good and thorough job with runtime
25	permissions across Google apps that doesn't confuse

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3/29/21 Page 244 of 27/14 age D# (684 of 2164)

244 McGRIFF - DIRECT the hell out of our users." Are you aware of that 1 2 email. 3 А I'm aware that that is a statement in this email, yes. 4 5 MR. SIMON: Judge --MR. PRICE: I would like to move Defense 6 7 Exhibit 40 into evidence, as well, Your Honor. MR. SIMON: Judge --8 9 THE COURT: Is there an objection? MR. SIMON: Judge, I would only ask -- I'm 10 11 not going to object to it being admitted, but a lot of these emails, including Defense Exhibit 40, has 12 13 multiple pages, and I recognize that there's been prep 14 here, but just to give the witness a second to look at 15 the pages before pointing out the one sentence would be, I think, helpful. 16 17 THE COURT: That makes good sense. So this is Defense 40, which is marked as 18 19 Exhibit 236 in the first page. And I'm going to enter 20 it into evidence. 21 And I will say, with your last set of 22 questioning, you were going back and forth from one 23 page to a page previous, and that was confusing. So I 24 agree that you should be clear and certainly allow Mr. McGriff time to absorb it. 2.5

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: 61/20/2023/21 Page 245 of 277 Page 245 of 277

245 McGRIFF - DIRECT MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. 1 2 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 40 is admitted into 3 evidence.) 4 Q This is page 5 of that PDF, of Exhibit 236. 5 THE COURT: I confused us by saying 236. It's 236 in the Arizona case. We should use our own 6 7 exhibit numbers. BY MR. PRICE: 8 Q So page 5 of Defense Exhibit 40. 9 10 MR. SIMON: Judge, I don't think we have 11 exact page numbers. The bottom right of all of these Google v. Arizona emails what appears to be, like, a 12 13 Bates stamp in their last five or three numbers, 14 however you want to say it, but it looks like, you 15 know, for this email it starts -- is this 236? 16 MR. PRICE: We can move on. I actually don't 17 have any other questions about this chain. THE COURT: Well, you just quoted something. 18 19 Why don't you put on the record where you quoted it 20 from. Something about "I can't imagine where," blah, 21 blah, blah. BY MR. PRICE: 22 23 Q This is the fifth physical page. 24 THE COURT: Look at the Bates number on the 25 bottom right. You can also identify it by what the

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-489130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3729/21 Page 246 of 277 P

246 McGRIFF - DIRECT first sentence is at the top of the page. 1 2 MS. KOENIG: It's not showing me the exhibit, 3 Kathv. 4 THE CLERK: I think you must have turned it 5 off. 6 MS. KOENIG: Let me unplug it and try it 7 again. There we go. 8 BY MR. PRICE: 9 Q Do we have it here? So this is the page that is 10 Bates stamp No. GOOG --11 THE COURT: Just the last five digits. 12 MR. PRICE: 27381. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. And what did you 14 place into the record? 15 MR. PRICE: I'll read it again. It says, 16 "Personally, I can't think of a world where we do a 17 good and thorough job with runtime permissions across Google apps that doesn't confuse the hell out of our 18 19 users." 20 THE WITNESS: I don't think that's on that 21 page. 22 THE COURT: No, it's not. 23 MR. PRICE: 27379. THE COURT: All right. It's in the first 24 25 full paragraph of 27379 that has a large redacted

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 247 01 277 Page 2(68750f 2164)

	j j
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 247
1	block right before it, and it's sent, Monday,
2	February 27, 2017, 23:37:44. All right. Now we know
3	where you are.
4	MR. PRICE: Thank you for your patience.
5	BY MR. PRICE:
6	Q So, in addition to these 2017 emails, Google
7	employees responded to the AP article in 2018, as
8	well; correct?
9	A Is there a specific response you're speaking to or
10	just generally that we were aware of the AP article?
11	Q Well, I'd like to show you Defense Exhibit No. 32.
12	Do you have it?
13	A Yes, I do.
14	Q Great. So, you recognize this as an email chain
15	in which Google employees are expressing concerns over
16	the AP article?
17	A The entire exchange is redacted except for one
18	section.
19	Q There's one section that's not redacted from
20	August 13, 2018 at 9:38 a.m.
21	A That's correct.
22	Q And you recognize that as an email in response to
23	the 2018 Associated Press article?
24	A That's correct, yes.
25	Q Okay. The email says that Google employees and

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: p1/20/2023/21 Page 248 of 277 Page 248 of 277

248 McGRIFF - DIRECT this is the page we're looking at here. It's page 4 1 2 of the PDF. 3 THE COURT: No, it is Bates No. 1523. 4 MR. PRICE: Bates No. 1523. 5 THE COURT: We do not have page numbers on the PDFs. 6 7 MR. PRICE: That is true. 8 BY MR. PRICE: 9 Q So on the page marked 1523, the email says that 10 Google employees had what they called an "Oh Shit" 11 meeting -- excuse my language, Your Honor -- meeting about the AP article; is that correct? 12 13 A It is my understanding that that is a regular 14 meeting that that team has. 15 That's a regular meeting that the team has? Q 16 A That's correct. That's why it says "our Monday 17 morning 'Oh Shit' meeting." Q Good to know. It says, "Both comms and policy are 18 19 looking for an update on where we are in terms of 20 fixing Location History." Is that what it says? That is what it says, yes. 21 А 22 And Google prepared a PowerPoint of the impact of Q 23 this AP article on Location History; is that correct? 24 A Is that an exhibit that I can --25 MR. PRICE: Actually, I apologize, Your

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489130-MHi 19-3 cument Elled: Pil/20/2023/21 Page 249 of 2774 age D# (689-of 2164)

249 McGRIFF - DIRECT Honor. Before we move on, I would like to introduce 1 2 Defense Exhibit 32 into the record. 3 MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge. 4 THE COURT: All right. It will be moved into 5 evidence. (Defense Exhibit No. 32 is admitted into 6 7 evidence.) MR. PRICE: As well as Defense Exhibit 40. 8 9 MS. KOENIG: I'm sorry. We did that one. 10 MR. PRICE: We did that one. Okay. 11 THE COURT: 40 you're not objecting to? MR. SIMON: Without objection, Judge. 12 13 THE COURT: It will be entered. 14 (Defense Exhibit No. 40 was admitted into 15 evidence on page 244.) BY MR. PRICE: 16 17 Q So Google prepared a PowerPoint presentation of the impact of the Associated Press article on the 18 19 Location History product; is that correct? 20 A Are you referring to a specific PowerPoint? 21 Q I am. I'll bring it up as Defense Exhibit 33. 22 It's from August 16, 2018. 23 A Yes, a PowerPoint was prepared for this incident 24 as we would for any incident. 2.5 Q And we are on Bates No. 01458, Your Honor.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-489130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Filed: 91/20/2923/21 Page 250 of 2774 age D# 2078 of 2164)

250 McGRIFF - DIRECT THE COURT: Uh-huh. Thank you. 1 2 BY MR. PRICE: 3 One slide here -- and this is 56, Laura -- shows a 4 large jump in searches for -- that's Location History. 5 It's Bates No. 01475. THE COURT: 47 --6 7 MR. PRICE: Five. THE COURT: Five. Okay. 8 9 Are you aware? Q 10 Yes, I'm aware that this slide quotes a spike А 11 here, yes. 12 Q It shows a very large increase in the number of 13 search queries related to Google Location History; is 14 that correct? 15 A That's correct. 16 MR. PRICE: I'd like to move Defense Exhibit 17 33 into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? 18 19 MR. SIMON: No objection. 20 THE COURT: It will be entered. 21 (Defense Exhibit No. 33 is admitted into 22 evidence.) 23 BY MR. PRICE: 24 Q In fact, I don't know if this is surprising or was surprising to you, but Google has never actually 25

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 251 01 277 Page 2(69190f 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 251
1	advertised Location History to its users; is that
2	correct?
3	A Can you clarify what you mean by "advertise"?
4	Q Sure. I'll show you Defense Exhibit 34. Tell me
5	when you have it.
6	A 34, yes.
7	MR. PRICE: Let us get the Bates stamp number
8	for you, Your Honor, before proceeding. I do not
9	believe so this does not have Bates stamps, but it
10	is on page 37 of the actual document.
11	BY MR. PRICE:
12	Q Do you have it?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. It says, As of today, we have not located
15	online advertisements for Location History or Web &
16	App Activity. If we locate any such responsive
17	materials, we will promptly produce these
18	representative examples.
19	So the question is whether Google has ever
20	advertised Location History to its users?
21	A I see. So it's specifically referencing
22	newspapers ads, magazines ads. In that context, no,
23	we have not run any newspaper ads or magazine ads that
24	I'm aware of, no.
25	Q Thank you.

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: 61/20/2023/21 Page 252 01 27/14 agel D# 2080 of 2164)

252 McGRIFF - DIRECT MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move 1 2 Exhibit 34 into evidence as well. 3 THE COURT: Any objection? 4 MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge. 5 (Defense Exhibit No. 34 is admitted into 6 evidence.) 7 BY MR. PRICE: Q Referring to Location History settings, Google 8 9 employees once again emailing, and this is Defense Exhibit 30, had some more to say about the Associated 10 11 Press article. Can you go down? All right. So this is page 7 of the actual PDF, and we'll get 12 13 a Bates stamp number in a second. It is Bates 01271. 14 Are you aware of these emails? These are from 15 August 14, 2018. 16 A I see that these are emails, yes. 17 Q And they're referring to location settings. You have one Google employee here who writes, "It's a bit 18 19 complicated, and we might need better messaging." Do 20 you see that? 21 That is what the message says, yes. Α 22 Are you aware of that? Q 23 A I see that now, yes. 24 Q And another Google employee wrote -- this is on 25 Bates 01270. Another Google employee wrote, "I agree

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 253 of 2774 Age 261 of 2081 of 2164)

	Macrier Direct 253
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 253
1	with the article. Location off should mean location
2	off; not except for this case or that case." Do you
3	see that on the email?
4	A I see that that's what's written here.
5	THE COURT: Where is it?
6	MR. PRICE: This is Bates there you go.
7	THE COURT: I got it.
8	BY MR. PRICE:
9	Q And then it goes on to say, "The current UI"
10	what's UI?
11	A User interface.
12	Q "The current UI feels like it is designed to make
13	things possible, yet difficult enough that people
14	won't figure it out. New exceptions, defaulted to on,
15	silently appearing in settings menus you may never
16	see."
17	So these are all responses to Google employees
18	responding to the 2018 AP article; is that correct?
19	A These are people responding to the AP article,
20	yes, that's correct.
21	Q Great.
22	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I would like to
23	introduce Defense Exhibit 30 into evidence, please.
24	MR. SIMON: Judge, I would ask to I would
25	object to a fair amount of this coming in. So I

J.A. 669

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 254 of 2774 ages: (694 of 2164)

McGRIFF - DIRECT

Juod	
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 254
1	wouldn't object to 1266 through 1271, that top email
2	on 1271, getting in, but if the Court looks through
3	1271 through 1287, it's a lot of talk about stuff that
4	I don't think well, it's not relevant at all. The
5	former President in is there, China restrictions in
6	there, Elon Musk, a lot of stuff that should be
7	redacted if the Court's going to allow this to be
8	introduced.
9	I would note that I think Defense Exhibit 35
10	is a cleaner version of this. I could be wrong on
11	that. But either way, I'd ask for the Court to admit
12	it subject to the extraneous emails at the bottom
13	starting on 1271 through 1287 being cut out.
14	MR. PRICE: I don't think we have a problem
15	with that either. We have no intention of asking
16	about Elon Musk or the former President.
17	THE COURT: All right. So the bottom half of
18	1271, which starts with "On Monday, August 13, 2018,"
19	blocked out entity "wrote," and the remainder of the
20	exhibit will be excluded and not entered into
21	evidence.
22	I agree that there seems to be some
23	duplication in Exhibit 35.
24	MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor. These emails
25	are duplicated in multiple places. The reason that we

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 255 of 277 Page 205 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 255
1	chose to use this version of it was because it
2	included the original formatting from those emails.
3	So you're more able to see who's writing what, which
4	people.
5	THE COURT: Okay. So the front part from
6	1266 to the top of 1271 and the cover page, which has
7	no number, will be admitted. And the rest is
8	excluded.
9	(Defense Exhibit No. 30 is admitted into
10	evidence.)
11	BY MR. PRICE:
12	Q In fact, this email chain continues in Defense
13	Exhibit 31, and it is not all contained in one
14	exhibit. So I direct your attention, Mr. McGriff, to
15	Defense Exhibit 31, which is the same thread of emails
16	from August 14, 2018. And this is Bates 01289. Let
17	me know when you have it.
18	A I have it, yes.
19	Q So one Google employee writes that it is
20	"Definitely confusing from a user point of view if we
21	need googlers explain it to us."
22	And a little bit further down. Is that correct?
23	Sorry.
24	A That is what it says, yes.
25	Q And a little further down, user adds or an

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 256 01 277 Page 2064 of 2164)

Case	5.19-CI-00130-WITE DUCUMENT 201 FILEU 05/29/21 Page 250 01 211 PageD# 2004
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 256
1	employee adds this is Bates 01290. "Also seems
2	like we are not very good at explaining this to
3	users." Going on is that correct?
4	A That is what it says.
5	Q And then another Google the same Google
6	employee writes, "Indeed we aren't very good at
7	explaining this to users. Add me to the list of
8	Googlers who didn't understand how this worked and was
9	surprised when I read the article."
10	A That is what was written there, yes.
11	Q So these are Google employees who are reading this
12	article and are surprised to learn how Google's
13	location settings actually work?
14	A I would frame it as this is a group of Googlers
15	commenting on the interaction of Google settings, yes.
16	Q That same employee goes on to say, "Of course, we
17	shouldn't have to explain this to users. The real
18	failure is that we shipped a UI that confuses users
19	and requires explanation"; correct?
20	A That is what it says, yes.
21	Q And that person goes on to suggest that "We should
22	redesign the UI so it's obvious what's happening, and
23	make it easy for users to choose the settings they
24	want in one place without parsing complex details
25	about product interactions." Is that what it says?

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 257 01 2777 Page 2: (697 of 2164)

Case	5.13-CI-00130-MITE DOCUMENT 201 Filed 03/29/21 Page 257 01 217 PageD# 200
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 257
1	A Yes. I have no idea what UI they are talking
2	about, but that is what it says.
3	Q And there's only one more thing that we can read
4	on this chain. One more user just wrote, "Please
5	don't comment!"
6	A That is what is written, yes.
7	Q So from these emails excuse me one second.
8	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I would like to move
9	to admit Defense Exhibit 31, please.
10	THE COURT: Objection?
11	MR. SIMON: Judge, subject to the same
12	objection, there's a lot of extraneous talk. I think
13	it's at all extraneous between 1293 and 1309. So we
14	wouldn't object to admitting it but cutting out those
15	pages.
16	MR. PRICE: That's acceptable to us, as well.
17	THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted
18	through 1292, and not 1293 through 1309. I have an
19	extraneous document in here, I think. It looks to be
20	a LexisNexis search. Does somebody have that at the
21	end?
22	MS. KOENIG: It was probably my putting this
23	together too hastily, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. Well, to the extent
25	it just looks as if somebody looked up a particular

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Pil/20/2023/21 Page 258 of 277 Page 268 of 2164)

258 McGRIFF - DIRECT case, it seems unrelated. So that will not be 1 2 admitted either. 3 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 (Defense Exhibit No. 31 is admitted into 5 evidence.) BY MR. PRICE: 6 7 Q Okay. So following the AP story, following -- the Google employees wrote to each other about this issue 8 9 over Location History; correct? 10 A Employees discussed the article, yes. 11 Q And then Google changed its privacy policy -correct? -- in May of 2018? 12 13 The privacy policy? А 14 Q Uh-huh. On May 25, 2018, Google issued an update 15 to its main privacy policy. Are you aware of that? 16 I don't recall that. А 17 Q Perhaps I can refresh your memory. 18 MR. PRICE: Can we see Defense Exhibit 43, 19 please. 20 Q I'm showing you a redline version of the policy 21 when it was enacted on May 25. 22 Okay. Sorry. This is not -- okay, yes. There А 23 was a privacy policy update in May, yes. 24 Q And this is the privacy policy that was updated? 25 Yes, this is a privacy policy update from А

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 259 of 2797 Page 200 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 259
1	May 2018.
2	Q Okay. And the previous one had
3	A But sorry. I guess why I'm confused, this
4	privacy policy that you're referring to here was
5	before the AP article.
6	Q I'm sorry. It was a change before the AP article
7	after the Senate inquiry and after the Quartz article;
8	is that correct?
9	A I'm sorry. There was the I think these
10	things are orthogonal. The privacy policy was updated
11	full stop independent of inbound letters specifically
12	from senators about Location History.
13	Q I'm not saying that I'm not asking you if one
14	caused the other. I'm just asking if this was the
15	privacy policy
16	THE COURT: Well, you sort of are. You're
17	saying after the AP article, Google updated its
18	privacy policy. So you're suggesting there is a
19	relationship. So let him answer the way he wants to
20	answer.
21	A Yeah. These are orthogonal events. There are
22	many moving pieces happening all the time. I assure
23	you, there's no way Google updated its privacy policy
24	in two weeks. If the Senate letters were May 11, this
25	is May 25. So this privacy policy update must have

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 266 01 277 Page 208 of 2164)

Ouse	
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 260
1	been well in flight well before those letters were
2	received, which would not be related to the AP article
3	or the communication in that group digest about
4	response to the AP article.
5	So I guess that's why I'm just saying they're
6	orthogonal. There are a series of things that
7	happened in the arc of everyone is always making
8	further improvements, yes.
9	Q And Google had been talking about making changes
10	for quite some time to this language going back to at
11	least 2017, as we discussed earlier; correct?
12	A In the privacy policy?
13	Q The Location History language.
14	A For the life of the product we have every
15	Google product we are always looking for ways that we
16	can provide further transparency and clarity.
17	Q Does the feedback that you receive either in the
18	press or from members of Congress impact those
19	discussions?
20	A I think all feedback informs those discussions on
21	a regular basis, yes.
22	Q All right. Great. This privacy policy change
23	happened on May 25, 2018; is that correct?
24	A That's correct, yes.
25	Q Okay. And you recognize this privacy policy

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-489130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 3729/21 Page 261 of 2774 age D# (2013 of 2164)

```
261
                     McGRIFF - DIRECT
 1
    change?
 2
    A I have not looked at this in some time. Is there
 3
    a specific section?
 4
    Q Yes, I just want to admit this. So I would like
 5
    to know if you recognize this as Google's privacy
    policy from May 25, 2018?
 6
 7
    A I could not certify that this is the privacy
    policy as it stood on May 25, 2018. It does appear to
 8
 9
    be some iteration of the privacy policy at some point,
10
    but that was several years ago now.
11
    Q It says that the -- if you go back up to the
    top --
12
13
             THE COURT: Is there objection to him using
14
    this at the very least as a demonstrative exhibit? I
15
    mean, this is essentially how this witness has
16
    identified most of these exhibits. It's not being
17
    offered for the truth of the matter. It says it's
    May 25. It's a redline version. Can we at least
18
19
    treat it on that basis?
20
             MR. SIMON: Yes, Judge. Yes.
21
             THE COURT: Okay. So it's admitted on that
22
    limited basis.
23
             MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
             (Defense Exhibit No. 43 is admitted into
25
    evidence.)
```

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MHi: 19-3 cument Elled: Filed: 63/29/21 Page 262 of 27/74 age D# (2090 of 2164)

262 McGRIFF - DIRECT Q It says that the previous policy had been in 1 2 effect since December 18, 2017, right up at the top 3 there. 4 THE COURT: You really have to --5 MR. PRICE: Sorry. 6 BY MR. PRICE: 7 Q I'm at the first page at the very beginning where it says "last modified," right underneath "privacy 8 policy." There's December 18, 2017 crossed out, and 9 the next date there that's not crossed out is May 25. 10 A Oh, I see. That is the crossed out date. This 11 shows that this was an update after the December 18, 12 13 2017 update, yes, that's correct. 14 Q Are you aware that the previous policy, the one 15 that was in effect until December 18, 2017, did not 16 mention Location History whatsoever? 17 A I was not aware of that, no. Q Were you aware that this is the first version that 18 19 mentions Location History by name? 20 A Can you point me to the context where this 21 introduces Location History? 22 Q Yes. We are on page -- it was 7 of the PDF 23 itself. It doesn't come with its internal page 24 numbers. 2.5 THE COURT: So just say what headings are on

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled 3/29/21 Page 263 01 27/14 age D# (703 tof 2164)

263 McGRIFF - DIRECT it so we can look through the document quickly. 1 2 BY MR. PRICE: 3 Q It's under the heading "Your location 4 information." I'm at the bottom of the page that 5 contains that heading. 6 A I would have to check to confirm, but that does 7 not ring correct to me, because we do have -- on the location policies page, there is a mention and has 8 9 been a mention of Location History. We did make an 10 update to that page to further refine the language 11 around Location History in 20- -- either later 2018 or early 2019, but that was a refinement to existing 12 13 copy, not the introduction of. 14 In this particular draft where you have 15 redactions, is there a suggestion that all of this 16 content is new? 17 Q There shouldn't be any redactions --A Sorry. Not redactions. I meant the crossed out 18 19 copy. 20 Q This is Google's redline. It's available on their 21 website. The crossed out language is the old 22 language, and the language that isn't crossed out is 23 the new language. THE COURT: Okay. I'm still not with you, 24 25 unfortunately. So what part of the page is your

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled: 91/20/2923/21 Page 264 01 277 Page B# (704 of 2164)

264 McGRIFF - DIRECT Location History on? Is it your --1 2 MR. PRICE: "Your location information." THE COURT: All right. Now I'm with you. 3 4 BY MR. PRICE: 5 Q Just to clarify, I'm asking about the privacy 6 policy that we're looking at, not the help pages. 7 A I understand. And this might just be my misunderstanding of how it's structured or maybe how 8 9 it's labeled externally, but we do have on the broader 10 company policy's page under "technologies," there's a 11 whole section about location usage. That copy was refined, but it existed, which is why I'm surprised. 12 13 I would need to check. 14 Q The copy that you're describing, is that the same 15 as the privacy policy or is that that something 16 different? 17 A There's a broader privacy policy that has sections, and one of those sections is like a 18 19 subsection. It's, like, policies.google.com/location 20 or technologies/location, something like that. And there exists a description of the location copy. That 21 22 was refined in this time period. It wasn't 23 introduced. 24 Q The technologies page and the copy that is there 25 is not included in the privacy policy itself?

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 201 Filed 03/29/21 Page 265 of 27/74 age D# (705 of 2164)

McGRIFF - DIRECT 265 1 A I believe it is a part of the privacy policy 2 because I think you have to go to the privacy -- I'd 3 have to check. I don't know.

4 THE COURT: He's not giving you the answer 5 you want. He's not able to say that this is the first 6 time it ever appeared. What he has just testified is 7 that there is some kind of web policy that he is aware of, and he just said, I would be surprised if this 8 9 were the first time it were in the privacy policy 10 because I'm aware that we had it in a bigger website 11 policy that had been refined.

12 So you just didn't get the answer you wanted, 13 even though you're going to argue that because it 14 doesn't have strike-throughs, this was the first time 15 it came in. He's given you the answer he has. 16 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. We will 17 move on. BY MR. PRICE: 18 19 Q Google changed the opt-in language for Location 20 History in 2018; correct? 21 By opt-in language, do you mean the consent? Α 22 Yes. Q 23 A The Location History consent itself has not 24 changed. 25 The language in the consent flow didn't change at Q

USCA4 Appeal: 12-cf-00130-MFfi: 12-3cument Elled: Filed: 63/23/21 Page 266 of 27/74 age D# (2064 of 2164)

266 McGRIFF - DIRECT all during 2018? 1 2 A The consent flow is not the consent. The actual 3 Location History consent has not changed. 4 Q I'm speaking about the consent flow --5 THE COURT: Why don't you show an example. MR. PRICE: Laura, can we pull up 6 7 Mr. McInvaille's report. BY MR. PRICE: 8 9 Q Let me turn your attention to Defense Exhibit 7. 10 MR. PRICE: Can you go up a little bit, 11 Laura? BY MR. PRICE: 12 13 Q So we see a screenshot here from early in 2018. 14 The language under Location History says "creates a 15 private map of where you go with your signed in 16 devices." 17 A That's what is it says, yes. 18 Q Right. And can we go to the next figure, please. 19 This is a screenshot provided by Oracle, also in early 20 2018. And it says "creates a private map of where you go with your signed-in devices." 21 22 That is what it says. А 23 Q Right underneath Location History. 24 Can we look at the third one from the Norwegian 25 Consumer Council from July 2nd of 2018? And in the

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 267 2867 of 2774 ages: (707 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 267
1	middle screenshot underneath Location History, it
2	says, "Saves where you go with your devices."
3	A That is what it says, yes.
4	Q So that language is different from the previous
5	two screenshots that we just discussed?
6	A That is not the Location History consent copy,
7	which is in the expanded block in the third exhibit
8	you reference, but the descriptive copy did change,
9	yes.
10	Q And that changed in 2018; is that correct?
11	A That changed as a result of GDPR, yes.
12	Q Do you know when it 2018 it changed?
13	A When was I couldn't say exactly.
14	THE COURT: As a result of what? I'm sorry,
15	sir.
16	THE WITNESS: Sorry. One of the requirements
17	of GDPR was that we be able to centrally serve all
18	strings from a single data store. So part of our
19	compliance with that policy was to standardize all of
20	these strings because they were leading from the same
21	store. That work was in flight in 2018.
22	So depending on when the screenshots were
23	taken, you might see some slight variations as you
24	just pointed out in these two screens for the
25	descriptive copy itself, yes.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 265 01 277 Page 205 01 2096 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 268
1	THE COURT: All right. So GDPR?
2	THE WITNESS: That's the General Data
3	Protection Regulation.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	BY MR. PRICE:
6	Q That was a new law enacted in Europe governing the
7	privacy rights of individuals and their data?
8	A That is correct, yes.
9	Q So but Google had been discussing the need to
10	change this particular language for quite some time;
11	correct?
12	A No.
13	Q No? You're not aware of the emails discussing the
14	need to change the "creates a private map" language?
15	A Sorry. I think some things are being conflated.
16	Which emails are you referring to?
17	Q These are part of the Arizona v. Google emails,
18	the emails that Google turned over to the Arizona
19	Attorney General.
20	A If I recall I would need to see the exchange,
21	because if I recall the exchange you're referring to,
22	it's referring to a different set of disclosures that
23	we were working to align our presentation, but is
24	there an example here?
25	Q Sure.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 269 of 277 Page 209 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 269
1	MR. PRICE: Can we show Defense Exhibit 41,
2	please? And this is Bates 57339.
3	MR. DUFFEY: 379?
4	MR. PRICE: 57339.
5	A I see it, but to my previous point, this exchange
6	is referring to a different string of copy. It's not
7	referring to the what's being discussed at the
8	bottom is not related to the "creates a private map."
9	The "creates a private map" in quotes there is like
10	the language was just like a flag of the page where
11	this language appears, but this exchange specifically
12	was in reference to the conflation with WAA that was
13	discussed, which is why it was relevant in the Arizona
14	matter.
15	Q When did this copy appear that's being discussed?
16	A There's that descriptive string that says "saves a
17	map of the places where you go with your signed-in
18	devices" or "creates a private map." That is not what
19	we were discussing here. This exchange at the bottom
20	is specifically referring to yeah, this was
21	broader. I guess, maybe I'm not following the
22	connection.
23	Q Well, the consent flow, at least the initial
24	screen with the one-line description, changed sometime
25	in 2018. It used to say "creates a private map," and

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 276 2867 ptal Pages: (710 of 2164)

Case	5.15 - C - 00150 - WHE Document 201 The 003/23/21 - age 270 01277 Tage D# 203C
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 270
1	it doesn't anymore. This email exchange appears to be
2	talking about whether to change the "creates a private
3	map" language, which a Google employee describes as
4	one of the most admired pieces of prose in the privacy
5	space at Google.
6	A Okay. I'm just not following. I apologize. This
7	language is referring so, the exchange here is
8	referring very broadly to the descriptive copy, yes.
9	Maybe I'm missing the point. Sorry. What's the
10	question for me?
11	Q It says that Google had a long, mostly political,
12	fight over the private map language. I apologize.
13	It's on the next page.
14	THE COURT: 340?
15	MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor, in the middle of
16	the page.
17	A I'm sorry. That is what it says, yes.
18	Q And you don't recall what this is in reference to?
19	A No. It says what it's in reference to. This is
20	in reference to the descriptive copy. It's not
21	related to the Location History consent copy at all.
22	It's how exactly it's framed. And this discussion is
23	in the context of the alignment of all the copy
24	because we were going to consolidate and all read from
25	the same central store.

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 271 01 277 Page 2/1 01 277 Page 2/1 01 277 Page 2/1 01 2/77 Page 2/1 01 2/77

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 271
1	Q So you're saying there was secondary copy, that
2	second screen that you're talking about, that
3	contained this language at some point?
4	A No. There's the LH consent copy, which has been
5	static. That has not changed. And then there was
6	descriptive copy that would appear in a snippet either
7	immediately before, and then there's also immediately
, 8	after.
9	In that time period, we were looking at refining
10	and aligning the descriptive copy strings because
11	prior to that point, they were all baked into the
12	native apps.
13	So if you had to make a change, for example, on
14	IOS, you had to submit an update to the app store. If
15	you had to make a change on Android, you had to
16	publish a new APK. We had to do it manually.
17	Part of what we were doing in 2018 was aligning so
18	that these were all readable from some single store.
19	And they weren't baked into the app. Instead the app
20	was just calling to say what string should I show in
21	this WAA.
22	So there was lot of discussion around how can we
23	further refine all of those strings to make them
24	consistent with each other in terms of their framing.
25	This exchange is specifically referencing, it sounds

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 272 of 274 Page 2/2 of 2164)

	McGRIFF - DIRECT 272
1	like, several of the different controls. So, yes,
2	several of the different controls and balancing the
3	pros and cons of different options.
4	They don't speak specifically to the consents
5	because the consents weren't being changed. It's just
6	simply to the descriptive copy around the consents.
7	Q So this is talking about a change to the
8	descriptive copy? That one line underneath where it
9	says Location History.
10	A The exchange is speaking to the descriptive copy
11	around the consent, that's correct.
12	Q And one employee actually goes on to say, "My
13	preference is 'Saves where you go with this device'";
14	correct?
15	A Yes, I see that here.
16	Q And that is very, very similar to what the first
17	screen in the Location History consent flow, the
18	descriptive screen, ends up being changed to; correct?
19	A That is correct, yes.
20	Q So was it a coincidence?
21	A So, this is from 2017, January. So coincidence
22	with what?
23	Q That you're saying that this discussion is not
24	referencing a change to the Location History
25	description during the consent flow process.

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489130-MHL 19-3 cument Elled: Piled: 91/20/2923/21 Page 275 of 2774 age D# (713 of 2164)

273 McGRIFF - DIRECT A I apologize. Maybe I'm getting confused. 1 There 2 are always discussions in flight around how we can further improve our products and services and further 3 4 clarify. 5 This discussion from 2017 is about changes that might be suggested to, it looks like, various 6 7 controls, including Location History, to further improve transparency around them. So that is that. 8 9 So, yes, it is a discussion across several 10 controls over further improvements that can be made to 11 their descriptive copy, yes. And then you're linking this to the 2018 update? To the Location History 12 13 page? 14 Q No, I'm saying --15 THE COURT: Exhibit 17, are you comparing it 16 back to Exhibit 17? That's his question. You showed 17 him Exhibit 17 -- Exhibit 7, your expert's report. Is 18 that what you're trying to carry it back to? 19 MR. PRICE: Excuse me? We're talking about 20 the -- I'm sorry. 21 THE COURT: The descriptive text on Exhibit 7 22 under Location History from 7-2-2018, which is how you 23 began this process, says "saves where you go with your 24 devices." Is that the link you're trying to make? 2.5 MR. PRICE: Yes. I'm asking if the

USCA4 Appeal: 12-4489 Def: 19-3 Cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 274 of 277 Page 2/4 of 2102 of 2164)

	5 5
	McGRIFF - DIRECT 274
1	discussion here had to do with the language that we
2	see in this language change that eventually happened
3	in 2018.
4	BY MR. PRICE:
5	Q My question for you initially was, a discussion
6	about this language had been in the works for quite
7	some time, since at least 2017.
8	A I see. So this is why I don't think you can
9	flatten it in the way that you're attempting to,
10	because of the screenshots being from a point in time.
11	For example, I could leave this courtroom right now
12	and go to a Best Buy and buy a device that's three
13	years old and take it off the shelf and take
14	screenshots. The images that I see would be taken
15	today but be a reflection of what was baked into that
16	device when it was shipped to the shelf three years
17	ago.
18	So the screenshots here, the date of the
19	screenshots is not sequential in terms of when we
20	release the copy updates. So the language decisions
21	being discussed here in your Exhibit 41 around "saves
22	a map," those were likely introduced into production
23	shortly after. It is highly probable that
24	especially because these are from a series of
25	different sources with very different narratives and

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489130-MHL 19-3cument 2011 Filed 03/29/21 Page 275 of 27/74 age D# (715 of 2164)

275 McGRIFF - DIRECT very different agendas. 1 2 Oracle is going to find the least favorable example. And so they'll use a screenshot that's 3 4 beneficial to them. In a research study, they might 5 just choose to benchmark when they're looking across several different sources. And they'll take 6 7 screenshots that were beneficial to them. So from the screenshots alone, I can't say -- and 8 9 you can correct me if I'm wrong -- I can't say that 10 this change was before that change or was tied to the 11 Exhibit 41 discussion. Based on reading these exchanges, this 2017 12 13 discussion was baked and put into prod, and at some 14 point percolate into -- I'm sorry. Into production. 15 And at some point percolated into product. These screenshots are just at different points in time in 16 17 the life of the product. So that is how you see the evolution here is not 18 19 directly tied to the previous things we've been 20 discussing, like the AP article or other changes that were happening in 2018. 21 22 THE COURT: All right. We're done. So it is 10 minutes almost after when I said I have to leave 23 24 because I have a conference call that is an emergency. 2.5 And so I apologize for the abrupt ending, but we'll

USCA4 Appeal: 12-24489 130-MHL 19-3 cument 2011 Filed 3729/21 Page 276 of 2774 age D# 2104 of 2164)

276 McGRIFF - DIRECT continue this tomorrow. 1 2 And, sir, you will still be under oath. You 3 can't discuss your testimony with anyone. That will 4 give us time. Maybe all of us will be a little more 5 clearheaded about what relates to what, not at 5 o'clock in the evening. And we'll pick up from 6 7 there. So the cross will continue. I'm going to remind everybody to make sure 8 9 that your witnesses are sequestered. Can we start 10 tomorrow at nine? MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor. 11 12 MR. SIMON: Yes, Your Honor. 13 MR. GILL: That's fine, Judge. 14 THE COURT: All right. So we will begin 15 tomorrow at nine. And if folks want to call in, they 16 may do so then. 17 Is there anything else I need to cover? MR. SIMON: Nothing further, Judge. 18 19 MR. PRICE: Nothing further. Thank you, Your 20 Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. My apologies for this call 22 happening, but I have no control. 23 (The proceedings were adjourned at 4:55 p.m.) 24 25

USCA4 Appeal: 122-4489 Def: 19-3 cument Filed: 01/20/2023/21 Page 277 2867 ptal Pages: (7175 of 2164)

	277
1	I, Diane J. Daffron, certify that the foregoing is
2	a correct transcript from the record of proceedings
3	in the above-entitled matter.
4	
5	
6	DIANE J. DAFFRON, RPR, CCR DATE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	J.A. 693

This page intentionally left blank for double-sided pagination and printing